



**FOR THE KIDS:  
CALIFORNIA VOTERS MUST BECOME  
WARY OF BORROWING BILLIONS  
MORE FROM WEALTHY INVESTORS  
FOR EDUCATIONAL CONSTRUCTION**

BY KEVIN DAYTON

## Table of Contents

1. Executive Summary	2
2. Why This Report Matters: More Borrowing in 2016	7
3. Quantifying California’s Educational Construction Debt	15
4. How Educational Districts Acquire and Manage Debt	21
5. Capital Appreciation Bonds: Disturbing Repayment Terms	31
6. Tricks of the Trade: Questionable Behaviors with Bonds	44
7. The System Is Skewed to Pass Bond Measures	57
8. More Troubling Issues with Educational Construction Bond Finance	67
9. Improving Oversight, Accountability, and Fiscal Responsibility	76
Tables A1 to A6	84
Appendices A to L	175

## 1. Executive Summary

Few Californians realize how much debt they've imposed on future generations with their votes for bond measures meant to fund the construction of new and modernized school facilities.

From 2001 to 2014, California voters considered 1147 ballot measures proposed by K-12 school districts and community college districts to borrow money for construction via bond sales. Voters approved 911 of these bond measures, giving 642 school and college districts authority to borrow a total of \$110.4 billion.

California voters also approved three statewide ballot measures during that time to authorize the state to borrow \$35.8 billion. That money has supplemented local borrowing for construction projects at school and college districts, and the state has spent all but \$195 million of it.

That's a total of \$146.1 billion authorized during the last 14 years for state and local educational districts to obtain and spend on construction projects. All of it has been borrowed or will be borrowed from wealthy investors, who buy state and local government bonds as a relatively safe investment that generates tax-exempt income through interest payments.

Current and future generations of Californians are already committed to paying these investors about \$200 billion in principal and interest — a number that will grow as school and college districts continue to borrow by selling bonds already authorized by voters but not yet sold.

And more borrowing is coming.

In 2016 California voters may be asked to authorize the state to borrow as much as \$9 billion for school construction. More than 100 school and college districts may ask voters to approve borrowing a total of several billion more dollars. Officials at the country's second largest school district, the Los Angeles Unified School District, claim they need more than \$40 billion for additional construction and plan to ask voters to approve borrowing several billion in 2016.

It is time to be wary. The California Policy Center believes that most Californians are unaware and uninformed about this relentless borrowing and the amount of debt already accumulated to pay for school construction. Most voters cannot explain how a bond measure works and do not get enough information to make an educated decision about the wisdom of a bond measure.

California voters who want to learn more before voting will have difficulty finding relevant information. Where does an ordinary Californian find out how much money a school or college district has already been authorized to borrow from past bond measures, or the principal and interest owed from past bond sales that still needs to be repaid, or the projected changes in assessed property valuation and how they affect tax and debt limits, or the past and projected student enrollment? The state does not offer a clearinghouse of information for the public to

research and compare data about bond measures and bond debt for educational districts. Much of the information available about debt finance for educational districts is oriented toward interests of bond investors rather than people who pay the debt.

Californians who recognize a need for their own local educational districts to refrain from accumulating additional debt have significant obstacles to overcome. State law gives supporters of bond measures a systematic strategic advantage when local districts develop bond measures and put them before voters for approval. Campaigns to support bond measures are funded and even managed by financial and construction industry interests that will profit after passage. And after voters approve a bond measure, educational districts are tempted to take advantage of ambiguities in state law and use bond proceeds for items and activities not typically regarded by the public as construction.

To help to fix these deficiencies, this report encourages the California legislature and the executive branch to adopt five sets of recommendations:

<b>1</b>	<b>Provide Adequate and Effective Oversight and Accountability for Bond Measures</b>
<b>2</b>	<b>Enable Voters to Make a Reasonably Informed Decision on Bond Measures</b>
<b>3</b>	<b>Eliminate or Mitigate Conflicts of Interest in Contracting Related to Bond Measures</b>
<b>4</b>	<b>Reduce Inappropriate, Excessive, or Unnecessary Spending of Bond Proceeds</b>
<b>5</b>	<b>Improve Understanding of Bond Measures Through Public Education Campaigns</b>

At a time of low interest rates, California school and community college districts may benefit in some circumstances from borrowing money to fund school construction, just like households benefit from home mortgages and car loans. But California voters — and their elected representatives — need to become much more informed about the debt legacy they are leaving to their children and grandchildren.

Emotional sentiment, lobbying pressure from interest groups, and eagerness to circumvent frustrating tax and debt limits in state law can overwhelm a prudent sense of caution. As the notorious 2011 bond sale of the Poway Unified School District revealed, irrational decisions that burden future generations cannot necessarily be fixed after the public finds out about them.

---

## Section Summaries

### Section 2. Why This Report Matters: More Borrowing in 2016

Californians will be asked in 2016 to continue taking on debt for construction of educational facilities, but one elected official is leery. Governor Jerry Brown wants to change the funding system for school construction. He is concerned about debt that Californians have accumulated from years of allowing the state and local educational districts to relentlessly borrow.

That money borrowed through bond sales will have to be paid back — with interest — to the investors who bought them. Voters have limited understanding of bonds and how bonds provide funds for construction, and elections focus on what voters will get rather than how they will pay for it. To the detriment of future generations, few Californians realize the huge amount educational districts have been authorized to borrow and the huge amount of debt accumulated.

### **Section 3. Quantifying and Explaining California’s Educational Construction Debt**

Whatever voters are asked to approve in 2016 will not launch a new program to fix long-neglected schools to serve a rapidly expanding state population while providing smaller class sizes. That thinking is a legacy of the 1990s that seems to endure today despite 14 years of most bond measures passing at a 55 percent threshold for voter approval. Arguments for another state bond measure in 2016 ignore or downplay how local school and college districts and the state obtained authority in the past 14 years to borrow \$146.1 billion for educational construction.

If voters are not told or reminded of recent borrowing patterns, how can they make an informed decision on future borrowing? To rectify the lack of availability of statistics on total bond debt in California for educational facility construction, the California Policy Center collected, synthesized, and analyzed data regarding California educational construction finance. The California Policy Center believes it is the first and only entity to painstakingly research and present an accurate and comprehensive record of all state and local educational construction bond measures considered by voters from 2001 through 2014.

### **Section 4. How Educational Districts Acquire and Manage Debt**

It's likely that most California voters have limited familiarity with the organization and governance of their local school and community college districts. When voters authorize their local educational districts to borrow money for construction by selling bonds, presumably they trust that the local school or college district will exercise prudence in managing the process. Sometimes their trust is betrayed.

To discourage abuse of the school construction finance system, voters need to be aware of how their local government is organized and managed. They also need to realize that state law does not explicitly give Independent Citizens’ Bond Oversight Committees broad authority to review construction programs funded by bond measures.

How can voters become informed about bonds and the process of borrowing money for educational construction through bond sales? Is there a way to explain in clear plain language

what actually happens after voters approve a bond measure and authorize a school or college district to borrow money via bond sales?

### **Section 5. Capital Appreciation Bonds: Disturbing Repayment Terms**

In 1993, California law was changed so that school and college districts could use an innovative form of debt finance called zero-coupon bonds, also known as Capital Appreciation Bonds. These bonds allow school and college districts to borrow now for construction and pay it back — with compounded interest — many years later. The borrowing strategy has been a tempting and dangerous lure for elected school and college boards.

Some people think Capital Appreciation Bonds are a “ticking time bomb” or the “creation of a toxic waste dump.” Others regard critics as uninformed and contend that these debt finance instruments are beneficial for school and college districts. Since the people who will be paying off many of these Capital Appreciation Bonds are now children or not even born yet, there isn’t much incentive to stop the flow of borrowed money that doesn’t need to be paid back for a generation or two.

### **Section 6. Tricks of the Trade: Questionable Behaviors with Bonds**

Californians who want more spending on educational construction often express their resentment of a 2000 law limiting taxes and debt resulting from bond sales. It was passed in order to strengthen campaign arguments to voters in support of Proposition 39, which lowered the approval threshold for local bond measures from two-thirds to 55%. School districts have adopted several strategies to get around these limits in state law. One of them is very obscure but 100% successful: obtaining waivers from the State Board of Education.

Meanwhile, some districts are stretching legal definitions to use proceeds from bond sales to pay for items that resemble instructional material more than construction. One example is personal portable electronics such as iPads. Some of the state’s largest districts are purchasing this kind of technology while giving little assurance to the public that long term bonds aren’t the source of the money. This equipment may be obsolete well before the bonds mature, meaning that future generations will pay for these devices long after they are outdated and discarded.

### **Section 7. The System Is Skewed to Pass Bond Measures**

Considering the advantages that supporters have in preparing and campaigning for a bond measure, perhaps it’s noteworthy that voters reject about 20% of local bond measures for educational construction. At every stage of the process, interests that will benefit from bond sales can take advantage of a system that favors passage of a bond measure. Some issues of concern include use of public funds to develop campaigns to pass bond measures, significant political contributions to campaigns from interests likely to benefit from construction, involvement of

college foundations as intermediaries for campaign contributions, and conflicts of interest and alleged pay-to-play contracts.

### **Section 8. More Troubling Issues with Bond Finance for Educational Construction**

While compiling the comprehensive information provided in this study, California Policy Center researchers identified numerous other troubling aspects of bond finance. School and college districts are evading compliance with the law and making irresponsible decisions. Ordinary voters lack enough data to make an informed vote. Community activists who seek deeper understanding find themselves stymied.

### **Section 9. Improving Oversight, Accountability, and Fiscal Responsibility**

This report encourages the California legislature and the executive branch to adopt five sets of recommendations that will help to fix these deficiencies:

<b>1</b>	<b>Provide Adequate and Effective Oversight and Accountability for Bond Measures</b>
<b>2</b>	<b>Enable Voters to Make a Reasonably Informed Decision on Bond Measures</b>
<b>3</b>	<b>Eliminate or Mitigate Conflicts of Interest in Contracting Related to Bond Measures</b>
<b>4</b>	<b>Reduce Inappropriate, Excessive, or Unnecessary Spending of Bond Proceeds</b>
<b>5</b>	<b>Improve Understanding of Bond Measures Through Public Education Campaigns</b>

The California Policy Center rejects the idea that additional oversight and accountability isn't needed or desirable. Some legislative reforms and education programs (both public and private) can overcome voter cynicism, frustration, apathy, and ignorance.

**Tables A1 to A6**

**Appendices A to L**

## 2. Why This Report Matters: More Borrowing in 2016

Californians will be asked in 2016 to continue taking on debt for construction of educational facilities, but one elected official is leery. Governor Jerry Brown wants to change the funding system for school construction. He is concerned about debt that Californians have accumulated from years of allowing the state and local educational districts to relentlessly borrow.

That money borrowed through bond sales will have to be paid back — with interest — to the investors who bought them. Voters have limited understanding of bonds and how bonds provide funds for construction, and elections focus on what voters will get rather than how they will pay for it. To the detriment of future generations, few Californians realize the huge amount educational districts have been authorized to borrow and the huge amount of debt accumulated.

### Interest Groups Want Voters to Consider Another State Bond Measure

When the California Policy Center published this report, the California Attorney General had approved circulation of petitions through September 21, 2015 for a proposed statewide ballot initiative entitled the “Kindergarten Through Community College Public Education Facilities Bond Act of 2016.”<sup>1</sup> Professional signature gatherers set up tables at grocery stores and other public locations trying to cajole citizens into signing petitions to “help the kids” by putting the measure on the ballot.

If this proposal qualifies for the ballot and voters approve it, the State of California will have the authority to borrow \$9 billion through selling bonds to investors. According to the petition, this \$9 billion will ensure that “K-14 facilities are constructed and maintained in safe, secure and peaceful conditions.” As reported in the *Sacramento Bee*, school construction interests and residential housing developers want this bond measure, or one like it, on the ballot in 2016.<sup>2</sup>

Proponents point out, accurately, that most of the money that voters authorized the state to borrow in 1998, 2002, 2004, and 2006 has been distributed as matching grants to local

---

<sup>1</sup> “Request for Title and Summary for Proposed Initiative: Kindergarten Through Community College Public Education Facilities Bond Act of 2016,” Office of the California Attorney General, January 2015, accessed June 28, 2015, [https://oag.ca.gov/system/files/initiatives/pdfs/15-0005%20\(Education%20Bond%20Act\).pdf](https://oag.ca.gov/system/files/initiatives/pdfs/15-0005%20(Education%20Bond%20Act).pdf)

<sup>2</sup> “California School Builders, Others to Gather Signatures for November 2016 Bond Measure,” *Sacramento Bee*, January 12, 2015, accessed June 28, 2015, [www.sacbee.com/news/politics-government/capitol-alert/article6143364.html](http://www.sacbee.com/news/politics-government/capitol-alert/article6143364.html)

educational districts. As of April 15, 2015, \$195.4 million remains<sup>3</sup> from \$35.4 billion approved to borrow as a result of three statewide ballot propositions in the 2000s.

The petition for the Kindergarten Through Community College Public Education Facilities Bond Act of 2016 lists four “findings” explaining what the state could do if it borrowed \$9 billion:

***1. Career technical education facilities to provide job training for many Californians and veterans who face challenges in completing their education and re-entering the workforce.***

The history of recent bond measures on the state and local level shows that voters are inclined to support more government spending when veterans are cited as beneficiaries. Poll results confirm this. A “State of California School Bond Measure Feasibility Survey” of likely voters conducted January 30 to February 9, 2014 for California’s Coalition for Adequate School Housing (C.A.S.H.) indicated that “more than six-in-ten are highly concerned about unemployment among veterans.”<sup>4</sup>

***2. Upgrade aging facilities to meet current health and safety standards, including retrofitting for earthquake safety and the removal of lead paint, asbestos and other hazardous materials.***

Again, the “State of California School Bond Measure Feasibility Survey” concluded that “more than two-thirds agree that many California public schools need significant health and safety improvements,” specifically the statement that “many schools and community colleges throughout California are old, outdated and need upgrades to meet current health and safety standards, including retrofitting for earthquake safety and the removal of lead paint, asbestos and other hazardous materials.”

***3. Studies show that 13,000 jobs are created for each \$1 billion of state infrastructure investment. These jobs include building and construction trades jobs throughout the state.***

Influential construction interests are part of the coalition supporting this statewide bond measure. This statement acknowledges their pivotal role in the campaign to pass it.

***4. Academic goals cannot be achieved without 21st Century school facilities designed to provide improved school technology and teaching facilities.***

---

<sup>3</sup> “AB 148 School Facilities: K–14 School Investment Bond Act of 2016 - California State Assembly Education Committee Analysis,” California Legislative Information, April 28, 2015, accessed June 28, 2015, [https://leginfo.ca.gov/faces/billAnalysisClient.xhtml?bill\\_id=201520160AB148#](https://leginfo.ca.gov/faces/billAnalysisClient.xhtml?bill_id=201520160AB148#)

<sup>4</sup> “State of California School Bond Measure Feasibility Survey,” California’s Coalition for Adequate School Housing, Date, accessed June 28, 2015, [https://www.cashnet.org/meetings/2014\\_Annual\\_Conference/documents/38\\_LegislativeUpdate\\_Bond\\_Feasibility.pdf](https://www.cashnet.org/meetings/2014_Annual_Conference/documents/38_LegislativeUpdate_Bond_Feasibility.pdf)

Once again, the “State of California School Bond Measure Feasibility Survey” concludes that “in particular, voters believe that funds must be directed towards upgrading vocational/career education programs, repairing classrooms and science labs and upgrading technology.”

***For Investigation:*** *Is there credible evidence that new, expanded, or modernized educational facilities result in better test scores or other objective measurements of student academic or extracurricular achievement?*

These are deliberately chosen arguments to justify borrowing another \$9 billion for community college and K-12 school district construction projects. In fact, these were the same arguments used in newspaper opinion pieces and position papers in 2014 to support Assembly Bill 2235,<sup>5</sup> which if signed into law would have asked state voters in the November 2014 election to authorize borrowing \$4.3 billion for school construction through bond sales.

Regardless of whether the four arguments listed above for a statewide bond measure are factually valid, they have been tested through polling and other voter research and shown to be effective in winning voter support. Surely a 2016 campaign for a state bond measure will use them.

**Table 1: All General Obligation Bonds to Be Paid Off Through California’s General Fund**

Amount Authorized to Borrow	<b>\$135.2 billion</b>
Amount Borrowed	<b>\$105.7 billion</b>
Amount Authorized But Not Borrowed	<b>\$29.5 billion</b>
Amount Owed in Principal (June 1, 2015)	<b>\$72.4 billion</b>
Amount of Debt Service Owed (June 1, 2015)	<b>\$131.8 billion</b>
Amount of Debt Service to Be Paid 2015-2016	<b>\$6 billion</b>
Sources: “Schedule of Debt Service Requirements for General Fund Non-Self Liquidating Bonds (Fixed Rate),” California State Treasurer, June 1, 2015, accessed June 28, 2015, <a href="http://www.treasurer.ca.gov/bonds/debt/201506/general-fixed.pdf">www.treasurer.ca.gov/bonds/debt/201506/general-fixed.pdf</a> and “Authorized and Outstanding General Obligation Bonds,” California State Treasurer, June 1, 2015, accessed June 28, 2015, <a href="http://www.treasurer.ca.gov/bonds/debt/201506/authorized.pdf">www.treasurer.ca.gov/bonds/debt/201506/authorized.pdf</a>	

<sup>5</sup> “Text - AB 2235 Education Facilities: Kindergarten-University Public Education Facilities Bond Act of 2014,” California Legislative Information, accessed June 28, 2015, [https://leginfo.ca.gov/faces/billNavClient.xhtml?bill\\_id=201320140AB2235](https://leginfo.ca.gov/faces/billNavClient.xhtml?bill_id=201320140AB2235)

How do these arguments stand in the larger context of bond indebtedness for the State of California and its community college districts and K-12 school districts? This report provides some of that context and introduces information never before available to the public.

### **Governor Brown Worries About Debt and Seeks Change in School Construction Finance**

Governor Jerry Brown has used his executive power to thwart legislative efforts to place a statewide bond measure for educational construction on the 2016 ballot. Assembly Bill 2235 never received an opposition vote as it passed the Assembly and moved through Senate committees with support from numerous interest groups. Voters didn't get to consider it in the November 2014 election only because Governor Brown didn't want it on the ballot. As reported by a Capitol Public Radio reporter, the bill author issued a statement explaining its abandonment: "The governor has made it clear that he does not want a school bond on the same ballot as the water bond and rainy day fund. We do not expect the legislature to send the bill on him."<sup>6</sup>

Meanwhile, the Governor is taking a leading role in calling for change in how state and local governments fund California school construction. He submitted a state budget proposal to the California legislature in January 2015 with an introduction stating that funding commitments "must be honestly confronted so that they are properly accounted for and funded."<sup>7</sup> It warned that "budget challenges over the past decade have also resulted in a greater reliance on debt financing, rather than pay-as-you-go spending...From 1974 to 1999, California voters authorized \$38.4 billion of general obligation bonds. Since 2000, voters authorized more than \$103.2 billion of general obligation bonds"<sup>8</sup>

### **Concern About Debt Growing from State Matching Grants to Local Educational Districts**

One funding commitment Governor Brown "confronted" in his proposed fiscal year 2015-16 budget was the State of California's debt accumulated from funding construction of facilities for local school districts. California voters approved bond measures in 2002, 2004, and 2006 authorizing the state to borrow \$35.8 billion via bond sales for school and college construction, and only \$195 million remains to be borrowed. According to internal California State Treasurer documents, debt service on those three state bond measures is \$56.7 billion.<sup>10</sup>

---

<sup>6</sup> "No School Bond, Lawmaker Suspension Measures On November Ballot," Capitol Public Radio, August 19, 2014, accessed June 28, 2015, [www.capradio.org/articles/2014/08/19/no-school-bond,-lawmaker-suspension-measures-on-november-ballot/](http://www.capradio.org/articles/2014/08/19/no-school-bond,-lawmaker-suspension-measures-on-november-ballot/)

<sup>7</sup> "2015-16 Governor's Budget Summary," Department of Finance - California Budget, January 9, 2015, accessed June 28, 2015, [www.ebudget.ca.gov/2015-16/pdf/BudgetSummary/FullBudgetSummary.pdf](http://www.ebudget.ca.gov/2015-16/pdf/BudgetSummary/FullBudgetSummary.pdf)

<sup>8</sup> "2015 California's Five-Year Infrastructure Plan," Department of Finance - California Budget, January 9, 2015, accessed June 28, 2015, [www.ebudget.ca.gov/2015-Infrastructure-Plan.pdf](http://www.ebudget.ca.gov/2015-Infrastructure-Plan.pdf)

According to the Governor's 2015-16 Budget Summary,<sup>9</sup> "the Administration has noted the following significant shortcomings" related to school bond finance over the past two years:

The current program does not compel districts to consider facilities funding within the context of other educational costs and priorities. For example, districts can generate and retain state facility program eligibility based on outdated or inconsistent enrollment projections. This often results in financial incentives for districts to build new schools to accommodate what is actually modest and absorbable enrollment growth. These incentives are exacerbated by the fact that general obligation bond debt is funded outside of Proposition 98. These bonds cost the General Fund approximately \$2.4 billion in debt service annually.

This statement is surprising and controversial recognition that some school districts spend money on new school construction that perhaps isn't needed. The proposed budget summary also notes that large school districts have in-house professional facilities departments that can take advantage of the first-come, first-serve application system to get funding from the State Allocation Board for local school construction.

Another surprising admission in the Governor's budget proposal is acknowledgement that voters approve four out of five proposed local bond measures, thus providing a relatively easy flow of money for school construction: "The current program was developed before the passage of <sup>10</sup>Proposition 39 (which reduced the local bond vote threshold to 55 percent) in 2000, which has since allowed local school bonds to pass upwards of 80 percent of the time."

The budget summary also reported that the California Department of Finance had met with parties interested in educational construction and developed a set of recommendations, including three related to bond finance:

***1. Increase Tools for Local Control: Expand Local Funding Capacity***

*While school districts can pass local bonds with 55% percent approval, assessed valuation caps for specific bond measures and total caps on local bonded indebtedness have not been adjusted since 2000. In order to provide greater access to local financing, these caps should be increased at minimum by the rate of inflation since 2000.*

Based on the Consumer Price Index of the U.S. Bureau of Labor Statistics, the inflation rate from November 2000 (when voters approved Proposition 39) to May 2015 was 36.6%.

Therefore, under this proposal the California legislature would increase tax and debt limits at

---

<sup>9</sup> "Governor's Budget Summary 2015-16: K Thru 12 Education," Department of Finance - California Budget, January 9, 2015, accessed June 28, 2015, [www.ebudget.ca.gov/2015-16/pdf/BudgetSummary/Kthru12Education.pdf](http://www.ebudget.ca.gov/2015-16/pdf/BudgetSummary/Kthru12Education.pdf)

<sup>10</sup> Tawnia Starr, personal communication, March 9, 2015.

least 36.6% above existing amounts. However, the flaw in this proposal is that it does not account for increases in property value or total assessed property valuation in California since 2000. (See Section 5 of this report for background on tax and debt limits.)

## ***2. Expand Allowable Uses of Routine Restricted Maintenance Funding***

*Current law requires schools to deposit a percentage of their general fund expenditures into a restricted account for use in maintaining their facilities. Rather than requiring that these funds be used solely for routine maintenance, districts should have the ability to pool these funds over multiple years for modernization and new construction projects. Expanding the use of these funds will provide school districts with yet another funding stream to maintain, modernize, and construct new facilities.*

This proposal injects a bit of “pay-as-you-go” from district general funds into educational facilities construction — a departure from the bond debt financing that has driven school construction since the enactment of Senate Bill 50, the Leroy F. Greene School Facilities Act of 1998.<sup>11</sup>

## ***3. Target State Funding for Districts Most in Need***

*State funding for a new program should be targeted in a way that: (1) limits eligibility to districts with such low per-student assessed value they cannot issue bonds at the local level in amounts that allow them to meet student needs, (2) prioritizes funding for health and safety and severe overcrowding projects, and (3) establishes a sliding scale to determine the state share of project costs based on local capacity to finance projects.*

This recommendation is based on the perception that the current first-come, first-served funding system allows certain school and college districts to win a disproportionate amount of state matching grants at the expense of other districts that may have a more legitimate need but lack the resources and wherewithal to take advantage of opportunities.

Finally, the list of recommendations concludes with a message:

...it is the intent of the Administration to advance the dialogue on the future of school facilities funding. School districts and developers should have a clear understanding of which limited circumstances will qualify for state assistance. Over the course of the coming months, the Administration is prepared to engage with the Legislature and education stakeholders to shape a future state program that is focused on districts with the greatest need, while

---

<sup>11</sup> “SB 50 - Chaptered. Leroy F. Greene School Facilities Act of 1998: Class Size Reduction - Kindergarten University Public Education Facilities Bond Act of 1998,” Official California Legislative Information, August 27, 1998, accessed June 28, 2015, [www.leginfo.ca.gov/pub/97-98/bill/sen/sb\\_0001-0050/sb\\_50\\_bill\\_19980827\\_chaptered.html](http://www.leginfo.ca.gov/pub/97-98/bill/sen/sb_0001-0050/sb_50_bill_19980827_chaptered.html)

providing substantial new flexibility for local districts to raise the necessary resources for school facilities needs.

These proposals are not new ideas. A 2003 report from the Public Policy Institute of California<sup>12</sup> analyzed school bond measures and identified disparities among districts based on wealth and region. In response to these findings, the report suggested raising state debt limits for bond measures to reduce the impact of changes in assessed property valuation. It also recommended adoption of a plan that would give deserving school and college districts access to state construction funds without having to match these grants with local funding.

### **State Legislative Initiatives**

The stage is set for change in California school construction financing. Subsequent to the release of the proposed budget from the Governor, state legislators introduced bills such as Senate Bill 114<sup>13</sup> and Assembly Bill 148.<sup>14</sup> These bills would make some mild changes to the state's school construction program, while at the same time placing a statewide bond measure on the November 2016 ballot to borrow money (for a yet unidentified amount) via bond sales for school construction.

The author of Senate Bill 114 explained the purpose of the bill:

Funding for the School Facilities Program is virtually gone and there is a backlog in applications for state assistance...while the state's growing debt service is of concern, it is unclear whether local districts have the capacity to generate sufficient revenue at the local level to meet their specific facility needs. The "winding down" of the current program, and the Governor's call for change, present an opportunity to rethink the administrative and programmatic structure of the State Facilities Program...<sup>15</sup>

Supporting one or both of these bills are the California School Boards Association, the California Faculty Association, the California Association of School Business Officials, the American

---

<sup>12</sup> "Fiscal Effects of Voter Approval Requirements on Local Governments," Public Policy Institute of California, January 27, 2003, accessed June 28, 2015, [www.ppic.org/content/pubs/report/R\\_103KRR.pdf](http://www.ppic.org/content/pubs/report/R_103KRR.pdf)

<sup>13</sup> "Text - SB 114 Education Facilities: Kindergarten Through Grade 12 Public Education Facilities Bond Act of 2016," California Legislative Information, June 3, 2015, accessed June 28, 2015, [leginfo.ca.gov/faces/billNavClient.xhtml?bill\\_id=201520160SB114&search\\_keywords=](http://leginfo.ca.gov/faces/billNavClient.xhtml?bill_id=201520160SB114&search_keywords=)

<sup>14</sup> "Text - AB 148 School Facilities: K-14 School Investment Bond Act of 2016," California Legislative Information, May 6, 2015, accessed June 28, 2015, [leginfo.ca.gov/faces/billNavClient.xhtml?bill\\_id=201520160SB114&search\\_keywords=](http://leginfo.ca.gov/faces/billNavClient.xhtml?bill_id=201520160SB114&search_keywords=)

<sup>15</sup> "Senate Education Committee Legislative Analysis - AB 148 School Facilities: K-14 School Investment Bond Act of 2016," California Legislative Information, March 25, 2015, accessed June 28, 2015, [http://leginfo.ca.gov/faces/billAnalysisClient.xhtml?bill\\_id=201520160SB114#](http://leginfo.ca.gov/faces/billAnalysisClient.xhtml?bill_id=201520160SB114#)

Federation of State, County, and Municipal Employees union (AFSCME); the Los Angeles Unified School District, and the Riverside County Superintendent of Schools. Further debate will reveal if these groups are willing to withhold potential objections to some of the Department of Finance proposed changes to educational construction finance in exchange for having another statewide bond measure on the 2016 Presidential general election ballot.

No formal opposition to these bills has yet emerged, but at this time the bills are just a frame, to be expanded with more detailed proposals.

**Table 2: Local Educational Bond Measures Considered by California Voters After Passage of Proposition 39 in November 2000**

Number on Ballot	<b>1147</b>
Number Approved	<b>911</b>
Number Rejected	<b>236</b>
Percentage Approved	<b>79.42%</b>
Percentage Rejected	<b>20.58%</b>
Amount Proposed to Authorize	<b>\$124,350,056,744</b>
Amount Proposed to Authorize (including 16 reauthorizations)	<b>\$125,080,421,744</b>
Amount Authorized	<b>\$109,620,418,737</b>
Amount Authorized (including 16 reauthorizations)	<b>\$110,350,783,737</b>
Amount Rejected	<b>\$14,729,638,007</b>
Percentage of Authority Approved (including 16 reauthorizations)	<b>88.22%</b>
Percentage of Authority Rejected (including 16 reauthorizations)	<b>11.78%</b>
Amount Authorized Through Three Statewide Bond Measures	<b>\$35,766,000,000</b>
Total Amount Proposed to Authorize (State and Local Bond Measures)	<b>\$160,116,056,744</b>
Total Amount Proposed to Authorize (State and Local Bond Measures) (including 16 reauthorizations)	<b>\$160,846,421,744</b>
Total Amount Authorized (State and Local Bond Measures) (including 16 reauthorizations)	<b>\$146,116,783,737</b>

### 3. Quantifying California's Educational Construction Debt

Whatever voters are asked to approve in 2016 will not launch a new program to fix long-neglected schools to serve a rapidly expanding state population while providing smaller class sizes. That thinking is a legacy of the 1990s that seems to endure today despite 14 years of most bond measures passing at a 55 percent threshold for voter approval. Arguments for another state bond measure in 2016 ignore or downplay how local school and college districts and the state obtained authority in the past 14 years to borrow \$146.1 billion for educational construction.

If voters are not told or reminded of recent borrowing patterns, how can they make an informed decision on future borrowing? To rectify the lack of availability of statistics on total bond debt in California for educational facility construction, the California Policy Center collected, synthesized, and analyzed data regarding California educational construction finance. The California Policy Center believes it is the first and only entity to painstakingly research and present an accurate and comprehensive record of all state and local educational construction bond measures considered by voters from 2001 through 2014.

#### How Did It Become So Easy to Pass Bond Measures?

A new era of generous borrowing for educational construction in California was inaugurated by the enactment of Proposition 39.<sup>16</sup> Approved by 53.4% of voters in the November 7, 2000 election, it reduced the voter approval threshold for most educational construction bond measures from two-thirds to 55 percent. (Because the measure imposes restrictions on districts using the new 55 percent threshold, a minority of districts have continued to propose measures requiring a two-thirds vote.)

This lowered obstacle apparently encouraged local educational districts to take the risk of proposing many more bond measures at much higher amounts for voters to approve. As shown in Tables 3 and 4, dropping the voter threshold from 66.67% to 55% transformed the approval of educational bond measures from a 50-50 chance to a commonplace outcome.

As shown in Table 5, between now and 2055, California's taxpayers will pay about \$200 billion in principal and interest payments to investors who have bought bonds issued by the state and by local educational districts in order to get funding for facility construction.

#### How Was Debt Service Determined?

Calculating the debt service on all educational construction bonds approved by California voters since 1987 would be an especially challenging and time-consuming task because of inaccessibility of older records. However, over many days of tedious research, California Policy

---

<sup>16</sup> "Proposition 39," California Secretary of State, November 7, 2000, accessed June 28, 2015, [vig.cdn.sos.ca.gov/2000/general/pdf/39.pdf](http://vig.cdn.sos.ca.gov/2000/general/pdf/39.pdf)

**Table 3: Local Educational Bond Measures Considered by California Voters After Passage of Proposition 39 in November 2000**

	<b>55% Approval</b>	<b>Two-Thirds Approval</b>	<b>Total</b>
Number on Ballot	<b>1037</b>	<b>110</b>	<b>1147</b>
Number Approved	<b>857</b>	<b>54</b>	<b>911</b>
Number Rejected	<b>180</b>	<b>56</b>	<b>236</b>
Percentage Approved	<b>82.64%</b>	<b>49.09%</b>	<b>79.42%</b>
Percentage Rejected	<b>17.36%</b>	<b>50.91%</b>	<b>20.58%</b>

**Table 4: Local Educational Bond Measures: Results If Proposition 39 Wasn't Law**

	<b>Under Prop 39 (55% and 2/3)</b>	<b>If Prop 39 Wasn't Enacted (2/3)</b>
Total Number of Bond Measures on Ballot	<b>1147</b>	<b>1147</b>
Number of Bond Measures Approved	<b>911</b>	<b>423</b>
Percentage of Bond Measures Approved	<b>79.42%</b>	<b>36.88%</b>
Total Amount Authorized to Borrow (includes reauthorizations)	<b>\$125,080,421,744</b>	<b>\$52,712,273,012</b>
Percentage of Authorization Amount Approved	<b>88.22%</b>	<b>42.15%</b>

**Table 5: Total Amount of Debt Service for Educational Facility Construction**

Amount for 642 School and College Districts for Which Voters Approved Bond Measures Since Proposition 39 Passed in 2000	<b>\$136,867,456,924</b>
Amount for Three Bond Measures That Voters Approved for State of California Since Proposition 39 Passed in 2000	<b>\$56,668,673,695</b>
Estimate for Several Dozen School Districts Where Voters Approved Bond Measures Only Before Enactment of Proposition 39 or Lack Data	<b>\$2,000,000,000</b>
Estimated Amount for Several Bond Measures That Voters Approved for State of California Before Proposition 39 Passed in 2000	<b>\$4,500,000,000</b>
Approximate Total	<b>\$200,000,000,000</b>

Center researchers identified, calculated, and tallied aggregate debt service for almost all of the 642 California local educational districts in which voters approved borrowing money for construction through bond sales after the election of November 7, 2000. On that date, California voters approved Proposition 39 and reduced the threshold for voter approval of most bond measures for construction from two-thirds to 55 percent.

This debt service data was obtained using tables included in about 650 “Official Statements” posted on a publicly-accessible and free-to-use Electronic Municipal Market Access (EMMA) website<sup>17</sup> administered by the Municipal Securities Rulemaking Board (MSRB).

What are these statements?

Federal law generally requires underwriters in a primary offering of municipal bonds of \$1 million or more to obtain and review an Official Statement from the issuer of those bonds. (Many smaller bond offerings also have Official Statements.) In a dense report of more than 200 pages, these statements disclose financial information meant to inform a potential buyer and reduce the chance of “fraudulent, deceptive, or manipulative acts or practices.”

Official Statements include a chart that indicates how much aggregate principal and interest the issuer of the bonds would owe each year if the bonds weren't refunded (“called in” or redeemed so that new bonds can be issued at a lower interest rate)

or paid off early. California Policy Center researchers entered each district name into the EMMA system, identified the most recent bond offering or bond refunding from the list of bond issues, downloaded the associated Official Statement, located the aggregate debt service chart, and calculated the total debt service for 2015 and/or later years.

*Aggregate General Obligation Bond Debt Service Schedule. The following table shows combined annual debt service for outstanding general obligation bonds of the District (assuming no optional redemptions). See also Appendix B under the heading "Existing Debt Obligations."*

**MCKINLEYVILLE UNION SCHOOL DISTRICT**  
**Annual Debt Service for Outstanding General Obligation Bonds**

Period Ending (August 1)	Series A Bonds	Series B Bonds	2014 Refunding GO Bonds	Total
2015	\$447,587.50	--	--	\$447,587.50
2016	470,150.00	--	--	470,150.00
2017	491,650.00	--	--	491,650.00
2018	511,962.50	--	--	511,962.50
2019	460,962.50	\$ 185,000.00	--	645,962.50
2020	431,762.50	265,000.00	--	696,762.50
2021	513,562.50	235,000.00	--	748,562.50
2022	541,550.00	267,900.00	--	809,450.00
2023	567,050.00	302,900.00	--	869,950.00
2024	600,300.00	337,900.00	--	938,200.00
2025	626,300.00	382,900.00	--	1,009,200.00
2026	660,300.00	427,900.00	--	1,088,200.00
2027	701,800.00	470,343.76	--	1,172,143.76
2028	736,000.00	525,343.76	--	1,261,343.76
2029	771,800.00	585,343.76	--	1,356,943.76
2030	858,300.00	605,343.76	--	1,463,643.76
2031	742,800.00	830,343.76	--	1,573,143.76
2032	1,006,800.00	690,343.76	--	1,697,143.76
2033	932,800.00	895,343.76	--	1,828,143.76
2034	--	1,965,343.76	--	1,965,343.76
2035	--	2,120,343.76	\$1,640,000.00	3,760,343.76
2036	--	2,280,343.76	1,800,000.00	4,080,343.76
2037	--	2,460,117.16	1,980,000.00	4,440,117.16
2038	--	2,646,622.81	2,165,000.00	4,811,622.81
2039	--	2,850,343.76	1,550,000.00	4,400,343.76
2040	--	3,071,712.50	--	3,071,712.50
2041	--	3,307,845.00	--	3,307,845.00
2042	--	3,563,817.35	3,550,000.00	7,113,817.35
2043	--	3,838,486.45	3,825,000.00	7,663,486.45
2044	--	4,129,872.00	4,115,000.00	8,244,872.00
2045	--	4,447,799.60	4,431,970.40	8,879,770.00
2046	--	4,790,000.00	4,773,787.20	9,563,787.20
2047	--	5,159,796.10	2,020,000.00	7,179,796.10
2048	--	5,557,163.15	--	5,557,163.15
2049	--	5,984,817.75	--	5,984,817.75
2050	--	6,445,000.00	--	6,445,000.00
<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>\$12,073,237.50</b>	<b>\$71,626,331.23</b>	<b>\$31,850,757.60</b>	<b>\$115,550,326.33</b>

<sup>17</sup> <http://emma.msrb.org>

Using these Official Statements to extract data required diligence. Firms that produce the statements do not use a specific standard format, so the aggregate debt service table appears in different places. Tables differ in title, format, or details of content. Older Official Statements are not optimized for word searches. A few tables do not total up the annual debt service, thus forcing the user to convert the table into a spreadsheet and calculate the total using a formula. A handful of Official Statements outright lacked aggregate debt service tables.

Tables may even contain erroneous data. After some confusion, researchers realized that an Official Statement for the Napa Valley Unified School District contained major errors.<sup>18</sup> It indicated total debt service as \$77 million instead of the actual \$665 million and also indicated a November 5, 2002 bond measure as authorizing \$219 million instead of the actual \$95 million. This was an unfortunate district to have an erroneous Official Statement: a *California Watch* article published in the *San Francisco Chronicle*<sup>19</sup> just three months before the Official Statement was posted identified the Napa Valley Unified School District as a district where taxpayers will eventually “pay dearly for bonds.” In 2009 it borrowed \$22 million through Capital Appreciation Bond sales that will cost \$154 million by the time the last bonds in the series mature forty years later, in 2049.

Researchers also had to be cautious about accurately identifying school districts with similar names. For example, Central, Oak Grove, and Columbia are words shared by more than one school district. And “College School District” in Santa Barbara County is not a community college district. Some of the inconsistencies found in cross-referencing various sources for bond measure data seem to be a result of misidentifying districts with similar-sounding names.

Even after these challenges were overcome, researchers recognized that the list of debt service for school and college districts needs to be considered with some caveats. (Table 6 is “Cautionary Considerations When Evaluating Current Debt Service Data for School and College Districts.”) Researchers are also aware of arguments that debt service — even when considered with other financial data — is not always a useful way to assess whether or not school or college districts have been irresponsible in their choices for debt finance of facilities construction. A few of those arguments are listed below in Table 7.

Despite these potential limitations, aggregate debt service amounts available through Official Statements posted on EMMA provide new insight into the long term debt obligations owed by California local educational districts for facilities construction. This data set represents a major advance in informing Californians about the tremendous debt accumulated by educational districts that borrow money for school construction by selling bonds.

---

<sup>18</sup> “Napa Valley Unified School District,” Electronic Municipal Market Access (EMMA), May 9, 2013, accessed June 28, 2015, <http://emma.msrb.org/EA524107-EA408291-EA805228.pdf>

<sup>19</sup> “School Districts Pay Dearly for Bonds,” *San Francisco Chronicle*, January 31, 2013, accessed June 28, 2015, [www.sfgate.com/education/article/School-districts-pay-dearly-for-bonds-4237868.php](http://www.sfgate.com/education/article/School-districts-pay-dearly-for-bonds-4237868.php)

**Table 6: Cautionary Considerations When Evaluating Current Debt Service Data for School and College Districts**

1	For some school or college districts, debt service may be relatively low compared to the total amount authorized to borrow because those districts haven't issued all of the bonds (or any of the bonds) yet. When those districts sell all of the bonds in the amount authorized by voters, debt service will be higher.
2	An educational district in a wealthy area can have high debt service but also have high and stable total assessed property value. That high debt service may be inappropriate, but it is not as risky as the same debt service in a less affluent district with unstable property values and an uncertain economic future.
3	Some California educational districts do not have debt service listed in the appendices because they recently sold bonds through “private placement.” These transactions do not require Official Statements to be posted on EMMA. Without an Official Statement, long term debt obligation from bonds is more difficult to obtain. And when obtained through annual financial reports, that number may be outdated compared to information available in an Official Statement.
4	<p>The appendices indicate all aggregate debt service for 642 districts in which voters approved bond sales since Proposition 39 was enacted in 2000. This means there may be some distortions when comparing data, for the following reasons:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Aggregate debt service listed for districts may originate from bond measures approved by two-thirds of voters as far back as 1987 and up through November 7, 2000. This means that debt service for some districts may appear disproportionately high relative to the amount authorized by voters to borrow from 2001 through 2014.</li> <li>2. There are a handful of districts that have current debt service resulting from bond measures approved in 2000 or earlier but have not asked voters to authorize additional borrowing since the November 7, 2000 election. That debt service is not included in the grand total reported here.</li> <li>3. Likewise, California voters approved several ballot propositions before Proposition 39 was enacted in 2000, including a \$9.2 billion bond measure passed in 1998 that included \$6.7 billion for K-12 school districts and \$2.5 billion collectively for community college districts and the California State University and the University of California campuses.</li> </ol>
5	Several K-12 school districts have merged in the past 15 years. Some Official Statements segregate debt service for the districts before they merged, and some combine the debt service.

**Table 6: Cautionary Considerations When Evaluating Current Debt Service Data for School and College Districts**

6	Several community college district and K-12 school districts have created “School Facilities Improvement Districts” carved out from the complete jurisdiction of the districts. Some Official Statements segregate debt service for these sub-districts, and some combine the debt service for the sub-districts with the debt service for the complete district.
7	Debt service tables in Official Statements do not account for Bond Anticipation Notes, Certificates of Participation, lease revenue bonds, and other ways that educational districts borrow money.
8	Community Facilities Districts funded by Mello-Roos bonds are not included in Official Statements.

**Table 7: Why Some Analysts Downplay Debt Service Data**

1	Many educational districts are currently redeeming or “calling in” existing bonds and issuing “refunding” bonds at a lower interest rate. Even after accounting for issuance fees, this procedure reduces debt service and saves money for taxpayers. The process is similar to “refinancing” a mortgage. As long as interest rates remain low, school districts can regularly continue to issue refunding bonds, and therefore the amount that taxpayers will end up paying is somewhat less than what is listed for the current debt service.
2	Some school districts have decades (up to 40 years) to pay off all the debt from bond sales. During that time there will likely be overall trends of steady monetary inflation and substantial increase in total assessed property value, thus mitigating the effect of debt on property owners and educational districts.
3	Borrowing a lot of money now in response to currently low interest rates is wise financial management, and therefore debt service is relatively unimportant to consider at this time. This is the best time to borrow and build, and the best time to pay back the bonds will come later.

## 4. How Educational Districts Acquire and Manage Debt

It's likely that most California voters have limited familiarity with the organization and governance of their local school and community college districts. When voters authorize their local educational districts to borrow money for construction by selling bonds, presumably they trust that the local school or college district will exercise prudence in managing the process.

Sometimes their trust is betrayed.

To discourage abuse of the school construction finance system, voters need to be aware of how their local government is organized and managed. They also need to realize that state law does not explicitly give Independent Citizens' Bond Oversight Committees broad authority to review construction programs funded by bond measures.

How can voters become informed about bonds and the process of borrowing money for educational construction through bond sales? Is there a way to explain in clear plain language what actually happens after voters approve a bond measure and authorize a school or college district to borrow money via bond sales?

### **Bonds Help Local Governments Borrow Money to Better Serve the People**

When people talk about municipal securities or municipal bonds, they're talking about state governments or local governments borrowing money from investors with the promise to pay it back to them later, with interest. Municipal (derived from the Latin word *municipium*, meaning a free city) simply means a local government, such as a county, city, water district, sanitation district, irrigation district, utility district, transportation district, cemetery district, mosquito vector district, and many other kinds of special districts formed by the people to serve the people. And it includes school districts and community college districts.

Despite a lack of public attention to bonds, this method of debt finance is important, especially for governments such as California's school districts and community college districts that want to initiate or continue major construction programs. U.S. Securities and Exchange Commissioner Luis A. Aguilar recently described the importance of municipal bonds:

It is difficult to overstate the importance of the municipal securities market. There is perhaps no other market that so profoundly influences the quality of our daily lives. Municipal securities provide financing to build and maintain schools, hospitals, and utilities, as well as the roads and other basic infrastructure that enable our economy to flourish. Municipal bonds' tax-free status also makes them an important investment vehicle for individual investors, particularly retirees. Ensuring the existence of a vibrant and efficient municipal

bond market is essential, particularly at a time when state and local government budgets remain stretched.<sup>20</sup>

Such comments are appreciated by state and local governments as murmuring continues in Washington, D.C. that income from municipal bonds should lose tax-exempt status.<sup>21</sup>

### **Basic Information About California K-12 School Districts**

In the case of a local elementary school district (kindergarten through eighth grade), high school district (ninth through twelfth grade), or unified school district (kindergarten through twelfth grades), voters elect a board of trustees (often called a “school board” or a “board of education”) to oversee operations of the school district and make major decisions as representatives of the people. The board appoints a District Superintendent and other professional administrators to handle day-to-day management of the district.

In addition, each county has an elected County Board of Education and an elected County Superintendent of Schools with specific responsibilities. There is also a State Board of Education appointed by state elected officials to oversee education policies that are common for all school districts in the state. There is also a State Superintendent of Schools elected by the people of California.

As of June 15, 2015 the California State Department of Education is apparently tracking enrollment for 945 elementary school districts, high school districts, and unified school districts in California. Table A-1 (“California K-12 School Districts 2013-2014 - Ranked by Enrollment”) lists these districts.

### **Basic Information About California Community College Districts**

In the case of a local community college district, voters elect a Board of Trustees (often called a “college board” or a “governing board”) to make decisions for the college district as representatives of the people. There is also a Board of Governors of the California Community Colleges appointed by state elected officials to oversee education policies that are common for all college districts in the state. The Board of Governors appoints a Chancellor of the California Community Colleges and other professional administrators to handle day-to-day management of the state college system.

---

<sup>20</sup> “Statement on Making the Municipal Securities Market More Transparent, Liquid, and Fair” U.S. Securities and Exchange Commission, February 13, 2015, accessed June 28, 2015, [www.sec.gov/news/statement/making-municipal-securities-market-more-transparent-liquid-fair.html](http://www.sec.gov/news/statement/making-municipal-securities-market-more-transparent-liquid-fair.html)

<sup>21</sup> “Letters to Congress/Administration,” National Association of Bond Lawyers, accessed June 28, 2015, <http://registration.nabl.org/about/Governmental-Affairs/Tax-Reform-Resources/Letters-to-Congress-Administration.html>

Boards for the University of California and California State University systems are appointed by state elected officials and not directly chosen by the people.

As of June 15, 2015 there are 72 community college districts in California with 112 colleges. (Some districts contain multiple colleges.) Table A-2 (“California Community College District Enrollment Fall 2014 - Ranked by Number of Students”) lists these districts.

### **What Are the Independent Citizens’ Bond Oversight Committees?**

To strengthen the arguments for Proposition 39 in 2000, the California legislature passed Assembly Bill 1908, the “Strict Accountability in Local School Construction Bonds Act of 2000,” with these stated intentions:

1. Vigorous efforts will be undertaken to ensure that school and college districts spend the proceeds of bond measures, including those passed under criteria of Proposition 39, in strict conformity to law.
2. Taxpayers will directly participate in the oversight of bond expenditures.
3. Members of the oversight committees appointed for these purposes will promptly alert the public to any waste or improper spending of money borrowed through bond sales.
4. Unauthorized expenditures of school construction bond revenues will be vigorously investigated, prosecuted, and restrained by the courts.

A school or college district board must appoint an independent citizens' bond oversight committee with 60 days after the board enters the election results in its minutes. The committee must include at least seven members to serve for a term of two years and for no more than two consecutive terms. District employees, officials, vendors, contractors, or consultants are prohibited from serving on the committee, and it must include at least one “active” representative of the following groups:

1. a business organization, located within the district, representing the business community
2. a senior citizens' organization
3. a bona fide taxpayers’ organization
4. for a school district: parents or guardians of children enrolled in the district
5. for a school district: parents or guardians of children enrolled in the district who are also active in a parent-teacher organization, such as the Parent Teacher Association or school site council
6. for a community college district: students who are currently enrolled in the district and also active in a community college group, such as student government
7. for a community college district: organizational support groups of the district, such as advisory councils or foundations

These committees have several responsibilities listed in state law meant to ensure the district spends bond proceeds only on projects listed in the ballot statement and avoids spending bond

proceeds on ineligible projects, programs, or “teacher or administrative salaries or other school operating expenses.” State law also assigns these committees to review “efforts by the school district or community college district to maximize bond revenues by implementing cost-saving measures.”

The committee does NOT have an explicit oversight role for how the district pays for these construction projects, and a narrow interpretation of the law could claim that oversight committees do not have legal authority to review bond sales. However, the California League of Bond Oversight Committees (CalBOC) believes these committees have the authority to review and comment on the structure of bond issues under the provisions for reviewing “cost-savings” measures. Districts often defer to legal counsel for interpretations of the responsibilities and limitations of oversight committees.

### **A Private Organization Has Taken Responsibility for Independent Citizens’ Bond Oversight Committees**

Currently a private organization is providing services and advice to oversight committees. The California League of Bond Oversight Committees (CalBOC),<sup>22</sup> founded in 2006, is a non-profit public service organization that filled a need for training, education, and legislative advocacy for the state’s bond oversight committees.<sup>23</sup>

This arrangement has shortcomings. A private organization is dependent on voluntary financial contributions and a committed volunteer leadership, and it lacks power to take action against educational districts that fail to comply with state laws. Membership and involvement is dependent on the motivations and self-initiative of individual bond oversight committee members. CalBOC does not have any professional staff to monitor districts, collect data, and provide it to the public.

In addition, school districts can discourage oversight committee members from participating in the California League of Bond Oversight Committees, and some school district administrators openly disparage it. Some district administrators and legal counsel don’t want oversight committees interpreting their purpose broadly and consuming district staff time and district funds on investigations outside of a narrowly-defined purview.

### **Translating School Finance Decisions For Ordinary People to Understand**

For many Americans, the phrase “stocks and bonds” evokes the image of an established and wealthy investor. It’s likely that few Americans could explain that someone who buys a stock

---

<sup>22</sup> [www.calboc.org](http://www.calboc.org)

<sup>23</sup> The author of this report has been and continues to be a member of the Advisory Committee for the California League of Bond Oversight Committees (CalBOC).

becomes an owner of a corporation, while someone who buys a bond becomes a creditor who is owed money by a corporation or a government.

The lack of public awareness or knowledge about bonds may be attributable to the complex provisions of certain bonds and the fact that bonds typically do not offer the very large potential returns offered by equity in growing firms.

Bonds rarely get news media attention outside of a few financial wire services such as Bloomberg, Reuters (which had a “MuniLand” blogger),<sup>24</sup> and specialty publications such as *The Bond Buyer*.<sup>25</sup> And in popular culture, depictions of bond brokers have been mainly limited to two books by Tom Wolfe: *The Bonfire of the Vanities* (subsequently made into a movie) and *I am Charlotte Simmons*.

### **What Is a Bond?**

Some technical definitions of a bond are listed in Table 10. But rather than focusing on the definition of a bond, Californians need to focus on what a bond does in practice.

For a school or community college district, issuing (“selling”) bonds means the district borrows money for a specific length of time from investors with the obligation to return all of that money to them when that time period ends. The amount borrowed is called the principal.

During that length of time the district pays a fee to the investors, either on a regular basis (for Current Interest Bonds) or accumulated with compounded interest at the end of the time period (for Capital Appreciation Bonds). The amount paid is called interest.

The term of maturity between borrowing the money and paying back the money with interest can be one to three years (short-term bonds) or decades (long-term bonds). Under California law, a school district or community college district cannot issue a current interest bond with a maturity over 40 years. As a result of Assembly Bill 182 enacted in 2013, California local governments are now prohibited from issuing Capital Appreciation Bonds with a maturity over 30 years.

For purposes of using familiar examples to explain the concept from the perspective of the borrower, selling a bond can be loosely compared to taking out a car loan, borrowing money from a bank for college, or getting a mortgage on a house or condominium. This borrowed money is the principal, which must be paid back to the lender over a period of time with interest.

### **What Are “General Obligation Bonds” Referenced in Ballot Language for Bond Measures?**

---

<sup>24</sup> [blogs.reuters.com/muniland/](https://blogs.reuters.com/muniland/)

<sup>25</sup> [www.bondbuyer.com](http://www.bondbuyer.com)

Corporations and state and local governments issue bonds to raise money. Bonds sold by local governments are called municipal bonds. An appealing aspect of many municipal bonds for investors is their tax-exempt status.

Municipal bonds such as those sold by California school districts and community college districts for construction are called general obligation bonds, meaning they are backed by the “full faith and credit” of the districts. These districts theoretically have legislative power to collect enough money through property taxes, other borrowing, selling assets, or other sources of revenue to fulfill their obligation to make payments on the bonds when due. Those taxes are collected from property owners in the district. (Revenue bonds are another kind of municipal bond, paid off through tolls, lease payments, user fees, or other service payments.)

### **Comparing Current Interest Bonds to Capital Appreciation Bonds**

When voters are asked at an election to approve a bond measure to pay for construction at a school district or community college district, they generally have been told that a “Yes” vote will authorize the sale of general obligation bonds to fund that construction.

California educational districts are issuing two kinds of general obligation bonds: Current Interest Bonds and Capital Appreciation Bonds. Usually the district does not tell voters what kind of general obligation bonds it will sell, unless it specifically passes a resolution before the election stating it will not sell Capital Appreciation Bonds and includes that condition in the ballot statement.

#### *1. Current Interest Bonds (also called Fixed Rate Bonds)*

These are the “traditional” kind of municipal bonds. A buyer of Current Interest Bonds gets a periodic interest payment (usually semi-annually). When the bond matures, the buyer gets the principal back.

#### *2. Capital Appreciation Bonds (also called Zero Coupon Bonds)*

A buyer of Capital Appreciation Bonds does not receive semiannual or other periodic interest payments. Instead, the buyer receives all of the interest - compounded over the length of maturity for the bond - together with the principal when the bond matures. There is no regular payment of interest, but the accumulated (“accreted”) interest is compounded over many years, making the wait a worthwhile investment. Capital Appreciation Bonds are purchased at a deeply discounted amount from their face value.

Capital Appreciation Bonds are discussed in more detail in Section 5.

### **Two Costs to Educational Districts of Borrowing Money Via**

#### **Bonds**

From the perspective of the school district, the additional financial cost of borrowing money by selling bonds as opposed to spending money from the district general fund results from (1) interest and (2) transaction fees.

### ***Interest***

If someone borrows \$1000 for five years from a lender at an annual interest rate of 5 percent, the borrower and the lender agree that the borrower will pay back the \$1000 over five years and also pay 5% of that \$1000 (\$50) multiplied by five years for a total of \$1250. The borrower gets the \$1000 immediately to use, and the lender earns annual interest income of \$50 over five years for a total of \$250. Both parties consider themselves to get a benefit from the transaction.

Likewise, if a school district issues a traditional \$1000 Current Interest Bond at an annual interest rate of 5 percent with a five-year term of maturity and an investor buys the bond at its face value of \$1000, the school district gets the \$1000 immediately to use for construction, and the investor earns annual interest income of \$50 over five years for a total of \$250. When the five years are over, the investor gets the \$1000 back. Both parties get a benefit from the transaction. In addition, the investor does not have to pay taxes on the interest.

School districts usually sell series of bonds as a package with different maturities and interest rates.

### ***Transaction Fees (Issuance Fees)***

Bond buyers are not the only party to make money from bonds issued by California school districts and community college districts. Similar to taking out a mortgage, a variety of parties in the financial services industry are involved in the preparation and sale of bonds, and each party gets a fee for participating in the transaction. These fees are classified as “costs of issuance.”

To prevent these fees from cutting into the amount of money authorized by voters for construction, educational districts routinely inflate the interest rates on bonds they sell so that the price is higher than the face value of the bond. After the bonds are sold, that extra money, or “premium,” is used to pay the costs of issuance. This practice may be illegal.

### **How are Municipal Bonds Bought and Sold? Who Buys Them?**

**Table 8: Types of Issuance Fees**

<b>underwriter's discount</b>
<b>bond counsel fees</b>
<b>disclosure counsel fees</b>
<b>paying agent fees</b>
<b>escrow agent fees</b>
<b>rating agency fees</b>
<b>bond insurance fees</b>
<b>verification agent fees</b>
<b>financial advisor fees</b>
<b>printing fees</b>
<b>other miscellaneous expenses</b>

Municipal bonds are not traded on an exchange like stocks. Instead, investors buy and sell bonds “over the counter” through dealers and brokers registered with the Municipal Securities Rulemaking Board (MSRB),<sup>26</sup> a self-regulatory organization overseen by the U.S. Securities and Exchange Commission. These dealers and brokers act as underwriters or intermediaries between issuers and investors. They charge fees, or “mark-ups” for the transactions.

Once a school district sells a bond, the bond can be traded in the municipal bond market. The price will fluctuate and investors will be concerned about yield — the amount of income earned as prices rise and fall.

According to Federal Reserve statistics, individual investors hold a little more than two-thirds of municipal bonds, about 42 percent directly and about 28 percent through mutual funds and other investment vehicles.<sup>27</sup> Major institutional investors include asset management firms, insurance companies, and commercial banks.

One of the arguments to cap or eliminate the federal tax exemption for income from municipal bonds is that the exemption mainly benefits wealthy individuals who buy bonds as a tax-exempt investment. Buyers of municipal bonds do not generally “keep the money in the community” because they aren’t in the community. And they generally do not buy bonds issued by educational districts to “help the children” or “provide vocational training to veterans.” They buy them to make money.

**Table 9: Some Advantages for Investors in Municipal Bonds**

<b>Interest earned on municipal bonds is usually exempt from federal and state income tax.</b>
<b>In the case of general obligation bonds, principal and interest are secured by the full faith and credit of the issuer and usually supported by either the issuer’s taxing power. Despite negative nationwide publicity about a relatively small number of bankrupt local governments (such as the California cities of Vallejo, Stockton, and San Bernardino), a government defaulting on municipal bonds is “extremely infrequent,” according to Moody’s. They are thus a relatively safe investment.</b>
<b>In the case of Current Interest Bonds, investors get a regular interest payment, usually semi-annually. There is a regular, dependable income stream.</b>
<b>In the case of Capital Appreciation Bonds, investors can earn a substantial amount of interest over a long period of time through compounding while still enjoying the relatively safe investment of general obligation bonds.</b>

<sup>26</sup> [www.msrb.org](http://www.msrb.org)

<sup>27</sup> Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System - Data Releases, June 11, 2015, accessed June 28, 2015, [www.federalreserve.gov/releases/z1/current/z1r-4.pdf](http://www.federalreserve.gov/releases/z1/current/z1r-4.pdf)

Ironically, the same Progressive activists who call for higher taxes on the rich also tend to support educational bond measures that help the rich to earn investment income that is tax-free. Forcing the rich to pay taxes on income earned through municipal bonds could collapse the demand for these bonds and make borrowing money for construction a much more expensive proposition for school and college districts.

### **How Do Educational Districts Pay Back Borrowed Principal Plus Interest on Bond Sales?**

People pay back the principal and interest on car loans, school loans, and mortgages using their income. Educational districts pay back the principal and interest on bonds using their “income,” that is, taxes collected from property owners in the district.

After a school district or community college district borrows money by selling bonds for construction, it informs the county auditor and county treasurer/tax collector. Based on the assessments of property value determined by the county assessor, the county treasurer calculates the appropriate tax rate and generates individual tax bills for owners of property such as houses, farms, apartment buildings, commercial buildings, manufacturing facilities, business infrastructure, and undeveloped land. A specific rate and tax for each bond measure is listed on the tax bill.

These taxes are called *ad valorem* taxes. *Ad valorem* is Latin for “according to worth” and indicates that taxes are levied (imposed) on property owners in proportion to the assessed value of their property.

### **Does Renting or Leasing Mean That You Don't Pay for Educational Construction or the Cost of Borrowing Money for It?**

Households that rent property or businesses that lease property do not pay property taxes directly. However, it is not true to claim or think that renters or lessees don't have to pay for educational construction and the costs of borrowing money to pay for that educational construction. Property owners can and do incorporate the cost of their property taxes into their rents or leases. Bond sales by a school or college district may result in higher rent.

**Table 10: Technical Definitions of Bonds**

Source	Definition
California Education Code Section 15140.5 (added to law by <a href="#">Assembly Bill 182</a> in 2013)	Evidence of indebtedness payable, both principal and interest, from the proceeds of <i>ad valorem</i> property taxes that may be levied without limitation as to rate or amount upon property subject to taxation by the governing board of the school district or community college district.
<a href="#">Glossary</a> on the Municipal Securities Rulemaking Board (MSRB) website	The written evidence of debt, which upon presentation entitles the bondholder or owner to a fixed sum of money plus interest. The debt bears a stated rate(s) of interest or states a formula for determining that rate and matures on a date certain.
U.S. Securities and Exchange Commission website <a href="#">definition</a> of municipal bonds	Debt securities issued by states, cities, counties and other governmental entities to finance capital projects, such as building schools, highways or sewer systems, and to fund day-to-day obligations. Investors who buy municipal bonds are in effect lending money to the bond issuer in exchange for a promise of regular interest payments, usually semi-annually, and the return of the original investment, or “principal.” The date when the issuer repays the principal, the bond’s maturity date, may be years in the future. Short-term bonds mature in one to three years, while long-term bonds generally will not mature for more than a decade.
<a href="#">Internal Revenue Service Tax-Exempt Governmental Bonds Compliance Guide</a> description of municipal bonds	Tax-exempt bonds are valid debt obligations of state and local governments, commonly referred to as “issuers” - the interest on which is tax-exempt. This means that the interest paid to bondholders is not includable in their gross income for federal income tax purposes. This tax-exempt status remains throughout the life of the bonds provided that all applicable federal tax laws are satisfied...Governmental bonds are tax-exempt bonds issued by a state or local government, the proceeds of which are generally used to finance activities or facilities owned, operated, or used by that or another government for its own purposes. This can include financing the building, maintenance, or repair of various types of public infrastructure such as highways, schools, fire stations, libraries, or other types of municipal facilities.

## 5. Capital Appreciation Bonds: Disturbing Repayment Terms

In 1993, California law was changed so that school and college districts could use an innovative form of debt finance called zero-coupon bonds, also known as Capital Appreciation Bonds. These bonds allow school and college districts to borrow now for construction and pay it back — with compounded interest — many years later. The borrowing strategy has been a tempting and dangerous lure for elected school and college boards.

Some people think Capital Appreciation Bonds are a “ticking time bomb” or the “creation of a toxic waste dump.” Others regard critics as uninformed and contend that these debt finance instruments are beneficial for school and college districts. Since the people who will be paying off many of these Capital Appreciation Bonds are now children or not even born yet, there isn’t much incentive to stop the flow of borrowed money that doesn’t need to be paid back for a generation or two.

### Capital Appreciation Bonds Get Attention: Some Welcomed It, Some Didn’t

There was a brief time in the last half of 2012 when California news media and even national news media alerted the public to a neglected but long-festering problem involving municipal bonds sold by many California school districts and community college districts. These educational districts chose to borrow money for construction using an unconventional debt finance instrument called a Capital Appreciation Bond.

Capital Appreciation Bonds allow school and college districts to circumvent state laws that limit taxes and debt relative to the total value of property in the districts. But they also subject future generations of Californians to potentially burdensome taxes and debt.

### Explaining and Contrasting Current Interest Bonds and Capital Appreciation Bonds

The traditional Current Interest Bonds (also called Fixed Rate Bonds) are relatively easy to understand. If someone buys a Current Interest Bond and holds it until it matures (reaches the end of its time period for borrowing), that buyer receives interest on a regular basis (usually semi-annually). The buyer gets the original principal paid back when the bond reaches the end of its term of maturity.

Here's an example of how a Current Interest Bond works:

- An entity buys a \$1000 Current Interest Bond issued by a school district at face value (also known as par value) with a 25-year term to maturity at a 2.5 percent interest rate.
- Each year, for 25 years, the buyer gets \$25 in interest from the school district, because 2.5% of \$1000 is \$25.
- When the bond matures, the buyer gets the principal of \$1000 back from the school district.

- The total interest earned over 25 years is \$625, because \$25 times 25 is \$625.
- Although the \$625 is income, the buyer will never have to pay tax on that interest if the bond is tax-exempt, as is typical with municipal bonds.

Obviously the school district must levy taxes on property owners each year throughout the 25-year term to maturity so that it has enough money to pay interest each year (and ultimately pay back the principal at the maturity date).

Capital Appreciation Bonds (also called Zero Coupon Bonds) are more difficult to understand. Someone who buys a Capital Appreciation Bond pays for it at a price deeply discounted from the face value (par value) of the bond. The buyer does not receive interest payments until the bond reaches maturity, at which point the buyer is paid the face value of the bond, which is the deeply-discounted price (the principal) plus all of the interest earned during the term to maturity.

During the term to maturity period of the Capital Appreciation Bond, interest accumulates over time. The interest is compounded, meaning interest for a time period is earned on the original amount of money and also earned on any of the interest that has already been accumulated up to that time period.

Compound interest that accumulates as a Capital Appreciation Bond grows in value is called “accreted interest.” “Accreted” (a word derived from the Latin *accrescere*, to increase) means accumulated over time.

Here's an example of how a Capital Appreciation Bond works:

- An entity buys a \$5000 Capital Appreciation Bond with a 25-year term to maturity at an interest rate of 5 percent.
- The discounted price of the bond is \$1477.
- When the bond matures, the buyer gets \$5000 back from the school district.
- The total earned over 25 years is \$3,523.
- Although the \$3,523 is income, the buyer will never have to pay tax on that interest if the bond is tax-exempt, as is typical with municipal bonds.

The school district benefits because for many years it does not need to levy taxes on property owners in order to make interest payments. It can borrow much more money through bond sales without being restricted by tax and debt limits established in state law. The community can enjoy the benefits of the bond sales without having to pay for them — at least for a while.

And although the buyer does not get a regular interest payment, the accumulated (“accreted”) interest is compounded over many years, making the wait a worthwhile investment. The cliché about “the power of compound interest” for an investor is accurate. See how much you can earn for various amounts at various rates using the U.S. Securities and Exchange Commission

Compound Interest Calculator at <http://www.investor.gov/tools/calculators/compound-interest-calculator>

### **Why Did Capital Appreciation Bonds Become Popular?**

When news media alerted the public in 2012 about the 2011 Poway Unified School District debt financing arrangement, most reports insinuated that limits on taxes and debt established by the legislature in 2000 in conjunction with Proposition 39 had forced schools and community colleges to borrow money by selling Capital Appreciation Bonds. Allegedly these limits were constraining school and college districts from implementing necessary construction programs at a time of plummeting property values. Educational districts saw Capital Appreciation Bonds as the only debt financing option available to alleviate school overcrowding and ensure children's safety.

But in reality, Capital Appreciation Bonds have been a component of bond issues by California educational districts for over twenty years. Signed into law in 1993, Senate Bill 872<sup>28</sup> authorized school and college districts to sell them. Voters in the Windsor Unified School District approved a bond measure on April 12, 1994, and the district proceeded to sell \$5,054,761 in Capital Appreciation Bonds in its first series of bond sales. The Old Adobe Unified School District and the Oakland Unified School District soon followed.

### **Capital Appreciation Bond Origins**

The first sentence in a 1982 article in the *New York Times*<sup>29</sup> declared, "Give Wall Street a headache like double-digit interest rates, and someone will invent an aspirin like the zero-coupon bond." According to this article, in 1981 J.C. Penney became the first corporation to issue Capital Appreciation Bonds. In 1982, E.F. Hutton became the first bond broker to underwrite Capital Appreciation Bonds for municipal governments.

A survey of news coverage on Capital Appreciation Bonds during the 1980s reveals that the focus of journalistic concern for this new form of municipal debt finance was the risk to investors. Needless to say, Capital Appreciation Bonds endured past the era of high interest rates, and the aspirin for investors became a headache for taxpayers.

### **Who Buys Capital Appreciation Bonds?**

---

<sup>28</sup> "Text - SB 872 Local Agencies: General Obligation Bonds," California Legislative Information, October 6, 1993, accessed June 28, 2015, [www.leginfo.ca.gov/pub/93-94/bill/sen/sb\\_0851-0900/sb\\_872\\_bill\\_931006\\_chaptered](http://www.leginfo.ca.gov/pub/93-94/bill/sen/sb_0851-0900/sb_872_bill_931006_chaptered)

<sup>29</sup> "Market Place; Zero-Coupon Municipals," *New York Times*, March 21, 1982, accessed June 28, 2015, [www.nytimes.com/1982/03/31/business/market-place-zero-coupon-municipals.html](http://www.nytimes.com/1982/03/31/business/market-place-zero-coupon-municipals.html)

Capital Appreciation Bonds are not necessarily a wise decision for an investor, so who sees an investment advantage in buying them? James Estes, Professor of Finance at California State University, San Bernardino tried to answer this question and reported the results of his investigation in a 2013 paper.<sup>30</sup> After observing that Charles Schwab & Co, Inc. does not offer or sell Capital Appreciation Bonds, he contacted twelve companies that offer municipal bond funds. All twelve claimed they don't market funds featuring Capital Appreciation Bonds. Company representatives told Estes that Capital Appreciation Bonds were undesirable to their investors because of their lack of current interest payments, their poor yield, and their high risk.

Estes also investigated rumors on the web that CalPERS might be holding many municipal Capital Appreciation Bonds. CalPERS spokesperson Danny Brown denied that CalPERS holds them and cited their risk. Finally, Estes mentions the claim of a finance reporter that international banks hold Capital Appreciation Bonds in a trust administered by Bank of America.

In response to a Twitter inquiry from the author of this report,<sup>31</sup> a former reporter for *Voice of San Diego* tweeted that he never learned who held the district's Capital Appreciation Bonds during his 2½ years reporting on Poway Unified School District's Capital Appreciation Bond fiasco: "The word was that the debt had likely been sold and resold and resold. Also no repository for that info...I always wanted to know."

In 2014, a municipal bond advisor named Dale Scott of Dale Scott & Company<sup>32</sup> presented a plan to Poway Unified School District<sup>33</sup> for the district to buy back some of its Capital Appreciation Bonds using funds from a property tax increase. One challenge for this district is identifying who owns the bonds so offers can be made to buy them back. Scott pointed out that he had managed to find owners of Capital Appreciation Bonds issued by the Stockton Unified School District and buy back about 30 percent of them. According to an August 20, 2014 article in the *San Diego Union-Tribune*,<sup>34</sup> "Scott said there is a myth that capital appreciation bonds are impossible to acquire once they are sold, but the reality is the bond holder may have many reasons for selling bonds that may take decades to mature."

---

<sup>30</sup> "Capital Appreciation Bonds: The Creation of a Toxic Waste Dump in Our Schools," Alpha Wealth Management, April 11, 2013, accessed June 28, 2015, [www.alpha-wealth.com/resources/publications/CAB-Paper.pdf](http://www.alpha-wealth.com/resources/publications/CAB-Paper.pdf)

<sup>31</sup> Kevin Dayton @DaytonPubPolicy, May 9, 2015, accessed June 28, 2015 <https://twitter.com/daytonpubpolicy/status/596934260381978624>

<sup>32</sup> [www.dalescott.com](http://www.dalescott.com)

<sup>33</sup> "Questions & Answers from Capital Appreciation Bond (CAB) Public Forums," Poway Unified School District, August 20, 2014, accessed June 28, 2015, [https://www.powayusd.com/doc\\_library/2014-15/CommunityForumFAQs.pdf](https://www.powayusd.com/doc_library/2014-15/CommunityForumFAQs.pdf)

<sup>34</sup> "Plan Pitched to Lower Poway Bond Debt," *San Diego Union-Tribune*, August 20, 2014, accessed June 28, 2015, [www.utsandiego.com/news/2014/aug/20/poway-plan-bond-debt/](http://www.utsandiego.com/news/2014/aug/20/poway-plan-bond-debt/)

**Table 11: Tax and Debt Limits for Bond Measures Qualifying Under Proposition 39**

Type of Educational District	Tax Limit Under Prop 39	Debt Limit Under Prop 39
Unified School District	<b>0.06% of taxable property value (\$60 per \$100,000)</b>	<b>2.5% of taxable property value</b>
Elementary School District	<b>0.03% of taxable property value (\$30 per \$100,000)</b>	<b>1.25% of taxable property value</b>
High School District	<b>0.03% of taxable property value (\$30 per \$100,000)</b>	<b>1.25% of taxable property value</b>
Community College District	<b>0.025% of taxable property value (\$25 per \$100,000)</b>	<b>2.5% of taxable property value</b>

### **Tax and Debt Limits Meant to Assure Property Owners that a 55% Approval Threshold for School Bond Measures Wouldn't Crush Them**

From a school district's perspective, Capital Appreciation Bonds are attractive because they enable the district to borrow more within its tax and debt limits. California Education Code Sections 15268-15270 sets the current limits. The state has not changed the limits since the enactment of Assembly Bill 1908 in conjunction with Proposition 39 in 2000, although Governor Brown proposed increasing them in his 2015-16 budget. (See Section 2 for background.) Opponents of Proposition 39 in 2000 pointed out in their ballot arguments that these tax and debt limits were not part of the constitutional amendment enacted through Proposition 39 and therefore could be amended or repealed by the state legislature at any time. This is true. Districts can set a lower tax or debt limit in the ballot statement for a bond measure. And K-12 school districts can get waivers from State Board of Education to impose higher tax or debt limits. (See Section 6 for background on waivers.)

### **Tax and Debt Limits Make Funding of Construction Programs Highly Dependent on Assessed Property Valuation**

Because tax and debt limits are based on annual assessed property valuation in a district, the limits change yearly as a reflection of the real estate market. If property values increase compared to the previous year, the amount of money that can be borrowed increases relative to the previous year. If property values decline compared to the previous year, the amount of money that can be borrowed that year decreases. Educational districts hope (and usually project) property value to increase at a respectable rate for many years to come.

If the substantial increase in home prices during the mid-2000s gave school and college districts several years to borrow a lot more than perhaps originally anticipated, the dramatic drop in the following years hindered school and college districts, especially those with ongoing construction programs. From 2007 to 2011, assessed property valuation in some regions of California declined by as much as 50%, especially in exurban areas of California that grew rapidly in population during the 2000s as young families sought home ownership at prices they could afford.

Not surprisingly, these same regions needed new school construction to accommodate the children in these young families. Because of tax and debt limits, educational districts could not raise tax rates or borrow more money using traditional Current Interest Bonds to compensate for the loss in revenue resulting from the decline in property values.

Capital Appreciation Bonds are a clever way to circumvent the debt limits. A school or college district can take on a long-term debt obligation of \$5000 by selling a bond but declare the debt to be \$1300 because the bond was sold at the deeply discounted “principal” of \$1300. And by deferring payment to bond investors until the bonds mature, the district can borrow money without exceeding the tax limit.

### **Hoping for the Best with Capital Appreciation Bonds**

Of course, school districts will eventually have to collect a lot of money through levying taxes on property owners to pay principal and accreted interest to the buyers of Capital Appreciation Bonds. Essentially, Capital Appreciation Bonds represent a district’s gamble that assessed values will climb rapidly enough to produce sufficient tax revenue to allow issuers to pay off the bonds when they become due. If the anticipated increase in assessed property valuation fails to occur during the term of maturity, the district cannot pay principal and interest owed in future years.

There is little political disincentive for elected board members to borrow money today for school construction and impose a commitment on future generations to pay it off in 25, 30, or even 40 years. Only the elected board members of the Poway Unified School District have suffered political consequences from approving this kind of debt finance. But future school and college board members (who are children today) may be unjustly subjected to voter ire when the bill on Capital Appreciation Bonds is finally due.

### **2013: An Incomplete Fix for the Excesses of Capital Appreciation Bonds**

Assembly Bill 182<sup>35</sup> was an attempt to restrain the worst excesses of Capital Appreciation Bonds while still allowing school and college districts to use them as a debt finance tool. It developed

---

<sup>35</sup> “Text - AB 182 Bonds: School Districts and Community College Districts,” California Legislative Information, October 2, 2013, accessed June 28, 2015, [leginfo.ca.gov/faces/billNavClient.xhtml?bill\\_id=201320140AB182&search\\_keywords=](http://leginfo.ca.gov/faces/billNavClient.xhtml?bill_id=201320140AB182&search_keywords=)

out of a proposal from San Diego County Treasurer-Tax Collector Dan McAllister<sup>36</sup> as a response to high-profile Capital Appreciation Bond sales by school districts in his county.

Supporters of this bill were prominent critics of unrestrained Capital Appreciation Bond sales: California State Treasurer Bill Lockyer, the aforementioned San Diego County Treasurer-Tax Collector Dan McAllister, the California Association of County Treasurers and Tax Collectors, the California League of Bond Oversight Committees, the Howard Jarvis Taxpayers Association, and the California Taxpayers Association. Several rural county boards of supervisors supported the bill, as well as the board of supervisors for Contra Costa County, where the West Contra Costa Unified School District, the Mt. Diablo Unified School District, and the Acalanes Union High School District received local attention for risky bond finance schemes, including Capital Appreciation Bonds.

California State Treasurer Bill Lockyer wrote the following in support of Assembly Bill 182:

...many districts face a critical need to build or modernize facilities for their children, and I recognize that falling property tax assessments, revenue losses, and statutory debt service limits have all combined to reduce districts' debt financing options, at least at the present time. However, we cannot continue to use debt financing tools, such as CABs, that force tax payers to pay, at times, more than 10 times the principal to retire these bonds. In too many cases, these transactions have been structured with 40-year terms that delay interest and principal payments for decades, resulting in huge balloon payments. Moreover, school board members and the public have not always been fully informed about the total costs and risks associated with issuing capital appreciation bonds. As a result of such CAB deals and lack of transparency, our future generations in many California school districts will be burdened with heavy taxes for years and years to come.<sup>37</sup>

But there was also significant opposition to the bill from groups heavily involved in promoting bond measures for school construction, including California's Coalition for Adequate School Housing (C.A.S.H.), the Association of California School Administrators, the California Association of School Business Officials, and the Small School Districts' Association. The first legislative analysis written for a committee about AB 182 described the basis for the opposition:

All of the opposition letters submitted to the Committee have an "oppose unless amended" position. Generally, the opposition supports more transparency, but is concerned that the bill will inhibit school districts' ability to secure funding to house students and provide for

---

<sup>36</sup> "Treasury: Capital Appreciation Bonds," San Diego County Treasurer-Tax Collector, accessed June 28, 2015, [www.sdtreastax.com/capital-appreciation-bonds.html](http://www.sdtreastax.com/capital-appreciation-bonds.html)

<sup>37</sup> "AB 182 Bill Analysis - Concurrence in Senate Amendments," Official California Legislative Information, September 5, 2013, accessed June 28, 2015, [www.leginfo.ca.gov/pub/13-14/bill/asm/ab\\_0151-0200/ab\\_182\\_cfa\\_20130905\\_163723\\_asm\\_floor.html](http://www.leginfo.ca.gov/pub/13-14/bill/asm/ab_0151-0200/ab_182_cfa_20130905_163723_asm_floor.html)

**Table 12: Provisions of Assembly Bill 182 (AB 182)**

**The ratio of total debt service to principal for any series of bonds sold shall not exceed four to one (debt service four times greater than principal).**

**If the sale of bonds includes bonds that allow for the compounding of interest, including, but not limited to, Capital Appreciation Bonds, the agenda of the governing board meeting at which the sale will be approved shall include a proposed resolution to approve the sale of Capital Appreciation Bonds. Public notice for the resolution must be on at least two consecutive meeting agendas, first as an information item and second as an action item.**

**The governing board must be presented with the following information:**

- 1. Disclosure of the financing term and time of maturity, repayment ratio, and the estimated change in the assessed value of taxable property within the school district or community college district over the term of the bonds.**
- 2. An analysis containing the total overall cost of the Capital Appreciation Bonds.**
- 3. A comparison to the overall cost of Current Interest Bonds.**
- 4. The reason bonds that allow for the compounding of interest are being recommended.**
- 5. A copy of the disclosure made by the underwriter as required by Rule G-17 of the federal Municipal Securities Rulemaking Board.**

**A Capital Appreciation Bond maturing more than 10 years after being sold must be able to be redeemed before its fixed maturity date, with or without a premium, at any time, or from time to time, at the option of the issuer, beginning no later than the 10th anniversary of the date it was sold.**

renovations as promised to voters through their bond initiatives. While some of the opponents do recognize the need to establish some parameters to prevent extreme CABs, they argue that CABs, if done appropriately and in a limited way, are effective. The requested amendments vary from organization to organization. They include expanding the term of CABs to 30 years, restoring the term of 40 years for CIBs, increasing the total debt service to principal ratio to 6 to 1 and applying the ratio to bond authorization, grandfathering in bonds that are already approved but not issued, and allowing districts to seek a waiver from the SBE to increase the tax rates.<sup>38</sup>

<sup>38</sup> “AB 182 Bill Analysis - Assembly Committee on Education,” Official California Legislative Information, March 20, 2013, accessed June 28, 2015, [www.leginfo.ca.gov/pub/13-14/bill/asm/ab\\_0151-0200/ab\\_182\\_cfa\\_20130318\\_154906\\_asm\\_comm.html](http://www.leginfo.ca.gov/pub/13-14/bill/asm/ab_0151-0200/ab_182_cfa_20130318_154906_asm_comm.html)

In the end, the bill passed the State Senate 36-0 and passed the State Assembly 78-0. Soon after the bill was signed into law, governing boards of school and college districts were already initiating the sale of more Capital Appreciation Bonds under the new guidelines.<sup>39</sup> This method of debt finance for school and college construction is not going away.

### **Case Study: Poway Unified School District's Egregious Debt Finance**

Poway Unified School District created a special School Facilities Improvement District in 2007 and asked voters in February 2008 to authorize \$179 million in bonds to finance capital improvements. The bond measure passed with 63.9% support, as it qualified under Proposition 39 for a 55% voter approval threshold.

As a campaign strategy, the district promised voters that the bond measure would not require a tax increase, supposedly because assessed property values would rise enough over time to bring in more tax revenue and pay off the debt service. To keep this promise, the school board subsequently adopted some excessive debt financing schemes.

From 2008 through 2011, the district borrowed the \$179 million by issuing four series of bonds, including Lease-Revenue Bonds, Bond Anticipation Notes, Current Interest Bonds, and non-callable Capital Appreciation Bonds, with some bonds issued to refund earlier bond issues. It even sold some 40-year bonds at the maximum legally allowed interest rate of 8 percent. It used numerous controversial debt finance practices, such as selling bonds at a 20 percent premium over face value, and ended up incurring issuance fees totaling more than \$6.7 million.

Perhaps people in the Poway Unified School District did not comprehend the dangers at the time, but the school board realized the district was doing something questionable. In 2010 it filed a validation lawsuit to subvert future lawsuits against their next bond finance deal. This provoked a warning letter from the California Attorney General, but in the end no party chose to be a defendant, thus giving the district legal cover to proceed.<sup>40</sup>

Property owners in the School Facilities Improvement District now have the burden of paying \$1.27 billion in debt service through 2051 for the privilege of borrowing \$179 million. Poway Unified School District was described in the news media as having “shot to fame” as a “poster child for an era of reckless and risky school bond borrowing” through bond sales that have

---

<sup>39</sup> “Citrus College OKs Capital Appreciation Bond Issuance,” *San Gabriel Valley Tribune*, May 6, 2014, accessed June 28, 2015, [www.sgvtribune.com/social-affairs/20140506/citrus-college-oks-capital-appreciation-bond-issuance](http://www.sgvtribune.com/social-affairs/20140506/citrus-college-oks-capital-appreciation-bond-issuance)

<sup>40</sup> “Re: *Poway Unified School District v. All Persons Interested* - Superior Court of California, County of San Diego, Case No. 37-2010-00106255-CU- MC-CTLAG,” California Attorney General letter to Poway Unified School District, Orange County Government, March 1, 2011, accessed June 28, 2015, [http://cams.ocgov.com/Web\\_Publisher/Agenda11\\_05\\_2013\\_files/images/ATTORNEY%20GENERAL%20OPINION%20-%20POWAY%20BOND%20PREMIUM\\_9843497.PDF](http://cams.ocgov.com/Web_Publisher/Agenda11_05_2013_files/images/ATTORNEY%20GENERAL%20OPINION%20-%20POWAY%20BOND%20PREMIUM_9843497.PDF)

“reached legendary status.” And it became a rare example of voters making school board members accountable for its decisions on bond finance.

Three of the five board members who voted for the Capital Appreciation Bond deal in 2011 have lost their reelection campaigns, and a fourth chose not to run for re-election. The only remaining board member from 2011 may still be in office because only three candidates (two incumbents and a challenger) ran to fill two seats in the 2012 election. Seeing the popular demand for change, eight candidates ran for three seats in 2014.

### **Michigan Banned Capital Appreciation Bonds When California Legalized Them**

On April 27, 2012, a former reporter for the *Detroit Free Press* newspaper named Joel Thurtell published a post on his blog entitled “Muni Bomb Ticks in California.”<sup>41</sup> Thurtell wrote about the popularity of Capital Appreciation Bond sales by California educational districts. Thurtell revealed that the practice was not new; in fact it was common at Michigan school districts in the late 1980s and early 1990s:

There’s a school bond scandal brewing as California schools load taxpayers with horrendous debt for the next generation of taxpayers. The blight is called CABs — short for Capital Appreciation Bonds. It hit Michigan in 1988. Within four years of the first CAB issue, Michigan public school debt had doubled to reach more than \$4 billion. That was just principal. The interest on the CABs amounted to 200 percent — 300 percent — even 575 percent of principal, depending on the terms of the individual bond issue. Nineteen years ago, I delved into this fascinating but arcane world with its private argot strewn with obscure words like “zeroes” and “basis points” describing fairly simple things in language you need a special dictionary to comprehend. It’s an industry with specialized documents that seem encrypted so that people like you and I will have trouble understanding them.

Thurtell had spent many days of difficult, tedious research at the Michigan State Treasurer's office scrutinizing paper copies of “Official Statements” produced for Michigan school districts. He produced a “Big Chart” that quantified the prevalence of Capital Appreciation Bond sales and accumulated debt service. On April 5, 1993 the *Free Press* published the first of a series of Thurtell's articles about how Michigan school districts were borrowing their money for school construction. The articles changed public policy in Michigan:

Because of my *Free Press* stories...the state Legislature banned future issues of Capital Appreciation Bonds and ordered that future bond issues be competitively bid rather than rigged through a process the underwriters euphemistically termed “negotiation.” It was huge that CABs were banned, because as you will read in these stories, schools were piling up enormous debt to be paid by future taxpayers. Imagine the predicament schools would have

---

<sup>41</sup> “Muni Bomb Ticks in California,” Joel On the Road, April 27, 2012, accessed June 28, 2015, [www.joelontheroad.com/muni-bomb-ticks-in-california/](http://www.joelontheroad.com/muni-bomb-ticks-in-california/)

found themselves in had such debt been allowed to continue accumulating into today's depressed economy. Debt payback was predicated on rosy assumptions called "present value" that predicted large increases in real estate valuation *ad infinitum*.


At the May 2012 annual conference of the California League of Bond Oversight Committees, the Los Angeles County Treasurer-Tax Collector Mark Saladino and Alicia Minyen, a school bond expert and certified fraud examiner, made presentations that included discussion of Capital Appreciation Bonds. Saladino had written a May 16, 2011 white paper about risky municipal debt finance<sup>42</sup> that referenced school districts issuing Capital Appreciation Bonds. Minyen was a prominent critic of a few school districts in Contra Costa County that had issued Capital Appreciation Bonds in irresponsible ways.

Minyen referenced Joel Thurtell's articles and blog posts. The author of this report then wrote articles for his personal blog about the Capital Appreciation Bond presentations, and local taxpayer activists throughout the state began contacting him with questions and concerns about Capital Appreciation Bond sales going on in their local school and college districts. Californians who paid close attention to tax and government finance issues from a critical perspective were confused — and suspicious.

### Graphic Depictions of Poway Unified School District Bond Debt Inspire Limited Reforms

Finally a breakthrough in bringing public awareness to the issue occurred in August 2012, when a journalistic web publication called *Voice of San Diego* published a series of investigative pieces written by reporter Will Carless about the 2011 Poway Unified School District bond sales. The first article hit on August 6, 2012: "Where Borrowing \$105 Million Will Cost \$1 Billion: Poway Schools."<sup>43</sup>


It's possible that *Voice of San Diego* was successful in bringing sudden and dramatic attention to the practice because



<sup>42</sup> "County of Los Angeles: School District General Obligation Bonds - White Paper," Los Angeles County Treasurer and Tax Collector, May 16, 2011, accessed June 28, 2015, <https://ttc.lacounty.gov/Proptax/docs/White%20Paper%20-%20School%20GO%20Bonds.pdf>

<sup>43</sup> "Where Borrowing \$105 Million Will Cost \$1 Billion: Poway Schools," *Voice of San Diego*, August 6, 2012, accessed June 28, 2015, [www.voiceofsandiego.org/investigations/where-borrowing-105-million-will-cost-1-billion-poway-schools/](http://www.voiceofsandiego.org/investigations/where-borrowing-105-million-will-cost-1-billion-poway-schools/)

of the simple and colorful graphics produced by Keegan Kyle and included with the articles. These graphics portrayed the deals in a way much easier to understand than the analytical writing of policy experts.



These articles and the associated graphics were the catalyst for intense statewide public criticism. *Voice of San Diego* created a spreadsheet,<sup>44</sup> the *Los Angeles Times* created a database,<sup>45</sup> and other news media outlets compiled information revealing that a couple hundred community college and K-12 school districts in California had issued Capital Appreciation Bonds, with many starting long before property values began to decline in 2007.

California and national news media, state and local taxpayer organizations, and many state and local politicians spent the next year criticizing California educational districts for poor decisions about borrowing money via bond sales for school construction. About a dozen educational districts received a disproportionate amount of negative attention for their Capital Appreciation Bond sales. Criticism ebbed but did not disappear after Governor Brown signed Assembly Bill 182 in October 2013 to put limits and new oversight on Capital Appreciation Bonds.

### Backers of Capital Appreciation Bonds Stubbornly Defend Them

Throughout the state and even at the Poway Unified School District, elected district officials and administrators defended their decisions to sell Capital Appreciation Bonds. Their response to criticism was common and consistent:

1. Voters wanted school construction done as soon as possible.
2. Capital Appreciation Bonds were the only way available to get the money.
3. We didn't do anything wrong.
4. Look at the complete program instead of focusing on individual bond issues.

<sup>44</sup> "Find High-Interest School Bonds in Your District: A Five-Step Guide," *Voice of San Diego*, August 8, 2012, accessed June 28, 2015, <http://www.voiceofsandiego.org/investigations/find-high-interest-school-bonds-in-your-district-a-five-step-guide/>

<sup>45</sup> "Spreadsheet: Capital Appreciation Bonds," *Los Angeles Times*, November 28, 2012, accessed June 28, 2015, [spreadsheets.latimes.com/capital-appreciation-bonds/](http://spreadsheets.latimes.com/capital-appreciation-bonds/)

These claims generally echoed the arguments of parties involved in the preparation and sale of those bonds. These bond experts knew the obscure and complicated business of municipal bonds, but they also had a financial interest in seeing these bond sales continue.

6. Reason for recommending CABs.
- CABs are being recommended as a flexibility measure. Because of tax rate limitations, utilizing CABs in the current issuance may balance the use of CABs in the bond program overall

Tables A-5 and A-6 are comprehensive lists of arguments for and against Capital Appreciation Bonds, with rebuttals.

## 6. Tricks of the Trade: Questionable Behaviors with Bonds

Californians who want more spending on educational construction often express their resentment of a 2000 law limiting taxes and debt resulting from bond sales. It was passed in order to strengthen campaign arguments to voters in support of Proposition 39, which lowered the approval threshold for local bond measures from two-thirds to 55%. (See Table 11 in Section 5 for the limits.)

School districts have adopted several strategies to get around these limits in state law. One of them is very obscure but 100% successful: obtaining waivers from the State Board of Education.

Meanwhile, some districts are stretching legal definitions to use proceeds from bond sales to pay for items that resemble instructional material more than construction. One example is personal portable electronics such as iPads. Some of the state's largest districts are purchasing this kind of technology while giving little assurance to the public that long term bonds aren't the source of the money. This equipment may be obsolete well before the bonds mature, meaning that future generations will pay for these devices long after they are outdated and discarded.

### Debt and Tax Limits Waived When School Districts Want to Borrow More Money

Research by the California Policy Center now allows the People of California to see — for the first time — a chart listing all California K-12 school district requests to the state for waivers to sell bonds for school construction. These waivers allow school districts to circumvent state laws enacted in conjunction with Proposition 39 and meant to set limits on taxes and debt burdens imposed on property owners.

State law (California Education Code Sections 3050-33053) allows the California Board of Education to grant waivers from numerous sections of the California Education Code, including bond indebtedness limitations. This power is obscure but significant, and until now a compilation of the history of bond indebtedness waivers has not been available to the public.

Out of the 51 waiver requests from 2000 through 2014, only one received notable public attention. In 2013, the fourth waiver request since 2002 from the West Contra Costa Unified School District became controversial when some local taxpayer activists and a columnist for the *Contra Costa Times* criticized the district<sup>46</sup> for repeatedly seeking waivers to borrow yet more money for construction through bond sales.

To develop a bond indebtedness waiver chart and provide the public with comprehensive information about the waivers, the California Policy Center obtained a document from the

---

<sup>46</sup> “Daniel Borenstein: Time to Slow West Contra Costa's Deceptive School Construction Program,” *Contra Costa Times*, March 1, 2013, accessed June 28, 2015, [www.contracostatimes.com/ci\\_22699375/daniel-borenstein-time-slow-west-contra-costas-deceptive](http://www.contracostatimes.com/ci_22699375/daniel-borenstein-time-slow-west-contra-costas-deceptive)

California Department of Education listing the bond indebtedness waivers granted by the California Board of Education since 2000. Staff indicated that this listing was an “internal working file and has not been reviewed or validated for accuracy.”<sup>47</sup>

California Policy Center researchers checked the data, corrected inaccuracies, and expanded on the data using meeting agendas, staff reports, and meeting minutes. Now the public has a useful resource for considering public policy related to bond indebtedness waivers.

Table A3 provides complete history of school district requests to the California Board of Education for waivers from tax and debt limits to borrow more money for school construction by selling bonds to investors. Preliminary activity in the first three months of 2015 is also included.

### **Request a Waiver, Get a Waiver**

From 2000 through 2014, California K-12 school districts requested 51 waivers from sections of the California Education Code that do one or both of the following:

1. Prohibit the total amount of bonds issued (the total amount of principal) from exceeding 1.25 percent or 2.50 percent of the most recent assessed aggregate value of taxable property in the district. (Elementary and high school districts have a 1.25% limit; unified school districts a 2.5% limit.)
2. Prohibit the total amount of bonds issued as authorized by one bond measure from requiring a property tax that exceeded \$30 or \$60 per year per one hundred thousand dollars (\$100,000) of taxable property. (Elementary and high school districts have a \$30 limit; unified school districts a \$60 limit.)

Out of these 51 waiver requests, school districts ended up withdrawing three of them. The State Board of Education approved all 48 other waiver requests, without one dissenting board vote.

The 100% approval rate for waiver requests is not surprising. In 2013, the State Board of Education took action on 518 waiver requests for all sections of the California Education Code and approved 97% of them. Under state law, the California Board of Education is generally obligated to grant such waivers as long as the request is submitted correctly and the waiver doesn't violate seven criteria specifically listed in state law:

1. The educational needs of the pupils are not adequately addressed.
2. The waiver affects a program that requires the existence of a schoolsite council and the schoolsite council did not approve the request.

---

<sup>47</sup> Stel Cordano, personal communication, March 5, 2015.

3. The appropriate councils or advisory committees, including bilingual advisory committees, did not have an adequate opportunity to review the request and the request did not include a written summary of any objections to the request by the councils or advisory committees.
4. Pupil or school personnel protections are jeopardized.
5. Guarantees of parental involvement are jeopardized.
6. The request would substantially increase state costs.
7. The exclusive representative of employees, if any...was not a participant in the development of the waiver.

None of those seven criteria relate to local fiscal policies, meaning there is no obvious justification in state law for the Board of Education to deny a waiver from state laws related to bond indebtedness. Nonetheless, the Board of Education has chosen to impose conditions on bond indebtedness waivers and sometimes incorporated changes from the original requests at the recommendation of California Department of Education personnel. But the Board of Education has also rejected recommendations from the Department of Education, most notably in 2013 when the board repeatedly rejected a staff recommendation that school districts applying for waivers should not be permitted to sell Capital Appreciation Bonds.

### **Case Study: West Contra Costa Unified School District**

This school district based in Richmond is perhaps the most egregious example in California of unrestrained bond finance for a construction program. One individual was disproportionately responsible for leading the district to almost \$2 billion in debt service. It remains to be seen if his legacy will be as a hero for disadvantaged students in dilapidated schools or as a politician who undermined the district's always-tenuous fiscal stability.<sup>48</sup>

During his time on the West Contra Costa Unified School District board of trustees from 1993 to 2014, Charles Ramsey claimed to work for the interests of students in this district. Ramsey played a leading role in the political deals and fundraising (through a Political Action Committee named "For the Children of West County")<sup>49</sup> needed to win voter approval of six out of eight bond measures proposed from 1998 through 2014. Those six successful bond measures authorized the district to borrow a total of \$1.63 billion via bond sales.

His emailed reaction after the school district received its fourth tax and debt waiver from the State Board of Education was typical:

---

<sup>48</sup> "Charles Ramsey's Legacy in the West Contra Costa School District," *Contra Costa Times*, December 12, 2014, accessed June 28, 2015, [www.contracostatimes.com/richmond/ci\\_27126352/charles-ramseys-legacy-west-contra-costa-school-district](http://www.contracostatimes.com/richmond/ci_27126352/charles-ramseys-legacy-west-contra-costa-school-district)

<sup>49</sup> <https://www.facebook.com/ForTheChildrenOfWestCounty>

...I did all I could to change the attitudes that constantly plague our community and tried to make the world a better place. No longer can people sit back and point fingers about us and now I can proudly say that WE DID IT!!!! Once again and now we can add Measure E to the list of successes with the debt limit waiver now applied to this phenomenal bond program. And once again, a loud and boisterous THANK YOU. For all of us and in the end it is all about the West Contra Costa Unified School District kids!!!<sup>50</sup>

The district has debt service of \$1.83 billion and continues in 2015 to issue bonds that don't mature for 40 years. It has issued Capital Appreciation Bonds. It has requested four waivers from the State Board of Education to exceed tax and debt limits, even earning attention from local news media for abuse of this obscure process. In the context of reporting on the district's fourth waiver request, *Contra Costa Times* columnist Dan Borenstein asserted the following:

The West Contra Costa school district should slow its deceptive school construction program because it's pushing too much debt onto property owners and will soon exceed tax limits it promised voters...In their quest to rebuild or replace every school, district officials are moving too fast, behaving irresponsibly and overtaxing poor and working-class residents... District officials need to start thinking about property owners who foot the bill. New schools are nice, but they must also be affordable.

Borenstein also suggested that the campaigns for the bond measures were deceptive, both in general and in specifics: "Each time they went to the ballot, district officials presented that particular bond measure to voters as if it were the only one. They never mentioned the outstanding debt taxpayers already owed from prior measures." He also criticized a "buried" reference in a three-page long paragraph in a fourteen-page ballot statement stating that the district would have authority to seek a waiver from tax and debt limits cited elsewhere in the ballot material.

The US Securities and Exchange Commission has investigated financing of the district's bond program, and the Federal Bureau of Investigation has also made inquiries. A grand jury questioned Charles Ramsey about his relationship to corrupt officials involved with the bond-funded construction program at the Sweetwater Union High School District in Chula Vista.<sup>51</sup>

There have been continual questions about the district's management of its construction program over its history. Frequent change orders, cost overruns, and unusually high construction costs per square foot have attracted many critics over the years. In addition, enrollment at the West Contra


---

<sup>50</sup> "Last Impediment Removed for WCCUSD Bond Program to be Completed," City of Richmond Councilmember Tom Butt, May 10, 2013, accessed June 28, 2015, [www.tombutt.com/forum/2013/1305010.htm](http://www.tombutt.com/forum/2013/1305010.htm)

<sup>51</sup> "Grand Jury Proceedings in *State of California v. Alioto et al.*, December 6, 2012," *San Diego Union-Tribune*, accessed June 28, 2015, <http://media.utsandiego.com/news/documents/2013/06/07/Volume18.pdf>

## West Contra Costa Unified School District Bond Measures

Amount Proposed to Borrow	Amount Authorized to Borrow	Amount Issued	Authorized But Not Issued	Principal Outstanding 2/1/2015	Interest If No Refunding	Debt Service	Date of Election	Measure	% Needed to Pass	Yes	No	Total	Passage	Outcome
\$40,000,000	\$40,000,000	\$40,000,000	\$0	\$20,360,000	\$8,429,029	\$28,789,029	1998-06-02	E	66.7	32,895	10,416	43,311	76.0%	Pass
\$150,000,000	\$150,000,000	\$150,000,000	\$0	\$92,340,000	\$38,606,913	\$130,946,913	2000-11-07	M	66.7	53,918	15,697	69,615	77.5%	Pass
\$300,000,000	\$300,000,000	\$299,997,483	\$2,517	\$235,502,597	\$286,933,614	\$522,436,211	2002-03-05	D	55	24,391	9,567	33,958	71.8%	Pass
\$42,000,000							2003-09-16	C	66.7	11,444	7,923	19,367	59.1%	Fail
\$400,000,000	\$400,000,000	\$322,409,708	\$77,590,292	\$312,854,708	\$410,606,221	\$723,460,929	2005-11-08	J	55	30,013	22,776	52,789	56.9%	Pass
\$380,000,000	\$380,000,000	\$105,000,000	\$275,000,000	\$124,345,000	\$136,375,388	\$260,720,388	2010-06-08	D	55	23,014	13,740	36,754	62.6%	Pass
\$360,000,000	\$360,000,000	\$120,000,000	\$240,000,000	\$77,250,000	\$86,882,813	\$164,132,813	2012-11-06	E	55	50,266	27,794	78,060	64.4%	Pass
\$270,000,000							2014-06-03	H	55	13,529	15,686	29,215	46.3%	Fail
<b>\$1,942,000,000</b>	<b>\$1,630,000,000</b>	<b>\$1,037,407,191</b>	<b>\$592,592,809</b>	<b>\$862,652,305</b>	<b>\$967,833,976</b>	<b>\$1,830,486,281</b>				<b>239,470</b>	<b>123,599</b>	<b>363,069</b>	<b>66.0%</b>	



Costa Unified School District is expected to decline.

Finally, one corporation — Chevron — owns 11.62% of the assessed valuation of property in the district. Chevron’s refinery operation in Richmond is perpetually targeted by environmental and social justice groups, some of which hope to close it down. Although the district is vulnerable to a future drop in assessed valuations, the closing of the Chevron refinery would be a financial disaster for the West Contra Costa Unified School District.

### **Borrowing Money for Technology Using Bonds**

California community college districts and school districts continually claim funding shortfalls for operating expenses. Yet it’s easier for an educational district to get voter authorization to borrow money for construction than it is to get voter approval to impose a parcel tax to obtain supplemental revenue.

This tempts some districts to stretch credulity and declare that voters authorized bond proceeds to be spent on items tenuously related to building a school and providing it with furniture and equipment. And despite the many protections in Proposition 39, sometimes the application of bond funds to operating expenses cannot be prevented.

The Los Angeles Unified School District and the San Diego Unified School District have borrowed money through bond sales and used the proceeds to buy portable electronic tablets for

students. These districts rationalize the practice by claiming that voters consented to it when they approved Proposition 39 in 2000 and then subsequently approved local district bond measures.

Recently several districts have asked voters to approve Ed-Tech Bonds<sup>®</sup>,<sup>52</sup> a trademarked arrangement of bond sales marketed by Dale Scott & Company that permit a district to issue an ongoing series of new short-term bond issues to pay for technology that becomes outdated after a few years. Voters seem to support the idea of using money borrowed from short-term bonds for technology, as long as the district explains the concept openly and establishes reasonable limits.

But the Los Angeles Unified School District and the San Diego Unified School District have used construction bond proceeds to buy iPads for students to use in the classroom and even take home. Because the useful life of these devices is limited, these school boards may be making a fiscally irresponsible policy decision when financing their purchases with borrowed money from bond sales.

### **Why Any Educational District Can Borrow Money Via Bond Sales and Use It to Buy iPads**

No group or individual has put forward a strong legal argument for the idea that school districts cannot use Proposition 39 bond proceeds to buy personal portable electronic devices. In contrast, the arguments are strong for the idea that voters gave educational districts the authority to do this, as long as the districts don't stray too far from the purposes of Proposition 39.

Perhaps the most notorious example of questionable use of bond funds is the ill-fated Common Core Technology Project Plan at the Los Angeles Unified School District (LAUSD). The former superintendent proposed that funds from Measure R and Measure Y would be used for the first phase of a program to buy electronic tablets (specifically, iPads produced by Apple, Inc.) for students to take home. The iPads would come with software developed by another company.

On September 7, 2012, a law firm provided the superintendent with a memorandum entitled "Use of Los Angeles Unified School District Measures R, Y and Q General Obligation Bond Proceeds for Certain Costs Related to the District's Common Core Technology Project Plan."<sup>53</sup> It asserted that borrowed money from bond sales authorized by measures that comply with Proposition 39 could be used for (1) costs of acquiring electronic tablets, (2) costs of acquiring hardware and installing software, and even (3) costs of hiring "technology specialists who will train the District's internal technology support teams to operate and maintain the Common Core Technology project, and technology adoption trainers who will train other school site trainers."

---

<sup>52</sup> "Ed-Tech Bonds," Dale Scott & Company, accessed June 28, 2015, [www.dalescott.com/what-we-do-2/ed-tech-bonds/](http://www.dalescott.com/what-we-do-2/ed-tech-bonds/)

<sup>53</sup> "Use of Los Angeles Unified School District Measures R, Y and Q General Obligation Bond Proceeds for Certain Costs Related to the District's Common Core Technology Project Plan," Los Angeles Unified School District, September 7, 2012, accessed June 28, 2015, <http://bit.ly/1KplzTM>

The memo also exposed a oversight in the Proposition 39 language:

There is no statutory definition of many of the terms used in Proposition 39, such as what constitutes the construction, replacement or furnishing and equipping of school facilities for Proposition 39 purposes. Thus, there is no controlling legal authority expressly stating whether costs related to the Common Core Technology Project would constitute the construction, replacement or furnishing and equipping of school facilities for purposes of Proposition 39...the District should remain aware that there is no controlling legal authority expressly stating what constitutes the “equipping of school facilities” for purposes of Proposition 39. The provisions of Proposition 39 relating to the expenditure of general obligation bond proceeds for equipping of school facilities have not been interpreted by any court or other legal authority and, to our knowledge, are not pending before any court.

In practice, educational districts and their bond financial advisors were setting the standards:

Broad agreement, however exists among issuers of general obligation bonds and their advisors that costs directly connected to the construction, acquisition, equipping and furnishing of school facilities may properly be paid from proceeds from the sale of Proposition 39 Bonds, if such costs (i) are “capitalizable” under generally accepted accounting principles applied in accordance with the policies and procedures of the California School Accounting Manual, and (ii) constitute construction, reconstruction, rehabilitation, replacement, furnishing or equipping costs of a project listed on one or more bond measures passed pursuant to Proposition 39.

In effect, the parties getting the borrowed money and getting the transaction fees for the bond sales have determined how that money should be properly spent.

### **Los Angeles Unified School District Defies Criticism, Pushes Forward**

Despite this memo, the LAUSD superintendent’s plan to use borrowed money to buy iPads with installed software generated controversy across the political spectrum. For example, both the United Teachers of Los Angeles (the teachers’ union) and the Howard Jarvis Taxpayers Association objected to it. Opponents claimed that voters never had an expectation that the bond measures would allow LAUSD to borrow money to buy personal student iPads with software. In addition, a rumor spread that LAUSD would use the proceeds of long-term bonds to buy technology only useful for a few years at most.

While LAUSD officials denied that they were going to sell long-term bonds for iPads, this argument gained attention from news media and inspired ridicule among critics. The same criticism also gained a following against the San Diego Unified School District. And the critics had a point: there was nothing in state law to prevent a school district from selling a 40-year Capital Appreciation Bond to buy an iPad with a lifespan of three years.

A major force in slowing down the rush to adopt this Common Core Technology Project at LAUSD was the district's Citizens Bond Oversight Committee, established at LAUSD even before Proposition 39 was enacted. After significant deliberation and disagreement, this committee expressed numerous concerns but concluded that the district could legally buy portable electronic devices using funds that voters approved the district to borrow via bond sales authorized in a ballot measure that complied with Proposition 39.

This conclusion was stated in a November 18, 2013 letter from the Bond Oversight Committee Information Technology Task Force to the LAUSD School Construction Bond Citizens' Oversight Committee:

On November 15, 2013, after months of discussions with the Office of the General Counsel ("OGC") and many requests for a legal opinion supporting the District's use of bond funds to pay for the majority of costs associated with the CCTP, the OGC issued a written reasoned memorandum opinion stating, among other things, that after performing its due diligence, including, but not limited to, conducting several telephone meetings with and reviewing past communications from the District's bond counsel, in the OGC's opinion there is legal support for the use of bond funds to purchase devices such as tablets for the purpose of equipping schools with those devices, to purchase the software packages to be used on the devices, and to allow students, teachers and staff to take the devices home. We find this opinion acceptable.<sup>54</sup>

This memo is once again referenced in a letter from the head of the Bond Oversight Committee to a retired superintendent of the Los Angeles Unified School District:

Our own review of the CCTP has led us to conclude that the District's actions to date are legal and not imprudent, and we have been assured by the District that the repayment period for the bonds used to finance this project will be reasonably related to the expected life of the assets purchased...we had requested, received, and closely reviewed a letter from the Office of the General Counsel to the District ("OGC") setting out the reasoned basis for its opinion that bond funds may be used to purchase devices such as tablets for the purpose of equipping schools with those devices, to purchase the software packages to be used on the devices, and to allow students, teachers and staff to take the devices home.<sup>55</sup>

The letter from the head of the Bond Oversight Committee to the retired LAUSD superintendent also anticipated arguments soon to be advanced by the California Legislative Counsel:

---

<sup>54</sup> "The District's Common Core Technology Project ("CCTP") Phase 2 Proposal," Los Angeles Unified School District, November 18, 2013, accessed June 28, 2015, <http://bit.ly/1BQ3v1U>

<sup>55</sup> Letter from the Bond Oversight Committee Chair to William J. Johnston, Los Angeles Unified School District, February 14, 2014, accessed June 28, 2015, <http://bit.ly/1LxtRZ5>

1. Measure Q did not mention iPads as something that bond proceeds would buy because the ballot measure was passed in November 2008 and iPads were not available to the public until April 2010. It is not possible for a bond measure to anticipate specific technological devices before they are available.
2. The Measure Q bond project list states that bond funds may be used for computer and communications projects, including, but not limited to “hardware and software for information-technology applications” undertaken at some or all of the District’s schools and associated facilities.
3. LAUSD has been using bond funds for technology, including computers in the classroom, since after voters approved Proposition BB in 1997. In addition, voters were aware from the language in the ballot statements that bond proceeds would be used for technology.

On April 19, 2015, the *Los Angeles Times* reported that the Securities and Exchange Commission has opened an informal inquiry into LAUSD practices because the district did not mention in its Official Statements that bond proceeds would be used to purchase iPads.<sup>56</sup>

### **The California Legislature Turns Its Attention to the Practice**

In 2014, Assemblyman Curt Hagman introduced Assembly Bill 1754<sup>57</sup> in response to public criticism about using bond proceeds for personal portable technology. Under his bill, educational districts would have been prohibited from borrowing money from the sale of bonds authorized by measures passed under the criteria of Proposition 39 to purchase portable electronic devices, including laptops and tablets, unless the technology was closely connected to instruction within the classroom, was not assigned to individual students, and was not permitted to leave the school site for more than one school day.

AB 1754 also would have prohibited educational districts from using bond proceeds to buy basic or supplemental instructional materials. To supplement his bill, Hagman asked the Joint Legislative Audit Committee to hold an oversight hearing to review the LAUSD iPad program. In his letter to the committee asking for an audit, Hagman wrote that “there have been

---

<sup>56</sup> “SEC Launches Informal Inquiry into LAUSD’s Use of Bonds for iPads,” *Los Angeles Times*, April 19, 2015, accessed June 28, 2015, [www.latimes.com/local/education/la-me-laUSD-ipads-inquiry-20150417-story.html](http://www.latimes.com/local/education/la-me-laUSD-ipads-inquiry-20150417-story.html)

<sup>57</sup> “Text - AB 1754 School Bonds: Portable Electronic Devices and Instructional Materials,” California Legislative Information, April 24, 2014, accessed June 28, 2015, [http://leginfo.ca.gov/faces/billNavClient.xhtml?bill\\_id=201320140AB1754&search\\_keywords=](http://leginfo.ca.gov/faces/billNavClient.xhtml?bill_id=201320140AB1754&search_keywords=)

discussions on the legality of using 25-year construction bond money to purchase the iPads (which have a lifespan of only 3-5 years).”<sup>58</sup>

The audit committee hearing did not happen. And the bill failed to pass out of committee despite no opposition votes. Three committee members voted for it and four others did not vote.

While this bill was under consideration, the California Legislative Counsel produced a letter defending the use of Proposition 39 bond money to buy laptops and tablets. It asserted that Proposition 39 generally allows an educational district to buy portable electronic devices using funds that voters approved the district to borrow via bond sales authorized in a ballot measure that complied with Proposition 39.<sup>59</sup>

The letter provided three major reasons why educational districts can do this:

1. Language in Proposition 39 states that bond proceeds may be used to provide access to information technology and specifically cites computers and the Internet. In addition, the argument in favor of Proposition 39 contained in the ballot pamphlet shows that voters were aware that bond proceeds would give schools access to information technology.
2. “Furnishing and equipping” cited in Proposition 39 includes the purchase of goods that satisfy the broad purposes of the law. It is incorrect to narrowly interpret Proposition 39 as authorizing the sale of bonds only for construction activities that support information technology access.
3. Courts reasonably regard laws as somewhat flexible to reflect changing conditions over time. Under this principle, a court would likely construe Proposition 39 to authorize the use of bond proceeds to purchase technological devices not in existence or wide use at the time of its passage, such as laptops and electronic tablets, so long as the intended use of those devices is similar to the use of desktop computers and is otherwise consistent with the purposes of the proposition. It is incorrect to narrowly interpret Proposition 39 rigidly limiting purchases of technology to desktop computers because that’s what voters recognized as technology when they approved the ballot measure in 2000.

### **Even Defenders of the Practice Admit a Need for Limits**

---

<sup>58</sup> Letter of Assemblyman Curt Hagman to California Joint Legislative Audit Committee, October 17, 2013, accessed June 28, 2015, [laborissuessolutions.com/wp-content/uploads/2013/10/2013-10-17-Hagman-Letter-to-Joint-Legislative-Audit-Cmte-LAUDS-iPad-investigation.pdf](http://laborissuessolutions.com/wp-content/uploads/2013/10/2013-10-17-Hagman-Letter-to-Joint-Legislative-Audit-Cmte-LAUDS-iPad-investigation.pdf)

<sup>59</sup> Letter of California Legislative Counsel to Assemblywoman Joan Buchanan, April 1, 2014, accessed June 28, 2015, [media.utsandiego.com/news/documents/2014/05/09/LegCounseliPads.pdf](http://media.utsandiego.com/news/documents/2014/05/09/LegCounseliPads.pdf)

Are laptops and tablets analogous to desktop computers that were the prevalent technology in schools when voters approved Proposition 39 in 2000? Some would argue that laptops and tablets are more like textbooks than furniture or equipment.

Even more questionable is the software installed in the laptops or tablets. Can software be defined as “equipment” if it is bought together as a package with the hardware?

Another question: Is it proper to use bond proceeds to pay for personnel who train others to use the laptops or tablets and the software that comes installed in them?

Finally, does federal law allow a local government to issue long-term tax-exempt bonds to buy or replace items with an approximate three-year lifespan?

In 2015, Assemblyman Scott Wilk introduced Assembly Bill 882,<sup>60</sup> which was originally a similar effort to Assemblyman Hagman’s Assembly Bill 1754 (in 2014) to stamp out the use of bond proceeds for portable electronic devices. But unlike Hagman’s bill, Wilk’s bill passed out of its first committee and moved through the Assembly. The bill was approved after Assemblyman Wilk agreed to an amendment requested by California’s Coalition for Adequate School Housing (C.A.S.H.), a primary backer of educational construction bonds.

As of July 14, 2015, Assembly Bill 882 declares that the term of a bond used for the purposes of furnishing and equipping classrooms, including purchasing electronic equipment, shall not exceed 120 percent of the average reasonably expected economic life of the furnishings and equipment. CASH says this provision conforms the practice to federal tax rules.

Assembly Bill 882 also clarifies that portable electronic devices, including laptops and tablets, may be purchased with Proposition 39 bond funds only for the equipping of school facilities and be used for instruction-related purposes in school facilities. Those devices cannot be assigned to individual pupils or removed from the school site on a daily basis.

Even if Assembly Bill 882 is enacted into law, it would only apply to bonds approved by voters after January 1, 2016. LAUSD and the San Diego Unified School District would still be able to fund their programs with bond proceeds, as long as voters allowed them to continue it.

### **Clarifying the Definition of “Furnishing and Equipment” in Proposition 39**

Technology is not the only ambiguous aspect of “furnishings and equipment.” Board meeting agendas at the West Contra Costa Unified School District routinely include consent items to spend money to move equipment and furniture with proceeds of bond sales. Is this a prudent way

---

<sup>60</sup> “Text - AB 882 School Bonds: Term of Bonds: Furnishing and Equipping Classrooms,” California Legislative Information, June 25, 2015, accessed June 28, 2015, [leginfo.ca.gov/faces/billNavClient.xhtml?bill\\_id=201520160AB882&search\\_keywords=](http://leginfo.ca.gov/faces/billNavClient.xhtml?bill_id=201520160AB882&search_keywords=)

to spend borrowed money that must be paid back over years, with interest? It's another example of a school or college district taking advantage of a vague provision in state law.

**\*CI C.20 Furniture, Fixtures & Equipment Agreement between WCCUSD and Contrax Furnishings for Classroom Furniture & Related Services (Sylvester Greenwood Academy / LPS Campus Replacement Project)**

Comment:

The construction of the new Sylvester Greenwood Academy / LPS Campus is nearing completion and will be ready for August 2015 occupancy. The District needs classroom furniture, case goods, seating, office accessories and related services and solutions ("Furniture and Products") in order to furnish the school. The District intends to purchase the Furniture and Products through the piggybackable Master Contract ("TCPN Contract") between Contrax Furnishings ("Contrax") and the Cooperative Purchasing Network ("TCPN"). The TCPN Contract was awarded after a competitive solicitation and selection process and contains a "piggyback" provision pursuant to section 20118 of the California Public Contract Code. Pursuant to this piggyback provision, the District may purchase the Furniture and Products on the same terms, conditions and pricing as TCPN.

The total value for all Furniture and Products purchased pursuant to the Agreement shall be \$1,341,788.87. The price includes all furniture, material, delivery, incidental installation and work necessary in order to provide access to power and/or data through the furniture. The District shall pay for the Furniture and Products individually after each item is delivered to and installed in the Sylvester Greenwood Academy / LPS New Campus.

Recommendation:

Approve the FF&E Agreement between the WCCUSD and Contrax Furnishings for classroom furniture and related incidental services at Sylvester Greenwood Academy / LPS New Campus.

Fiscal Impact:

\$1,341,788.87 Funded from Bond Fund.

**\*CI C.22 Ratification of Staff Awarded Contract: Coronado Elementary School Moving Services**

Comment:

The construction of the new Coronado Elementary School is nearing completion and will be ready for August 2015 occupancy. The next step for the District is to procure moving services from the interim campus to the new campus. The District has prepared plans and specifications for the project. Scope of work includes: Moving Administration and staff office records, files and miscellaneous items, storage items, library books and other printed material, art and science classroom equipment, classroom educational material and books, sporting equipment, musical instruments, and all other labeled miscellaneous items from the existing interim campus portables at the Coronado ES Interim Campus site to designated rooms in the new campus at the Coronado Elementary School Site.

The District conducted a public bid process for the project. Proposals were opened on April 30, 2015.

Contract completion is anticipated in July 2015.

Recommendation:

Ratify the award to the lowest responsive, responsible firm at the end of the bid protest period.

Fiscal Impact:

\$TBD. Funded from Bond Fund.

## **7. The System Is Skewed to Pass Bond Measures**

Considering the advantages that supporters have in preparing and campaigning for a bond measure, perhaps it's noteworthy that voters reject about 20% of local bond measures for educational construction. At every stage of the process, interests that will benefit from bond sales can take advantage of a system that favors passage of a bond measure. Some issues of concern include use of public funds to develop campaigns to pass bond measures, significant political contributions to campaigns from interests likely to benefit from construction, involvement of college foundations as intermediaries for campaign contributions, and conflicts of interest and alleged pay-to-play contracts.

### **It's Not "Tough" Anymore to Pass Local Bond Measures for School and College Districts**

Voters in 2000 who read the ballot argument in favor of Proposition 39 would have seen supporters claim that it would "require bonds to be passed by a tough 55% super-majority vote." Perhaps a 55% threshold could be described as "tough" compared to approval by a simple majority of 50% plus one, but it certainly hasn't meant passage is difficult to achieve in practice. Four out of five bond measures proposed under the criteria of Proposition 39 win voter approval. (See Section 3 for more information.)

Supporters might argue that the 80% voter approval rate for construction bond measures qualified under Proposition 39 simply reflects the view of a substantial percentage of Californians that school and community college districts need new and modernized facilities. But these views don't develop in a vacuum.

An industry of campaign consultants helps educational districts to convince voters to approve bond measures. They have developed a formula that generally results in victory. Here are some of the most obvious tactics used to achieve that success rate of 80 percent.

### **Using Public Funds to Hire a Consultant for Voter Research That Is Subsequently Useful in the Election Campaign to Pass the Bond Measure**

Many Californians would be astonished to learn that school and community college districts can use funds from their operating budget to develop a strategy to pass a bond measure. Yet this practice is common — and legal.

California law prohibits community college districts and K-12 school districts from using public funds or resources to campaign in support or opposition to bond measures. Education Code Section 7054 states "No school district or community college district funds, services, supplies, or equipment shall be used for the purpose of urging the support or defeat of any ballot measure..."

However, these same public resources CAN be used to provide information to the public about the possible effects of any bond issue or other ballot measure, as long as that information constitutes a fair and impartial presentation of relevant facts to aid the electorate in reaching an informed judgment regarding the bond issue or ballot measure.

A 2005 opinion from California Attorney General Bill Lockyer<sup>61</sup> confirmed that it is legal for a college district (and a school district) to use district funds to hire a consultant to conduct surveys and establish focus groups to assess the following important conditions for a campaign:

1. The potential support and opposition to a bond measure, by gathering information and evaluating the potential for the adoption of a bond measure by the electorate.
2. The public's awareness of the district's financial needs.
3. The overall feasibility of developing a bond measure that could win voter approval.

According to the Attorney General, this is not “partisan campaigning.”

Of course, this professional research and analysis — paid for by taxpayers — puts a school or college district at a significant advantage for a bond measure campaign. Consultants determine which words and arguments are most effective in motivating various demographic groups in the district to vote for a bond measure. Consultants also determine which arguments would be most effective for opponents of a bond measure and how the school district can neutralize those arguments.

Further research is needed to reveal how often a “feasibility study” concludes that a bond measure is *not* “feasible.” Considering that the firm evaluating the feasibility of a bond measure may often be seeking future contracts with the district or the campaign committee, there may be a conscious or subconscious inclination to manipulate the survey questions or the results to obtain a deceptively positive recommendation. In his book *Win Win: An Insider's Guide to School Bonds*,<sup>62</sup> Dale Scott of Dale Scott & Company cites a case in which he suspects a consulting firm had self-interested motivations when it recommended that a school board place a bond measure on a June primary ballot rather than a November presidential ballot with an apparent better chance of passage. Voters rejected the bond measure.

Considering that voters approve about 80% of educational bond measures at the 55% voter approval threshold, cynics would argue the real purpose of surveys isn't to determine "feasibility"

---

<sup>61</sup> “Opinion No. 04-211,” Legal Opinions of the Attorney General, April 5, 2011, accessed June 28, 2015, [oag.ca.gov/system/files/opinions/pdfs/04-211.pdf](http://oag.ca.gov/system/files/opinions/pdfs/04-211.pdf)

<sup>62</sup> *Win Win: An Insider's Guide to School Bonds*, Dale Scott & Company, accessed June 28, 2015, [www.dalescott.com/dscpublishing](http://www.dalescott.com/dscpublishing)

**Table 14: Preparation for a Bond Measure That CAN Be Funded and Coordinated with Public Resources**

Stage	Actions
Planning	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Begin planning 6 to 18 months in advance</li> <li>• Identify all potential project(s), costs</li> <li>• Identify unique local problems and opportunities</li> <li>• Review news clips, background information</li> <li>• Identify those factors that make your needs urgent</li> <li>• Develop a specific, strategic plan - seek demonstrable citizen input on it</li> <li>• Allocate funding to assess/educate your public</li> <li>• Retain professional expertise/advisors: communications strategists, outside financial advisors (if needed), survey research/polling firms, outside legal counsel where needed</li> </ul>
Survey Research	<p>Conduct Public Opinion research to identify: popular project(s), issues, messages; problematic project(s), issues, messages; areas where the electorate needs more education/information, persuasive arguments, feasibility/election timing, “base” and “swing” audiences for future communication efforts</p>
Feasibility	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Evaluate Baseline Results</li> <li>• Recommendations are made on message refinement, strategy, public education, election timing</li> </ul>
Non-Partisan Public Information	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Develop/Implement Non-partisan Communications</li> <li>• Organizing and Public Information Plan to build public awareness, support of needs</li> <li>• Develop and market specifics of Expenditure Plan (if applicable to funding mechanism)</li> <li>• Implement Communications: NON-advocacy, Information ONLY</li> <li>• Implement direct mail program to key audiences</li> <li>• Conduct Speakers Bureau to internal and external audiences</li> <li>• Develop strategies for specific advocacy groups, opinion leaders, and earned media</li> <li>• Engage your public through a Citizens’ Advisory Committee</li> <li>• Conduct “tracking poll” to assess changes in support, prior to action to place measure on the ballot</li> <li>• If feasible, act to place measure on the ballot</li> </ul>

**Table 15: Preparation for a Bond Measure That CANNOT Be Funded and Coordinated with Public Resources**

Stage	Actions
Partisan Campaign	<p>(A Public Agency cannot, at any time, engage in a partisan campaign. After placing the measure on the ballot, the agency must step away and transfer authority to a volunteer campaign committee, who can carry out the remainder of the tasks listed below. These bullets are included in this list for informational purposes only.)</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Initiate Committee prior to action to place measure on ballot</li> <li>• Write/submit Ballot Argument</li> <li>• Develop Partisan Campaign Strategy, Timeline &amp; Budget</li> <li>• Designate Fundraising Goals/Raise resources to be successful</li> <li>• Identify/Secure Key Endorsements</li> <li>• Produce Direct Mail</li> <li>• Develop Campaign materials such as Fact Sheets</li> <li>• Conduct Early outreach to Permanent Absentee Voters</li> <li>• Identify number of supporters</li> <li>• Compel supporters to Vote by Mail</li> <li>• Implement rapid response to applied absentees</li> <li>• Conduct Persuasion of undecideds</li> <li>• Implement aggressive “Field Operations”</li> <li>• Run nightly phone banks for 8 – 10 weeks</li> <li>• Door to door walking on weekends</li> <li>• Use Earned (nonpaid) Media</li> <li>• “Get-Out-the-Vote”</li> <li>• WIN!</li> </ul>

but to use public funds to develop election campaign strategy. Based on promotional material of firms that specialize in feasibility studies for bond measures, the argument is valid.

Here's an excerpt from a consulting firm's website about how information from taxpayer-funded surveys can be used to improve the chance of election victory:

...an initial baseline survey can determine the overall feasibility and voter acceptance of a bond or parcel tax measure at different funding levels. It can test how voters respond to different versions of the ballot title and summary, and — through analysis of respondent demographics and past voting patterns — it can help determine which election calendar promises the greatest likelihood of success. The same survey can also determine the effectiveness of the rationales and arguments that might be offered for and against a bond or parcel tax measure, thus helping shape the communications themes that will explain how the

measure addresses voters' concerns... [Name of firm] works with its clients to perfect ballot language and voter pamphlet arguments, using our empirical data to guide our advice.<sup>63</sup>

A second example:

Public opinion research is critical to packaging a revenue measure for success. School districts can maximize the dollars that they raise through general obligation bonds, Proposition 39 bonds, and parcel taxes by collecting pertinent voter opinion data and using this information to solicit support. [Name of firm] can help maximize your measure's potential by providing accurate and reliable results... We provide both qualitative and quantitative research services in the following areas:

- Assessing baseline support for revenue measures
- Identifying the highest achievable tax threshold and total bond amounts
- Determining the arguments and features of the measure that will increase support
- Evaluating the need and content for a public information campaign
- Determining the best election in which to place the measure on the ballot
- Packaging a measure for success<sup>64</sup>

A third example:

[Name of firm] understands that the research can be the first step not only in determining the feasibility of a potential revenue measure, but also in bringing together the various stakeholders and constituencies that will need to be involved and supportive in order for any ballot measure to be successful. We know that the issues facing the District do not exist in a vacuum and must be put into the context of the current political and cultural environment in the District. The voter opinion survey presents the District with an opportunity to hear from the administration, teachers, staff, Board, and other community stakeholders about their priorities. Involving key stakeholders in the research design leads to confidence in the research findings and helps ensure that the parties who are integral to a ballot measure's success are on board and on the same page.<sup>65</sup>

Even items scheduled on board meeting agendas to hire the consultant and then to review the survey results create a positive news opportunity for bond measure proponents. At this early

---

<sup>63</sup> "School District Services," Fairbank, Maslin, Maullin, Metz & Associates (FM3), accessed June 28, 2015, [www.fm3research.com/services/School\\_District\\_Services](http://www.fm3research.com/services/School_District_Services)

<sup>64</sup> "Public Opinion Research for Today's School Districts," Godbe Research, accessed June 28, 2015, [www.godberesearch.com/level2/pdf/School\\_BR\\_2006.pdf](http://www.godberesearch.com/level2/pdf/School_BR_2006.pdf)

<sup>65</sup> "Approve TBWB Strategies/EMC Research Consulting Proposal to Conduct a Parcel Tax Feasibility Study (Phase 1 Only)," Soquel Union Elementary School District November 7, 2012, accessed June 28, 2015, [www.soqueldo.santacruz.k12.ca.us/board\\_agendas/board\\_packet110712.pdf](http://www.soqueldo.santacruz.k12.ca.us/board_agendas/board_packet110712.pdf)

stage in the process, potential opponents usually have not emerged to present a different perspective. And a finding of measurable strong support portrays a bond measure as something already broadly supported by community, thus convincing undecided individuals and organizations that the bond measure is worthy of support and discouraging individuals and organizations that might be inclined to oppose it.

### **Public Resources Used to Win a Bond Measure**

A consulting firm for school bond measures has developed a “Finance Measure Checklist for Success”<sup>66</sup> (see Tables 14 and 15) that outlines five steps for victory. A school district can fund and coordinate four of the five steps with public resources. Only the fifth and final step requires the district to “step away” from explicit political campaigning and pass primary responsibility to a separate political entity, such as a Political Action Committee.

By the time the “partisan campaign” begins, the community college district or K-12 school district has spent a year or longer obtaining polling data, alerting voters directly and through the news media to the need for school construction, and refining campaign themes and messages. A taxpayer-funded effort to pass it has been well underway, without a cent of money raised or spent by a campaign committee. Already the proponents have an advantage over any opposition to the bond measure.

### **Comparing the Election Campaigns of Supporters and Opponents**

There is an existing network of professional political consultants who are experienced in establishing a campaign committee, collecting corporate campaign contributions, and communicating with voters using an effective message developed from the results of the district's feasibility study. Political campaigning is a business, and fierce competition forces consulting firms to build and maintain a reputation for winning. Meanwhile, professional campaign vendors are ready to design, print, and mail campaign material. Endorsements can be quickly obtained from political, business, and community leaders. Participants in phone banks and precinct walkers can be recruited and even paid if a financial incentive is necessary.

In addition, potential district contractors are able to promote school bond measures through California's Coalition for Adequate School Housing (C.A.S.H.),<sup>67</sup> whose membership “contains over 1,500 school districts, county offices and private sector businesses, including architects, attorneys, consultants, construction managers, financial institutions, modular building manufacturers, contractors, developers, and others that are in the school facilities

---

<sup>66</sup> “Finance Measure Checklist for Success,” Lew Edwards Group, accessed June 28, 2015, [www.lewedwardsgroup.com/services/finance-checklist.html](http://www.lewedwardsgroup.com/services/finance-checklist.html)

<sup>67</sup> <https://www.cashnet.org>

industry...C.A.S.H. has sponsored or supported over \$52 billion in statewide school bonds to build and/or modernize thousands of schools.”

Contrast this to the typical opposition to a bond measure. Often there aren't any formal opponents. Sometimes the opposition consists of a few individuals known in the community as gadflies or anti-tax or libertarian activists. Opposition can gain more credibility if there is an existing local community or taxpayer organization that provides a formal forum for fiscal critics to meet and strategize. That organization is almost always more effective if it employs full-time professional staff responsible to a board of directors.

In rare cases there is a well-funded opposition campaign backed by local business leaders and interest groups and run by professional political consultants. One example of this was opposition to Measure Z for the San Diego Unified School District<sup>68</sup> in the November 2012 election.

Potential opponents must regularly monitor local news sources and the meeting agendas of local educational districts to know when an elected governing board is considering a bond measure and passes a resolution putting a bond measure on the ballot. Sometimes the board does this immediately before the legal deadline, thus providing very little time for opponents to respond before the election.

Concerned parties must meet to consider the bond measure and determine an appropriate position. Someone needs to know or obtain the various laws concerning the submission of an opposing argument in the ballot pamphlet, and someone needs to write the opposing argument and go through the process of getting group approval of the text. It needs to be submitted on time and in compliance with often-technical legal requirements. A few people in the organization must volunteer to write commentaries or letters to the editor of the local newspaper, and then follow through with the promise. Some people may chip in some money from their small businesses or personal savings to order some lawn signs, which have to be designed, approved, printed, and distributed.



<sup>68</sup> “Tax Group to Oppose San Diego School Bonds,” *San Diego Union-Tribune*, September 5, 2012, accessed June 28, 2015, [www.utsandiego.com/news/2012/sep/05/tax-group-to-oppose-prop-z/](http://www.utsandiego.com/news/2012/sep/05/tax-group-to-oppose-prop-z/)

Nonetheless, bond measures do fail almost 20% of the time despite the organizational and financial advantages of supporters. A 2003 report from the Public Policy Institute of California noted that big urban school districts in the San Francisco Bay Area and the Los Angeles area with high numbers of registered Democrat voters tended to propose more bond measures and win voter approval of those bond measures more often than smaller districts in rural areas, such as the Central Valley.<sup>69</sup> This pattern appears to continue through 2014.

In some large urban school districts in California, especially in the San Francisco Bay Area, bond measures always win easily and opposition seems futile. As long as these districts don't propose bonds too frequently, they rarely have to worry about opposition.

### **Top Donors Are Current or Potential Contractors for Finance and Construction**

Generally, the public has poor access to records concerning the contributions to and expenditures of campaigns to pass bond measures. In some counties the campaign forms must be obtained in person and are provided as photocopies. Other counties have electronic databases that simply link to scanned documents. Trying to compile or analyze campaign finance patterns would be a tedious undertaking.

Nevertheless, compilations of contributors to four campaigns to pass five bond measures in November 2012<sup>70</sup> suggest that what is commonly assumed is accurate: these campaigns are mostly funded by companies likely to earn money from the proceeds of those bond sales.

### **Community College Foundations Entangled in Controversy**

**Table 16: Categories of Major Donors to Campaigns to Pass Bond Measures**

Construction management firms
Law firms involved with bond sales
Architectural firms
Engineering firms
Construction contractors
Construction trade unions
Union-affiliated labor-management committees
Bond underwriters
Community college foundations (for community college bond measures)
Charter school advocacy groups

<sup>69</sup> "Fiscal Effects of Voter Approval Requirements on Local Governments," Public Policy Institute of California, January 27, 2003, accessed June 28, 2015, [www.ppic.org/content/pubs/report/R\\_103KRR.pdf](http://www.ppic.org/content/pubs/report/R_103KRR.pdf)

<sup>70</sup> The districts were Sacramento City Unified School District (Measures Q and R), Solano Community College District (Measure Q), West Contra Costa Unified School District (Measure E), and San Diego Unified School District (Measure Z), with tables posted and accessed on June 28, 2015 at [www.LaborIssuesSolutions.com](http://www.LaborIssuesSolutions.com)

A 2005 opinion of the California Attorney General<sup>71</sup> (also referenced above in relation to bond underwriters and campaigns) determined that a community college district's auxiliary organizations (such as foundations and student body associations) are legally able to contribute their own privately raised funds to a political action committee established specifically to advocate voter approval of a bond measure. It is routine to see community college foundations contributing to bond campaigns. Like any 501(c)3 non-profit, college foundations are permitted to spend up to 20% of expenditures for influencing legislation, and that includes bond measures.

Controversy arose about this practice in 2004 after the Sierra College Foundation contributed about \$100,000 to three bond measure campaigns for the Sierra Community College District. Neither the Political Action Committees nor the Sierra College Foundation reported the contributions to the California Fair Political Practices Commission.<sup>72</sup>

At least two board members alleged that the college president, who estimated making 40 presentations to groups of prospective donors, had tried to hide the identities of contributors to the bond measure campaigns (including architects and engineering firms) using the Foundation as an intermediary. These board members also believed that people interested in contributing to the bond campaign were advised to make their contributions to the Foundation instead of the bond measure campaign committee in order to benefit from a tax deduction. The Placer County Civil Grand Jury ended up concluding there wasn't any reliable evidence to support these accusations against the college president, but the incident exposed some of the potential problems with college foundations acting as a intermediary to fund campaigns to pass bond measures.

### **Alleged “Pay-to-Play” by Some Bond Underwriters Gets Attention**

In the spring of 2012, there was a flurry of news media attention about some bond underwriters making contributions to campaigns for bond measures and subsequently making money through issuance fees as the underwriter for the bond sales. The news article that broke the story reported the following:

Leading financial firms over the past five years donated \$1.8 million to successful school bond measures in California, and in almost every instance, school district officials hired those same underwriters to sell the bonds for a profit, a *California Watch* review has found. The

---

<sup>71</sup> “Opinion No. 04-211,” Legal Opinions of the Attorney General, April 5, 2005, accessed June 28, 2015, [oag.ca.gov/system/files/opinions/pdfs/04-211.pdf](http://oag.ca.gov/system/files/opinions/pdfs/04-211.pdf)

<sup>72</sup> “Final Report: Refutation of Trustee’s Charges Against Former Sierra College President,” Placer County Civil Grand Jury, March 21, 2006, accessed June 28, 2015, [www.placer.courts.ca.gov/grandjury/2005-2006/2005-2006-gjfinalreport.pdf](http://www.placer.courts.ca.gov/grandjury/2005-2006/2005-2006-gjfinalreport.pdf)

practice is especially pronounced in California, where underwriters gave 155 political contributions since 2007 to successful bond campaigns for school construction and repairs.<sup>73</sup>

Under an amendment to Rule G-37, adopted in 2010, the Municipal Securities Rulemaking Board (MSRB) requires each broker, dealer or municipal securities dealer to send a form quarterly to the MSRB reporting their contributions to bond ballot campaigns if those contributions exceeded \$250. These contributions do not prohibit brokers from doing business with the entity proposing the bond measure, but the reporting requirements allow the public to identify these contributions as part of any effort to cross-reference them with contracts. Other rules prohibit brokers from doing business with entities if they have made campaign contributions to entity officials who make decisions related to selecting brokers for bond issues.

In 2009, the MSRB considered toughening Rule G-37 to prohibit brokers from doing business with government entities if those brokers contributed to campaigns to pass bond measures proposed by those government entities. California was cited as a particularly notorious location for the appearance of “pay-to-play” relationships. In the end, the MSRB declined to change the rule, citing constitutional First Amendment concerns.

*The Bond Buyer* reviewed broker contributions<sup>75</sup> to 2010 campaigns to pass bond measures in California and identified “a nearly perfect correlation between broker-dealer contributions to California school bond efforts in 2010 and their underwriting subsequent bond sales.” A spokesperson for California State Treasurer Bill Lockyer responded to the review: “...it is probably time to end the days when underwriters, bond counsels or financial advisors fund, manage or provide other key support for local bond campaigns, then get paid to do work on the bond sales.” In 2013, the Los Angeles County Treasurer and Tax Collector Mark Saladino adopted “a complete ban on cash and in-kind contributions from all firms in our underwriter pool starting no later than when we renew our pool for another year in January 2014.”<sup>76</sup>

---

<sup>73</sup> “With Campaign Donations, Bond Underwriters Also Secure Contracts,” *California Watch*, May 12, 2015, accessed June 28, 2015, [californiawatch.org/money-and-politics/campaign-donations-bond-underwriters-also-secure-contracts-16032](http://californiawatch.org/money-and-politics/campaign-donations-bond-underwriters-also-secure-contracts-16032)

<sup>74</sup> “Rule G-37: Political Contributions and Prohibitions on Municipal Securities Business,” Municipal Securities Rulemaking Board, accessed June 28, 2015, [www.msrb.org/Rules-and-Interpretations/MSRB-Rules/General/Rule-G-37.aspx](http://www.msrb.org/Rules-and-Interpretations/MSRB-Rules/General/Rule-G-37.aspx)

<sup>75</sup> “Brokers' Gifts That Keep Giving,” *The Bond Buyer*, January 13, 2012, accessed June 28, 2015, [www.bondbuyer.com/issues/121\\_10/california-broker-dealer-contributions-school-bond-issue-1035266-1.html](http://www.bondbuyer.com/issues/121_10/california-broker-dealer-contributions-school-bond-issue-1035266-1.html)

<sup>76</sup> “Proposed Underwriter Pool Changes” Los Angeles County Treasurer and Tax Collector, August 8, 2013, accessed June 28, 2015, <http://ttc.lacounty.gov/proptax/docs/Underwriter%20Pool%20Memo.pdf>

## 8. More Troubling Issues with Educational Construction Bond Finance

While compiling the comprehensive information provided in this study, California Policy Center researchers identified numerous other troubling aspects of bond finance. School and college districts are evading compliance with the law and making irresponsible decisions. Ordinary voters lack enough data to make an informed vote. Community activists who seek deeper understanding find themselves stymied.

### Bad Government Behavior

#### *1. Some School and College Districts Don't Comply with Proposition 39*

Two examples of investigative reports on educational district compliance with Proposition 39 are the San Diego County Taxpayers Association 2015 School Bond Transparency Scorecard<sup>77</sup> and a 2010 San Mateo County Civil Grand Jury report entitled “School Bond Citizens’ Oversight Committees, Prop 39.”<sup>78</sup> These reports show some districts are close to full compliance while others don’t seem to be complying at all. It appears that two types of districts are broadly failing to comply: (1) small school districts, which may have limited capability to comply, and (2) large school districts routinely accused of fiscal irresponsibility and mismanagement.

#### *2. Spend It Or Lose It? Districts Can Sell Bonds Decades After Voter Approval*

Some school and college districts ask voters to approve new authority to borrow additional money for facilities construction even though much of the authority from previous bond measures to borrow money has not been used. This is a strategy to circumvent tax and debt limits imposed by state law on individual bond measures, and it leaves millions (and sometimes billions) of dollars in borrowing authority dangling for future school boards to exercise long after voters have forgotten the election.

#### *3. Districts Sell Bonds at a Premium and Use the Extra Money to Pay Issuance Fees*

The California Attorney General’s office is preparing a legal opinion (14-202) on whether school and college districts can use a premium to pay bond issuance fees. The question asked is “May the ‘premium’ generated from a school district bond sale be used to pay for expenses of issuance and other transaction costs?” (See Table 8 for a list of such fees.)

---

<sup>77</sup> “2015 School Bond Transparency Scorecard,” San Diego County Taxpayers Association, [www.sdcta.org/policy/policy-detail.html?id=1727](http://www.sdcta.org/policy/policy-detail.html?id=1727)

<sup>78</sup> “School Bond Citizens’ Oversight Committees, Prop 39,” [https://www.sanmateocourt.org/documents/grand\\_jury/2009/prop39.pdf](https://www.sanmateocourt.org/documents/grand_jury/2009/prop39.pdf)

In 2011, the California Attorney General warned the Poway Unified School District that “artificially inflating the interest rate to generate premium” to pay for costs of issuance would be illegal.<sup>79</sup>

The California State Treasurer or a state agency needs to compile a list of bond issues for which buyers paid a premium that the district then used to pay bond issuance fees. How rampant is the practice and how much has it cost California taxpayers?

#### ***4. Firms Get Contracts to Prepare a Bond Measure Before the Election and Then Get Contracts to Implement the Bond Measure After the Election***

The California Attorney General’s office is preparing a legal opinion (13-304) on whether a party that gets a contract with a school or college district for surveying voters and preparing a bond measure can then get a contract as the bond underwriter (bond broker) for issuances approved by that same bond measure. The question asked is “In connection with a school or community college bond measure, does a district violate state law by contracting with a bond underwriter for both pre-election campaign services and post-election underwriting services?”

#### ***5. Is There Exaggeration, Deception, or Outright Fraud When Districts Assess Needs for Another Bond Measure?***

Some school and college districts seek to borrow more money for school construction even when their enrollment has been substantially declining for years and is projected to continue declining. Overcrowding would not seem to be a problem in such districts. Is the need legitimate?

A state agency should conduct random audits for several school or college districts to determine the credibility of their facilities plan based on their evaluations of safety, class size reduction and information technology needs. Numerous bond measures include the words “safety” and “security” in the ballot question and statement, insinuating to voters that students and teachers may be physically harmed unless the district can borrow money via bond sales for construction projects. Are there truly legitimate threats to safety and security in schools throughout the state?

#### ***6. A Handful of Voters in Future Development Areas Have Given School Districts Massive Authority to Sell Bonds and Put the Bills on Future Residents***

When researchers for the California Policy Center developed preliminary charts now in the appendix to this report and began circulating them publicly early in 2015, two bond measures received unexpected attention on the list of 1,147 considered since enactment of Proposition 39.

---

<sup>79</sup> “Re: *Poway Unified School District v. All Persons Interested* - Superior Court of California, County of San Diego, Case No. 37-2010-00106255-CU- MC-CTLAG,” California Attorney General letter to Poway Unified School District, Orange County Government, March 1, 2011, accessed June 28, 2015, [http://cams.ocgov.com/Web\\_Publisher/Agenda11\\_05\\_2013\\_files/images/ATTORNEY%20GENERAL%20OPINION%20-%20POWAY%20BOND%20PREMIUM\\_9843497.PDF](http://cams.ocgov.com/Web_Publisher/Agenda11_05_2013_files/images/ATTORNEY%20GENERAL%20OPINION%20-%20POWAY%20BOND%20PREMIUM_9843497.PDF)

In both of these cases, a school district created the boundaries of a School Facilities Improvement District — carved out of the entire district — in a sparsely-populated where future development will occur and future schools will be built.

Apparently the Folsom-Cordova Unified School District compared this option<sup>80</sup> to the establishment of a Community Facilities District funded by Mello-Roos fees and chose this financing option. Its Improvement District had a population in 2006 of about 330 persons.

**Table 17: Bond Measures Approved by a Handful of Voters for Huge Amounts**

Educational District	Folsom Cordova Unified School District SFID No. 3	Roseville Joint Union High School District SFID No. 1
Amount Authorized to Borrow	<b>\$750,000,000</b>	<b>\$115,000,000</b>
Date of Election	2007-03-27	2007-04-24
Counties	Sacramento	Placer
Measure	M	A
Needed to Pass	66.7%	66.7%
Yes	60	11
No	14	1
Total	74	12
Passage	<b>81.1%</b>	<b>91.7%</b>
Amount Per Vote	<b>\$12,500,000</b>	<b>\$10,454,545</b>

### Shortcomings That Hinder Voters

The California legislature recognizes that some ballot statements for bond measures do not contain enough relevant information for voters. In 2014, Governor Brown signed into law Assembly Bill 2551, introduced by Assemblyman Scott Wilk, which requires each bond issue proposed by a local government to include estimates from official sources of tax rates for certain years, the maximum annual tax rate, and total debt service (the principal and interest that would

<sup>80</sup> “Resource Center,” California’s Coalition for Adequate School Housing, accessed June 28, 2015, <https://www.cashnet.org/resource-center/resourcefiles/651.pdf>

be required to be repaid if all the bonds are issued and sold).<sup>81</sup> The bill never received a vote in opposition. In 2015, Assemblyman Jay Obernolte introduced Assembly Bill 809, which requires the ballot statement for local tax measures to include information on the amount of money to be raised annually and the rate and duration of the tax to be levied.<sup>82</sup> As of July 13, 2015, the bill was moving through Senate committees after passing the Assembly 57-8 (with 15 not voting).

### ***1. Ballot Questions and Statements Aren't Useful to the Ordinary Voter***

A 2009 Little Hoover Commission report on bond measures<sup>83</sup> noticed the lack of “fundamental criteria for ballot measures” and recommended a “simple, easy-to-understand report card in the voter guide for all bond measures placed on the ballot.” The problem continues unabated today.

Bond measures tend to be presented to voters in a vacuum, with minimal context about the past history of the district’s bond measures and construction programs. Voters can misinterpret proposed bond measures as a desperate response to a long-standing unaddressed crisis of unsafe, decrepit, and overcrowded classrooms, laboratories, and athletic facilities.

Voters need a chance to consider whether they should approve millions or even billions in new bond authority, even if millions or even billions of money has already been borrowed and millions or billions in existing authority still remains to be spent. This would reveal any history of foolish bond issues or debt acquisition.

### ***2. Information Provided to Voters Needs More Pictures, Charts, and Tables***

As mentioned in Section 5 of this report, a possible reason why the public finally discovered the extreme Capital Appreciation Bond financing arrangements of the Poway Unified School District was the simple and colorful graphics in the *Voice of San Diego* articles about it. More than ever, American society depends on imagery, charts, and tables for information instead of prose.

### ***3. Voters Need to See Assessed Property Valuation and District Enrollment Projections***

Projections of the rate of change for assessed property valuation in the district should be among the most important elements in decisions concerning bond issues. Voters need to consider a

---

<sup>81</sup> Text - AB 2551 “Local ballot measures: bond issues,” California Legislative Information, accessed June 28, 2015, [http://leginfo.ca.gov/faces/billNavClient.xhtml?bill\\_id=201320140AB2551](http://leginfo.ca.gov/faces/billNavClient.xhtml?bill_id=201320140AB2551)

<sup>82</sup> Text - AB 809 “Local initiative measures: ballot printing specifications,” California Legislative Information, accessed June 28, 2015, [http://leginfo.ca.gov/faces/billTextClient.xhtml?bill\\_id=201520160AB809](http://leginfo.ca.gov/faces/billTextClient.xhtml?bill_id=201520160AB809)

<sup>83</sup> “Bond Spending: Expanding and Enhancing Oversight,” California Little Hoover Commission, June 24, 2009, accessed June 28, 2015, <http://www.lhc.ca.gov/studies/197/report197.pdf>

history of wild swings in assessed property valuation in the district and decide whether projections are realistic or exaggerated.

A report on Capital Appreciation Bonds from the 2013-2014 Orange County Grand Jury<sup>84</sup> recognized “there has been virtually no publicity concerning the implications of debt service repayment for CABs, specifically the magnitude of potentially higher taxes. There is potential for some school districts, through the County, to increase property taxes well beyond what was presented when the bonds were issued in order to repay the CABs.” Results of the Grand Jury’s investigation were depicted in tables. At least three school districts in Orange County predicted assessed property valuation to grow at unrealistically high rates when they asked voters to approve bond measures. As a result, these districts will have to levy tax rates far beyond what was portrayed to voters in order to pay off the Capital Appreciation Bonds. In addition, voters need to be aware if the school or college district asking to borrow money for construction is experiencing a long-term trend up or down in student enrollment. There are arguments for borrowing a lot of money for facilities construction during a time of dropping enrollment (Wiseburn Unified School District is an example of this deliberate strategy),<sup>85</sup> but the message to voters needs to reflect actual circumstances.

#### ***4. Ballot Questions for Bond Measures Deceive and Manipulate Voters***

Several ballot questions for proposed community college bond measures have specifically singled out veterans as beneficiaries. As noted in Section 2, polling shows that voters respond positively to the idea that a bond measure will help veterans. As a result, the possibility that veterans will be using facilities funded by bond proceeds gets prominent mention in ballot language.

On June 29, 2015, the Solano County Grand Jury issued a report highly critical of the ballot title and ballot statement for Measure Q, a November 2012 ballot measure that authorized the Solano Community College District to borrow \$348 million for construction by selling bonds to investors.<sup>86</sup> The Grand Jury asserted that voters were duped into thinking that proceeds from selling bonds would directly provide classroom instruction and job training for veterans and other students. It suggested that future bond measures conform narrowly to Proposition 39 language and focus on construction of educational facilities:

---

<sup>84</sup> “School Bonds: The Untold Story of Assessed Values,” Orange County Grand Jury 2013-14, accessed June 28, 2015, [http://www.ocgrandjury.org/pdfs/2013\\_2014\\_GJreport/BondsReport.pdf](http://www.ocgrandjury.org/pdfs/2013_2014_GJreport/BondsReport.pdf)

<sup>85</sup> “Former Wiseburn Schools Chief Don Brann Will Take Reins of Troubled Inglewood Unified,” *Daily Breeze*, June 28, 2013, accessed June 28, 2015, [www.dailybreeze.com/general-news/20130628/former-wiseburn-schools-chief-don-brann-will-take-reins-of-troubled-inglewood-unified](http://www.dailybreeze.com/general-news/20130628/former-wiseburn-schools-chief-don-brann-will-take-reins-of-troubled-inglewood-unified)

<sup>86</sup> “We Have Your Money, Now What?” Solano County Grand Jury 2014-15, accessed June 30, 2015, <http://solano.courts.ca.gov/materials/Measure%20Q.pdf>

*Finding 1*

The language of Measure Q was misleading. While Proposition 39 generally authorizes funding of buildings and land purchases even the name of the measure, “The Solano Community College District Student/Veterans’ Affordable Education Job Training, Classroom Repair Measure,” suggests otherwise.

*Recommendation 1*

Language used in future school bond proposals be limited to that which is stated in the authorizing statute.

References to veterans is an example of how campaign consultants have developed ballot titles, questions, and summaries that manipulate the emotions of uninformed voters who are looking at a ballot and deciding how to vote. Another example is the claim that “all funds stay local” or “all funds benefit neighborhood schools.” This statement ignores how taxpayers will pay the financial services industry for issuance fees and may end up providing more funds for interest payments to wealthy bond investors than for principal spent on design and construction of neighborhood schools.

These clever campaign tactics would probably withstand legal challenges based on California Elections Code Section 9509, which establishes a standard for a legitimate challenge to a title, question, or statement of a school or college district ballot measure. A complaint must have “clear and convincing proof that the material in question is false, misleading, or inconsistent” with state law.<sup>87</sup>

**Grassroots Activism on Bond Measures Is Difficult*****1. Municipal Finance Is Confusing, Even for People Motivated to Understand It***

As stated in a 2013-14 Orange County Civil Grand Jury report on Capital Appreciation Bonds, “This topic required extensive research. Numerous newspaper articles were reviewed...An extensive Internet search was conducted to learn about the mechanics of bond financing and the related mathematics.”<sup>88</sup> An ordinary person may have difficulty understanding concepts and jargon of municipal finance. It’s also a challenge for anyone without education or experience in accounting to identify and extract relevant information from financial audits and official statements.

---

<sup>87</sup> California Elections Code Sections 9500-9509, accessed June 28, 2015, <http://www.leginfo.ca.gov/cgi-bin/displaycode?section=elec&group=09001-10000&file=9500-9509>

<sup>88</sup> “School Bonds: The Untold Story of Assessed Values,” Orange County Grand Jury 2013-14, accessed June 28, 2015, [http://www.ocgrandjury.org/pdfs/2013\\_2014\\_GJreport/BondsReport.pdf](http://www.ocgrandjury.org/pdfs/2013_2014_GJreport/BondsReport.pdf)

In particular, Capital Appreciation Bonds are difficult to comprehend. To complicate matters, accreted interest for this type of debt instrument is portrayed differently depending on whether accounting is done on a “cash basis” or on an “accrual basis.” In the generally accepted accounting principles developed by the Financial Accounting Standards Board, each year’s interest payment is included as an expenditure for the year. This is accounting done on a cash basis. But in the generally accepted accounting standards for state and local governments developed by the Governmental Accounting Standards Board, accreted interest on Capital Appreciation Bonds is not recorded as a current expenditure until the bond matures. This is accounting done on an accrual basis.

Translating these concepts into something easy to understand is critical for the public to evaluate the wisdom of proposed bond issues.

## ***2. Centralized Data Isn’t Available to Compare Debt Finance Conditions of Districts***

Where does the public go to find out how a school or college district funds facility construction and how it compares to other educational districts in the county or state?

In most cases, state law has not assigned any state or local agency with the responsibility to collect such information and provide it to the public in an accessible format. Even for information that state law requires to be collected and published — such as waivers from tax and debt limits — agencies are not providing the information in a way that alerts the public to existing or potential problems.

The California State Treasurer’s office has a “California Debt Issuance Database”<sup>89</sup> administered by the California Debt and Investment Advisory Commission that allows the public to search for certain information about individual bond issues. School boards are required to submit certain information and reports regarding the sale or planned sale of bonds to the California Debt and Investment Advisory Commission. This database is better than nothing, but realistically it is not a useful tool for the ordinary citizen.

## ***3. Basic Financial Information Is Inaccessible, Especially at Smaller School Districts***

Many school districts are not posting their state-mandated financial reports on their websites for public access. Useful documents that the public should be able to readily access include PDF versions of annual financial audits and bond program audits.

For cases in which financial reports are not available on the web, adequate response to public records requests is often elusive. E-mailed requests to educational districts to get these reports do

---

<sup>89</sup> [www.treasurer.ca.gov/cdiac/debtdatadatabase\\_text.asp](http://www.treasurer.ca.gov/cdiac/debtdatadatabase_text.asp)

not always result in a prompt response. In particular, officials in small rural school districts do not seem responsive to an outside individual or organization requesting the district's financial information. Researchers for this project struggled to obtain financial audits that would reveal details of Capital Appreciation Bond sales with ratios of debt service to principal that are much worse than the Poway Unified School District.

#### ***4. “Private Placements” Sometimes Eliminate Official Statements as a Source of Data***

The Municipal Securities Rulemaking Board (MSRB) Electronic Municipal Market Access (EMMA) database was created and is maintained for the benefit of potential buyers of municipal bonds. Nevertheless, the Official Statements posted on the database are a valuable source of information for members of the general public who are interested in the debt finance and financial status of a state or local government agency.

Some school districts use “private placement” to sell bonds rather than using a more traditional method of selling bonds in the primary market to many investors. This is supposed to allow for lower interest rates on the bonds and save money for taxpayers. Because the individual private investors are considered qualified to do their own research into the credit and financial status of a district, “private placements” for bond sales by educational districts are exempt from the federal requirement to post Official Statements.

Researchers were unable to determine current debt service for several small school districts for which Official Statements were not posted on EMMA. At least two of them (Exeter Union High School District and Columbia Union School District) used private placements for their most recent bond sales. It is likely that every school district missing an Official Statement on EMMA for its most recent bond issue used private placement.

#### ***5. Public Information About General Obligation Bonds Varies in Formats and Completeness***

In the annual Financial Audits for educational districts, information about general obligation bonds are presented in different ways. Some reports give details about each series of bonds that are issued, while some do not.

The same problem applies to the Official Statements on the EMMA database. Charts that indicate outstanding debt service are presented in different formats. Some charts provide details about principal and interest for each bond measure and some do not. A few Official Statements for educational districts that have substantial bond debt did not even add up the columns. Official Statements are only produced when bonds are issued, so the most recent information available on the EMMA database can be more than a decade out of date. EMMA only became operational in the late 2000s, so information from the mid-1990s and earlier is often not available.

#### ***6. Refunding Bonds and Reauthorization Bonds Complicate Matters***

When a school district refunds some of its bonds with a new bond issue, the record becomes fuzzy about how much principal is still owed for each bond measure and bond issue. Some districts have repeatedly issued refunding bonds, thus creating confusion about what bond measures are responsible for creating current debt. Taxpayers in some educational districts are still paying for bond measures approved in the late 1980s and early 1990s, but that fact is now hidden behind more recent refunded bond issues.

Since 2000, sixteen school districts have asked voters to reauthorize previously-approved bond authority, thus complicating the reporting of bond authority and bond debt. When voters reauthorize bond authority in a new election, they trigger new capacity for the district to levy taxes and accumulate debt. GO Reauthorization Bonds®,<sup>90</sup> developed by the municipal debt financial advisory firm Dale Scott & Company, are marketed to districts that have reached their tax and debt limits, want to borrow more money for construction, but also want to avoid extensive sales of Capital Appreciation Bonds as the scheme to circumvent the tax and debt limits.

***7. Critical Information Often Can Only Be Found in Old Board Meeting Packets Not Available for Easy Public Access***

Perhaps the most important information to evaluate when considering bond issues are the projections of assessed valuation. If such projections are even recorded, they are often only found in presentations that financial advisors make to the board of trustees. Those presentations might or might not be included in old board meeting packets that might or might not be posted on a district website.

---

<sup>90</sup> “How to Kick-Start a Stalled G.O. Bond Program,” Association of Chief Business Officials, May 21, 2013, accessed June 28, 2015, [www.acbo.org/files/Conference/2013 Spring/GOREauthorizationBonds.pdf](http://www.acbo.org/files/Conference/2013%20Spring/GOREauthorizationBonds.pdf)

## 9. Improving Oversight, Accountability, and Fiscal Responsibility

To help fix the many deficiencies identified in this report concerning school construction finance, the California legislature and the executive branch are urged to adopt 23 specific recommendations organized into these five goals:

<b>1</b>	<b>Provide Adequate and Effective Oversight and Accountability for Bond Measures</b>
<b>2</b>	<b>Enable Voters to Make a Reasonably Informed Decision on Bond Measures</b>
<b>3</b>	<b>Eliminate or Mitigate Conflicts of Interest in Contracting Related to Bond Measures</b>
<b>4</b>	<b>Reduce Inappropriate, Excessive, or Unnecessary Spending of Bond Proceeds</b>
<b>5</b>	<b>Improve Understanding of Bond Measures Through Public Education Campaigns</b>

Adoption of these 23 recommendations will help California voters to become more wary of borrowing billions more from wealthy investors for educational construction. Future generations will benefit when these five visions are advanced:

<b>1</b>	<b>Californians will know basic critical information about bonds and the meaning of bond measures. They will know that money from bond measures is borrowed from investors and must be paid back to investors with interest over time through taxes.</b>
<b>2</b>	<b>Official election material provided to voters by the government will provide a more objective and balanced perspective of proposed bond measures, including information about the district showing the cumulative history of bond debt and showing changes in annual enrollment and assessed taxable property value.</b>
<b>3</b>	<b>Voters will rely less on emotional language and unproven claims engineered by political consultants and focus more on charts, tables, and graphics that give context.</b>
<b>4</b>	<b>School and college districts and their bond finance and campaign consultants will be compelled to adjust to a more informed voter pool with more caution, responsibility, and accountability in their proposals to accumulate more debt.</b>
<b>5</b>	<b>California voters will use their much clearer understanding about bond measures to reward school and college districts that practice openness, transparency, and fiscal responsibility, while rejecting additional borrowing authority for school and college districts that unwisely borrow and spend money.</b>

The introduction to a 2009 California Little Hoover Commission report entitled *Bond Spending: Expanding and Enhancing Oversight*<sup>91</sup> claimed that government “must earn Californians’ confidence by demonstrating that it is providing oversight and accountability for the dollars put in their trust and delivering the promised value once a project is completed. Such confidence will be critical to the success of any future bond proposals.”

This warning was not heeded and the prediction was wrong. Oversight and accountability has not measurably improved, but Californians continue to vote for state and local bond measures.

The California Policy Center rejects the idea that additional oversight and accountability isn’t needed or desirable. Some legislative reforms and education programs (both public and private) can overcome voter cynicism, frustration, apathy, and ignorance. The following charts provide 23 recommendations for adoption by the California legislature, California executive branch agencies, and California local officials such as county treasurers.

---

<sup>91</sup> “Bond Spending: Expanding and Enhancing Oversight,” California Little Hoover Commission, June 24, 2009, accessed June 28, 2015, <http://www.lhc.ca.gov/studies/197/report197.pdf>

<b>Specific Recommendations to Achieve Goal 1: Provide Adequate and Effective Oversight and Accountability for Bond Measures</b>	
1	Expand the statutory responsibilities of the Citizens Bond Oversight Committee to include an annual review of the district's arrangements for issuing and repaying bonds.
2	<p>Assign the California State Treasurer or a state agency to produce an annual report to the legislature and the public about the status of bond measures. The data shall consist of eight categories for every community college district and K-12 school district, presented in a format that allows the public to download some or all data into a common spreadsheet software for easy sorting by type of data.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. The name of the school district or college district.</li> <li>2. The enrollment or average daily attendance of the district.</li> <li>3. The total assessed valuation of the district.</li> <li>4. The amount of bond authority approved by voters since 1986.</li> <li>5. The amount of bonds issued since 1986.</li> <li>6. The amount of outstanding bond authority for the fiscal year immediately proceeding the current year.</li> <li>7. The amount of outstanding principal for the fiscal year immediately proceeding the current year.</li> <li>8. The total amount of debt service (principal and interest owed over the terms of all outstanding bonds if they are not redeemed early or refunded).</li> </ol> <p>This information should also be printed on a dedicated page of the district's annual financial report required under Proposition 39. This would make the report more accessible to oversight committee members and other members of the general public who aren't familiar with balance sheets or accounting principles.</p>
3	Require districts to obtain reasonable and informed projections of assessed property valuation from an independent source (NOT from their bond advisors and consultants) before placing a bond measure on the ballot.
4	<p>Assign and provide funding to a state agency or agencies for the following activities:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Ensure that every school or college district that administers a bond measure approved under Proposition 39 complies with legal requirements for a bond oversight committee, bond program performance audits, and bond program financial audits, including posting of required information on the district website.</li> <li>2. Establish and maintain a centralized web-based database of California bond program performance audits and bond program financial audits for all districts.</li> <li>3. Promote bond oversight committees to the public, educate and train bond oversight committee members and relevant district administrators, and provide resources and assistance to school and college districts to fill vacancies on the committees.</li> </ol>

5	Give a state agency or county official specific authority to block educational districts from selling bonds when their Independent Citizens' Bond Oversight Committees are dormant or otherwise not compliant with state law.
6	Require a school or college district to issue 85% of the bonds authorized by voters within three years after voter approval of the bond measure, and require 100% of the bond proceeds to be spent or redeemed within seven years after voter approval.

Specific Recommendations to Achieve Goal 2: Enable Voters to Make a Reasonably Informed Decision on Bond Measures	
1	<p>Bond measure ballot titles should be more accurate and objective, perhaps using standard language similar to this that balances construction projects with debt finance plans:</p> <p><i>Shall [NAME OF DISTRICT] be authorized to borrow up to [\$xxxxx] in the next [x] years for construction, reconstruction, rehabilitation, replacement, furnishing or equipping of specified school facilities by selling bonds and paying the buyers back, with interest, within [xx] years after the bonds are issued?</i></p>
2	<p>To provide proper historical context for the proposed bond measure, ballot statements should provide a table with a tally of each proposed bond measure approved by voters going back to 1987 with the following information:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Amount that voters authorized the district to borrow through bond sales.</li> <li>2. Amount of the borrowing authority that still remains to be spent.</li> <li>3. Amount of principal that the district still needs to pay back to investors.</li> <li>4. Amount of debt service that the district will need to pay back to investors.</li> </ol>
3	<p>Ballot statements should provide a chart with the history and projections of assessed property valuation for the district:</p> <p>History:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Each year annually for previous 10 years.</li> <li>• The last two previous five-year periods.</li> <li>• The last previous ten-year period.</li> </ul> <p>Projections:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Each year annually for next 10 years.</li> <li>• The next two five-year periods.</li> <li>• The next ten-year period.</li> </ul>
4	<p>Ballot statements should provide a chart with the history of enrollment (or average daily attendance) in the district for the previous five years, the most accurate assessment of current enrollment, and the projected enrollment for the next five years.</p>

<b>Specific Recommendations to Achieve Goal 3: Eliminate or Mitigate Conflicts of Interest in Contracting Related to Bond Measures</b>	
1	All campaign contribution reports for and against bond measures should be available to the public in easily-accessible electronic form on either the district website or the county elections office website.
2	Prohibit corporations and individuals that obtain a contract from a district for feasibility studies or consultation on developing a bond measure from also obtaining a contract for services related to bond issuance, including bond underwriting services.
3	California statewide officeholders and the California legislature should encourage the Municipal Securities Rulemaking Board (MSRB) to adopt a rule that provides more comprehensive reporting requirements and either restricts or bans the practice of hybrid bond campaign consultants/bond underwriters getting a contract for bond measure preparation and/or campaign services and then getting a contract (sometimes without competitive bidding) for bond underwriting.

<b>Specific Recommendations to Achieve Goal 4: Reduce Inappropriate, Excessive, or Unnecessary Spending of Bond Proceeds</b>	
1	Local education agencies should be explicitly prohibited from using proceeds from long-term bonds (bonds with maturities exceeding three years) to buy technological equipment such as portable personal electronics (iPads).
2	The California Attorney General should issue a legal opinion on some of the ambiguities of “Furnishing and Equipment,” including portable personal electronics, software that comes in a package with electronics, and hiring companies to move furniture from one building to another.
3	Bond premiums should not exceed 1% of the principal of the bond series or be used to offset transaction fees or costs of issuance.
4	Criteria relevant to construction bond finance should be developed for the California State Board of Education to evaluate when considering applications from school districts for tax and debt waivers. Two grounds for rejecting waiver applications should be excessive indebtedness and insufficient evidence that new facilities are needed.
5	A detailed history of tax and debt waiver requests and approvals from the California State Board of Education should be posted on its website.
6	Following the 1994 example of Michigan, California school and college districts should be prohibited from issuing Capital Appreciation Bonds. Assembly Bill 182 has not sufficiently discouraged this kind of debt finance.

Specific Recommendations to Achieve Goal 5: Improve Understanding of Bond Measures Through Public Education Campaigns	
1	The California State Treasurer or another state agency should commission a study to determine if the state’s voters understand a bond measure, including how the government obtains money via borrowing from investors and pays back the money, with interest, over time to those investors by collecting taxes. County treasurers/tax collectors can conduct a similar survey for their counties.
2	The California State Treasurer or another state agency should consider seeking funding for the development and implementation of a non-partisan public education campaign to increase voter knowledge about bond measures and public debt related to bonds. The funding could be appropriated in the state’s general fund or received as a contribution from foundations or other private sources. County treasurers/tax collectors can do the same on a county basis.
3	The California State Treasurer should use the position to warn the public to be thoughtful and cautious about how much debt is being imposed on future generations — our children and grandchildren — through excessive borrowing and extreme methods of debt finance such as Capital Appreciation Bonds. County treasurers/tax collectors can do the same on a county basis.
4	Information about bonds and bond measures should be added to the suggested “Financial Literacy and Mathematics Education” component of the California Department of Education Curriculum Frameworks.

## **Tables A1 to A6**

**Table A-1**  
**California K-12 School Districts 2013-2014 - Ranked by Enrollment**

<b>Rank</b>	<b>District</b>	<b>Total</b>
1	Los Angeles Unified School District	646,683
2	San Diego Unified School District	129,779
3	Garden Grove Unified School District	92,354
4	Long Beach Unified School District	79,709
5	Fresno Unified School District	73,543
6	Elk Grove Unified School District	62,888
7	San Francisco Unified School District	58,414
8	Santa Ana Unified School District	56,815
9	Capistrano Unified School District	54,036
10	Corona-Norco Unified School District	53,739
11	San Bernardino City Unified School District	53,365
12	San Juan Unified School District	49,114
13	Oakland Unified School District	48,077
14	Sacramento City Unified School District	46,868
15	Riverside Unified School District	42,339
16	Clovis Unified School District	41,169
17	Sweetwater Union High School District	41,018
18	Stockton Unified School District	40,057
19	Fontana Unified School District	39,470
20	Kern High School District	37,318
21	Poway Unified School District	35,629
22	Fremont Unified School District	34,208
23	Moreno Valley Unified School District	34,170
24	San Jose Unified School District	32,938
25	San Ramon Valley Unified School District	31,954

**Table A-1**  
**California K-12 School Districts 2013-2014 - Ranked by Enrollment**

<b>Rank</b>	<b>District</b>	<b>Total</b>
26	Mt. Diablo Unified School District	31,923
27	Anaheim Union High School District	31,659
28	Irvine Unified School District	31,392
29	Twin Rivers Unified School District	31,035
30	West Contra Costa Unified School District	30,596
31	Lodi Unified School District	30,349
32	Bakersfield City School District	30,076
33	Temecula Valley Unified School District	30,016
34	Chino Valley Unified School District	29,937
35	Chula Vista Elementary School District	29,806
36	Orange Unified School District	29,473
37	Montebello Unified School District	29,062
38	Saddleback Valley Unified School District	29,028
39	Desert Sands Unified School District	28,999
40	Visalia Unified School District	28,267
41	William S. Hart Union High School District	26,983
42	East Side Union High School District	26,760
43	Rialto Unified School District	26,225
44	Glendale Unified School District	26,168
45	Placentia-Yorba Linda Unified School District	25,595
46	Vista Unified School District	25,377
47	Pomona Unified School District	25,311
48	Antelope Valley Union High School District	24,619
49	Chaffey Joint Union High School District	24,598
50	Tustin Unified School District	24,059

**Table A-1**  
**California K-12 School Districts 2013-2014 - Ranked by Enrollment**

<b>Rank</b>	<b>District</b>	<b>Total</b>
51	Torrance Unified School District	23,947
52	Hesperia Unified School District	23,735
53	Palm Springs Unified School District	23,332
54	Colton Joint Unified School District	23,322
55	Manteca Unified School District	23,188
56	Downey Unified School District	22,698
57	Murrieta Valley Unified School District	22,698
58	Hayward Unified School District	22,555
59	Ontario-Montclair School District	22,521
60	Lake Elsinore Unified School District	22,258
61	Grossmont Union High School District	22,220
62	Compton Unified School District	22,106
63	Palmdale Elementary School District	21,956
64	Newport-Mesa Unified School District	21,905
65	Hemet Unified School District	21,414
66	Fairfield-Suisun Unified School District	21,366
67	Redlands Unified School District	21,326
68	ABC Unified School District	20,998
69	Oceanside Unified School District	20,980
70	San Marcos Unified School District	20,452
71	Pajaro Valley Unified School District	20,438
72	Madera Unified School District	20,415
73	Val Verde Unified School District	19,841
74	Conejo Valley Unified School District	19,727
75	Hacienda la Puente Unified School District	19,642

**Table A-1**  
**California K-12 School Districts 2013-2014 - Ranked by Enrollment**

<b>Rank</b>	<b>District</b>	<b>Total</b>
76	Folsom-Cordova Unified School District	19,527
77	Alvord Unified School District	19,390
78	Jurupa Unified School District	19,330
79	Escondido Union School District	19,204
80	Anaheim City School District	19,164
81	Cupertino Union School District	19,079
82	Norwalk-La Mirada Unified School District	18,960
83	Coachella Valley Unified School District	18,878
84	Napa Valley Unified School District	18,610
85	Pasadena Unified School District	18,586
86	Antioch Unified School District	18,352
87	Baldwin Park Unified School District	18,316
88	Simi Valley Unified School District	17,821
89	Alhambra Unified School District	17,617
90	Panama-Buena Vista Union School District	17,469
91	Ventura Unified School District	17,366
92	Oxnard Union High School District	17,148
93	Tracy Joint Unified School District	16,935
94	Oxnard School District	16,916
95	Cajon Valley Union School District	16,601
96	Huntington Beach Union High School District	16,343
97	Burbank Unified School District	16,332
98	Santa Maria-Bonita School District	16,026
99	Paramount Unified School District	15,681
100	Santa Barbara Unified School District	15,593

**Table A-1**  
**California K-12 School Districts 2013-2014 - Ranked by Enrollment**

<b>Rank</b>	<b>District</b>	<b>Total</b>
101	Central Unified School District	15,584
102	Santa Clara Unified School District	15,298
103	Modesto City Elementary School District	15,259
104	Lancaster Elementary School District	15,149
105	Rowland Unified School District	15,055
106	Vallejo City Unified School District	14,996
107	Modesto City High School District	14,969
108	Lynwood Unified School District	14,776
109	Pleasanton Unified School District	14,768
110	Walnut Valley Unified School District	14,532
111	Salinas Union High School District	14,437
112	Apple Valley Unified School District	14,401
113	Fullerton Joint Union High School District	14,396
114	West Covina Unified School District	14,213
115	Turlock Unified School District	14,127
116	Porterville Unified School District	14,119
117	Victor Valley Union High School District	13,889
118	Chico Unified School District	13,739
119	Ceres Unified School District	13,694
120	Fullerton Elementary School District	13,678
121	Livermore Valley Joint Unified School District	13,653
122	Etiwanda Elementary School District	13,652
123	Natomas Unified School District	13,630
124	Inglewood Unified School District	13,469
125	Yuba City Unified School District	13,366

**Table A-1**  
**California K-12 School Districts 2013-2014 - Ranked by Enrollment**

<b>Rank</b>	<b>District</b>	<b>Total</b>
126	Bellflower Unified School District	13,149
127	Whittier Union High School District	12,983
128	Evergreen Elementary School District	12,857
129	Vacaville Unified School District	12,837
130	Rocklin Unified School District	12,738
131	San Dieguito Union High School District	12,645
132	Palo Alto Unified School District	12,527
133	New Haven Unified School District	12,459
134	Alum Rock Union Elementary School District	12,386
135	Covina-Valley Unified School District	12,274
136	Victor Elementary School District	12,181
137	La Mesa-Spring Valley School District	12,144
138	San Lorenzo Unified School District	12,070
139	San Mateo-Foster City School District	11,858
140	Gilroy Unified School District	11,840
141	Palos Verdes Peninsula Unified School District	11,632
142	Upland Unified School District	11,380
143	Santa Monica-Malibu Unified School District	11,289
144	Las Virgenes Unified School District	11,259
145	Santa Rosa High School District	11,244
146	Sanger Unified School District	11,204
147	Franklin-McKinley Elementary School District	11,193
148	Carlsbad Unified School District	11,049
149	Alameda Unified School District	11,020
150	Menifee Union Elementary School District	11,011

**Table A-1**  
**California K-12 School Districts 2013-2014 - Ranked by Enrollment**

<b>Rank</b>	<b>District</b>	<b>Total</b>
151	Pittsburg Unified School District	10,969
152	Oak Grove Elementary School District	10,921
153	Fremont Union High School District	10,792
154	Merced City Elementary School District	10,788
155	Lucia Mar Unified School District	10,710
156	San Jacinto Unified School District	10,698
157	Monterey Peninsula Unified School District	10,653
158	Perris Union High School District	10,510
159	Berkeley Unified School District	10,442
160	Adelanto Elementary School District	10,378
161	Milpitas Unified School District	10,281
162	Los Banos Unified School District	10,260
163	Roseville Joint Union High School District	10,223
164	Bonita Unified School District	10,146
165	Lompoc Unified School District	10,076
166	Woodland Joint Unified School District	10,055
167	Merced Union High School District	10,039
168	Los Alamitos Unified School District	9,914
169	Saugus Union School District	9,911
170	Roseville City Elementary School District	9,820
171	Yucaipa-Calimesa Joint Unified School District	9,779
172	Kings Canyon Joint Unified School District	9,775
173	Sequoia Union High School District	9,693
174	Marysville Joint Unified School District	9,647
175	Arcadia Unified School District	9,582

**Table A-1**  
**California K-12 School Districts 2013-2014 - Ranked by Enrollment**

<b>Rank</b>	<b>District</b>	<b>Total</b>
176	Westminster School District	9,503
177	Tulare City School District	9,497
178	Escondido Union High School District	9,442
179	Morongo Unified School District	9,439
180	El Monte Union High School District	9,388
181	Redondo Beach Unified School District	9,364
182	Castro Valley Unified School District	9,361
183	Greenfield Union School District	9,345
184	Azusa Unified School District	9,277
185	Lincoln Unified School District	9,277
186	Calexico Unified School District	9,263
187	Beaumont Unified School District	9,256
188	Alisal Union School District	9,153
189	Dublin Unified School District	9,151
190	El Rancho Unified School District	9,129
191	Salinas City Elementary School District	9,125
192	Western Placer Unified School District	9,116
193	South San Francisco Unified School District	9,111
194	East Whittier City Elementary School District	9,064
195	Redwood City Elementary School District	9,042
196	El Monte City School District	9,031
197	Ocean View School District	9,010
198	Morgan Hill Unified School District	9,000
199	Westside Union Elementary School District	8,941
200	Hawthorne School District	8,809

**Table A-1**  
**California K-12 School Districts 2013-2014 - Ranked by Enrollment**

<b>Rank</b>	<b>District</b>	<b>Total</b>
201	Davis Joint Unified School District	8,626
202	San Leandro Unified School District	8,617
203	Sylvan Union Elementary School District	8,565
204	Brentwood Union Elementary School District	8,562
205	Hueneme Elementary School District	8,396
206	San Mateo Union High School District	8,321
207	Liberty Union High School District	8,087
208	Novato Unified School District	8,029
209	Washington Unified School District	7,978
210	Centinela Valley Union High School District	7,878
211	Snowline Joint Unified School District	7,826
212	Santa Maria Joint Union High School District	7,782
213	Berryessa Union Elementary School District	7,758
214	Glendora Unified School District	7,733
215	South Bay Union School District	7,646
216	Campbell Union School District	7,642
217	San Luis Coastal Unified School District	7,636
218	Delano Union Elementary School District	7,600
219	Campbell Union High School District	7,453
220	Pleasant Valley School District	7,401
221	Mountain View Elementary School District	7,345
222	Jefferson Elementary School District	7,111
223	Claremont Unified School District	7,046
224	Lennox School District	7,022
225	Manhattan Beach Unified School District	6,890

**Table A-1**  
**California K-12 School Districts 2013-2014 - Ranked by Enrollment**

<b>Rank</b>	<b>District</b>	<b>Total</b>
226	Huntington Beach City Elementary School District	6,864
227	El Dorado Union High School District	6,810
228	Sunnyvale School District	6,787
229	Culver City Unified School District	6,757
230	Newhall School District	6,739
231	Dry Creek Joint Elementary School District	6,715
232	Moorpark Unified School District	6,703
233	Dinuba Unified School District	6,580
234	Paso Robles Joint Unified School District	6,555
235	Santee School District	6,472
236	Selma Unified School District	6,447
237	San Gabriel Unified School District	6,410
238	Magnolia Elementary School District	6,403
239	Ukiah Unified School District	6,349
240	Fountain Valley Elementary School District	6,305
241	Lawndale Elementary School District	6,300
242	Newark Unified School District	6,196
243	Cotati-Rohnert Park Unified School District	6,145
244	Lakeside Union Elementary School District	6,135
245	Whittier City Elementary School District	6,124
246	El Centro Elementary School District	6,101
247	Patterson Joint Unified School District	6,024
248	Brea-Olinda Unified School District	5,977
249	Temple City Unified School District	5,953
250	Hanford Elementary School District	5,934

**Table A-1**  
**California K-12 School Districts 2013-2014 - Ranked by Enrollment**

<b>Rank</b>	<b>District</b>	<b>Total</b>
251	Barstow Unified School District	5,920
252	Alta Loma Elementary School District	5,917
253	Monrovia Unified School District	5,903
254	National Elementary School District	5,829
255	Perris Elementary School District	5,821
256	Ramona City Unified School District	5,697
257	Hollister School District	5,669
258	Shasta Union High School District	5,561
259	Union Elementary School District	5,533
260	Santa Rosa Elementary School District	5,466
261	Santa Paula Unified School District	5,459
262	Encinitas Union Elementary School District	5,445
263	Sulphur Springs Union School District	5,437
264	Windsor Unified School District	5,415
265	Acalanes Union High School District	5,402
266	Travis Unified School District	5,398
267	Petaluma Joint Union High School District	5,397
268	Rosedale Union Elementary School District	5,397
269	Tulare Joint Union High School District	5,325
270	Oakdale Joint Unified School District	5,292
271	Orcutt Union Elementary School District	5,269
272	Charter Oak Unified School District	5,158
273	Buckeye Union Elementary School District	5,157
274	Fallbrook Union Elementary School District	5,113
275	Mountain View Whisman School District	5,065

**Table A-1**  
**California K-12 School Districts 2013-2014 - Ranked by Enrollment**

<b>Rank</b>	<b>District</b>	<b>Total</b>
276	Garvey Elementary School District	5,051
277	La Habra City Elementary School District	5,022
278	Kerman Unified School District	4,997
279	Buena Park Elementary School District	4,985
280	Oakley Union Elementary School District	4,946
281	Rio Elementary School District	4,946
282	Sierra Sands Unified School District	4,944
283	Benicia Unified School District	4,924
284	Soledad Unified School District	4,915
285	Jefferson Union High School District	4,906
286	Atwater Elementary School District	4,855
287	San Ysidro Elementary School District	4,842
288	Moreland School District	4,825
289	South Pasadena Unified School District	4,767
290	Santa Cruz City High School District	4,731
291	Atascadero Unified School District	4,722
292	Central Elementary School District	4,701
293	Oak Park Unified School District	4,693
294	Los Altos Elementary School District	4,675
295	San Rafael City Elementary School District	4,635
296	Sonoma Valley Unified School District	4,635
297	San Lorenzo Valley Unified School District	4,613
298	Banning Unified School District	4,599
299	New Jerusalem Elementary School District	4,536
300	Center Joint Unified School District	4,533

**Table A-1**  
**California K-12 School Districts 2013-2014 - Ranked by Enrollment**

<b>Rank</b>	<b>District</b>	<b>Total</b>
301	Little Lake City Elementary School District	4,512
302	North Monterey County Unified School District	4,493
303	Centralia Elementary School District	4,491
304	Del Mar Union Elementary School District	4,399
305	Coalinga-Huron Unified School District	4,367
306	Burton Elementary School District	4,347
307	Tehachapi Unified School District	4,272
308	Paradise Unified School District	4,265
309	Delano Joint Union High School District	4,235
310	Martinez Unified School District	4,221
311	Ravenswood City Elementary School District	4,216
312	Beverly Hills Unified School District	4,212
313	Tamalpais Union High School District	4,165
314	Lindsay Unified School District	4,163
315	Valley Center-Pauma Unified School District	4,155
316	Julian Union Elementary School District	4,142
317	Placer Union High School District	4,137
318	Central Union High School District	4,106
319	Cutler-Orosi Joint Unified School District	4,083
320	Wiseburn Unified School District	4,065
321	La Canada Unified School District	4,058
322	Acton-Agua Dulce Unified School District	4,043
323	Norris Elementary School District	4,041
324	Cypress Elementary School District	3,990
325	Tahoe-Truckee Unified School District	3,978

**Table A-1**  
**California K-12 School Districts 2013-2014 - Ranked by Enrollment**

<b>Rank</b>	<b>District</b>	<b>Total</b>
326	Bassett Unified School District	3,959
327	Waterford Unified School District	3,954
328	Lemon Grove School District	3,922
329	Belmont-Redwood Shores Elementary School District	3,900
330	Imperial Unified School District	3,898
331	Duarte Unified School District	3,896
332	Albany City Unified School District	3,881
333	Lake Tahoe Unified School District	3,881
334	Mountain View-Los Altos Union High School District	3,881
335	Brawley Elementary School District	3,878
336	Oro Grande Elementary School District	3,857
337	Gateway Unified School District	3,853
338	Hanford Joint Union High School District	3,845
339	Amador County Unified School District	3,825
340	Dixon Unified School District	3,808
341	Mountain Empire Unified School District	3,804
342	Fillmore Unified School District	3,774
343	Eureka City Schools	3,722
344	Goleta Union Elementary School District	3,701
345	Rescue Union Elementary School District	3,700
346	Rim of the World Unified School District	3,695
347	Galt Joint Union Elementary School District	3,693
348	Ripon Unified School District	3,680
349	Loomis Union Elementary School District	3,636
350	Rincon Valley Union Elementary School District	3,632

**Table A-1**  
**California K-12 School Districts 2013-2014 - Ranked by Enrollment**

<b>Rank</b>	<b>District</b>	<b>Total</b>
351	Enterprise Elementary School District	3,622
352	Walnut Creek Elementary School District	3,608
353	Wasco Union Elementary School District	3,584
354	Richland Union Elementary School District	3,530
355	Lafayette Elementary School District	3,525
356	Romoland Elementary School District	3,505
357	Del Norte County Unified School District	3,502
358	El Segundo Unified School District	3,477
359	McFarland Unified School District	3,469
360	San Carlos Elementary School District	3,457
361	Greenfield Union Elementary School District	3,448
362	Redding Elementary School District	3,440
363	Lammersville Joint Unified School District	3,433
364	Parlier Unified School District	3,418
365	Cambrian School District	3,378
366	Cabrillo Unified School District	3,373
367	Eastside Union Elementary School District	3,353
368	Eureka Union School District	3,338
369	Los Gatos Union Elementary School District	3,320
370	Burlingame Elementary School District	3,304
371	Los Gatos-Saratoga Joint Union High School District	3,302
372	Corcoran Joint Unified School District	3,293
373	Santa Rita Union Elementary School District	3,292
374	Stanislaus Union Elementary School District	3,292
375	Fruitvale Elementary School District	3,259

**Table A-1**  
**California K-12 School Districts 2013-2014 - Ranked by Enrollment**

<b>Rank</b>	<b>District</b>	<b>Total</b>
376	Mill Valley Elementary School District	3,242
377	Lemoore Union Elementary School District	3,228
378	Lowell Joint School District	3,209
379	Spencer Valley Elementary School District	3,205
380	Palo Verde Unified School District	3,177
381	Coronado Unified School District	3,169
382	South Whittier Elementary School District	3,153
383	Pacifica School District	3,150
384	Mendota Unified School District	3,146
385	Solana Beach Elementary School District	3,146
386	San Marino Unified School District	3,143
387	Konocti Unified School District	3,130
388	Standard Elementary School District	3,121
389	Arvin Union School District	3,101
390	Calaveras Unified School District	3,079
391	Laguna Beach Unified School District	3,074
392	Southern Kern Unified School District	3,043
393	Empire Union Elementary School District	3,034
394	Nevada Joint Union High School District	3,003
395	San Benito High School District	3,003
396	Washington Unified School District	2,993
397	Exeter Unified School District	2,979
398	Lamont Elementary School District	2,958
399	Newman-Crows Landing Unified School District	2,946
400	Lucerne Valley Unified School District	2,921

**Table A-1**  
**California K-12 School Districts 2013-2014 - Ranked by Enrollment**

<b>Rank</b>	<b>District</b>	<b>Total</b>
401	Menlo Park City Elementary School District	2,904
402	Nuvview Union School District	2,894
403	Escalon Unified School District	2,849
404	Riverbank Unified School District	2,835
405	Dehesa Elementary School District	2,809
406	San Bruno Park Elementary School District	2,796
407	Weaver Union School District	2,796
408	Roseland School District	2,755
409	Piedmont City Unified School District	2,706
410	Mojave Unified School District	2,696
411	Delhi Unified School District	2,686
412	Ocean View School District	2,682
413	Ojai Unified School District	2,680
414	Oroville City Elementary School District	2,678
415	Rosemead Elementary School District	2,668
416	Keppel Union Elementary School District	2,641
417	Farmersville Unified School District	2,626
418	King City Union School District	2,623
419	Mountain View Elementary School District	2,611
420	Reef-Sunset Unified School District	2,606
421	Livingston Union School District	2,602
422	Salida Union Elementary School District	2,576
423	Castaic Union School District	2,568
424	Orinda Union Elementary School District	2,529
425	Cucamonga Elementary School District	2,517

**Table A-1**  
**California K-12 School Districts 2013-2014 - Ranked by Enrollment**

<b>Rank</b>	<b>District</b>	<b>Total</b>
426	Mt. Pleasant Elementary School District	2,502
427	Carmel Unified School District	2,492
428	Templeton Unified School District	2,487
429	Scotts Valley Unified School District	2,482
430	Fowler Unified School District	2,477
431	Gonzales Unified School District	2,477
432	Millbrae Elementary School District	2,469
433	Bear Valley Unified School District	2,453
434	Fallbrook Union High School District	2,439
435	Maricopa Unified School District	2,438
436	Jefferson Elementary School District	2,425
437	Fairfax Elementary School District	2,412
438	River Delta Joint Unified School District	2,404
439	Savanna Elementary School District	2,392
440	Petaluma City Elementary School District	2,379
441	San Rafael City High School District	2,365
442	Santa Cruz City Elementary School District	2,361
443	Lemoore Union High School District	2,340
444	Kingsburg Elementary Charter School District	2,334
445	Ross Valley Elementary School District	2,320
446	Firebaugh-Las Deltas Unified School District	2,296
447	Woodlake Unified School District	2,291
448	Bonsall Unified School District	2,287
449	Marcum-Illinois Union Elementary School District	2,283
450	Linden Unified School District	2,278

**Table A-1**  
**California K-12 School Districts 2013-2014 - Ranked by Enrollment**

<b>Rank</b>	<b>District</b>	<b>Total</b>
451	Silver Valley Unified School District	2,278
452	Dos Palos Oro Loma Joint Unified School District	2,277
453	Oroville Union High School District	2,272
454	Galt Joint Union High School District	2,263
455	Orland Joint Unified School District	2,254
456	Hilmar Unified School District	2,253
457	Carpinteria Unified School District	2,239
458	Robla Elementary School District	2,231
459	Chowchilla Elementary School District	2,190
460	Red Bluff Union Elementary School District	2,163
461	Hughson Unified School District	2,146
462	Plumas Unified School District	2,130
463	Live Oak Elementary School District	2,108
464	Taft City School District	2,079
465	Saratoga Union Elementary School District	2,069
466	West Sonoma County Union High School District	2,069
467	Auburn Union Elementary School District	2,060
468	Soquel Union Elementary School District	2,054
469	Gridley Unified School District	2,051
470	Gorman Elementary School District	2,050
471	Corning Union Elementary School District	2,043
472	South Monterey County Joint Union High School District	2,033
473	Pacific Grove Unified School District	2,012
474	Dixie Elementary School District	1,999
475	Yosemite Unified School District	1,982

**Table A-1**  
**California K-12 School Districts 2013-2014 - Ranked by Enrollment**

<b>Rank</b>	<b>District</b>	<b>Total</b>
476	Byron Union Elementary School District	1,963
477	Helendale Elementary School District	1,959
478	Earlimart Elementary School District	1,952
479	Willits Unified School District	1,942
480	Bishop Unified School District	1,939
481	Muroc Joint Unified School District	1,936
482	Golden Valley Unified School District	1,923
483	Old Adobe Union School District	1,886
484	Anderson Union High School District	1,885
485	Winton School District	1,885
486	Brawley Union High School District	1,878
487	Fort Bragg Unified School District	1,873
488	Bellevue Union Elementary School District	1,872
489	Gustine Unified School District	1,863
490	Moraga Elementary School District	1,852
491	Alpine Union Elementary School District	1,845
492	Newcastle Elementary School District	1,844
493	Golden Plains Unified School District	1,831
494	Mariposa County Unified School District	1,806
495	Armona Union Elementary School District	1,804
496	Los Nietos School District	1,767
497	Live Oak Unified School District	1,757
498	Beardsley Elementary School District	1,753
499	Central Union Elementary School District	1,748
500	Wasco Union High School District	1,747

**Table A-1**  
**California K-12 School Districts 2013-2014 - Ranked by Enrollment**

<b>Rank</b>	<b>District</b>	<b>Total</b>
501	Northern Humboldt Union High School District	1,739
502	Grass Valley Elementary School District	1,733
503	John Swett Unified School District	1,699
504	Kelseyville Unified School District	1,681
505	Middletown Unified School District	1,667
506	Healdsburg Unified School District	1,650
507	Wright Elementary School District	1,622
508	Riverdale Joint Unified School District	1,620
509	Red Bluff Joint Union High School District	1,601
510	Holtville Unified School District	1,597
511	Pioneer Union Elementary School District	1,577
512	Lakeport Unified School District	1,556
513	Hillsborough City Elementary School District	1,546
514	Reed Union Elementary School District	1,546
515	Winters Joint Unified School District	1,521
516	Larkspur-Corte Madera School District	1,504
517	Hermosa Beach City Elementary School District	1,479
518	Colusa Unified School District	1,456
519	Pierce Joint Unified School District	1,443
520	Willows Unified School District	1,443
521	Mark West Union Elementary School District	1,433
522	Caruthers Unified School District	1,428
523	Piner-Olivet Union Elementary School District	1,419
524	Thermalito Union Elementary School District	1,409
525	Cloverdale Unified School District	1,394

**Table A-1**  
**California K-12 School Districts 2013-2014 - Ranked by Enrollment**

<b>Rank</b>	<b>District</b>	<b>Total</b>
526	Las Lomas Elementary School District	1,386
527	Mesa Union Elementary School District	1,385
528	Fortuna Elementary School District	1,381
529	Williams Unified School District	1,377
530	McCabe Union Elementary School District	1,368
531	Wheatland School District	1,341
532	Wilsona Elementary School District	1,333
533	Black Oak Mine Unified School District	1,314
534	Sierra Unified School District	1,309
535	Denair Unified School District	1,293
536	Twin Hills Union Elementary School District	1,286
537	Guadalupe Union Elementary School District	1,282
538	Palermo Union Elementary School District	1,275
539	Lakeside Union School District	1,274
540	Saint Helena Unified School District	1,269
541	Placerville Union Elementary School District	1,249
542	Heber Elementary School District	1,233
543	Pleasant Ridge Union Elementary School District	1,229
544	Kentfield Elementary School District	1,223
545	Kingsburg Joint Union High School District	1,222
546	Valle Lindo Elementary School District	1,222
547	Cascade Union Elementary School District	1,202
548	Calipatria Unified School District	1,196
549	Mammoth Unified School District	1,193
550	Plumas Lake Elementary School District	1,189

**Table A-1**  
**California K-12 School Districts 2013-2014 - Ranked by Enrollment**

<b>Rank</b>	<b>District</b>	<b>Total</b>
551	Fall River Joint Unified School District	1,169
552	Aromas/San Juan Unified School District	1,164
553	McKinleyville Union Elementary School District	1,141
554	Pixley Union Elementary School District	1,122
555	Hart-Ransom Union Elementary School District	1,109
556	Sonora Union High School District	1,101
557	Summerville Union High School District	1,097
558	Mother Lode Union Elementary School District	1,088
559	Keyes Union School District	1,085
560	Cottonwood Union Elementary School District	1,083
561	Chawanakee Unified School District	1,068
562	Fortuna Union High School District	1,066
563	Blochman Union Elementary School District	1,063
564	Evergreen Union School District	1,063
565	Arcata Elementary School District	1,059
566	Taft Union High School District	1,059
567	Edison Elementary School District	1,056
568	Bennett Valley Union Elementary School District	1,048
569	Rio Bravo-Greeley Union Elementary School District	1,035
570	Hope Elementary School District	1,031
571	Orange Center School District	1,031
572	Chowchilla Union High School District	1,026
573	Klamath-Trinity Joint Unified School District	1,025
574	Santa Ynez Valley Union High School District	1,025
575	Susanville Elementary School District	1,012

**Table A-1**  
**California K-12 School Districts 2013-2014 - Ranked by Enrollment**

<b>Rank</b>	<b>District</b>	<b>Total</b>
576	Yreka Union Elementary School District	984
577	Meridian Elementary School District	978
578	Esparto Unified School District	976
579	Oak Grove Union Elementary School District	975
580	Spreckels Union Elementary School District	974
581	Durham Unified School District	960
582	Corning Union High School District	959
583	Liberty Elementary School District	958
584	Terra Bella Union Elementary School District	946
585	Jamul-Dulzura Union Elementary School District	945
586	Waugh Elementary School District	942
587	Washington Union Elementary School District	933
588	Mupu Elementary School District	917
589	Sebastopol Union Elementary School District	898
590	Orchard Elementary School District	890
591	Raisin City Elementary School District	883
592	Nevada City Elementary School District	879
593	Lassen Union High School District	873
594	South Bay Union Elementary School District	869
595	McSwain Union Elementary School District	865
596	Borrego Springs Unified School District	864
597	Bass Lake Joint Union Elementary School District	858
598	Strathmore Union Elementary School District	858
599	Westside Elementary School District	854
600	San Miguel Joint Union School District	849

**Table A-1**  
**California K-12 School Districts 2013-2014 - Ranked by Enrollment**

<b>Rank</b>	<b>District</b>	<b>Total</b>
601	Kernville Union Elementary School District	840
602	Needles Unified School District	835
603	Calistoga Joint Unified School District	832
604	Modoc Joint Unified School District	823
605	Vineland Elementary School District	823
606	Columbia Elementary School District	820
607	Sundale Union Elementary School District	820
608	Mark Twain Union Elementary School District	816
609	Placer Hills Union Elementary School District	801
610	Banta Elementary School District	795
611	Mattole Unified School District	780
612	Kings River-Hardwick Union Elementary School District	778
613	Southern Humboldt Joint Unified School District	776
614	Planada Elementary School District	766
615	San Pasqual Valley Unified School District	759
616	El Tejon Unified School District	744
617	North County Joint Union Elementary School District	742
618	Wheatland Union High School District	735
619	Cardiff Elementary School District	731
620	Sutter Union High School District	726
621	Bret Harte Union High School District	723
622	Hamilton Unified School District	719
623	Penn Valley Union Elementary School District	717
624	Harmony Union Elementary School District	714
625	Antelope Elementary School District	712

**Table A-1**  
**California K-12 School Districts 2013-2014 - Ranked by Enrollment**

<b>Rank</b>	<b>District</b>	<b>Total</b>
626	Pollock Pines Elementary School District	706
627	Gravenstein Union Elementary School District	704
628	Laton Joint Unified School District	704
629	Coast Unified School District	703
630	Stone Corral Elementary School District	702
631	Emery Unified School District	695
632	Rancho Santa Fe Elementary School District	691
633	Yreka Union High School District	670
634	Ravendale-Termo Elementary School District	665
635	Sonora Elementary School District	660
636	Trinity Alps Unified School District	660
637	Scott Valley Unified School District	658
638	West Park Elementary School District	657
639	Grant Elementary School District	655
640	Richgrove Elementary School District	651
641	Gold Trail Union Elementary School District	637
642	Union Hill Elementary School District	634
643	Alpaugh Unified School District	629
644	Portola Valley Elementary School District	629
645	Buellton Union Elementary School District	626
646	Tipton Elementary School District	612
647	Chatom Union School District	597
648	Solvang Elementary School District	591
649	Pacific Union Elementary School District	588
650	Siskiyou Union High School District	579

**Table A-1**  
**California K-12 School Districts 2013-2014 - Ranked by Enrollment**

<b>Rank</b>	<b>District</b>	<b>Total</b>
651	Cutten Elementary School District	577
652	Vallecito Union School District	577
653	Pacheco Union Elementary School District	575
654	Lost Hills Union Elementary School District	574
655	Alta Vista Elementary School District	573
656	Los Molinos Unified School District	567
657	Briggs Elementary School District	561
658	Columbia Union School District	556
659	San Pasqual Union Elementary School District	553
660	Luther Burbank School District	552
661	Mendocino Unified School District	551
662	Biggs Unified School District	542
663	Anderson Valley Unified School District	540
664	Happy Valley Union Elementary School District	537
665	Knightsen Elementary School District	532
666	Camino Union Elementary School District	529
667	Palo Verde Union Elementary School District	529
668	Pleasant View Elementary School District	522
669	Sausalito Marin City School District	521
670	Upper Lake Union Elementary School District	521
671	Shoreline Unified School District	519
672	Oak Valley Union Elementary School District	518
673	Mt. Shasta Union Elementary School District	517
674	Le Grand Union High School District	505
675	Soulsbyville Elementary School District	503

**Table A-1**  
**California K-12 School Districts 2013-2014 - Ranked by Enrollment**

<b>Rank</b>	<b>District</b>	<b>Total</b>
676	Loma Prieta Joint Union Elementary School District	496
677	Ferndale Unified School District	494
678	Camptonville Elementary School District	489
679	Woodville Union Elementary School District	481
680	Franklin Elementary School District	477
681	Los Olivos Elementary School District	471
682	Gold Oak Union Elementary School District	463
683	Jamestown Elementary School District	462
684	Kings River Union Elementary School District	462
685	Monson-Sultana Joint Union Elementary School District	461
686	Tulelake Basin Joint Unified School District	460
687	Brittan Elementary School District	457
688	Brisbane Elementary School District	456
689	Curtis Creek Elementary School District	449
690	Meadows Union Elementary School District	449
691	Montecito Union Elementary School District	448
692	Woodside Elementary School District	438
693	Jacoby Creek Elementary School District	427
694	Washington Colony Elementary School District	427
695	Liberty Elementary School District	414
696	Kit Carson Union Elementary School District	411
697	Oak View Union Elementary School District	411
698	College Elementary School District	408
699	Rockford Elementary School District	407
700	Gerber Union Elementary School District	404

**Table A-1**  
**California K-12 School Districts 2013-2014 - Ranked by Enrollment**

<b>Rank</b>	<b>District</b>	<b>Total</b>
701	Laytonville Unified School District	404
702	Eastern Sierra Unified School District	399
703	Vallecitos Elementary School District	396
704	Round Valley Unified School District	394
705	Foresthill Union Elementary School District	393
706	Le Grand Union Elementary School District	392
707	Pacific Union Elementary School District	385
708	Summerville Elementary School District	385
709	Westwood Unified School District	382
710	Bayshore Elementary School District	378
711	Arcohe Union Elementary School District	374
712	Lone Pine Unified School District	374
713	Island Union Elementary School District	373
714	Sierra-Plumas Joint Unified School District	372
715	Ross Elementary School District	367
716	Westmorland Union Elementary School District	363
717	Bella Vista Elementary School District	355
718	Forestville Union Elementary School District	354
719	Alview-Dairyland Union Elementary School District	352
720	Sunnyside Union Elementary School District	352
721	Arena Union Elementary School District	347
722	Seeley Union Elementary School District	345
723	Ballico-Cressey Elementary School District	344
724	Buttonwillow Union Elementary School District	343
725	La Honda-Pescadero Unified School District	340

**Table A-1**  
**California K-12 School Districts 2013-2014 - Ranked by Enrollment**

<b>Rank</b>	<b>District</b>	<b>Total</b>
726	Big Oak Flat-Groveland Unified School District	339
727	Chualar Union School District	337
728	Freshwater Elementary School District	336
729	Elverta Joint Elementary School District	334
730	Rio Dell Elementary School District	331
731	Janesville Union Elementary School District	328
732	Colfax Elementary School District	320
733	Lakeside Union Elementary School District	318
734	Lassen View Union Elementary School District	314
735	Fort Sage Unified School District	313
736	Maxwell Unified School District	312
737	Sequoia Union Elementary School District	305
738	Butte Valley Unified School District	302
739	Upper Lake Union High School District	302
740	East Nicolaus Joint Union High School District	301
741	Warner Unified School District	297
742	Mountain Valley Unified School District	296
743	Pioneer Union Elementary School District	292
744	Shandon Joint Unified School District	292
745	Lagunitas Elementary School District	286
746	Manzanita Elementary School District	284
747	Maple Elementary School District	282
748	Springville Union Elementary School District	278
749	Sunol Glen Unified School District	278
750	Twain Harte School District	274

**Table A-1**  
**California K-12 School Districts 2013-2014 - Ranked by Enrollment**

<b>Rank</b>	<b>District</b>	<b>Total</b>
751	Guerneville Elementary School District	270
752	Millville Elementary School District	266
753	Lucerne Elementary School District	263
754	Cinnabar Elementary School District	257
755	Waukena Joint Union Elementary School District	257
756	Geyserville Unified School District	253
757	Clay Joint Elementary School District	250
758	Trona Joint Unified School District	250
759	South Fork Union School District	249
760	Junction Elementary School District	246
761	Weed Union Elementary School District	244
762	Richfield Elementary School District	243
763	Southside Elementary School District	243
764	Somis Union School District	237
765	Hope Elementary School District	236
766	Wilmar Union Elementary School District	234
767	Cuyama Joint Unified School District	233
768	Potter Valley Community Unified School District	230
769	Semitropic Elementary School District	230
770	Johnstonville Elementary School District	227
771	Loleta Union Elementary School District	227
772	Richmond Elementary School District	226
773	Traver Joint Elementary School District	226
774	North Cow Creek Elementary School District	225
775	Hughes-Elizabeth Lakes Union Elementary School District	223

**Table A-1**  
**California K-12 School Districts 2013-2014 - Ranked by Enrollment**

<b>Rank</b>	<b>District</b>	<b>Total</b>
776	Scotia Union Elementary School District	220
777	New Hope Elementary School District	216
778	Shaffer Union Elementary School District	209
779	Columbine Elementary School District	208
780	Pond Union Elementary School District	208
781	Di Giorgio Elementary School District	207
782	Butteville Union Elementary School District	205
783	Black Butte Union Elementary School District	204
784	Elk Hills Elementary School District	203
785	Capay Joint Union Elementary School District	201
786	Dunham Elementary School District	201
787	Pleasant Grove Joint Union School District	201
788	Montague Elementary School District	200
789	Monroe Elementary School District	197
790	Winship-Robbins School District	197
791	Paradise Elementary School District	196
792	Cayucos Elementary School District	193
793	Ducor Union Elementary School District	191
794	Grenada Elementary School District	190
795	Big Pine Unified School District	189
796	Blue Lake Union Elementary School District	188
797	Buena Vista Elementary School District	187
798	Big Valley Joint Unified School District	186
799	Douglas City Elementary School District	186
800	Trinidad Union Elementary School District	184

**Table A-1**  
**California K-12 School Districts 2013-2014 - Ranked by Enrollment**

<b>Rank</b>	<b>District</b>	<b>Total</b>
801	Hydesville Elementary School District	183
802	Princeton Joint Unified School District	177
803	Golden Feather Union Elementary School District	176
804	Chicago Park Elementary School District	173
805	Lake Elementary School District	173
806	El Nido Elementary School District	172
807	Alvina Elementary School District	171
808	San Antonio Union Elementary School District	170
809	Mt. Baldy Joint Elementary School District	167
810	West Side Union Elementary School District	166
811	Shasta Union Elementary School District	165
812	Baker Valley Unified School District	162
813	Two Rock Union School District	161
814	Plaza Elementary School District	160
815	Cold Spring Elementary School District	158
816	Fieldbrook Elementary School District	157
817	Julian Union High School District	157
818	General Shafter Elementary School District	153
819	Point Arena Joint Union High School District	153
820	Browns Elementary School District	150
821	Kenwood School District	150
822	Merced River Union Elementary School District	150
823	Clear Creek Elementary School District	149
824	Bonny Doon Union Elementary School District	146
825	Nuestro Elementary School District	145

**Table A-1**  
**California K-12 School Districts 2013-2014 - Ranked by Enrollment**

<b>Rank</b>	<b>District</b>	<b>Total</b>
826	Valley Home Joint Elementary School District	144
827	Three Rivers Union Elementary School District	143
828	Shiloh Elementary School District	141
829	Tres Pinos Union Elementary School District	141
830	Big Springs Union Elementary School District	137
831	Gratton Elementary School District	137
832	Round Valley Joint Elementary School District	136
833	Happy Valley Elementary School District	134
834	Pleasant Valley Joint Union Elementary School District	133
835	Ballard Elementary School District	132
836	Magnolia Union Elementary School District	130
837	Mission Union Elementary School District	129
838	Plainsburg Union Elementary School District	129
839	Reeds Creek Elementary School District	126
840	Cuddeback Union Elementary School District	123
841	Latrobe School District	123
842	Burrel Union Elementary School District	121
843	Midway Elementary School District	120
844	Mountain Elementary School District	120
845	Alexander Valley Union Elementary School District	119
846	Bellevue Elementary School District	118
847	Vista del Mar Union School District	118
848	Bolinas-Stinson Union School District	117
849	Roberts Ferry Union Elementary School District	117
850	Happy Camp Union Elementary School District	116

**Table A-1**  
**California K-12 School Districts 2013-2014 - Ranked by Enrollment**

<b>Rank</b>	<b>District</b>	<b>Total</b>
851	Bangor Union Elementary School District	114
852	Surprise Valley Joint Unified School District	114
853	Pacific Elementary School District	108
854	Stony Creek Joint Unified School District	106
855	Alta-Dutch Flat Union Elementary School District	103
856	Howell Mountain Elementary School District	101
857	Southern Trinity Joint Unified School District	101
858	Lagunita Elementary School District	100
859	San Ardo Union Elementary School District	100
860	Outside Creek Elementary School District	99
861	Twin Ridges Elementary School District	97
862	Big Sur Unified School District	96
863	Burnt Ranch Elementary School District	96
864	Snelling-Merced Falls Union Elementary School District	96
865	Pine Ridge Elementary School District	95
866	Lakeside Joint School District	93
867	Leggett Valley Unified School District	92
868	Kirkwood Elementary School District	91
869	Bradley Union Elementary School District	89
870	Junction City Elementary School District	89
871	Monte Rio Union Elementary School District	89
872	Mulberry Elementary School District	85
873	Allensworth Elementary School District	84
874	Knights Ferry Elementary School District	84
875	Whitmore Union Elementary School District	84

**Table A-1**  
**California K-12 School Districts 2013-2014 - Ranked by Enrollment**

<b>Rank</b>	<b>District</b>	<b>Total</b>
876	Alpine County Unified School District	83
877	Raymond-Knowles Union Elementary School District	83
878	Saucelito Elementary School District	82
879	Owens Valley Unified School District	81
880	Dunsmuir Elementary School District	79
881	McKittrick Elementary School District	78
882	Pioneer Union Elementary School District	74
883	Canyon Elementary School District	68
884	Mountain Union Elementary School District	68
885	McCloud Union Elementary School District	66
886	Castle Rock Union Elementary School District	61
887	Horicon Elementary School District	61
888	Igo-Ono-Platina Union School District	57
889	Garfield Elementary School District	58
890	Santa Clara Elementary School District	56
891	Dunsmuir Joint Union High School District	55
892	Nicasio School District	55
893	Delphic Elementary School District	54
894	San Lucas Union Elementary School District	52
895	Big Creek Elementary School District	51
896	Lewiston Elementary School District	51
897	Feather Falls Union Elementary School District	50
898	Pope Valley Union Elementary School District	50
899	Caliente Union Elementary School District	49
900	Peninsula Union School District	43

**Table A-1**  
**California K-12 School Districts 2013-2014 - Ranked by Enrollment**

<b>Rank</b>	<b>District</b>	<b>Total</b>
901	Hornbrook Elementary School District	42
902	Manchester Union Elementary School District	42
903	Belridge Elementary School District	40
904	Big Lagoon Union Elementary School District	40
905	Linns Valley-Poso Flat Union School District	40
906	Willow Creek Elementary School District	39
907	Junction Elementary School District	37
908	Gazelle Union Elementary School District	36
909	Graves Elementary School District	36
910	Bridgeville Elementary School District	35
911	Death Valley Unified School District	35
912	Oak Run Elementary School District	33
913	Fort Ross Elementary School District	32
914	French Gulch-Whiskeytown Elementary School District	32
915	Flournoy Union Elementary School District	30
916	Citrus South Tule Elementary School District	29
917	Bitterwater-Tully Elementary School District	27
918	Kneeland Elementary School District	27
919	Seiad Elementary School District	27
920	Montgomery Elementary School District	26
921	Cienega Union Elementary School District	25
922	Desert Center Unified School District	24
923	Mountain House Elementary School District	22
924	Laguna Joint Elementary School District	18
925	Willow Grove Union Elementary School District	18

**Table A-1**  
**California K-12 School Districts 2013-2014 - Ranked by Enrollment**

<b>Rank</b>	<b>District</b>	<b>Total</b>
926	Indian Diggings Elementary School District	17
927	Indian Springs Elementary School District	16
928	Kashia Elementary School District	16
929	Elkins Elementary School District	15
930	Hot Springs Elementary School District	15
931	Little Shasta Elementary School District	14
932	Orick Elementary School District	13
933	Coffee Creek Elementary School District	12
934	Forks of Salmon Elementary School District	11
935	Jefferson Elementary School District	11
936	Trinity Center Elementary School District	11
937	Maple Creek Elementary School District	10
938	Klamath River Union Elementary School District	9
939	Silver Fork Elementary School District	9
940	Union Joint Elementary School District	9
941	Green Point Elementary School District	8
942	Panoche Elementary School District	7
943	Bogus Elementary School District	6
944	Blake Elementary School District	5
945	Lincoln Elementary School District	5
<b>TOTAL</b>		<b>6,180,666</b>

**Table A-2**  
**California Community College District Enrollment Fall 2014**  
**Ranked by Number of Students**

<b>Rank</b>	<b>District</b>	<b>Total</b>
1	Los Angeles Community College District	151,141
2	Los Rios Community College District	73,093
3	San Diego Community College District	71,704
4	North Orange Community College District	56,215
5	Rancho Santiago Community College District	55,022
6	San Francisco Community College District	46,734
7	Coast Community College District	43,150
8	South Orange County Community College District	38,727
9	Foothill Community College District	37,639
10	State Center Community College District	36,854
11	Riverside Community College District	35,889
12	Contra Costa Community College District	35,393
13	Mt. San Antonio Community College District	35,280
14	Ventura Community College District	33,350
15	Santa Monica Community College District	32,166
16	Pasadena Community College District	29,545
17	Peralta Community College District	28,605
18	Sonoma Community College District	26,288
19	Kern Community College District	26,190
20	Grossmont Community College District	26,085
21	Palomar Community College District	25,989
22	San Mateo Community College District	24,927
23	Long Beach Community College District	24,889

**Table A-2**  
**California Community College District Enrollment Fall 2014**  
**Ranked by Number of Students**

<b>Rank</b>	<b>District</b>	<b>Total</b>
24	El Camino Community College District	24,263
25	Cerritos Community College District	24,053
26	Chabot-Las Positas Community College District	21,717
27	Chaffey Community College District	20,226
28	Yosemite Community College District	20,216
29	Santa Barbara Community College District	20,155
30	Southwestern Community College District	19,917
31	Glendale Community College District	19,502
32	West Valley Community College District	18,967
33	Sierra Community College District	18,635
34	San Bernardino Community College District	18,519
35	San Joaquin Delta Community College District	17,864
36	San Jose Community College District	17,859
37	Santa Clarita Community College District	17,344
38	Rio Hondo Community College District	17,059
39	MiraCosta Community College District	16,175
40	Mt. San Jacinto Community College District	15,925
41	Antelope Community College District	14,460
42	Cabrillo Community College District	13,444
43	Allan Hancock Community College District	13,211
44	Citrus Community College District	13,101
45	Butte Community College District	12,824
46	Victor Valley Community College District	11,432
47	Merced Community College District	11,072

**Table A-2**  
**California Community College District Enrollment Fall 2014**  
**Ranked by Number of Students**

<b>Rank</b>	<b>District</b>	<b>Total</b>
48	Ohlone Community College District	11,065
49	Sequoias Community College District	10,908
50	Desert Community College District	10,782
51	Solano Community College District	9,718
52	Hartnell Community College District	9,628
53	San Luis Obispo Community College District	9,533
54	Yuba Community College District	9,082
55	Shasta Tehama Community College District	8,475
56	Monterey Community College District	8,464
57	Imperial Community College District	8,067
58	Compton Community College District	7,716
59	Napa Community College District	6,583
60	West Hills Community College District	6,429
61	Marin Community College District	6,418
62	Gavilan Community College District	6,298
63	West Kern Community College District	5,051
64	Redwoods Community College District	4,797
65	Mendocino Community College District	3,830
66	Palo Verde Community College District	3,266
67	Lake Tahoe Community College District	2,521
68	Siskiyou Community College District	2,420
69	Lassen Community College District	2,194
70	Barstow Community College District	1,970
71	Copper Mountain Community College District	1,818

**Table A-2**  
**California Community College District Enrollment Fall 2014**  
**Ranked by Number of Students**

<b>Rank</b>	<b>District</b>	<b>Total</b>
72	Feather River Community College District	1,705
<b>TOTAL</b>		1,571,553

**Table A-3**  
**Details of Bond Indebtedness Waiver Requests from California**  
**School Districts to State Board of Education**  
**2002 through March 2015**

	School District	Legal Limit	Request	Rate Recommended by Department of Education	Conditions Recommended by Department of Education	Final Action	Date Approved	Agenda Item with Links to Staff Reports	Waiver ID
-	Stockton Unified School District	2.50 %			Board approved unanimously March 24, 2015 for General Obligation Bonds. Submitted March 2015.	Pending		N/A	
-	Stockton Unified School District	2.50 %			Board approved unanimously March 24, 2015 for E-Tech Bonds. Submitted March 2015.	Pending		N/A	
-	Robla School District	1.25 %			Board hearing February 26, 2015.	Not yet submitted		N/A	
-	Oak Grove School District	1.25 %			Discussion item on February 12, 2015 board agenda: "State of California Bonding Capacity Waiver - Debt Waiver Process."	No action yet taken by board		N/A	
-	Greenfield Union School District	1.25 %			Submitted February 2015.	Pending		N/A	
-	El Monte City School District	1.25 %			Submitted January 2015.	Pending		N/A	
-	Wiseburn Unified School District	2.50 %			Submitted January 2015.	Withdrawn		N/A	

1	Planada Elementary School District	1.25 %	2.25 %	1.96% then 2.01%	That the bonded indebtedness limits be waived with the following conditions: (1) the period of request does not exceed the recommended period on Attachment 1, (2) the total bonded indebtedness limit does not exceed the recommended new maximum shown on Attachment 1, (3) the district does not exceed the statutory tax rate, (4) the waiver is limited to the sale of bonds approved by the voters on the measure, (5) the citizens' oversight committee is established and supports the waiver and intended expenditures prior to the sale of the bonds, and (6) the district complies with the statutory requirements of Assembly Bill 182 related to school bonds which became effective January 1, 2014.	Approved by Consent	2014-11-13	<a href="#">Item W-14</a> and <a href="#">Item W-14 Addendum</a>	5-9-2014
---	------------------------------------	--------	--------	------------------	--	---------------------	------------	--	----------

2	Larkspur-Corte Madera School District	1.25 %	1.50 %	1.50%	That the bonded indebtedness limits be waived with the following conditions: (1) the period of request does not exceed the recommended period on Attachment 1, (2) the total bonded indebtedness limit does not exceed the recommended new maximum shown on Attachment 1, (3) the district does not exceed the statutory tax rate, (4) the waiver is limited to the sale of bonds approved by the voters on the measure, and (5) the district complies with the statutory requirements of Assembly Bill 182 related to school bonds which became effective January 1, 2014.	Approved by Consent	2014-09-03	<a href="#">Item W-09</a>	25-6-2014
---	---------------------------------------	--------	--------	-------	---	---------------------	------------	---------------------------	-----------

3	Dehesa School District	1.25 %	1.58 %	1.58%	That the bonded indebtedness limits be waived with the following conditions: (1) the period of request does not exceed the recommended period on Attachment 1, (2) the total bonded indebtedness limit does not exceed the recommended new maximum shown on Attachment 1, (3) the district does not exceed the statutory tax rate, (4) the waiver is limited to the sale of bonds approved by the voters on the measure, and (5) the district complies with the statutory requirements of Assembly Bill 182 related to school bonds which became effective January 1, 2014.	Approved by Consent	2014-05-07	<a href="#">Item W-09</a>	84-2-2014
---	------------------------	--------	--------	-------	---	---------------------	------------	---------------------------	-----------

4	Alvord Unified School District	2.50 %	3.67 %	3.67%	That the bonded indebtedness limits be waived with the following conditions: (1) the period of request does not exceed the recommended period on Attachment 1, (2) the total bonded indebtedness limit does not exceed the recommended new maximum shown on Attachment 1, (3) the district does not exceed the statutory tax rate, and (4) the waiver is limited to the sale of bonds approved by the voters on the measure noted on Attachment 1.	Approved by Consent	2013-11-06	<a href="#">Item W-08</a>	2-8-2013
---	--------------------------------	--------	--------	-------	--	---------------------	------------	---------------------------	----------

5	Weaver Union School District	1.25 %	2.26 %	2.26%	That the bonded indebtedness limits be waived with the following conditions: (1) the period of request does not exceed the recommended period on Attachment 1, (2) the total bonded indebtedness limit does not exceed the recommended new maximum shown on Attachment 1, (3) the district does not exceed the statutory tax rate, (4) the waiver is limited to the sale of bonds approved by the voters on the measure noted on Attachment 1, (5) the district obtain approval from the Citizens' Oversight Committee before issuing any bonds, and (6) Capital Appreciation Bonds (CABs) are not issued subsequent to approval of the waiver if the debt ratio goes above the statutory tax rate limit.	Approved by 9-0 vote	2013-09-04	<a href="#">Item W-09</a>	23-5-2013
---	------------------------------	--------	--------	-------	---	----------------------	------------	---------------------------	-----------

6	Centinela Valley Union High School District	1.25 %	1.65 %	1.55%	That the total bonded indebtedness limits be waived for each district with the following conditions: (1) the period of request does not exceed the recommended period shown on Attachment 1, (2) the total bonded indebtedness limit does not exceed the recommended new maximum shown on Attachment 1, (3) the district does not exceed the statutory tax rate limits, (4) the waiver is limited to the sale of bonds approved by the voters on the measure shown on Attachment 1, and (5) Capital Appreciation Bonds (CABs) are not issued subsequent to approval of these waivers if the debt ratio goes above the statutory tax rate limit.	Approved by 9-0 vote after amendment to strike condition (5) of staff recommendation	2013-05-08	<a href="#">Item W-29</a>	27-1-2013
---	---	--------	--------	-------	---	--	------------	---------------------------	-----------

7	Jefferson Elementary School District	1.25 %	2.25 %	1.92%	That the bonded indebtedness limits be waived with the following conditions: (1) the period of request does not exceed the recommended period on Attachment 1, (2) the total bonded indebtedness limit does not exceed the recommended new maximum shown on Attachment 1, (3) the district does not exceed the statutory tax rate, (4) the waiver is limited to the sale of bonds approved by the voters on the measure noted on Attachment 1, and (5) Capital Appreciation Bonds (CABs) are not issued subsequent to approval of this waiver if the debt ratio goes above 1.25 percent.	Approved by 9-0 vote after amendment to strike condition (5) of staff recommendation	2013-05-08 (Held over from 2013-03-13)	<a href="#">Item W-30</a>	56-10-2012
---	--------------------------------------	--------	--------	-------	--	--	--	---------------------------	------------

8	Lindsay Unified School District	2.50 %	3.50 %	3.50%	That the total bonded indebtedness limits be waived for each district with the following conditions: (1) the period of request does not exceed the recommended period shown on Attachment 1, (2) the total bonded indebtedness limit does not exceed the recommended new maximum shown on Attachment 1, (3) the district does not exceed the statutory tax rate limits, (4) the waiver is limited to the sale of bonds approved by the voters on the measure shown on Attachment 1, and (5) Capital Appreciation Bonds (CABs) are not issued subsequent to approval of these waivers if the debt ratio goes above the statutory tax rate limit.	Approved by 9-0 vote after amendment to strike condition (5) of staff recommendation	2013-05-08	<a href="#">Item W-29</a>	38-2-2013
---	---------------------------------	--------	--------	-------	---	--	------------	---------------------------	-----------

9	Oxnard School District	1.25 %	1.50 %	1.50%	That the total bonded indebtedness limits be waived for each district with the following conditions: (1) the period of request does not exceed the recommended period shown on Attachment 1, (2) the total bonded indebtedness limit does not exceed the recommended new maximum shown on Attachment 1, (3) the district does not exceed the statutory tax rate limits, (4) the waiver is limited to the sale of bonds approved by the voters on the measure shown on Attachment 1, and (5) Capital Appreciation Bonds (CABs) are not issued subsequent to approval of these waivers if the debt ratio goes above the statutory tax rate limit.	Approved by 9-0 vote after amendment to strike condition (5) of staff recommendation	2013-05-08	<a href="#">Item W-29</a>	51-1-2013
---	------------------------	--------	--------	-------	---	--	------------	---------------------------	-----------

10	Stockton Unified School District	2.50 %	4.23 %	4.23%	That the total bonded indebtedness limits be waived for each district with the following conditions: (1) the period of request does not exceed the recommended period shown on Attachment 1, (2) the total bonded indebtedness limit does not exceed the recommended new maximum shown on Attachment 1, (3) the district does not exceed the statutory tax rate limits, (4) the waiver is limited to the sale of bonds approved by the voters on the measure shown on Attachment 1, and (5) Capital Appreciation Bonds (CABs) are not issued subsequent to approval of these waivers if the debt ratio goes above the statutory tax rate limit.	Approved by 9-0 vote after amendment to strike condition (5) of staff recommendation	2013-05-08	<a href="#">Item W-29</a>	2-3-13
----	----------------------------------	--------	--------	-------	---	--	------------	---------------------------	--------

1 1	West Contra Costa Unified School District	2.50 %	5.00 %	5.00%	That the total bonded indebtedness limits be waived for each district with the following conditions: (1) the period of request does not exceed the recommended period shown on Attachment 1, (2) the total bonded indebtedness limit does not exceed the recommended new maximum shown on Attachment 1, (3) the district does not exceed the statutory tax rate limits, (4) the waiver is limited to the sale of bonds approved by the voters on the measure shown on Attachment 1, and (5) Capital Appreciation Bonds (CABs) are not issued subsequent to approval of these waivers if the debt ratio goes above the statutory tax rate limit.	Approved by 9-0 vote after amendment to strike condition (5) of staff recommendation	2013-05-08	<a href="#">Item W-29</a>	57-1-2013
--------	---	--------	--------	-------	---	--	------------	---------------------------	-----------

1 2	Westside Union School District	1.25 %	1.33 %	1.33%	The California Department of Education (CDE) recommends that the total bonded indebtedness limits be waived for each district with the following conditions: (1) the period of request does not exceed the recommended period shown on Attachment 1, (2) the total bonded indebtedness limit does not exceed the recommended new maximum shown on Attachment 1, (3) the district does not exceed the statutory tax rate limits, (4) the waiver is limited to the sale of bonds approved by the voters on the measure shown on Attachment 1, and (5) Capital Appreciation Bonds (CABs) are not issued subsequent to approval of these waivers if the debt ratio goes above the statutory tax rate limit.	Approved by 9-0 vote after amendment to strike condition (5) of staff recommendation	2013-05-08	<a href="#">Item W-29</a>	35-2-2013
--------	---	-----------	-----------	-------	---	--	------------	---------------------------	-----------

13	Alum Rock Union Elementary School District	1.25 %	1.95 %	1.75%	That the bonded indebtedness limits be waived with the following conditions: 1) the district's total bonded indebtedness, as a percent of assessed valuation, does not exceed 1.75 percent, 2) the waiver is limited to the sale of bonds approved by the voters in the November 2012 election, 3) the tax rate levied at the time of bond issuance does not exceed the amount authorized by the voters to secure the bonds, 4) the waiver is limited to two years less one day, and 5) Capital Appreciation Bonds (CABs) are not issued subsequent to approval of this waiver if the debt ratio goes above 1.25 percent.	Approved by 9-0 vote after amendment to strike condition (5) of staff recommendation	2013-03-13	<a href="#">Item W-07</a>	63-10-2012
14	Pittsburg Unified School District	3.58 % (based on previous waiver)	5.00 %	5.00%	The bonded indebtedness limits be waived with the condition that each district's total bonded indebtedness as a percent of assessed valuation, does not exceed the percent shown on Attachment 1 and that the tax rate levied at the time of bond issuance does not exceed the amount shown on Attachment 1.	Approved by Consent	2012-07-18	<a href="#">Item W-14</a>	168-2-2012

15	Savanna Elementary School District	1.25 %	2.50 %	2.50%	That the bonded indebtedness limits be waived with the condition that each district's total bonded indebtedness as a percent of assessed valuation, does not exceed the percent shown on Attachment 1 and that the tax rate levied at the time of bond issuance does not exceed the amount shown on Attachment 1.	Approved by Consent	2012-07-18	<a href="#">Item W-14</a>	132-2-2012
-	Folsom-Cordova Unified School District (Measure M)	2.50 %	10.20 %	10.20%	That the bonded indebtedness limits be waived with the condition that each district's total bonded indebtedness as a percent of assessed valuation, does not exceed the percent shown on Attachment 1 and that the tax rate levied at the time of bond issuance does not exceed the amount shown on Attachment 1.	Withdrawn	2012-03-07	<a href="#">Item W-14</a> and <a href="#">Item W-14 Attachment 1</a> and <a href="#">Item W-14 Attachment 3</a>	79-12-2011
16	Folsom-Cordova Unified School District (Measure N)	2.50 %	3.40 %	3.40%	That the bonded indebtedness limits be waived with the condition that each district's total bonded indebtedness as a percent of assessed valuation, does not exceed the percent shown on Attachment 1 and that the tax rate levied at the time of bond issuance does not exceed the amount shown on Attachment 1.	Approved by Consent	2012-03-07	<a href="#">Item W-14</a> and <a href="#">Item W-14 Attachment 1</a> and <a href="#">Item W-14 Attachment 4</a>	80-12-2011

17	Hawthorne Elementary School District	1.25 %	1.55 %	1.55%	The California Department of Education recommends that the bonded indebtedness limits be waived with the condition that each district's total bonded indebtedness as a percent of assessed valuation, does not exceed the percent shown on Attachment 1 and that the tax rate levied at the time of bond issuance does not exceed the amount shown on Attachment 1.	Approved by Consent	2012-03-07	<a href="#">Item W-14</a> and <a href="#">Item W-14 Attachment 1</a> and <a href="#">Item W-14 Attachment 2</a>	29-10-2011
18	San Ysidro School District	1.25 %	3.00 %	3.00%	That the bonded indebtedness limits be waived with the condition that each district's total bonded indebtedness as a percent of assessed valuation, does not exceed the percent shown on Attachment 1 and that the tax rate levied at the time of bond issuance does not exceed the amount shown on Attachment 1.	Approved by Consent	2012-03-07	<a href="#">Item W-14</a> and <a href="#">Item W-14 Attachment 1</a> and <a href="#">Item W-14 Attachment 5</a>	62-12-2012

19	Twin Rivers Unified School District	1.25 %	2.50 %	2.50%	That the board approve the district's request with the following conditions: The waiver is limited to the sale of bonds approved by the voters in the June 2006 election and the bonded indebtedness will not exceed 2.5 percent of assessed valuation. In addition, at no time before issuance of any additional authorized bonds will the tax levy exceed \$30 per \$100,000 of taxable property.	Approved by Consent	2011-11-09	<a href="#">Item W-11</a> and <a href="#">Item W-11 Attachment 1</a>	14-5-2011
20	Moreland School District	1.25 %	1.57 %	1.57%	That the board approve the district's request with the following conditions: The waiver is limited to the sale of bonds approved by the voters in the November 2010 election and the bonded indebtedness will not exceed 1.57 percent of assessed valuation. In addition, at no time before issuance of any additional authorized bonds will the tax levy exceed the \$30 per \$100,000 of taxable property authorized by the voters to secure the bonds.	Approved by Consent	2011-07-13	<a href="#">Item WC-8 General</a> and <a href="#">Item WC-8 Attachment 1</a>	5-4-2011

21	El Monte Union High School District	1.25 %	2.00 %	2.00%	That the bonded indebtedness limit of El Monte Union High School District (UHSD) be waived provided it does not exceed 2.0 percent of the assessed valuation of taxable property of the district and that the waiver is limited to the sale of bonds approved by the voters in the November 2008 election. In addition, at no time is the tax levy to exceed the \$30 per \$100,000 of taxable property estimated by the voters to secure the bonds.	Approved by Consent	2011-03-09	<a href="#">Item W-5 General</a> and <a href="#">Item W-5 Attachment 1</a>	174-12-2012
22	West Contra Costa Unified School District	2.50 %	5.00 %	5.00%	That the bonded indebtedness limit of West Contra Costa Unified School District be waived provided it does not exceed 5.0 percent of the assessed valuation of taxable property of the district and that the waiver is limited to the sale of bonds approved by the voters in the June 2010 election. In addition, at no time is the tax levy to exceed the \$60 per \$100,000 of taxable property authorized by the voters to secure the bonds.	Approved by Consent	2011-03-09	<a href="#">Item W-6 General</a> and <a href="#">Item W-6 Attachment 1</a>	200-12-2010

2 3	Wiseburn Unified School District	1.25 %	2.20 %	2.20%	That the bonded indebtedness limit of Wiseburn Elementary School District be waived provided it does not exceed 2.20 percent of the assessed valuation of taxable property of the district and that the waiver is limited to the sale of bonds approved by the voters in the November 2010 election. In addition, at no time is the tax levy to exceed the amount authorized by the voters to secure the bonds.	Approv ed by Consent	2011- 03-09	<a href="#">Item WC-4 General</a> <a href="#">Item WC-4 Attachment 1</a>	46-12- 2010
2 4	Alvord Unified School District	2.50 %	2.60 %	2.60%	That the bonded indebtedness limit of Alvord Unified School District be waived provided it does not exceed 2.6 percent of the assessed valuation of taxable property of the district, and that the waiver is limited to the sale of bonds approved by the voters in the November 2007 election. In addition, at no time is the tax levy to exceed the amount authorized by the voters to secure the bonds.	Approv ed by Consent	2011- 01-12	<a href="#">Item W-12 General</a> <a href="#">Item W-12 Attachment 1</a>	67-10- 2010

25	Pittsburg Unified School District	2.50 %	3.58 %	3.58%	That the bonded indebtedness limit of Pittsburg Unified School District be waived provided it does not exceed 3.58 percent of the assessed valuation of taxable property of the district, and that the waiver is limited to the sale of bonds approved by the voters in the November 2006 and November 2010 elections. In addition, at no time is the tax levy to exceed the amount authorized by the voters to secure the bonds.	Approved by Consent	2011-01-12	<a href="#">Item W-13 General</a> <a href="#">Item W-13 Attachment 1</a>	48-10-2010
26	Stockton Unified School District	2.50 %	3.28 %	3.28%	That the bonded indebtedness limit of Stockton Unified School District be waived provided it does not exceed 3.28 percent of the assessed valuation of taxable property of the district, and that the waiver is limited to the sale of bonds approved by the voters in the February 2008 election. In addition, at no time is the tax levy to exceed the amount authorized by the voters to secure the bonds.	Approved by Consent	2011-01-12	<a href="#">Item W-14 General</a> <a href="#">Item W-14 Attachment 1</a>	69-10-2010

27	Piedmont Unified School District	2.50 %	2.80 %	2.79%	That the bonded indebtedness limit of Piedmont Unified School District be waived provided it does not exceed 2.79 percent of the assessed valuation of taxable property of the district and that the waiver is limited to the sale of bonds approved by the voters in the March 2006 election. In addition, at no time is the tax levy to exceed the amount authorized by the voters to secure the bonds.	Approved by Consent	2010-05-05	<a href="#">Item WC-17</a> and <a href="#">Item WC-17 Attachment 1</a>	21-3-2010
28	Delano Joint Union High School District	1.25 %	2.91 %	2.25%	That the bonded indebtedness of Delano Joint Union High School District not exceed 2.25 percent of the assessed valuation of taxable property of the district and that the waiver is limited to the sale of bonds approved by the voters in the November 2005 election. In addition, at no time is the tax levy to exceed the amount authorized by the voters to secure the bonds.	Approved by Consent	2009-09-16	<a href="#">Item W-6</a> and <a href="#">W-6 Attachment 1</a>	1-9-2009

29	Richland School District	1.25 %	1.90 %	1.90%	That the bonded indebtedness of Richland Union Elementary School District (UESD) not exceed 1.90 percent of the assessed valuation of taxable property of the district and that the waiver is limited to the sale of bonds approved by the voters in the November 2008 election. In addition, at no time is the tax levy to exceed the amount authorized by the voters to secure the bonds.	Approved by Consent	2009-07-08	<a href="#">Item W-9</a> and <a href="#">Item W-9 Attachment 1</a>	39-5-2009
30	El Monte City School District	1.25 %	1.72 %	1.73%	That the bonded indebtedness of El Monte City Elementary School District not exceed 1.73 percent of the assessed valuation of taxable property of the district and that the waiver is limited to the sale of bonds approved by the voters in the November 2008 election. In addition, at no time is the tax levy to exceed the amount authorized by the voters to secure the bonds.	Approved by Consent	2009-05-06	<a href="#">Item W-38</a> and <a href="#">Item W-38 Attachment 1</a>	9-5-2009

31	Ross School District	1.25 %	1.43 %	1.43%	That the district's bonded indebtedness does not exceed 1.43 percent of the assessed value of the district's taxable property, the waiver is limited to the sale of bonds approved by the voters in the June 2008 election, and the tax levy does not exceed the amount authorized by the voters.	Approved by Consent	2009-05-06	<a href="#">Item WC-7</a>	8-3-2009
32	West Contra Costa Unified School District	2.50 %	3.50 %	3.13%	That the district's bonded indebtedness does not exceed 3.13 percent of the assessed valuation of taxable property of the district, the waiver is limited to the sale of bonds approved by the voters in the November 2005 election, and the tax levy does not exceed the amount authorized by the voters.	Approved by 8-0 vote	2009-03-11	<a href="#">Item W-15</a>	77-2-2009
33	Planada Elementary School District	1.25 %	1.55 %	2.55%	That the bonded indebtedness of Planada Elementary School District not exceed 2.55 percent of the assessed valuation of taxable property of the district and that the waiver is limited to the sale of bonds approved by the voters in the November 2008 election.	Approved by Consent	2008-11-05	<a href="#">Item W-14</a>	41-12-2008

3 4	Alisal Union School District	1.25 %	1.68 %	1.68%	That the bonded indebtedness of Alisal Union Elementary School District not exceed 1.68 percent of the assessed valuation of taxable property of the district and that the waiver is limited to the sale of bonds approved by the voters in the November 2006 election. In addition, at no time is the tax levy to exceed the amount authorized by the voters to secure the bonds.	Approved by 8-0 vote.	2008-11-05	<a href="#">Item W-12</a>	8-8-2008
3 5	Lindsay Unified School District	2.50 %	2.81 %	2.81%	That the bonded indebtedness of Lindsay Unified School District not exceed 2.81 percent of the assessed valuation of taxable property of the district and that the waiver is limited to the sale of bonds approved by the voters in the February 2008 election. In addition, at no time is the tax levy to exceed the amount authorized by the voters to secure the bonds.	Approved by 8-0 vote.	2008-09-10	<a href="#">Item W-13</a>	9-8-2008

3 6	El Monte City School District	1.25 %	1.43 %	1.43%	That the bonded indebtedness of El Monte City Elementary School District not exceed 1.43 percent of the assessed valuation of taxable property of the district and that the waiver is limited to the sale of bonds approved by the voters in the November 2004 election. In addition, at no time is the tax levy to exceed the amount authorized by the voters to secure the bonds.	Approved by Consent	2008-07-09	<a href="#">Item W-18</a>	17-6-2008
3 7	Edison School District	1.25 %	1.50 %	1.50%	That the bonded indebtedness of Edison Elementary School District not exceed 1.50 percent of the assessed valuation of taxable property of the district and that the waiver is limited to the sale of bonds approved by the voters in the May 2004 election.	Approved by Consent	2008-01-09	<a href="#">Item W-2</a>	35-4-2008
3 8	Garvey Elementary School District	1.25 %	1.46 %	1.46%	That the bonded indebtedness of Garvey Elementary School District not exceed 1.46 percent of the assessed valuation of taxable property of the district and that the waiver is limited to the sale of bonds approved by the voters in the November 2004 election.	Approved by Consent	2007-07-11	<a href="#">Item W-3</a>	13-10-2007

39	Bassett Unified School District	2.50 %	2.84 %	2.84%	That the bonded indebtedness of Bassett Unified School District not exceed 2.84 percent of the assessed valuation of taxable property of the district and that the waiver is limited to the sale of the bonds approved by the voters in the November 2006 election, the waiver is effective until July 2012.	Approved by Consent	2007-03-07	<a href="#">Item W-4</a>	48-4-2007
40	Los Gatos Union School District	1.25 %	1.26 %	1.26%	Approve with the condition that the bonded indebtedness of Los Gatos School District not exceed 1.26 percent of the assessed valuation of taxable property of the district and that the waiver is limited to the sale of the bonds approved by the voters in the June 2001 election.	Approved by Consent	2007-01-10	<a href="#">Item W-2</a>	17-1-2007

4 1	Golden Valley Unified School District	2.50 %	4.71 %	4.71%	Approve with the conditions that the: (1) bonded indebtedness of Golden Valley Unified School District not exceed 4.71 percent of the assessed valuation of taxable property of the district; (2) waiver is limited to the sale of the bonds approved by the voters in the June 1999 and 2006 elections; (3) bond repayment from property taxes not exceed the tax rate promised to the voters for the June 1999 and 2006 elections (\$100 per \$100,000 of assessed value and \$60 per \$100,000 of assessed value, respectively); and (4) waiver is effective until August 1, 2014.	Approved by Consent	2006-01-12	<a href="#">Item W-2</a>	4-9-2006
4 2	Alisal Union School District	1.25 %	1.40 %	1.40%	That the condition that the bonded indebtedness of Alisal Union School District not exceed 1.40 percent of the assessed valuation of taxable property of the district and that the waiver is limited to the sale of the bonds approved by the voters in the November 1999 election.	Approved by Consent	2005-01-13	<a href="#">Item W-1</a>	1-11-2005

4 3	Greenfield Union School District	1.25 %	1.31 %	1.31%	On the condition that the bonded indebtedness of Greenfield Union School District not exceed 1.31 percent of the assessed valuation of taxable property of the district and that the waiver is limited to the sale of the bonds approved by the voters in the March 1999 election.	Approv ed by 10-0 vote	2004- 09-09	<a href="#">Item W-3</a>	2-11-2 004
4 4	Moreland School District	1.25 %	1.76 %	1.76%	On the condition that the bonded indebtedness of Moreland Elementary School District not exceed 1.76% of the assessed valuation of taxable property of the district and that the waiver is limited to the sale of the bonds approved by the voters in the March 2002 election.	Approv ed by 9-0 vote	2004- 09-09	<a href="#">Item W-2</a>	12-7-2 004
4 5	San Ysidro School District	1.25 %	2.15 %	2.15%	On the condition that the bonded indebtedness of San Ysidro School District not exceed 2.15% of the assessed valuation of taxable property of the district and that the waiver is limited to the sale of the bonds approved by the voters in the March 1997 election.	Approv ed by 10-0 vote	2002- 11-13	<a href="#">Item W-3</a>	19-7-2 004

46	West Contra Costa Unified School District	2.50 %	3.00 %	3.00%	On the condition that the bonded indebtedness of West Contra Costa Unified School District not exceed 3.0% of the assessed valuation of taxable property of the district and that the waiver is limited to the sale of the bonds approved by the voters in the June 1998, November 2000, and March 2002 elections.	Approved unanimously.	2009-09-16	<a href="#">Item W-3</a>	7-0-2002
47	Union Elementary School District	1.25 %	1.69 %	1.69%	For sale of the bonds approved by the voters in the June 1999 election.	Approved	2001-11-07	Item W-1	9-9-2001
48	San Ysidro School District	1.25 %	1.56 %	1.56%	For sale of the bonds approved by the voters in the March 1997 election.	Approved	2001-03-07	Item W-10	19-1-2001
-	Oxnard School District	1.25 %	1.59 %	N/A	N/A	Withdrawn	N/A		
-	Reef-Sunset Unified School District	2.50 %	2.75 %	N/A	N/A	Withdrawn	N/A		

**Table A-4**  
**California School Construction & Finance History**

Year	Event
1879	The California Constitution allows school districts to issue general obligation bonds subject to the approval of two-thirds of local voters.
1947	The State Allocation Board (SAB) is created to provide loans for school facilities paid for by proceeds from state bond measures.
1978	Proposition 13 embeds tax limitation language and a two-thirds voter threshold for tax increases in Article XIII of the California Constitution. It states that “the maximum amount of any <i>ad valorem</i> tax on real property shall not exceed one percent (1%) of the full cash value of such property” unless approved “by a two-thirds vote of the qualified electors of such district.” ( <i>Ad valorem</i> means “according to value” in Latin and indicates a tax is imposed on the value of property - in other words, a property tax.) It also prohibits local governments from issuing General Obligation bonds. The state helps to fund school construction through grants.
1980	California voters reject Proposition 4, which would have restored the authority of local governments to issue bonds if two-thirds of voters authorize it.
1982	E.F. Hutton begins underwriting municipal Capital Appreciation Bonds, a year after the first corporate Capital Appreciation Bonds were issued.
1986	In the first of two statewide ballot measures to whittle away at Proposition 13, Proposition 46 amends Proposition 13 and allows school and college districts (and other local governments) to issue general obligation bonds if approved by a two-thirds vote. Community colleges and K-12 school districts have to consider the challenging but not impossible hurdle of winning two-thirds voter approval to obtain authorization to borrow money for construction.
1993	<a href="#">Senate Bill 872</a> gives K-12 school and community college districts authority to sell bonds at a public sale at face value, above face value (at a premium), or below face value (at a discount). It authorizes local governments to adopt "modern" additional and alternative methods to issue (sell) and refund (refinance) general obligation bonds secured by a general levy of ad valorem taxes, in particular zero-coupon bonds (Capital Appreciation Bonds). Supporters of the bill contend it would “let cities and counties stabilize their debt and get the best interest rates” and “likely result in improved debt structuring with correspondingly reduced costs to property taxpayers.” It would also allow local agencies to “access a wider pool of investors who are interested in a broader array of financing instruments, terms of maturity, and tender options.” No individual or organization opposes SB 872 or expresses formal concerns about it. It passes the State Senate 38-0 and passed the State Assembly 76-0, with the Senate then agreeing to minor amendments on a 37-0 vote. In 1994, school districts begin selling Capital Appreciation Bonds.

**Table A-4**  
**California School Construction & Finance History**

Year	Event
1996	The California legislature and Governor Pete Wilson establish a “Class Size Reduction Program” to reduce the number of students per certificated teacher in various grades. School districts claim they lacked sufficient facilities to implement the requirement, and they blame Proposition 13 for the deficiency. In addition, some school districts “perceived sort of ad-hoc discretionary nature of decisions by the Board” in which certain school districts used connections and relationships with board members to gain an advantage in the disbursement process. Various interest groups began exploring ways to get more money for school construction.
1998	The California legislature passed and Governor Pete Wilson signs <a href="#">Senate Bill 50</a> , the Leroy F. Greene School Facilities Act of 1998. It establishes the current funding structure for school construction in California by incorporating both state and local funding sources for school construction. The state pays 50 percent of the cost of new school construction and 60 percent of the cost for school modernization, generally on the condition that local school districts provide matching funds. The State Allocation Board oversees and directs the Office of Public School Construction - a successor agency to the Office of Local Assistance - in the California Department of General Services to manage the grant program. The post-1998 State Allocation Board has been described as a “quasi-legislative, sometimes quasi-judicial body” with state legislators comprising a majority of the board but acting as an agency of the executive branch, as permitted in a special provision in the California Constitution.
1998	Voters approve <a href="#">Proposition 1A</a> , which authorizes the state to borrow \$9.2 billion to support matching grants for school and college construction projects.
1999	<a href="#">Senate Bill 1118</a> , sponsored by the Office of the California State Treasurer, is enacted to “streamline the complex procedures governing school bond elections and issuance.” It establishes the current system for how bond measures are brought before voters and how bond proceeds are managed after approval. It outlines the purposes for which a school or college district can use bond proceeds. It authorizes the creation of various accounts to hold money for various purposes related to bond finance and construction. The legislative record does not indicate that any individual or organization opposed SB 1118 or expressed concerns about it. It passes the State Senate 40-0 but was opposed by some Republicans in the State Assembly, where it passed 50-20 with 10 members of the Assembly (including some Democrats) not voting. Bill analyses for committees about SB 1118 provided almost no context and incompletely listed the many new provisions that the bill would add to state law.
2000	In March, 51% of California voters reject <a href="#">Proposition 26</a> , which would have reduced the voter threshold for approval of General Obligation bond measures from two-thirds to a simple majority.

**Table A-4**  
**California School Construction & Finance History**

Year	Event
2000	<p>In November, 53% of California voters approve <a href="#">Proposition 39</a>, which creates an exception to Proposition 13 by allowing school and college districts an option of proposing General Obligation bond measures that win approval with a 55% voter threshold instead of two-thirds.</p> <p>In addition, the passage of Proposition 39 triggers enactment of <a href="#">Assembly Bill 1908</a>, which imposes requirements on school and college districts that win approval to issue bonds under the criteria of Proposition 39:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Debt obligations resulting from the bond measure cannot exceed 2.5% of assessed value for unified districts and 1.25% for elementary and high school districts.</li> <li>• Tax levies resulting from the bond measure cannot exceed \$60 per \$100,000 of assessed value for unified districts and \$30 per \$100,000 of assessed value for elementary and high school districts.</li> <li>• An independent citizens bond oversight committee with appointed representatives from specific constituencies must be established to ensure proceeds of bond sales authorized by the bond measure are only spent on projects identified in the ballot statement provided to voters.</li> </ul>
2002	Voters approve Proposition 47, which authorizes the state to borrow \$13.05 billion to support matching grants for school and college construction projects.
2004	Voters approve Proposition 55, which authorizes the state to borrow \$12.3 billion to support matching grants for school and college construction projects.
2006	Voters approve Proposition 1D, which authorizes the state to borrow \$10.4 billion to support matching grants for school and college construction projects.

**Table A-4**  
**California School Construction & Finance History**

Year	Event
2006	<p><a href="#">Assembly Bill 1482</a> brings a bit more transparency to the process of bond sales by requiring public notice of the method of sale and other pertinent information when a district intends to issue bonds. It was introduced by Assemblyman Joe Canciamilla, and signed into law by Governor Arnold Schwarzenegger in 2006. It passed the State Senate 28-4 with eight members not voting and passed the State Assembly 68-5 with six members not voting. The revised version of the bill was supported by the California Association of County Treasurers and Tax Collectors.</p> <p>As introduced, this bill required that all sales of bonds by school districts occur through a competitive bid process, with specific exceptions granted in limited circumstances that would allow for a negotiated sale, if approved by the county treasurer or the State Treasurer. Opposition was strong from groups heavily involved in promoting bond measures for school construction, including California's Coalition for Adequate School Housing (C.A.S.H.), the California Public Securities Association, the Association of California School Administrators, the California Association of School Business Officials, and the Small School Districts' Association. The two largest school districts in the state - Los Angeles Unified School District and San Diego Unified School District - also opposed it.</p> <p>The Assembly Education Committee heard the bill but did not take action. Assemblyman Canciamilla then submitted a request to the Joint Legislative Audit Committee asking for an audit to determine to what extent true cost of issuance differed between comparable school district general obligation bond issues sold through a competitive bid process versus negotiated sale. The Audit Committee did not approve the request. Ultimately, the bill directed the state to collect additional information to get better insight on whether competitive bidding (as opposed to negotiated sales) provides lower costs to the bond issuer.</p>
2007	<p>Housing prices in some areas of the state begin a <a href="#">dramatic four-year drop</a>, in some regions declining 50% from their zenith, thus reducing assessed property valuation and the tax and debt limits based on it.</p>

**Table A-4**  
**California School Construction & Finance History**

Year	Event
2009	<p><a href="#">Assembly Bill 1388</a> gives local governments such as counties and cities the same authority as school districts and college districts to sell bonds at a negotiated sale for a price at, above, or below par value, under criteria already in place for educational districts. It was amended in the Senate to repeal a provision from SB 872 (1993) that prohibited a bond issue from being structured so that the maximum annual debt service payment of principal and interest to amortize the bonds never exceeds the minimum annual debt service payment by more than 10 percent.</p> <p>No elaboration or explanation was provided in bill analyses regarding the amendment to AB 1388. The bill text itself simply said "Section 53508.5 of the Government Code is repealed." Obviously someone knew that this restriction was hindering bond sales – especially those involving Capital Appreciation Bonds.</p> <p>School and college districts were selling Capital Appreciation Bonds shortly after the passage of SB 872 in 1993, but AB 1388 apparently encouraged their use at a time when educational districts found themselves unable to sell bonds for construction projects. The bill was sponsored by the California Public Securities Association and supported by the California State Association of Counties and League of California Cities. The legislative record does not indicate that any individual or organization opposed the bill or expressed concerns about it. It passed the State Senate 39-0 and passed the State Assembly 77-0.</p>
2010	<p>The California Association of County Treasurers and Tax Collectors supports <a href="#">Senate Bill 623</a>, which would have prohibited a local agency from using a bond underwriter that also provides campaign services to pass a bond measure, and <a href="#">Senate Bill 1461</a>, which would have prohibited a local agency from using a bond underwriter or a financial advisor or a legal advisor that also provides campaign services to pass a bond measure or conducts feasibility studies and polling for a potential campaign. Both of these bills failed to pass the California legislature after resistance from school and college districts and parties involved in bond finance and in campaigns to pass bond measures.</p>
2010	<p>Momentum starts in the California legislature and grows in the next six years to place another statewide bond measure on the ballot to fund school and community college construction.</p>
2012	<p>California political leaders find out that the Poway Unified School District sold about \$100 million in non-redeemable Capital Appreciation Bonds in 2011 that will impose almost \$1 billion in debt service over 40 years. Other educational districts that sold Capital Appreciation Bonds with unusually high ratios of debt service to principal are subsequently exposed.</p>

**Table A-4**  
**California School Construction & Finance History**

Year	Event
2013	<a href="#">Assembly Bill 182</a> attempts to reign in the worst excesses of Capital Appreciation Bonds while still allowing school and college districts to use them as a debt finance tool. Assemblywoman Joan Buchanan - chairwoman of the Assembly Education Committee - introduces the bill, and it was signed into law in whittled-down form by Governor Jerry Brown in 2013.

**Table A-5**  
**Arguments for Capital Appreciation Bonds**

Argument	Rebuttal
<b>URGENCY</b>	
School facilities are desperately needed now: schools are overcrowded, deteriorating, outdated, and unsafe.	These claims are rarely quantified. There needs to be an objective way to determine that need overwhelms the risk of massive tax and debt burdens for future generations.
	Despite 14 years of Proposition 39, educational districts continue to increase the number of bond measures on the ballot and the total amount authorized to borrow. It seems that spending between \$100-\$200 billion on construction since 2000 has only increased the need for more.
	It's possible that educational districts are preparing for a population boom that may never occur. Average Daily Attendance for California K-12 school districts has dropped from 5,927,951 in 2003-04 to 5,631,709 in 2008-09 to 5,501,603 in 2013-14. Actual California population growth is lagging behind projections made in the 1990s.
When the bond measure was before voters for consideration, the educational district made promises to residents about what was going to	Anecdotal, it appears that voters aren't necessarily keen on immediately proceeding with construction projects listed in bond measure ballot statements if it requires borrowing money under outlandish terms via sales of Capital Appreciation Bonds or other unconventional methods of debt finance. Taxpayers would rather give their money to their local educational district than to bond investors.

**Table A-5**  
**Arguments for Capital Appreciation Bonds**

Argument	Rebuttal
<p>promises to residents about what was going to be built and what the tax rate would be. Those promises must be fulfilled. Voters want the projects now.</p>	<p>Who actually applies the most pressure on the educational district to proceed with borrowing money? Are educational districts selling Capital Appreciation Bonds or other unconventional methods of debt finance because parents and teachers are demanding it? Or is the political pressure coming from the various interests that contributed to the bond measure campaign and now want to reap the rewards of contracts for this construction program?</p>
<p>Interest rates are low. This is a good time to borrow money, perhaps with a mix of Current Interest Bonds and Capital Appreciation Bonds. Rates may not be so favorable when assessed valuation of property in the district goes up.</p>	<p>Interest rates are low and provide an advantage for educational districts issuing Current Interest Bonds, but the outrageous nature of Capital Appreciation Bond negates the benefit of lower rates. The ratio of debt service to principal should not exceed 3 or 4 (at the most) for an individual bond issue.</p>
<p>Educational districts will jeopardize the quality of education for students if they don't get funding for construction now.</p>	<p>Is it true that new and modernized facilities significantly improve academic performance and life preparation for students? Is the impact of bond measures on test scores proportionate to the amount of tax revenue spent on debt service for those bond measures? Or are bond measures simply an easy method to get more money flowing into the district?</p>
<p>Ongoing construction programs would have to stop if funding isn't obtained now, causing inconvenience, stopping momentum, and risking a higher cost of construction in the future.</p>	<p>A realistic projection for assessed valuation of property would allow for better planning of construction-related contracts. Future generations should not have to pay for the risky borrowing practices of this generation's leaders.</p>
<p align="center"><b>STINGY STATE LAWS COMPEL USE</b></p>	

**Table A-5**  
**Arguments for Capital Appreciation Bonds**

Argument	Rebuttal
Educational districts have to sell Capital Appreciation Bonds or other unconventional methods of debt finance because of unreasonably low tax and debt limits established in state law.	The California legislature established these limits in state law in 2000 as part of a strategy to boost voter support for Proposition 39, a statewide measure on the November 2000 ballot to modify Proposition 46 enacted in 1986 - an initiative that modified the high-profile Proposition 13 enacted in 1978. Without limits and other additional taxpayer protections, Proposition 39 might have failed, as Proposition 26 failed in March 2000.
Educational districts have to sell Capital Appreciation Bonds or other unconventional methods of debt finance because assessed valuation of property in the districts unexpectedly declined, thus forcing districts to confront tax and debt limits.	It's important to obtain an independent projection of assessed property valuation that does not extend a current exceptional rate of growth for 40 years.
<b>THESE BOND FINANCE DEALS ARE MISUNDERSTOOD</b>	
It's wrong to consider Capital Appreciation Bonds in isolation. They are usually just a piece of a package of bond issues. When considered in conjunction with other bond issues, the debt to principal ratio is usually reasonable.	This doesn't eliminate the reality that bonds are issued that will need to be paid back decades later with compounded interest. Why include them at all?

**Table A-5**  
**Arguments for Capital Appreciation Bonds**

Argument	Rebuttal
<p>Focusing on long-term debt service is misleading. Just because there is a high number for aggregate accreted interest in 40 years doesn't necessary mean that amount will ever be paid. Many Capital Appreciation Bonds are "callable" and can be redeemed (and are being redeemed) with a new issue of refunding bonds that have lower rates and can be issued as traditional Current Interest Bonds. Because of the consistent increasing value of property in California over several generations, an amount that seems high to taxpayers now will not be so daunting decades from now. Routine inflation will reduce the "real" cost of paying back Capital Appreciation Bonds decades from now.</p>	<p>This is public money. The decision to borrow money via Capital Appreciation Bonds assumes that assessed valuation of property and the rate of inflation will increase substantially over decades. And some districts (such as Poway Unified School District) have sold Capital Appreciation Bonds that are not callable.</p>
<p>Contrary to claims made after the fact, plenty of information is provided to educational district administrators and elected board members about bond sales. There isn't an excuse for not understanding the proposal.</p>	<p>Information is not presented in a standardized way that is easy to understand. Most school board members do not have a background in accounting, finance, or bonds. In addition, school board members may be hesitant to publicly acknowledge their lack of understanding, especially if everyone else in the room is nodding heads during the bond consultant presentation.</p>

**Table A-5**  
**Arguments for Capital Appreciation Bonds**

Argument	Rebuttal
<p>Critics have self-interested motivations to criticize. Traditional and consistent ideological detractors of government schools want to take advantage of yet another opportunity to undermine the system. Cynical politicians want to exploit bad news in order to build a reputation. News media wants to improve reader and viewer ratings through sensational and misleading coverage.</p>	<p>Most people would acknowledge that criticism of at least a few bond issues by California educational districts has merit. In addition, there are self-interested motivations for people denying that Capital Appreciation Bonds and other unconventional bond financing are unusual or unwise. Community college and K-12 school district elected officials wanted to stay in office. District administrators wanted to keep their jobs. And of course professionals in the financial industry wanted to continue making a living from the transaction fees generated by bond sales.</p>
<p>Assembly Bill 182 (2013) wasn't really needed, but it is now law and there are no valid arguments to impose more restrictions on this valuable tool for educational districts.</p>	<p>Educational districts are still selling Capital Appreciation Bonds (and also Bond Anticipation Notes) under the assumption that assessed valuation will continue to rise for decades. The bond financing industry will continue to use these schemes to bloat borrowing and collect more transaction fees.</p>

**Table A-6**  
**Arguments Against Capital Appreciation Bonds**

Argument	Rebuttal
<b>THE BOND FINANCE INDUSTRY IS NOT TRUSTWORTHY</b>	
Promoters of bond deals are motivated by transaction fees and tend to advance funding proposals in their own interest but harmful to the public interest.	Borrowing money for long-term investment is a well-accepted practice in the United States and a fundamental part of our economic system.
	Most people involved with bond finance are ethical and enjoy being in a professional financial vocation that helps students and society.
	The few bond finance professionals who are alleged to advise decisions not in the interest of their clients earn a bad reputation and can't stay in the business.
	Companies and individuals who work in the business of assisting with capital transfer and earn fees on those transactions are an easy target to malign, but they are essential to a prosperous economy.
Proving their lack of responsibility to the public, the California Public Securities Association in 2009 sponsored Assembly Bill 1388, a self-interested bill that repealed a law requiring that the maximum annual payment of principal and interest on a bond issue cannot exceed the minimum annual payment of principal and interest by more than 10 percent.	Actually, this bill helped educational districts by allowing them greater opportunity to borrow money despite reaching state tax and debt limits or despite reaching tax and debt limits indicated in the bond measure.
Excessive competition in the market to win contracts from educational districts for bond finance services has compelled some companies to overstate benefits and understate	Increased competition in municipal bond finance gives educational districts the opportunity to compare numerous potential contractors and chose the one that best suits its needs. Districts concerned about debt accumulated through Capital Appreciation Bonds can award contracts to professional service firms that adopt a conservative approach to bond finance.

**Table A-6**  
**Arguments Against Capital Appreciation Bonds**

risks of unconventional bond finance.	Increased competition in municipal bond finance has encouraged the development and promotion of more creative and effective options to help educational districts in bond finance, such as Reauthorization Bonds and Ed-Tech Bonds.
Corruption is rampant in the municipal bond finance business, as proven by apparent “pay to play” practices between educational districts and bond underwriters.	Many parties in the bond financial industry resent how their reputation is tainted by a few companies that make substantial contributions to bond measure campaigns and/or consult for those campaigns and then obtain no-bid contracts and/or higher transaction fees. They have asked the Municipal Securities Rulemaking Board (MSRB) to restrict parties in the financial services industry from contributing to bond campaigns. They have also collectively adopted a voluntary internal moratorium on the practice.
	Some county treasurers, for example in Los Angeles County, have ended business with securities brokers that contribute to campaigns for bond measures. The problem is being addressed.
	The Municipal Securities Rulemaking Board already has a regulation requiring brokers, dealers, and municipal securities deals to disclose their campaign contributions to allow public scrutiny of such political activity.
	Political campaigns are expensive. Parents and students are unlikely to be major sources of contributions to a campaign to pass a bond measure. There is nothing wrong with companies contributing to a campaign and expressing their First Amendment constitutional right to free speech.
	No one has ever proven this practice actually happens.

**Table A-6**  
**Arguments Against Capital Appreciation Bonds**

	Claims about this practice come from firms that want to stifle competition from other firms that work harder for educational districts.
Educational districts are no different than victims of loan sharks, payday lenders, mortgage scammers, and other unsavory usurers.	Comparisons of professional, certified financial service providers to criminals is unjust. Boards elected by the people consider and vote on proposals for bond issues at public meetings regulated by open meetings laws. The process is highly regulated by the US Securities and Exchange Commission and the Municipal Securities Rulemaking Board. The news media has the opportunity to follow and report on the issue to the public.
<b>LACK OF PUBLIC KNOWLEDGE COMPROMISES ACCOUNTABILITY AND ALLOWS TAXPAYERS TO BE EXPLOITED</b>	
Few Californians have ever heard of Capital Appreciation Bonds. An even tinier percentage of Californians could adequately explain them. As a result, the public is currently incapable of evaluating this method of bond finance and petitioning their school or	Government does many things that the general public does not know about or understand. Accountability is inherent in the regular elections for governing boards. Candidates run for and get elected to public office based on their individual expertise and experience. Voters can subsequently choose to end the public service of those individuals based on their performance.
	Educational districts have professional in-house superintendents and often have other administrators overseeing bond deals, including business officers assigned to work on bond finance.
	Educational districts hire outside experts to maximize the effectiveness of their bond measures and best serve the public. Contracts for these experts include terms and conditions that provide protection for the district and accountability to the consultant.

**Table A-6**  
**Arguments Against Capital Appreciation Bonds**

college board members about it.	State and county elected and appointed officials and their agencies serve as checks and balances for educational district decisions. In particular, county treasurers can and do play a role in evaluating questionable bond financing.
	In the few cases in which excessive or inappropriate bond deals may have occurred, (for example, the 2011 bond issue at the Poway USD), elected county treasurers and the news media did identify the failure and publicized it. Assembly Bill 182 (now in law) is the product of research and reporting by elected government officials and the news media. The system of checks and balances worked.
Voters are not informed in election ballot material that some of the money they authorize to borrow via “general obligation bonds” ends up borrowed via Capital Appreciation Bonds and other unconventional borrowing practices.	Actually, some ballot statements are now indicating that “no capital appreciation bonds shall be issued.” Inclusion of language specifying the type of General Obligation bonds to be sold should be a decision of the district board and not mandated by the state.
	It’s unfair for educational districts to be forced to speculate to voters on how it might borrow money. Financing decisions are made by elected board members based on economic conditions that cannot be known at the time the bond measure is considered.
	State law already imposes numerous burdensome and costly requirements on educational districts to ensure voters have a reasonable degree of information for consideration of a bond measure.
	Ballot statements already are so long that few people would see any authorizations for the district to Capital Appreciation Bonds and other unconventional borrowing practices if they were included.

**Table A-6**  
**Arguments Against Capital Appreciation Bonds**

<b>COST, TAXES, AND DEBT ARE FOOLHARDY</b>	
It's foolish to borrow money and then wait for decades to start paying off the principal and accreted interest.	What's foolish are the tax and debt limitations established by state voters as Proposition 13 in 1978 and state laws (Assembly Bill 1908) enacted in conjunction with putting Proposition 39 on the statewide ballot in 2000. If those limits were set at a higher threshold or eliminated altogether, Capital Appreciation Bonds and other unconventional financing schemes would become rare.
Property taxes may increase substantially many years in the future when the district begins paying off the debt.	It's unlikely the taxes will end up being particularly noteworthy or burdensome after decades of increased property value and inflation.
The amount to be paid back under Capital Appreciation Bonds is too high.	Just because there is a high number for aggregate accreted interest in 40 years doesn't necessary mean that amount will ever be paid. Many Capital Appreciation Bonds are "callable" and can be redeemed (and are being redeemed) with a new issue of refunding bonds that have lower rates and can be issued as traditional Current Interest Bonds.
	Because of the consistent increasing value of property in California over several generations, an amount that seems high to taxpayers now will not be so daunting decades from now.
	Routine inflation will reduce the "real" cost of paying back Capital Appreciation Bonds decades from now.
	Focusing on the amount of debt service generated by Capital Appreciation Bonds ignores the intangible benefits of high-quality schools with environments conducive to teaching and learning

**Table A-6**  
**Arguments Against Capital Appreciation Bonds**

Capital Appreciation Bonds are used too often.	For most educational districts, Capital Appreciation Bonds comprise a small percentage of the total amount of bonds issued. Capital Appreciation Bonds are a legitimate and beneficial option for educational districts that want to obtain a bit more of the money that voters authorized to borrow for needed school construction.
	Capital Appreciation Bonds allow educational districts to fund contracts with local contractors and vendors, thus encouraging economic growth and job creation in the community. Capital Appreciation Bonds pay for themselves by generating increased economic activity.
	There are no legal or commonly accepted definitions of “too often.” The authority to issue Capital Appreciation Bonds is granted to the educational district’s board of trustees, who are elected by the people. Each educational district has its own comfort for Capital Appreciation Bonds, and this comfort usually reflected in the decision of the board. Trust our representative democracy.
Capital Appreciation Bonds assumes an ability to pay based on projections of increased value of taxable property that may extend as many as 40 years into the future.	Granted, no one can perfectly predict the future. But California remains a desirable place to live because of its climate, natural beauty, economic prosperity, and culture. It’s reasonable to assume that people with ability and ambition will always come to California, a beacon for the world, and thus increase demand for housing.
	The best way to ensure increased property values in the future is to build a foundation of high-quality schools with environments conducive to teaching and learning. Funding for new construction - sometimes obtained through Capital Appreciation Bonds - allow these schools to be provided and fulfills the expectation for increased property values.

**Table A-6**  
**Arguments Against Capital Appreciation Bonds**

	Schools built using Capital Appreciation Bonds are for the benefit of our children and grandchildren. Shouldn't they contribute to paying for the system that helped to make them successful?
Without any sort of representation, future generations of taxpayers (children and grandchildren) are bound to repaying debts accumulated by unconventional borrowing practices of current generations.	This is an unfortunate distortion of the concept of "taxation without representation" that applies to people who are deprived of their right for full participation in their current governance. Many of the important and transformational social programs in the United States and in California were adopted before the people now benefiting and paying for them were even born. Generations work together cooperatively to advance progress.

## **Appendices A to L**

# Appendix A

## All California Educational Bond Measures Approved by Voters Since November 2000 Enactment of Proposition 39 - Ranked by Percentage of Voter Approval

Rank	Educational District	Amount Proposed to Borrow	Year-Month-Day of Election	Counties	Measure	% Needed to Pass	Yes	Total	Passage	Outcome
1	Roseville Joint Union High School District SFID No. 1	\$115,000,000	2007-04-24	Placer	A	66.7	11	12	91.7%	Pass
2	Inglewood Unified School District	\$90,000,000	2012-11-06	Los Angeles	GG	55	31,907	37,059	86.1%	Pass
3	Los Gatos Union School District	\$91,000,000	2001-06-05	Santa Clara	B	66.7	4,615	5,443	84.8%	Pass
4	Oakland Unified School District	\$475,000,000	2012-11-06	Alameda	J	55	122,695	145,382	84.4%	Pass
5	Reef-Sunset Unified School District	\$10,830,000	2012-06-05	Kings	M	55	376	451	83.4%	Pass
6	Wiseburn Unified School District	\$32,600,000	2007-06-12	Los Angeles	A	66.7	1,477	1,778	83.1%	Pass
7	Compton Unified School District	\$80,000,000	2002-11-05	Los Angeles	I	55	14,150	17,036	83.1%	Pass
8	Vineland School District	\$4,000,000	2007-05-22	Kern	C	66.7	188	227	82.8%	Pass
9	Franklin-McKinley School District	\$18,000,000	2001-06-05	Santa Clara	A	66.7	1,640	1,996	82.2%	Pass
10	Bayshore Elementary School District	\$6,000,000	2014-06-03	San Mateo	C	55	325	396	82.1%	Pass
11	Mission Union School District	\$315,000	2004-11-02	Monterey	E	55	103	126	81.7%	Pass
12	Trinidad Union School District	\$2,200,000	2012-06-05	Humboldt	W	55	568	697	81.5%	Pass
13	Denair Unified School District	\$8,200,000	2001-11-06	Stanislaus	P	66.7	959	1,181	81.2%	Pass
14	Folsom Cordova Unified School District SFID No. 3	\$750,000,000	2007-03-27	Sacramento	M	66.7	60	74	81.1%	Pass
15	Bassett Unified School District	\$23,000,000	2004-11-02	Los Angeles	E	55	4,852	5,995	80.9%	Pass
16	Desert Sands Unified School District	\$450,000,000	2001-11-06	Riverside	K	66.7	12,110	15,006	80.7%	Pass
17	Taft City School District	\$20,000,000	2001-06-05	Kern	E	66.7	1,488	1,844	80.7%	Pass
18	Albany Unified School District	\$13,000,000	2004-11-02	Alameda	A	55	5,879	7,304	80.5%	Pass
19	Mendota Unified School District	\$15,000,000	2014-11-04	Fresno	M	55	628	785	80.0%	Pass
20	Alum Rock Union Elementary School District	\$125,000,000	2012-11-06	Santa Clara	J	55	18,442	23,188	79.5%	Pass
21	Baldwin Park Unified School District	\$46,600,000	2002-11-05	Los Angeles	AA	55	6,321	7,952	79.5%	Pass
22	Arvin Union School District	\$15,000,000	2014-11-04	Kern	E	55	1,114	1,402	79.5%	Pass
23	Roseville Joint Union High School District	\$79,500,000	2002-03-05	Sacramento	D	55	2,114	2,664	79.4%	Pass
24	Earlimart School District	\$3,600,000	2012-11-06	Tulare	H	55	672	847	79.3%	Pass
25	Kelseyville Unified School District	\$2,930,000	2001-11-06	Lake	X	55	1,361	1,717	79.3%	Pass
26	Willows Unified School District	\$14,000,000	2014-06-03	Glenn	T	55	140	177	79.1%	Pass
27	Alum Rock Union Elementary School District	\$179,000,000	2008-06-03	Santa Clara	G	55	6,901	8,725	79.1%	Pass
28	Fowler Unified School District	\$21,000,000	2004-11-02	Fresno	L	55	1,757	2,224	79.0%	Pass
29	Hawthorne Elementary School District	\$24,000,000	2004-11-02	Los Angeles	H	55	11,314	14,331	78.9%	Pass
30	Ross School District	\$15,000,000	2006-06-06	Marin	B	66.7	976	1,237	78.9%	Pass
31	Moreno Valley Unified School District	\$50,000,000	2004-03-02	Riverside	G	55	140	178	78.7%	Pass
32	National School District	\$26,100,000	2014-11-04	San Diego	N	55	4,621	5,880	78.6%	Pass
33	Richland School District	\$23,000,000	2002-06-04	Kern	F	66.7	1,006	1,283	78.4%	Pass
34	Compton Community College District	\$100,000,000	2014-11-04	Los Angeles	C	55	20,209	25,779	78.4%	Pass
35	Ocean View School District	<i>Reauthorize \$4,200,000</i>	2012-11-06	Ventura	P	55	2,530	3,230	78.3%	Pass
36	Buttonwillow Union Elementary School District	\$6,500,000	2002-11-05	Kern	I	55	223	285	78.2%	Pass
37	Beverly Hills Unified School District	\$90,000,000	2002-03-05	Los Angeles	K	55	4,424	5,659	78.2%	Pass
38	Pacific Elementary School District	\$830,000	2012-11-06	Santa Cruz	M	55	292	374	78.1%	Pass

## All California Educational Bond Measures Approved by Voters Since November 2000

### Enactment of Proposition 39 - Ranked by Percentage of Voter Approval

Rank	Educational District	Amount Proposed to Borrow	Year-Month-Day of Election	Counties	Measure	% Needed to Pass	Yes	Total	Passage	Outcome
39	Pittsburg Unified School District	\$40,500,000	2004-11-02	Contra Costa	E	55	11,311	14,499	78.0%	Pass
40	South Pasadena Unified School District	\$29,000,000	2002-11-05	Los Angeles	M	55	5,754	7,377	78.0%	Pass
41	Oakland Unified School District	\$435,000,000	2006-06-06	Alameda	B	55	62,559	80,231	78.0%	Pass
42	McFarland Unified School District	\$8,300,000	2004-03-02	Kern	B	55	649	833	77.9%	Pass
43	Sebastopol Union School District	\$3,200,000	2001-06-05	Sonoma	C	66.7	2,642	3,398	77.8%	Pass
44	Mountain View-Los Altos Union School District	\$41,300,000	2010-06-08	Santa Clara	A	55	19,480	25,067	77.7%	Pass
45	Palo Alto Unified School District	\$378,000,000	2008-06-03	Santa Clara	A	55	14,074	18,140	77.6%	Pass
46	South San Francisco Unified School District	\$162,000,000	2010-11-02	San Mateo	J	55	13,758	17,743	77.5%	Pass
47	South Whittier Elementary School District	\$7,500,000	2004-11-02	Los Angeles	I	55	4,577	5,910	77.4%	Pass
48	Lennox Elementary School District	\$10,700,000	2007-11-06	Los Angeles	L	55	682	881	77.4%	Pass
49	Hayward Unified School District	\$229,000,000	2014-11-04	Alameda	L	55	17,976	23,239	77.4%	Pass
50	Arvin Union School District	\$11,000,000	2004-03-02	Kern	C	55	854	1,105	77.3%	Pass
51	Berkeley Unified School District	\$210,000,000	2010-11-02	Alameda	I	55	31,723	41,113	77.2%	Pass
52	Los Nietos School District	\$15,000,000	2014-11-04	Los Angeles	E	55	1,300	1,686	77.1%	Pass
53	Los Nietos School District	\$13,000,000	2014-11-04	Los Angeles	N	55	1,285	1,668	77.0%	Pass
54	Holtville Unified School District	\$8,000,000	2002-11-05	Imperial	E	55	1,282	1,666	77.0%	Pass
55	Jefferson Elementary School District	\$67,500,000	2012-11-06	San Mateo	M	55	16,261	21,138	76.9%	Pass
56	Lakeside Union Elementary School District	\$22,500,000	2008-11-04	Kern	I	55	2,179	2,835	76.9%	Pass
57	Pixley Union School District	\$7,800,000	2014-06-03	Tulare	Z	55	229	298	76.8%	Pass
58	Little Lake City School District	\$18,000,000	2012-11-06	Los Angeles	EE	55	8,041	10,471	76.8%	Pass
59	Lynwood Unified School District	\$20,000,000	2002-11-05	Los Angeles	C	55	4,448	5,799	76.7%	Pass
60	South Bay Union School District	\$59,400,000	2008-11-04	San Diego	X	55	15,219	19,909	76.4%	Pass
61	Hueneme Elementary School District	\$19,600,000	2012-11-06	Ventura	T	55	8,408	11,011	76.4%	Pass
62	Mountain View School District	\$17,000,000	2001-05-08	San Bernardino	V	66.7	284	372	76.3%	Pass
63	Compton Community College District	\$100,000,000	2002-11-05	Los Angeles	CC	55	23,005	30,141	76.3%	Pass
64	Culver City Unified School District	\$106,000,000	2014-06-03	Los Angeles	CC	55	4,706	6,169	76.3%	Pass
65	El Monte Union High School District	\$90,000,000	2002-11-05	Los Angeles	Y	66.7	13,584	17,815	76.3%	Pass
66	River Delta Unified School District SFID No. 2	\$9,000,000	2004-11-02	Yolo/Sacramento/Solano	V	55	1,165	1,529	76.2%	Pass
67	Graves Union School District	\$180,000	2004-11-02	Monterey	D	55	16	21	76.2%	Pass
68	El Monte City School District	\$50,000,000	2004-11-02	Los Angeles	J	55	11,855	15,576	76.1%	Pass
69	South Bay Union School District	<b>Reauthorize \$26,000,000</b>	2012-11-06	San Diego	Y	55	14,123	18,600	75.9%	Pass
70	Wiseburn Unified School District	\$87,000,000	2010-11-02	Los Angeles	A	55	3,285	4,338	75.7%	Pass
71	Fresno Unified School District	\$280,000,000	2010-11-02	Fresno	Q	55	51,125	67,522	75.7%	Pass
72	Peralta Community College District	\$390,000,000	2006-06-06	Alameda	A	55	97,685	129,106	75.7%	Pass
73	Sequoia Union High School District	\$165,000,000	2008-02-05	San Mateo	L	55	28,285	37,391	75.6%	Pass
74	Ross School District	\$6,750,000	2008-06-03	Marin	A	55	894	1,183	75.6%	Pass
75	Mount Pleasant School District	\$25,000,000	2012-11-06	Santa Clara	L	55	4,482	5,938	75.5%	Pass
76	Arcata Elementary School District	\$7,000,000	2012-11-06	Humboldt	F	55	4,667	6,195	75.3%	Pass
77	Menlo Park City School District	\$23,000,000	2013-11-05	San Mateo	W	55	4,222	5,605	75.3%	Pass
78	Calexico Unified School District	\$30,000,000	2004-03-02	Imperial	J	55	2,680	3,562	75.2%	Pass
79	Coachella Valley Unified School District	\$250,000,000	2005-06-07	Riverside/Imperial	D	66.7	1,747	2,322	75.2%	Pass

## All California Educational Bond Measures Approved by Voters Since November 2000

### Enactment of Proposition 39 - Ranked by Percentage of Voter Approval

Rank	Educational District	Amount Proposed to Borrow	Year-Month-Day of Election	Counties	Measure	% Needed to Pass	Yes	Total	Passage	Outcome
80	El Segundo Unified School District	\$25,000,000	2001-11-06	Los Angeles	E	55	2,369	3,149	75.2%	Pass
81	West Sonoma County Union High School District	\$23,800,000	2010-11-02	Sonoma	I	55	15,375	20,443	75.2%	Pass
82	Elk Hills School District	\$7,905,000	2004-03-02	Kern	D	55	54	72	75.0%	Pass
83	Colton Joint Unified School District	\$102,000,000	2001-09-25	San Bernardino	B	66.7	3,884	5,181	75.0%	Pass
84	Oak Grove School District	\$125,000,000	2008-11-04	Santa Clara	S	55	25,589	34,139	75.0%	Pass
85	Alhambra Unified School District ESID	\$50,000,000	2008-11-04	Los Angeles	MM	55	23,276	31,080	74.9%	Pass
86	Wasco Union High School District	\$6,600,000	2001-06-05	Kern	F	66.7	1,229	1,642	74.8%	Pass
87	Cupertino Union School District	\$80,000,000	2001-06-05	Santa Clara	C	66.7	11,117	14,860	74.8%	Pass
88	Pomona Unified School District	\$235,000,000	2008-11-04	Los Angeles	PS	55	30,058	40,221	74.7%	Pass
89	Reed Union School District	\$38,000,000	2001-11-06	Marin	C	66.7	2,886	3,864	74.7%	Pass
90	Azusa Unified School District	\$75,000,000	2002-03-05	Los Angeles	I	55	4,200	5,624	74.7%	Pass
91	Ceres Unified School District	\$25,000,000	2001-11-06	Stanislaus	J	55	3,214	4,310	74.6%	Pass
92	Dixie School District	\$30,000,000	2014-11-04	Marin	C	55	6,083	8,158	74.6%	Pass
93	Pasadena Unified School District	\$350,000,000	2008-11-04	Los Angeles	TT	55	64,090	85,998	74.5%	Pass
94	Soledad Unified School District	\$40,000,000	2012-11-06	Monterey	C	66.7	2,332	3,131	74.5%	Pass
95	San Lorenzo Unified School District	\$49,000,000	2004-11-02	Alameda	E	55	15,797	21,217	74.5%	Pass
96	San Lorenzo Unified School District	\$83,000,000	2008-11-04	Alameda	O	55	17,356	23,311	74.5%	Pass
97	Stockton Unified School District	<i>Reauthorize \$156,000,000</i>	2012-11-06	San Joaquin	E	55	31,228	41,954	74.4%	Pass
98	McFarland Unified School District	\$25,000,000	2012-11-06	Kern	M	55	1,238	1,664	74.4%	Pass
99	Torrance Unified School District	\$265,000,000	2008-11-04	Los Angeles	Y	55	44,075	59,267	74.4%	Pass
100	Jefferson Union High School District	<i>Reauthorize \$41,900,000</i>	2012-11-06	San Mateo	E	55	30,757	41,401	74.3%	Pass
101	Strathmore Union High School District	\$3,500,000	2002-02-05	Tulare	A	66.7	463	624	74.2%	Pass
102	El Monte Union High School District	\$148,000,000	2008-11-04	Los Angeles	D	55	24,851	33,510	74.2%	Pass
103	Paramount Unified School District	\$100,000,000	2006-11-07	Los Angeles	AA	55	6,845	9,233	74.1%	Pass
104	Pittsburg Unified School District	\$85,000,000	2006-11-07	Contra Costa	J	55	7,288	9,833	74.1%	Pass
105	Fairfax Elementary School District	\$24,800,000	2010-11-02	Kern	C	55	1,265	1,708	74.1%	Pass
106	Palmdale Elementary School District	\$220,000,000	2012-11-06	Los Angeles	DD	55	22,328	30,162	74.0%	Pass
107	El Rancho Unified School District	\$52,000,000	2010-11-02	Los Angeles	EE	55	9,549	12,903	74.0%	Pass
108	Hueneme Elementary School District	\$17,100,000	2004-11-02	Ventura	I	55	8,681	11,732	74.0%	Pass
109	Campbell Union School District	\$150,000,000	2010-06-08	Santa Clara	G	55	12,753	17,237	74.0%	Pass
110	Las Lomitas School District	\$60,000,000	2013-11-05	San Mateo	S	55	2,305	3,117	73.9%	Pass
111	Mendota Unified School District	\$19,000,000	2012-11-06	Fresno	M	55	856	1,158	73.9%	Pass
112	Emery Unified School District	\$95,000,000	2010-11-02	Alameda	J	55	1,982	2,683	73.9%	Pass
113	Fortuna Elementary School District	\$3,900,000	2006-11-07	Humboldt	X	55	1,720	2,329	73.9%	Pass
114	San Francisco Unified School District	\$450,000,000	2006-11-07	San Francisco	A	55	171,236	231,876	73.8%	Pass
115	Long Beach Community College District	\$440,000,000	2008-02-05	Los Angeles	E	55	79,204	107,261	73.8%	Pass
116	Saugus Union School District	\$48,000,000	2002-06-04	Los Angeles	E	66.7	4,462	6,043	73.8%	Pass
117	John Swett Unified School District	\$20,000,000	2008-11-04	Contra Costa	A	55	3,950	5,350	73.8%	Pass
118	Cambrian School District	\$20,975,000	2002-11-05	Santa Clara	G	55	5,352	7,254	73.8%	Pass
119	Keyes Union High School District	\$5,000,000	2005-03-08	Stanislaus	L	66.7	392	532	73.7%	Pass
120	Arena Union Elementary School District	\$3,700,000	2003-11-04	Mendocino	E	55	554	752	73.7%	Pass

## All California Educational Bond Measures Approved by Voters Since November 2000 Enactment of Proposition 39 - Ranked by Percentage of Voter Approval

Rank	Educational District	Amount Proposed to Borrow	Year-Month-Day of Election	Counties	Measure	% Needed to Pass	Yes	Total	Passage	Outcome
121	Carmel Unified School District	\$21,500,000	2005-11-08	Monterey	A	55	7,744	10,512	73.7%	Pass
122	Norwalk-La Mirada Unified School District	\$165,000,000	2002-11-05	Los Angeles	S	55	14,949	20,313	73.6%	Pass
123	Washington Union School District	\$13,000,000	2001-03-20	Monterey	A	66.7	1,253	1,704	73.5%	Pass
124	Sausalito Marin City School District	\$15,900,000	2004-11-02	Marin	I	55	4,346	5,911	73.5%	Pass
125	Colton Joint Unified School District	\$225,000,000	2008-11-04	San Bernardino/Riverside	G	55	19,641	26,735	73.5%	Pass
126	Portola Valley School District	\$6,000,000	2001-11-06	San Mateo	J	55	1,823	2,483	73.4%	Pass
127	Rosemead School District	\$30,000,000	2008-11-04	Los Angeles	O	55	4,325	5,893	73.4%	Pass
128	Bassett Unified School District	\$20,000,000	2006-11-07	Los Angeles	E	55	2,844	3,876	73.4%	Pass
129	Dublin Unified School District	\$184,000,000	2004-11-02	Alameda	C	55	9,062	12,380	73.2%	Pass
130	San Mateo Union High School District	<i>Repurpose \$137,500,000</i>	2002-11-05	San Mateo	C	66.7	40,674	55,573	73.2%	Pass
131	Garvey Elementary School District	\$30,000,000	2004-11-02	Los Angeles	Q	55	6,602	9,026	73.1%	Pass
132	Covina Valley Unified School District	\$129,000,000	2012-11-06	Los Angeles	CC	55	17,640	24,131	73.1%	Pass
133	Sunnyvale School District	\$120,000,000	2004-11-02	Santa Clara	P	55	16,283	22,313	73.0%	Pass
134	Delano Joint Union High School District	\$55,000,000	2005-11-08	Kern/Tulare	C	66.7	3,321	4,552	73.0%	Pass
135	Folsom Cordova Unified School District SFID No. 1	\$49,000,000	2002-03-05	Sacramento	B	55	5,685	7,793	73.0%	Pass
136	Traver Joint Elementary School District	\$700,000	2004-03-02	Tulare/Kings	S	55	124	170	72.9%	Pass
137	Hilmar Unified School District	\$2,000,000	2002-11-05	Merced	E	55	1,483	2,034	72.9%	Pass
138	Live Oak School District	\$14,500,000	2004-03-02	Santa Cruz	E	55	4,446	6,100	72.9%	Pass
139	Round Valley Unified School District	\$4,000,000	2014-06-03	Mendocino	L	55	290	398	72.9%	Pass
140	Montebello Unified School District	\$98,000,000	2004-11-02	Los Angeles	M	55	25,476	34,968	72.9%	Pass
141	Lemon Grove School District	\$28,000,000	2008-11-04	San Diego	W	55	8,635	11,853	72.9%	Pass
142	Evergreen School District	\$150,000,000	2006-11-07	Santa Clara	I	55	15,494	21,278	72.8%	Pass
143	Washington Unified School District	\$22,000,000	2012-11-06	Yolo	W	55	2,757	3,787	72.8%	Pass
144	Bellflower Unified School District	\$79,000,000	2012-11-06	Los Angeles	BB	55	16,469	22,624	72.8%	Pass
145	Palm Springs Unified School District	\$122,000,000	2004-11-02	Riverside	T	55	32,476	44,662	72.7%	Pass
146	Franklin-McKinley School District	\$30,000,000	2004-11-02	Santa Clara	Q	55	11,366	15,632	72.7%	Pass
147	Robla School District	\$29,800,000	2014-11-04	Sacramento	K	55	1,694	2,331	72.7%	Pass
148	Ceres Unified School District	\$60,000,000	2008-11-04	Stanislaus	U	55	9,625	13,258	72.6%	Pass
149	Rancho Santiago Community College District	\$198,000,000	2012-11-06	Orange	Q	55	45,981	63,351	72.6%	Pass
150	El Monte City School District	\$75,000,000	2008-11-04	Los Angeles	KC	55	12,515	17,253	72.5%	Pass
151	Savanna Elementary School District	\$24,935,000	2008-11-04	Orange	N	55	5,728	7,900	72.5%	Pass
152	Moreland School District	\$35,000,000	2002-03-05	Santa Clara	J	66.7	5,597	7,722	72.5%	Pass
153	Cambrian School District	\$39,000,000	2014-06-03	Santa Clara	I	55	3,840	5,299	72.5%	Pass
154	Covina Valley Unified School District	\$50,000,000	2001-06-05	Los Angeles	K	66.7	4,746	6,551	72.4%	Pass
155	Natomas Unified School District	\$45,880,000	2002-11-05	Sacramento	M	55	5,669	7,832	72.4%	Pass
156	Whittier City Unified School District	\$55,000,000	2012-11-06	Los Angeles	Z	55	14,205	19,629	72.4%	Pass
157	Liberty Union High School District	\$40,000,000	2001-03-06	Contra Costa	A	66.7	5,100	7,060	72.2%	Pass
158	Scotts Valley Unified School District	\$35,000,000	2014-06-03	Santa Cruz	A	55	3,978	5,512	72.2%	Pass
159	Albany Unified School District	\$10,000,000	2008-02-05	Alameda	E	55	4,667	6,467	72.2%	Pass
160	Hayward Unified School District	\$205,000,000	2008-06-03	Alameda	I	55	11,546	16,000	72.2%	Pass
161	City College of San Francisco	\$195,000,000	2001-11-06	San Francisco	A	66.7	91,643	127,035	72.1%	Pass

## All California Educational Bond Measures Approved by Voters Since November 2000

### Enactment of Proposition 39 - Ranked by Percentage of Voter Approval

Rank	Educational District	Amount Proposed to Borrow	Year-Month-Day of Election	Counties	Measure	% Needed to Pass	Yes	Total	Passage	Outcome
162	Long Beach Unified School District	\$1,200,000,000	2008-11-04	Los Angeles	K	55	123,253	170,892	72.1%	Pass
163	Natomas Unified School District	\$129,000,000	2014-11-04	Sacramento	J	55	9,091	12,606	72.1%	Pass
164	Whittier Union High School District	\$75,000,000	2008-11-04	Los Angeles	W	55	51,521	71,490	72.1%	Pass
165	Ocean View School District	\$13,200,000	2006-11-07	Ventura	J	55	1,571	2,180	72.1%	Pass
166	Baldwin Park Unified School District	\$75,500,000	2006-11-07	Los Angeles	K	55	6,727	9,335	72.1%	Pass
167	Santa Clara Unified School District	\$315,000,000	2004-11-02	Santa Clara	J	55	27,343	37,953	72.0%	Pass
168	Alameda Unified School District	\$63,000,000	2004-03-02	Alameda	C	55	13,809	19,205	71.9%	Pass
169	Parlier Unified School District	\$4,500,000	2004-11-02	Fresno	P	55	1,152	1,603	71.9%	Pass
170	Lemon Grove School District	<b>Reauthorize \$10,000,000</b>	2014-11-04	San Diego	R	55	4,323	6,016	71.9%	Pass
171	College of the Sequoias	\$60,000,000	2008-11-04	Kings/Tulare	J	55	16,395	22,818	71.9%	Pass
172	West Contra Costa Unified School District	\$300,000,000	2002-03-05	Contra Costa	D	55	24,391	33,958	71.8%	Pass
173	Linns Valley-Poso Flat Elementary School District	\$340,000	2002-11-05	Tulare/Kern	J	55	191	266	71.8%	Pass
174	San Luis Coastal Unified School District	\$177,000,000	2014-11-04	San Luis Obispo	D	55	18,400	25,636	71.8%	Pass
175	Los Nietos School District	\$31,140,000	2008-06-03	Los Angeles	M	55	738	1,029	71.7%	Pass
176	Patterson Joint Unified School District	\$19,500,000	2001-05-22	Stanislaus	G	66.7	1,341	1,871	71.7%	Pass
177	Copper Mountain Community College District	\$19,720,000	2004-11-02	San Bernardino	T	55	12,455	17,379	71.7%	Pass
178	Konocti Unified School District	\$18,500,000	2004-11-02	Lake	G	55	5,173	7,219	71.7%	Pass
179	Soquel Union Elementary School District	\$15,000,000	2002-03-05	Santa Cruz	A	55	5,118	7,145	71.6%	Pass
180	Santa Barbara Elementary School District	\$75,000,000	2010-11-02	Santa Barbara	R	55	18,701	26,108	71.6%	Pass
181	Rosemead School District	\$30,000,000	2014-11-04	Los Angeles	RS	55	1,635	2,283	71.6%	Pass
182	East Side Union High School District	\$120,000,000	2012-11-06	Santa Clara	M	55	102,531	143,231	71.6%	Pass
183	Monterey Peninsula Unified School District	\$110,000,000	2010-11-02	Monterey	P	55	15,558	21,745	71.5%	Pass
184	Coast Unified School District SFID No. 1	\$12,700,000	2002-03-05	San Luis Obispo	B	55	2,260	3,159	71.5%	Pass
185	Los Gatos Union School District	\$30,900,000	2010-06-08	Santa Clara	E	55	6,412	8,966	71.5%	Pass
186	Torrance Unified School District	\$90,000,000	2008-11-04	Los Angeles	Z	55	41,832	58,516	71.5%	Pass
187	Folsom Cordova Unified School District SFID No. 4	\$68,000,000	2012-11-06	Sacramento	P	55	11,677	16,337	71.5%	Pass
188	San Bernardino City Unified School District	\$250,000,000	2012-11-06	San Bernardino	N	55	34,902	48,873	71.4%	Pass
189	Southwestern Community College District	\$389,000,000	2008-11-04	San Diego	R	55	95,460	133,681	71.4%	Pass
190	East Side Union High School District	\$349,000,000	2008-02-05	Santa Clara	E	55	68,929	96,559	71.4%	Pass
191	San Jose Unified School District	\$290,000,000	2012-11-06	Santa Clara	H	55	63,447	88,944	71.3%	Pass
192	Winters Joint Unified School District	\$15,000,000	2014-06-03	Solano/Yolo	R	55	1,097	1,538	71.3%	Pass
193	Delhi Unified School District	\$8,000,000	2012-11-06	Merced	E	55	1,435	2,013	71.3%	Pass
194	Lincoln Unified School District	\$50,000,000	2004-11-02	San Joaquin	P	55	12,065	16,925	71.3%	Pass
195	Oceanside Unified School District	\$195,000,000	2008-06-03	San Diego	H	55	10,952	15,366	71.3%	Pass
196	Mojave Unified School District SFID No. 1	\$16,000,000	2002-03-05	Kern	C	66.7	1,365	1,917	71.2%	Pass
197	Jefferson Union High School District	\$133,000,000	2014-11-04	San Mateo	J	55	17,090	24,018	71.2%	Pass
198	Central School District	\$31,000,000	2008-11-04	San Bernardino	A	55	9,072	12,753	71.1%	Pass
199	Forestville Union School District	\$5,100,000	2001-11-06	Sonoma	E	66.7	1,041	1,464	71.1%	Pass
200	San Francisco Unified School District	\$531,000,000	2011-11-08	San Francisco	A	55	134,695	189,445	71.1%	Pass
201	Kerman Unified School District	\$11,000,000	2004-11-02	Fresno	K	55	2,407	3,387	71.1%	Pass
202	Ross Valley Unified School District	\$41,000,000	2010-11-02	Marin	A	66.7	8,565	12,055	71.0%	Pass

## All California Educational Bond Measures Approved by Voters Since November 2000

### Enactment of Proposition 39 - Ranked by Percentage of Voter Approval

Rank	Educational District	Amount Proposed to Borrow	Year-Month-Day of Election	Counties	Measure	% Needed to Pass	Yes	Total	Passage	Outcome
203	Galt Joint Union High School District	\$29,200,000	2005-11-08	Sacramento/San Joaquin	B	66.7	6,569	9,251	71.0%	Pass
204	Rio School District	\$38,500,000	2014-11-04	Ventura	G	55	3,753	5,291	70.9%	Pass
205	Mendocino Unified School District	\$15,500,000	2006-11-07	Mendocino	AA	55	2,327	3,281	70.9%	Pass
206	Kentfield School District	\$30,000,000	2014-11-04	Marin	D	55	3,314	4,673	70.9%	Pass
207	Rancho Santa Fe Elementary School District	\$34,000,000	2008-02-05	San Diego	E	55	2,041	2,878	70.9%	Pass
208	Larkspur-Corte Madera School District	\$26,000,000	2011-11-08	Marin	A	55	3,243	4,574	70.9%	Pass
209	Centinela Valley Union High School District	\$98,000,000	2008-11-04	Los Angeles	CV	66.7	27,255	38,508	70.8%	Pass
210	Palos Verdes Peninsula Unified School District	\$30,000,000	2005-11-08	Los Angeles	R	55	15,496	21,894	70.8%	Pass
211	William S. Hart Union High School District	\$158,000,000	2001-11-06	Los Angeles	V	55	18,100	25,584	70.7%	Pass
212	Orchard School District	\$40,000,000	2001-11-06	Santa Clara	E	55	391	553	70.7%	Pass
213	Alhambra Unified School District	\$85,000,000	2004-11-02	Los Angeles	C	55	26,048	36,862	70.7%	Pass
214	Tracy Unified School District SFID No. 3	\$43,100,000	2008-11-04	San Joaquin/Alameda	S	55	14,856	21,036	70.6%	Pass
215	Stanislaus Union School District	\$39,800,000	2008-11-04	Stanislaus	T	55	7,213	10,214	70.6%	Pass
216	Howell Mountain School District	\$2,900,000	2005-11-08	Napa	C	55	663	939	70.6%	Pass
217	San Francisco Unified School District	\$295,000,000	2003-11-04	San Francisco	A	55	139,814	198,068	70.6%	Pass
218	Menlo Park City School District	\$91,100,000	2006-06-06	San Mateo	U	55	4,936	6,993	70.6%	Pass
219	Galt Joint Union High School District	\$9,240,000	2001-10-23	Sacramento/San Joaquin	W	66.7	2,136	3,027	70.6%	Pass
220	Standard School District	<i>Reauthorize \$11,200,000</i>	2012-11-06	Kern	Q	55	4,179	5,923	70.6%	Pass
221	Murieta Valley Unified School District	\$40,400,000	2002-11-05	Riverside	K	55	11,119	15,781	70.5%	Pass
222	Santa Barbara Community College District	\$77,242,012	2008-06-03	Santa Barbara	V	55	28,397	40,308	70.5%	Pass
223	Lindsay Unified School District	\$20,700,000	2008-02-05	Tulare	B	55	1,285	1,825	70.4%	Pass
224	Rowland Unified School District	\$118,000,000	2006-06-06	Los Angeles/Orange	R	55	4,790	6,804	70.4%	Pass
225	Santa Rosa Elementary School District	\$19,000,000	2002-03-05	Sonoma	C	55	9,204	13,074	70.4%	Pass
226	Santa Paula Union High School District	\$39,000,000	2008-11-04	Ventura	Q	55	5,871	8,340	70.4%	Pass
227	Kentfield School District	\$11,900,000	2004-03-02	Marin	E	55	3,259	4,630	70.4%	Pass
228	Sanger Unified School District	\$50,000,000	2012-11-06	Fresno	S	55	9,986	14,190	70.4%	Pass
229	Cerritos Community College District	\$350,000,000	2012-11-06	Los Angeles	G	55	83,524	118,758	70.3%	Pass
230	Lancaster Elementary School District	\$63,000,000	2012-11-06	Los Angeles	L	55	21,804	31,042	70.2%	Pass
231	Jefferson Union High School District	\$136,900,000	2006-11-07	San Mateo	N	55	22,025	31,358	70.2%	Pass
232	Mojave Unified School District SFID No. 2	\$14,000,000	2008-11-04	Kern	G	55	804	1,145	70.2%	Pass
233	Dinuba Unified School District	\$14,915,000	2002-11-05	Fresno/Tulare	K	55	2,266	3,228	70.2%	Pass
234	Reed Union School District	\$13,000,000	2005-11-08	Marin	B	55	3,907	5,566	70.2%	Pass
235	Redlands Unified School District	\$60,000,000	2002-11-05	San Bernardino	R	55	17,850	25,448	70.1%	Pass
236	La Honda-Pescadero Unified	\$15,000,000	2006-11-07	San Mateo	I	55	918	1,309	70.1%	Pass
237	Los Angeles Community College District	\$3,500,000,000	2008-11-04	Los Angeles	J	55	1,057,738	1,508,687	70.1%	Pass
238	Sacramento City Unified School District	\$346,000,000	2012-11-06	Sacramento	Q	55	74,681	106,528	70.1%	Pass
239	San Gabriel Unified School District	\$65,000,000	2008-02-05	Los Angeles	A	55	5,240	7,475	70.1%	Pass
240	Selma Unified School District	\$23,800,000	2006-11-07	Fresno	A	55	3,091	4,410	70.1%	Pass
241	San Gabriel Unified School District	\$47,150,000	2002-03-05	Los Angeles	S	55	3,411	4,867	70.1%	Pass
242	Sebastopol Union School District	\$9,000,000	2012-06-05	Sonoma	H	55	2,869	4,094	70.1%	Pass
243	Larkspur-Corte Madera School District	\$19,000,000	2014-06-03	Marin	D	55	2,725	3,889	70.1%	Pass

## All California Educational Bond Measures Approved by Voters Since November 2000 Enactment of Proposition 39 - Ranked by Percentage of Voter Approval

Rank	Educational District	Amount Proposed to Borrow	Year-Month-Day of Election	Counties	Measure	% Needed to Pass	Yes	Total	Passage	Outcome
244	Santa Monica Community College District	\$160,000,000	2002-03-05	Los Angeles	U	55	13,540	19,347	70.0%	Pass
245	Kingsburg Joint Union Elementary School District	\$7,500,000	2004-03-02	Tulare/Kings/Fresno	R	55	2,221	3,175	70.0%	Pass
246	Mt. San Antonio Community College District	\$353,000,000	2008-11-04	Los Angeles/Orange	RR/CC	55	164,177	234,717	69.9%	Pass
247	Glendale Unified School District	\$270,000,000	2011-04-05	Los Angeles	S	55	13,637	19,501	69.9%	Pass
248	East Side Union High School District	\$298,000,000	2002-03-05	Santa Clara	G	55	33,345	47,687	69.9%	Pass
249	Modesto City Schools (Modesto City Elementary School District)	\$17,000,000	2001-11-06	Stanislaus	S	55	9,167	13,110	69.9%	Pass
250	Franklin-McKinley School District	\$50,000,000	2010-11-02	Santa Clara	J	55	10,860	15,534	69.9%	Pass
251	Greenfield Union School District	\$40,000,000	2006-11-07	Kern	H	55	3,241	4,636	69.9%	Pass
252	Pasadena City College	\$150,000,000	2002-03-05	Los Angeles	P	55	39,129	56,024	69.8%	Pass
253	Castro Valley Unified School District	\$16,800,000	2002-03-05	Alameda	C	55	6,861	9,836	69.8%	Pass
254	Rio Bravo-Greeley Union School District	\$10,900,000	2008-02-05	Kern	B	55	957	1,372	69.8%	Pass
255	Lindsay Unified School District	\$7,200,000	2002-03-05	Tulare	C	55	1,099	1,576	69.7%	Pass
256	Antelope Valley Community College	\$139,000,000	2004-11-02	Los Angeles/Kern	R	55	74,966	107,579	69.7%	Pass
257	Lompoc Unified School District	\$38,000,000	2002-11-05	Santa Barbara	N	66.7	9,049	12,993	69.6%	Pass
258	Washington Colony Elementary School District	\$400,000	2004-03-02	Fresno	B	55	350	503	69.6%	Pass
259	Bishop Union Elementary School District	\$3,000,000	2008-11-04	Inyo	A	55	3,740	5,375	69.6%	Pass
260	Santa Barbara High School District	\$75,000,000	2010-11-02	Santa Barbara	Q	55	41,893	60,237	69.5%	Pass
261	La Mesa Spring Valley School District	\$44,000,000	2002-03-05	San Diego	M	55	16,326	23,478	69.5%	Pass
262	Cayucos Elementary School District	\$4,950,000	2004-11-02	San Luis Obispo	P	55	1,316	1,893	69.5%	Pass
263	Rialto Unified School District	\$98,000,000	2010-11-02	San Bernardino	Y	55	12,608	18,137	69.5%	Pass
264	Desert Sands Unified School District	\$225,000,000	2014-11-04	Riverside	KK	55	27,027	38,889	69.5%	Pass
265	Millbrae School District	\$30,000,000	2008-11-04	San Mateo	X	55	6,646	9,566	69.5%	Pass
266	Lakeport Unified School District	\$7,300,000	2001-11-06	Lake	W	55	1,246	1,794	69.5%	Pass
267	Stockton Unified School District	\$120,000,000	2005-11-08	San Joaquin	C	55	18,858	27,167	69.4%	Pass
268	River Delta Unified School District SFID No. 1	\$14,000,000	2004-11-02	Sacramento/Solano/Yolo	U	55	3,017	4,347	69.4%	Pass
269	Santa Clara Unified School District	\$419,000,000	2014-11-04	Santa Clara	H	55	18,958	27,320	69.4%	Pass
270	Imperial Unified School District	\$12,000,000	2004-03-02	Imperial	I	55	1,265	1,823	69.4%	Pass
271	Berryessa Union School District	\$77,000,000	2014-11-04	Santa Clara	L	55	10,622	15,320	69.3%	Pass
272	Sequoia Union High School District	\$70,000,000	2004-11-02	San Mateo	H	55	63,187	91,162	69.3%	Pass
273	San Jose Unified School District	\$429,000,000	2002-03-05	Santa Clara	F	55	23,248	33,549	69.3%	Pass
274	North Sacramento School District (Now Twin Rivers USD)	\$17,500,000	2006-06-06	Sacramento	C	55	2,523	3,641	69.3%	Pass
275	Moorpark Unified School District	\$33,000,000	2002-03-05	Ventura	R	55	4,153	5,996	69.3%	Pass
276	Cypress School District	\$53,600,000	2008-11-04	Orange	M	55	12,493	18,045	69.2%	Pass
277	Tulare Joint Union High School District	\$45,000,000	2004-03-02	Tulare/Kings	Q	66.7	6,308	9,112	69.2%	Pass
278	Folsom Cordova Unified School District SFID No. 5	\$125,000,000	2006-11-07	Sacramento	N	55	8,199	11,845	69.2%	Pass
279	Traver Joint Elementary School District	\$700,000	2004-11-02	Tulare/Kings	A	55	146	211	69.2%	Pass
280	Fort Bragg Unified School District	\$16,000,000	2008-06-03	Mendocino	C	55	3,329	4,813	69.2%	Pass
281	Moreland School District	\$55,000,000	2010-11-02	Santa Clara	K	55	9,035	13,066	69.1%	Pass
282	Planada Elementary School District	\$2,900,000	2008-11-04	Merced	O	55	625	904	69.1%	Pass
283	Los Angeles Unified School District	\$7,000,000,000	2008-11-04	Los Angeles	Q	55	885,467	1,281,319	69.1%	Pass
284	Santa Rosa Elementary School District	\$54,000,000	2014-11-04	Sonoma	L	55	11,000	15,919	69.1%	Pass

## All California Educational Bond Measures Approved by Voters Since November 2000

### Enactment of Proposition 39 - Ranked by Percentage of Voter Approval

Rank	Educational District	Amount Proposed to Borrow	Year-Month-Day of Election	Counties	Measure	% Needed to Pass	Yes	Total	Passage	Outcome
285	Carlsbad Unified School District	\$198,000,000	2006-11-07	San Diego	P	55	16,250	23,522	69.1%	Pass
286	Val Verde Unified School District	\$43,440,000	2008-06-03	Riverside	J	55	2,323	3,363	69.1%	Pass
287	Hope Elementary School District	\$8,000,000	2010-06-08	Santa Barbara	L	55	3,278	4,746	69.1%	Pass
288	Oakley Union Elementary School District	\$16,500,000	2004-11-02	Contra Costa	H	55	5,988	8,670	69.1%	Pass
289	Exeter Union High School District	\$4,350,000	2001-03-06	Tulare	S	55	1,268	1,836	69.1%	Pass
290	Roseland School District	\$7,000,000	2012-11-06	Sonoma	N	55	1,612	2,335	69.0%	Pass
291	Klamath-Trinity Joint Unified School District	\$5,950,000	2008-11-04	Humboldt/Trinity	S	55	1,287	1,865	69.0%	Pass
292	Covina Valley Unified School District	\$66,000,000	2006-06-06	Los Angeles	C	55	5,432	7,872	69.0%	Pass
293	Lagunitas Elementary School District	\$5,000,000	2013-11-05	Marin	A	55	754	1,093	69.0%	Pass
294	Geyserville Unified School District	\$3,250,000	2008-02-05	Sonoma	A	55	498	722	69.0%	Pass
295	Stockton Unified School District	\$464,500,000	2008-02-05	San Joaquin	Q	55	20,331	29,495	68.9%	Pass
296	Pajaro Valley Unified School District	\$150,000,000	2012-11-06	Santa Cruz/Monterey	L	55	23,645	34,304	68.9%	Pass
297	Folsom Cordova Unified School District SFID No. 5	\$195,000,000	2014-11-04	Sacramento	G	55	13,332	19,350	68.9%	Pass
298	Turlock Unified School District (Turlock Elementary School District)	\$10,950,000	2002-11-05	Stanislaus/Merced	D	55	8,989	13,048	68.9%	Pass
299	Union School District	\$125,000,000	2014-06-03	Santa Clara	J	55	5,958	8,656	68.8%	Pass
300	Hemet Unified School District	<b>Reauthorize \$49,000,000</b>	2012-11-06	Riverside	U	55	25,661	37,287	68.8%	Pass
301	Santa Ana Unified School District	\$200,000,000	2008-06-03	Orange	G	66.7	8,426	12,244	68.8%	Pass
302	Chula Vista Elementary School District SFID No. 1	\$90,000,000	2012-11-06	San Diego	E	55	36,265	52,699	68.8%	Pass
303	Sierra Joint Community College District SFID No. 1	\$35,000,000	2004-11-02	Placer/Nevada/	H	55	8,856	12,872	68.8%	Pass
304	Washington Unified School District	\$52,000,000	2004-03-02	Yolo	Q	55	5,213	7,578	68.8%	Pass
305	Desert Community College District	\$346,500,000	2004-03-02	Riverside/Imperial	B	66.7	36,698	53,375	68.8%	Pass
306	El Rancho Unified School District	\$49,500,000	2003-11-04	Los Angeles	A	55	1,788	2,601	68.7%	Pass
307	San Diego Unified School District	\$2,100,000,000	2008-11-04	San Diego	S	55	261,672	380,808	68.7%	Pass
308	New Haven Unified School District	\$125,000,000	2014-11-04	Alameda	M	55	8,962	13,044	68.7%	Pass
309	Laytonville Unified School District	\$5,500,000	2014-11-04	Mendocino	Q	55	610	888	68.7%	Pass
310	Bellevue Union School District	\$19,000,000	2008-11-04	Sonoma	J	55	3,953	5,755	68.7%	Pass
311	Perris Elementary School District	\$25,000,000	2006-11-07	Riverside	S	55	2,823	4,111	68.7%	Pass
312	San Leandro Unified School District	\$109,000,000	2006-11-07	Alameda	B	55	11,398	16,600	68.7%	Pass
313	Spreckels Union School District	\$5,335,000	2002-11-05	Monterey	D	55	1,594	2,322	68.6%	Pass
314	San Diego Community College District	\$685,000,000	2002-11-05	San Diego	S	55	140,577	204,797	68.6%	Pass
315	Soulsbyville Elementary School District	\$1,500,000	2002-11-05	Tuolumne	B	55	1,057	1,541	68.6%	Pass
316	Folsom Cordova Unified School District SFID No. 2	\$53,000,000	2002-03-05	Sacramento	C	55	8,657	12,623	68.6%	Pass
317	Porterville Unified School District FID	\$23,000,000	2002-11-05	Tulare	A	55	3,681	5,368	68.6%	Pass
318	San Rafael Elementary School District (San Rafael City Schools)	\$49,300,000	2002-11-05	Marin	B	55	9,365	13,665	68.5%	Pass
319	Pittsburg Unified School District	\$100,000,000	2010-11-02	Contra Costa	L	55	7,391	10,787	68.5%	Pass
320	Pittsburg Unified School District	\$85,000,000	2014-11-04	Contra Costa	N	55	5,483	8,007	68.5%	Pass
321	Woodside Elementary School District	\$12,000,000	2005-11-08	San Mateo	D	55	1,042	1,522	68.5%	Pass
322	Sunnyvale School District	\$96,000,000	2013-11-05	Santa Clara	G	55	6,995	10,220	68.4%	Pass
323	Jefferson Elementary School District	\$52,000,000	2001-11-06	San Mateo	H	66.7	3,829	5,597	68.4%	Pass
324	Big Oak Flat-Groveland Unified School District	\$9,300,000	2005-11-08	Tuolumne	M	55	1,563	2,285	68.4%	Pass
325	North Monterey County Unified School District	\$20,750,000	2002-11-05	Monterey	E	55	4,188	6,123	68.4%	Pass

## All California Educational Bond Measures Approved by Voters Since November 2000

### Enactment of Proposition 39 - Ranked by Percentage of Voter Approval

Rank	Educational District	Amount Proposed to Borrow	Year-Month-Day of Election	Counties	Measure	% Needed to Pass	Yes	Total	Passage	Outcome
326	New Haven Unified School District	\$120,000,000	2003-11-04	Alameda	A	66.7	3,817	5,581	68.4%	Pass
327	Big Pine Unified School District	\$2,200,000	2005-03-08	Inyo	B	66.7	410	600	68.3%	Pass
328	Riverside Unified School District	\$175,000,000	2001-11-06	Riverside	B	66.7	16,466	24,104	68.3%	Pass
329	Petaluma Joint Union High School District	\$68,000,000	2014-06-03	Sonoma	E	55	4,652	6,813	68.3%	Pass
330	El Camino Community College District	\$350,000,000	2012-11-06	Los Angeles	E	55	129,939	190,356	68.3%	Pass
331	Ojai Unified School District	\$35,000,000	2014-11-04	Ventura	J	55	5,223	7,655	68.2%	Pass
332	Sequoia Union High School District	\$88,000,000	2001-11-06	San Mateo	G	55	23,927	35,083	68.2%	Pass
333	Exeter Union Elementary School District	\$6,400,000	2008-02-05	Tulare	D	55	2,066	3,031	68.2%	Pass
334	Tamalpais Union High School District	\$121,000,000	2001-03-06	Marin	A	66.7	14,527	21,319	68.1%	Pass
335	Helendale School District	\$20,000,000	2008-11-04	San Bernardino	X	55	1,707	2,507	68.1%	Pass
336	Los Angeles Unified School District	\$3,350,000,000	2002-11-05	Los Angeles	K	55	488,849	718,030	68.1%	Pass
337	Southern Kern Unified School District	\$24,000,000	2008-11-04	Kern	H	55	4,089	6,007	68.1%	Pass
338	Santa Clarita Community College District	\$82,110,000	2001-11-06	Los Angeles	C	55	16,370	24,050	68.1%	Pass
339	Santa Monica-Malibu Unified School District	\$385,000,000	2012-11-06	Los Angeles	ES	55	29,874	43,892	68.1%	Pass
340	Escalon Unified School District	\$7,500,000	2002-11-05	San Joaquin	T	55	2,402	3,531	68.0%	Pass
341	Mark West Union School District	\$11,000,000	2002-11-05	Sonoma	I	55	2,957	4,348	68.0%	Pass
342	San Carlos Unified School District	\$72,000,000	2012-11-06	San Mateo	H	55	9,534	14,023	68.0%	Pass
343	Redwood Shores SFID	\$25,000,000	2005-11-08	San Mateo	C	55	2,312	3,401	68.0%	Pass
344	Fillmore Unified School District	\$10,000,000	2004-11-02	Ventura	E	55	3,650	5,370	68.0%	Pass
345	Ukiah Unified School District	\$43,000,000	2005-11-08	Mendocino	U	66.7	6,675	9,822	68.0%	Pass
346	Loleta Union School District	\$456,000	2004-11-02	Humboldt	K	55	422	621	68.0%	Pass
347	Tracy Unified School District	\$51,000,000	2006-06-06	San Joaquin/Alameda	E	55	7,230	10,644	67.9%	Pass
348	Banta Elementary School District	\$800,000	2002-11-05	San Joaquin	X	55	216	318	67.9%	Pass
349	East Side Union High School District	\$113,200,000	2014-11-04	Santa Clara	I	55	59,092	87,014	67.9%	Pass
350	Victor Valley Union High School District	\$500,000,000	2008-11-04	San Bernardino	V	55	24,037	35,400	67.9%	Pass
351	Big Creek Elementary School District	\$1,400,000	2004-11-02	Fresno	M	55	167	246	67.9%	Pass
352	Midway School District	\$7,800,000	2004-03-02	Kern	F	55	93	137	67.9%	Pass
353	Kernville Union School District	\$5,600,000	2004-03-02	Kern	E	55	2,559	3,770	67.9%	Pass
354	Salinas Union High School District	\$37,000,000	2002-11-05	Monterey	M	55	14,651	21,589	67.9%	Pass
355	Sacramento City Unified School District	\$68,000,000	2012-11-06	Sacramento	R	55	71,820	105,834	67.9%	Pass
356	Modesto City Schools (Modesto High School District)	\$65,000,000	2001-11-06	Stanislaus	T	55	20,783	30,639	67.8%	Pass
357	Sonoma County Community College District	\$251,700,000	2002-03-05	Sonoma/Marin/Mendocino	E	66.7	77,248	113,889	67.8%	Pass
358	Briggs Elementary School District	\$4,500,000	2014-11-04	Ventura	K	55	398	587	67.8%	Pass
359	Banning Unified School District	\$12,000,000	2002-11-05	Riverside	L	55	2,620	3,865	67.8%	Pass
360	Brentwood Union Elementary School District	\$35,000,000	2003-03-04	Contra Costa	B	66.7	2,968	4,385	67.7%	Pass
361	Dixon Unified School District	\$29,200,000	2002-11-05	Solano	J	55	3,313	4,896	67.7%	Pass
362	Merced Community College District SFID No. 2	\$11,930,000	2002-11-05	Merced/Madera	J	55	4,644	6,863	67.7%	Pass
363	Rocklin Unified School District	\$52,000,000	2002-03-05	Placer	B	66.7	7,101	10,500	67.6%	Pass
364	Sonoma Valley Unified School District	\$40,000,000	2010-11-02	Sonoma	H	55	10,581	15,649	67.6%	Pass
365	San Rafael High School District (San Rafael City Schools)	\$49,500,000	2002-11-05	Marin	A	55	15,011	22,208	67.6%	Pass
366	Mountain View Whisman Unified School District	\$198,000,000	2012-06-05	Santa Clara	G	55	7,341	10,863	67.6%	Pass

## All California Educational Bond Measures Approved by Voters Since November 2000

### Enactment of Proposition 39 - Ranked by Percentage of Voter Approval

Rank	Educational District	Amount Proposed to Borrow	Year-Month-Day of Election	Counties	Measure	% Needed to Pass	Yes	Total	Passage	Outcome
367	Gilroy Unified School District	\$150,000,000	2008-11-04	Santa Clara	P	55	11,989	17,741	67.6%	Pass
368	Visalia Unified School District	\$60,100,000	2012-11-06	Tulare	E	55	26,898	39,806	67.6%	Pass
369	Vacaville Unified School District	\$101,300,000	2001-11-06	Solano	V	66.7	8,606	12,737	67.6%	Pass
370	East Nicolaus Joint Union High School District	\$4,000,000	2014-11-04	Sutter/Placer	W	55	583	863	67.6%	Pass
371	Evergreen School District	\$100,000,000	2014-11-04	Santa Clara	M	55	14,002	20,740	67.5%	Pass
372	Santa Monica-Malibu Unified School District	\$268,000,000	2006-11-07	Los Angeles	BB	55	22,927	33,960	67.5%	Pass
373	Burlingame School District	\$56,000,000	2012-11-06	San Mateo	D	55	8,609	12,754	67.5%	Pass
374	Healdsburg Unified School District SFID No. 1	\$18,820,000	2002-11-05	Sonoma	H	55	3,519	5,214	67.5%	Pass
375	Rowland Unified School District	\$158,800,000	2012-11-06	Los Angeles/Orange	R	55	17,181	25,465	67.5%	Pass
376	Sweetwater Union High School District	\$644,000,000	2006-11-07	San Diego	O	55	48,916	72,502	67.5%	Pass
377	Stockton Unified School District	\$114,000,000	2014-11-04	San Joaquin	E	55	14,751	21,871	67.4%	Pass
378	Somis Union School District	\$9,000,000	2012-11-06	Ventura	S	55	955	1,416	67.4%	Pass
379	Washington Unified School District	\$49,800,000	2014-11-04	Yolo	V	55	6,118	9,072	67.4%	Pass
380	Dos Palos-Oro Loma Joint Unified School District	\$4,400,000	2002-11-05	Fresno/Merced	G	55	1,130	1,676	67.4%	Pass
381	Livingston Union School District	\$10,000,000	2005-11-08	Merced	B	55	1,002	1,487	67.4%	Pass
382	Terra Bella Union Elementary School District	\$3,300,000	2008-11-04	Tulare	K	55	763	1,133	67.3%	Pass
383	Acalanes Union High School District	\$44,000,000	2002-11-05	Contra Costa	B	55	30,163	44,797	67.3%	Pass
384	Victor Elementary School District	\$150,000,000	2008-11-04	San Bernardino	E	55	15,167	22,529	67.3%	Pass
385	Ontario-Montclair School District	\$59,655,000	2002-11-05	San Bernardino	T	55	11,472	17,042	67.3%	Pass
386	Plumas Unified School District	\$15,000,000	2002-11-05	Plumas	A	66.7	5,206	7,735	67.3%	Pass
387	El Segundo Unified School District	\$14,000,000	2008-11-04	Los Angeles	M	55	5,602	8,326	67.3%	Pass
388	Jefferson School District	\$35,400,000	2010-11-02	San Joaquin	J	55	2,354	3,500	67.3%	Pass
389	Hughson Unified School District	\$14,300,000	2004-11-02	Stanislaus	G	55	2,788	4,146	67.2%	Pass
390	Sacramento City Unified School District	\$225,000,000	2002-11-05	Sacramento	I	55	49,115	73,041	67.2%	Pass
391	Fresno Unified School District	\$199,000,000	2001-03-06	Fresno	K	66.7	19,864	29,542	67.2%	Pass
392	Vista Unified School District	\$140,000,000	2002-03-05	San Diego	O	55	17,506	26,037	67.2%	Pass
393	Tustin Unified School District	\$80,000,000	2002-11-05	Orange	G	55	12,792	19,054	67.1%	Pass
394	Monrovia Unified School District	\$45,000,000	2006-06-06	Los Angeles	M	55	4,301	6,409	67.1%	Pass
395	Central School District	\$35,000,000	2014-11-04	San Bernardino	N	55	4,141	6,171	67.1%	Pass
396	El Monte City School District	\$78,000,000	2014-11-04	Los Angeles	M	55	4,614	6,877	67.1%	Pass
397	Fremont Union High School District	\$198,000,000	2008-06-03	Santa Clara	B	55	22,100	32,949	67.1%	Pass
398	Centralia School District	\$17,500,000	2002-11-05	Orange	H	55	5,760	8,589	67.1%	Pass
399	Downey Unified School District	\$65,000,000	2002-11-05	Los Angeles	D	55	13,257	19,771	67.1%	Pass
400	Chowchilla Union High School District	\$8,100,000	2004-11-02	Madera	N	55	2,258	3,368	67.0%	Pass
401	Dinuba Unified School District	\$37,000,000	2006-11-07	Fresno/Tulare	T	55	2,205	3,290	67.0%	Pass
402	San Bernardino Community College District	\$500,000,000	2008-02-05	San Bernardino/Riverside	M	55	87,405	130,437	67.0%	Pass
403	San Jacinto Unified School District	\$150,000,000	2006-11-07	Riverside	V	55	4,153	6,198	67.0%	Pass
404	Palos Verdes Peninsula Unified School District	\$10,000,000	2005-11-08	Los Angeles	S	55	14,678	21,911	67.0%	Pass
405	Loma Prieta Schools	\$4,965,000	2002-03-05	Santa Clara/Santa Cruz	K	55	982	1,466	67.0%	Pass
406	Hanford Joint Union High School District	\$33,500,000	2004-11-02	Kings/Tulare	K	55	12,549	18,737	67.0%	Pass
407	Caruthers Unified School District	\$12,000,000	2012-11-06	Fresno	C	55	1,264	1,888	66.9%	Pass

## All California Educational Bond Measures Approved by Voters Since November 2000 Enactment of Proposition 39 - Ranked by Percentage of Voter Approval

Rank	Educational District	Amount Proposed to Borrow	Year-Month-Day of Election	Counties	Measure	% Needed to Pass	Yes	Total	Passage	Outcome
408	Brawley Elementary School District	\$7,500,000	2012-11-06	Imperial	S	55	4,081	6,096	66.9%	Pass
409	Arcadia Unified School District	\$218,000,000	2006-11-07	Los Angeles	I	55	10,104	15,093	66.9%	Pass
410	Mill Valley School District	\$59,800,000	2009-11-03	Marin	C	55	5,530	8,261	66.9%	Pass
411	Oak View Union School District	\$3,700,000	2008-06-03	San Joaquin	R	55	380	568	66.9%	Pass
412	Cascade Union Elementary School District	\$12,400,000	2008-11-04	Shasta	C	55	3,211	4,800	66.9%	Pass
413	Buena Park Elementary School District	\$71,000,000	2014-06-03	Orange	B	55	2,229	3,333	66.9%	Pass
414	Tamalpais Union High School District	\$79,920,000	2006-06-06	Marin	A	55	23,420	35,020	66.9%	Pass
415	Placerville Union School District	\$5,300,000	2002-11-05	El Dorado	K	55	3,274	4,896	66.9%	Pass
416	Middletown Unified School District	\$15,300,000	2006-11-07	Lake	X	55	2,020	3,021	66.9%	Pass
417	West Hills Community College District SFID No. 1	\$11,800,000	2008-06-03	Fresno/Madera	Q	55	782	1,171	66.8%	Pass
418	Rincon Valley Union School District	\$35,000,000	2014-06-03	Sonoma	F	55	6,816	10,209	66.8%	Pass
419	Torrance Unified School District	\$144,300,000	2014-11-04	Los Angeles	T	55	21,424	32,113	66.7%	Pass
420	Newhall School District	\$60,000,000	2011-11-08	Los Angeles	E	55	3,706	5,556	66.7%	Pass
421	Kern High School District	\$219,000,000	2004-11-02	Kern	N	55	97,540	146,279	66.7%	Pass
422	Campbell Union School District	\$74,900,000	2002-03-05	Santa Clara	H	55	8,772	13,156	66.7%	Pass
423	Palo Verde Community College District	\$6,000,000	2005-06-07	San Bernardino	K	66.7	608	912	66.7%	Pass
424	Pajaro Valley Unified School District	\$58,250,000	2002-11-05	Santa Cruz/Monterey	J or L	55	14,352	21,530	66.7%	Pass
425	Chualar Union Elementary School District	\$1,900,000	2001-11-06	Monterey	K	55	157	236	66.5%	Pass
426	John Swett Unified School District	\$10,000,000	2002-03-05	Contra Costa	B	55	1,778	2,673	66.5%	Pass
427	San Mateo Union High School District	\$298,000,000	2006-11-07	San Mateo	M	55	42,296	63,640	66.5%	Pass
428	Susanville Elementary School District	\$9,000,000	2008-11-04	Lassen	S	55	3,025	4,552	66.5%	Pass
429	Hillsborough City School District	\$66,800,000	2002-11-05	San Mateo	B	55	2,838	4,271	66.4%	Pass
430	Redlands Unified School District	\$65,500,000	2008-02-05	San Bernardino	J	55	21,545	32,446	66.4%	Pass
431	Oxnard School District	\$90,000,000	2012-11-06	Ventura	R	55	19,968	30,078	66.4%	Pass
432	Perris Elementary School District	\$40,000,000	2014-06-03	Riverside	C	55	1,153	1,738	66.3%	Pass
433	Carpinteria Unified School District	\$90,000,000	2014-11-04	Santa Barbara	U	55	3,901	5,881	66.3%	Pass
434	Cupertino Union School District	\$220,000,000	2012-06-05	Santa Clara	H	55	17,510	26,432	66.2%	Pass
435	San Mateo County Community College District	\$388,000,000	2014-11-04	San Mateo	H	55	102,629	154,995	66.2%	Pass
436	Cottonwood Union Elementary School District	\$2,200,000	2003-11-04	Shasta	A	55	1,111	1,678	66.2%	Pass
437	Patterson Joint Unified School District	\$50,000,000	2008-11-04	Stanislaus/Santa Clara	V	55	3,982	6,015	66.2%	Pass
438	Richland School District	\$19,800,000	2007-06-05	Kern	D	66.7	643	972	66.2%	Fail
439	Columbia Union Elementary School District	\$3,600,000	2003-03-04	Tuolumne	G	55	937	1,417	66.1%	Pass
440	Forestville Union School District	\$5,100,000	2010-11-02	Sonoma	K	55	2,104	3,183	66.1%	Pass
441	Los Angeles Unified School District	\$3,985,000,000	2005-11-08	Los Angeles	Y	55	443,905	671,636	66.1%	Pass
442	College of the Sequoias	\$28,000,000	2008-11-04	Tulare	I	55	35,713	54,070	66.0%	Pass
443	Farmersville Unified School District	\$4,800,000	2014-11-04	Tulare	A	55	575	871	66.0%	Pass
444	Kingsburg Joint Union High School District	\$7,000,000	2005-11-08	Fresno/Kings/Tulare	K	66.7	2,828	4,284	66.0%	Fail
445	Gravenstein Union School District	\$6,000,000	2012-11-06	Sonoma	M	55	1,971	2,986	66.0%	Pass
446	Placentia-Yorba Linda Unified School District	\$102,000,000	2002-03-05	Orange	Y	55	18,300	27,735	66.0%	Pass
447	Sutter Union High School District	\$12,600,000	2008-06-03	Sutter	T	55	1,194	1,810	66.0%	Pass
448	Parlier Unified School District	\$6,000,000	2014-06-03	Fresno	P	55	554	840	66.0%	Pass

## All California Educational Bond Measures Approved by Voters Since November 2000 Enactment of Proposition 39 - Ranked by Percentage of Voter Approval

Rank	Educational District	Amount Proposed to Borrow	Year-Month-Day of Election	Counties	Measure	% Needed to Pass	Yes	Total	Passage	Outcome
449	Conejo Valley Unified School District	\$197,000,000	2014-11-04	Ventura	I	55	27,468	41,652	65.9%	Pass
450	Redondo Beach Unified School District	\$145,000,000	2008-02-05	Los Angeles	C	55	13,516	20,505	65.9%	Pass
451	Belmont-Redwood Shores School District	\$25,000,000	2010-11-02	San Mateo	I	55	9,509	14,431	65.9%	Pass
452	San Mateo-Foster City School District	\$175,000,000	2008-02-05	San Mateo	J	55	48,116	73,047	65.9%	Pass
453	Gustine Unified School District	\$14,000,000	2014-11-04	Merced	P	55	962	1,461	65.8%	Pass
454	Butte-Glenn Community College District	\$84,900,000	2002-03-05	Butte/Glenn	A	55	36,012	54,724	65.8%	Pass
455	Fort Bragg Unified School District	\$22,200,000	2003-11-04	Mendocino	D	55	1,946	2,958	65.8%	Pass
456	Hydesville Elementary School District	\$1,100,000	2014-06-03	Humboldt	M	55	244	371	65.8%	Pass
457	Hartnell Community College District	\$131,000,000	2002-11-05	Monterey/San Benito	H	55	29,768	45,263	65.8%	Pass
458	San Juan Unified School District	\$350,000,000	2002-11-05	Sacramento	J	55	63,010	95,831	65.8%	Pass
459	Scotts Valley Unified School District	\$44,200,000	2002-03-05	Santa Cruz	B	66.7	3,716	5,652	65.7%	Fail
460	West Hills Community College District SFID No. 2	\$11,600,000	2008-11-04	Fresno/Monterey/San Benito	C	55	2,656	4,040	65.7%	Pass
461	Morgan Hill Unified School District	\$198,250,000	2012-11-06	Santa Clara	G	55	15,243	23,187	65.7%	Pass
462	Foothill-De Anza Community College District	\$490,800,000	2006-06-06	Santa Clara	C	55	44,924	68,392	65.7%	Pass
463	Magnolia School District	\$16,300,000	2010-11-02	Orange	I	55	6,366	9,693	65.7%	Pass
464	Kings River Union Elementary School District	\$850,000	2005-11-08	Tulare	E	55	300	457	65.6%	Pass
465	Sequoia Union High School District	\$265,000,000	2014-06-03	San Mateo	A	55	22,995	35,047	65.6%	Pass
466	San Mateo County Community College District	\$207,000,000	2001-11-06	San Mateo	C	55	58,530	89,208	65.6%	Pass
467	Bonsall Unified School District	\$17,000,000	2005-11-08	San Diego	C	55	2,767	4,218	65.6%	Pass
468	Pacific Grove Unified School District	\$42,000,000	2006-06-06	Monterey	D	55	3,411	5,200	65.6%	Pass
469	Ventura County Community College District	\$356,000,000	2002-03-05	Ventura	S	55	77,854	118,715	65.6%	Pass
470	Sequoia Union High School District	\$88,000,000	2001-06-05	San Mateo	A	66.7	16,903	25,781	65.6%	Fail
471	Oak Grove School District	\$89,800,000	2014-11-04	Santa Clara	P	55	13,056	19,918	65.5%	Pass
472	Mt. Diablo Unified School District	\$250,000,000	2002-03-05	Contra Costa	C	55	31,530	48,112	65.5%	Pass
473	Martinez Unified School District	\$45,000,000	2010-11-02	Contra Costa	K	55	6,863	10,474	65.5%	Pass
474	Greenfield Union School District	\$5,000,000	2002-03-05	Kern	A	55	2,242	3,423	65.5%	Pass
475	Fontana Unified School District	\$275,000,000	2006-06-06	Orange	C	55	5,598	8,547	65.5%	Pass
476	Santa Rosa High School District	\$77,000,000	2002-03-05	Sonoma	B	55	29,276	44,707	65.5%	Pass
477	Rio Linda Union School District (Now Twin Rivers USD)	\$15,400,000	2002-11-05	Sacramento	N	55	9,946	15,195	65.5%	Pass
478	San Jose-Evergreen Community College District	\$185,000,000	2004-11-02	Santa Clara	G	55	141,070	215,731	65.4%	Pass
479	Moorpark Unified School District	\$39,500,000	2008-11-04	Ventura	S	55	9,560	14,620	65.4%	Pass
480	Los Alamitos Unified School District	\$126,000,000	2008-11-04	Orange	K	55	13,740	21,015	65.4%	Pass
481	Los Banos Unified School District	\$44,000,000	2008-02-05	Merced	K	66.7	4,285	6,558	65.3%	Fail
482	Amador County Unified School District	\$11,000,000	2002-11-05	Amador	F	55	8,332	12,752	65.3%	Pass
483	Atascadero Unified School District	\$117,000,000	2010-11-02	San Luis Obispo	I	55	9,165	14,029	65.3%	Pass
484	Wheatland School District	\$5,700,000	2008-11-04	Yuba	R	55	1,465	2,243	65.3%	Pass
485	Riverbank Unified School District	\$15,200,000	2005-11-08	Stanislaus	P	55	1,584	2,426	65.3%	Pass
486	Mesa Union Elementary School District	\$3,900,000	2002-11-05	Ventura	H	55	786	1,204	65.3%	Pass
487	Wasco Union High School District	\$33,500,000	2008-06-03	Kern	C	55	1,070	1,640	65.2%	Pass
488	Pioneer Elementary School District	\$7,500,000	2005-11-08	Kings	P	55	1,524	2,336	65.2%	Pass
489	Calistoga Joint Unified School District	\$42,000,000	2010-11-02	Sonoma/Napa	A	55	1,572	2,411	65.2%	Pass

## All California Educational Bond Measures Approved by Voters Since November 2000 Enactment of Proposition 39 - Ranked by Percentage of Voter Approval

Rank	Educational District	Amount Proposed to Borrow	Year-Month-Day of Election	Counties	Measure	% Needed to Pass	Yes	Total	Passage	Outcome
490	Clovis Unified School District	\$298,000,000	2012-06-05	Fresno	M	55	23,752	36,429	65.2%	Pass
491	Coast Community College District	\$370,000,000	2002-11-05	Orange	C	55	90,198	138,348	65.2%	Pass
492	Brisbane Elementary School District	\$11,000,000	2003-11-04	San Mateo	C	55	706	1,083	65.2%	Pass
493	Coachella Valley Unified School District	\$41,000,000	2012-11-06	Riverside/Imperial	X	55	7,191	11,032	65.2%	Pass
494	Hermosa Beach City School District	\$13,600,000	2002-11-05	Los Angeles	J	55	3,874	5,944	65.2%	Pass
495	Healdsburg Unified School District	\$3,990,000	2002-11-05	Sonoma	G	55	3,922	6,023	65.1%	Pass
496	Anderson Valley Unified School District	\$15,250,000	2010-06-08	Mendocino	A	55	551	847	65.1%	Pass
497	Tracy Unified School District SFID No. 4	\$82,000,000	2014-06-03	San Joaquin/Alameda	B	55	4,570	7,028	65.0%	Pass
498	Southern Humboldt Joint Unified School District	\$10,000,000	2014-11-04	Humboldt/Mendocino	X	55	1,632	2,510	65.0%	Pass
499	Panama-Buena Vista Union School District	\$147,000,000	2012-11-06	Kern	P	55	23,690	36,447	65.0%	Pass
500	Long Beach Community College District	\$176,000,000	2002-03-05	Los Angeles	E	55	34,580	53,225	65.0%	Pass
501	Chico Unified School District	\$78,000,000	2012-11-06	Butte	E	55	27,112	41,734	65.0%	Pass
502	Redwood City Elementary School District	\$22,000,000	2002-03-05	San Mateo	B	55	8,946	13,774	64.9%	Pass
503	Kings Canyon Unified School District	\$18,000,000	2002-03-05	Fresno/Tulare	K	55	4,257	6,556	64.9%	Pass
504	Walnut Creek School District	\$20,000,000	2002-11-05	Contra Costa	C	55	11,876	18,290	64.9%	Pass
505	Petaluma City School District	\$21,000,000	2014-06-03	Sonoma	C	55	9,760	15,033	64.9%	Pass
506	Beverly Hills Unified School District	\$334,000,000	2008-11-04	Los Angeles	E	55	9,484	14,616	64.9%	Pass
507	Contra Costa Community College District	\$120,000,000	2002-03-05	Contra Costa	A	55	112,062	172,726	64.9%	Pass
508	Centinela Valley Union High School District	\$98,000,000	2010-11-02	Los Angeles	CV	55	16,057	24,754	64.9%	Pass
509	Twin Hills Union School District	\$11,000,000	2010-11-02	Sonoma	M	55	1,975	3,046	64.8%	Pass
510	Fremont Union High School District	\$295,000,000	2014-11-04	Santa Clara	K	55	31,982	49,326	64.8%	Pass
511	Alisal Union School District	\$90,000,000	2006-11-07	Monterey	A	55	3,414	5,266	64.8%	Pass
512	Standard School District	\$28,000,000	2006-06-06	Kern	D	55	2,166	3,342	64.8%	Pass
513	Stone Corral Elementary School District	\$715,000	2008-06-03	Tulare	F	55	46	71	64.8%	Pass
514	Guerneville School District	\$6,000,000	2012-06-05	Sonoma	F	55	993	1,533	64.8%	Pass
515	Belmont-Redwood Shores School District	\$48,000,000	2014-11-04	San Mateo	I	55	7,017	10,837	64.8%	Pass
516	Imperial Valley College	\$80,000,000	2010-11-02	Imperial	J	55	16,325	25,220	64.7%	Pass
517	Sanger Unified School District	\$30,800,000	2006-06-06	Fresno	S	55	3,298	5,097	64.7%	Pass
518	Central Elementary School District	\$49,000,000	2006-11-07	San Bernardino	L	66.7	4,803	7,423	64.7%	Fail
519	Cayucos Elementary School District	\$2,900,000	2006-11-07	San Luis Obispo	Z	55	1,003	1,551	64.7%	Pass
520	Monterey Peninsula Community College District	\$145,000,000	2002-11-05	Monterey	I	55	23,711	36,677	64.6%	Pass
521	Jurupa Unified School District	\$58,000,000	2001-11-06	Riverside	C	66.7	2,818	4,359	64.6%	Fail
522	Castaic Union School District	\$51,000,000	2012-11-06	Los Angeles	QS	55	5,682	8,793	64.6%	Pass
523	Nuvew Union School District	<i>Reauthorize \$4,000,000</i>	2012-11-06	Riverside	V	55	1,632	2,526	64.6%	Pass
524	Banta Elementary School District	\$1,420,000	2001-03-06	San Joaquin	I	66.7	135	209	64.6%	Fail
525	Lakeside Union School District	\$79,550,000	2008-11-04	San Diego	I	55	10,695	16,561	64.6%	Pass
526	Rincon Valley Union School District	\$30,000,000	2002-11-05	Sonoma	J	66.7	9,187	14,228	64.6%	Fail
527	Oxnard School District	\$64,000,000	2006-11-07	Ventura	M	55	12,655	19,631	64.5%	Pass
528	Liberty School District	\$1,920,000	2004-11-02	Sonoma	L	55	618	959	64.4%	Pass
529	Santa Clara Unified School District	\$81,100,000	2010-11-02	Santa Clara	H	55	21,839	33,890	64.4%	Pass
530	Clovis Unified School District	\$79,000,000	2001-03-06	Fresno	A	66.7	12,839	19,932	64.4%	Fail

## All California Educational Bond Measures Approved by Voters Since November 2000

### Enactment of Proposition 39 - Ranked by Percentage of Voter Approval

Rank	Educational District	Amount Proposed to Borrow	Year-Month-Day of Election	Counties	Measure	% Needed to Pass	Yes	Total	Passage	Outcome
531	West Contra Costa Unified School District	\$360,000,000	2012-11-06	Contra Costa	E	55	50,266	78,060	64.4%	Pass
532	Valley Home Joint School District	\$1,400,000	2004-03-02	Stanislaus/San Joaquin	S	55	264	410	64.4%	Pass
533	Temple City Unified School District	\$128,800,000	2012-11-06	Los Angeles	S	55	6,454	10,029	64.4%	Pass
534	Woodside Elementary School District	\$13,500,000	2014-06-03	San Mateo	D	55	713	1,108	64.4%	Pass
535	Kerman Unified School District	\$24,900,000	2008-06-03	Fresno	K	55	931	1,447	64.3%	Pass
536	Blue Lake Union Elementary School District	\$2,000,000	2010-06-08	Humboldt	K	55	528	821	64.3%	Pass
537	College of the Redwoods	\$40,320,000	2004-11-02	Del Norte/Humboldt/Mendocino	B/Q	55	52,249	81,284	64.3%	Pass
538	Redondo Beach Unified School District	\$63,000,000	2012-11-06	Los Angeles	Q	55	18,786	29,232	64.3%	Pass
539	Acalanes Union High School District	\$93,000,000	2008-11-04	Contra Costa	E	55	39,556	61,553	64.3%	Pass
540	Southern Humboldt Joint Unified School District	\$25,200,000	2010-06-08	Humboldt/Mendocino	L	55	1,528	2,379	64.2%	Pass
541	Eureka Unified School District	\$32,515,000	2002-03-05	Humboldt	T	55	5,059	7,881	64.2%	Pass
542	Armona Union Elementary School District	\$2,785,000	2004-03-02	Kings	S	55	534	832	64.2%	Pass
543	San Mateo County Community College District	\$468,000,000	2005-11-08	San Mateo	A	55	114,319	178,121	64.2%	Pass
544	Newman-Crows Landing Unified School District	\$25,000,000	2008-11-04	Stanislaus	R	55	1,998	3,114	64.2%	Pass
545	Lakeport Unified School District	\$17,000,000	2014-11-04	Lake	T	55	1,543	2,405	64.2%	Pass
546	Mojave Unified School District	\$24,000,000	2007-09-18	Kern	E	66.7	1,093	1,704	64.1%	Fail
547	Strathmore Union High School District (now in Porterville Unified School District)	\$3,500,000	2001-09-25	Tulare	A	66.7	288	449	64.1%	Fail
548	Cajon Valley Union School District	\$156,500,000	2008-02-05	San Diego	D	55	23,696	36,946	64.1%	Pass
549	Las Virgenes Unified School District	\$128,000,000	2006-06-06	Los Angeles/Ventura	G	55	6,865	10,705	64.1%	Pass
550	Rancho Santiago Community College District	\$337,000,000	2002-11-05	Orange	E	55	51,789	80,771	64.1%	Pass
551	Escalon Unified School District	\$19,500,000	2012-11-06	San Joaquin	B	55	3,279	5,115	64.1%	Pass
552	Saratoga Union School District	\$19,900,000	2002-03-05	Santa Clara	L	55	3,903	6,091	64.1%	Pass
553	Planada Elementary School District	\$1,100,000	2004-11-02	Merced	M	66.7	462	721	64.1%	Fail
554	Moreno Valley Unified School District	\$398,000,000	2014-11-04	Riverside	M	55	12,348	19,276	64.1%	Pass
555	Milpitas Unified School District	\$95,000,000	2012-06-05	Santa Clara	E	55	5,412	8,449	64.1%	Pass
556	Maricopa Unified School District	\$6,000,000	2004-11-02	Kern	O	55	342	534	64.0%	Pass
557	Santa Rosa High School District	\$175,000,000	2014-11-04	Sonoma	I	55	34,086	53,235	64.0%	Pass
558	Wilmar Union School District	\$4,000,000	2012-11-06	Sonoma	P	55	1,075	1,679	64.0%	Pass
559	Belmont-Redwood Shores School District ESFID	\$35,000,000	2010-11-02	San Mateo	N	55	6,744	10,539	64.0%	Pass
560	Los Gatos-Saratoga Joint Union High School District	\$99,000,000	2014-06-03	Santa Clara/Santa Cruz	E	55	8,331	13,020	64.0%	Pass
561	Bear Valley Unified School District	\$25,000,000	2002-11-05	San Bernardino	Q	55	3,423	5,351	64.0%	Pass
562	Paradise Elementary School District	\$400,000	2002-11-05	Stanislaus	E	66.7	181	283	64.0%	Fail
563	Anaheim City Elementary School District	\$169,300,000	2010-11-02	Orange	G	55	19,550	30,580	63.9%	Pass
564	Poway Unified School District SFID 2007-1	\$179,000,000	2008-02-05	San Diego	C	55	28,323	44,318	63.9%	Pass
565	Salinas City Elementary School District	\$80,000,000	2008-06-03	Monterey	T	55	5,513	8,627	63.9%	Pass
566	Burlingame School District	\$48,300,000	2007-11-06	San Mateo	A	55	3,650	5,712	63.9%	Pass
567	City College of San Francisco	\$246,300,000	2005-11-08	San Francisco	A	55	134,999	211,319	63.9%	Pass
568	West Hills Community College District SFID No. 3	\$31,000,000	2008-11-04	Fresno/Kings	E	55	7,512	11,763	63.9%	Pass
569	Bakersfield City Elementary School District	\$100,000,000	2006-11-07	Kern	G	55	17,657	27,661	63.8%	Pass
570	Grossmont Union High School District	\$199,000,000	2002-11-05	San Diego	T	66.7	64,773	101,487	63.8%	Fail
571	Garden Grove Unified School District	\$250,000,000	2010-06-08	Orange	A	55	21,149	33,168	63.8%	Pass

## All California Educational Bond Measures Approved by Voters Since November 2000 Enactment of Proposition 39 - Ranked by Percentage of Voter Approval

Rank	Educational District	Amount Proposed to Borrow	Year- Month-Day of Election	Counties	Measure	% Needed to Pass	Yes	Total	Passage	Outcome
572	Coalinga-Huron Joint Unified School District	\$16,100,000	2010-11-02	Fresno/Monterey/San Benito	E	55	1,813	2,844	63.7%	Pass
573	Los Angeles Unified School District	\$3,870,000,000	2004-03-02	Los Angeles	R	55	335,230	526,258	63.7%	Pass
574	Oakdale Joint Unified School District	\$20,000,000	2002-11-05	San Joaquin	C	55	28	44	63.6%	Pass
575	Imperial Valley College	\$58,600,000	2004-11-02	Imperial	L	55	20,176	31,716	63.6%	Pass
576	Shoreline Unified School District	\$9,290,000	2009-11-03	Marin/Sonoma	D	55	1,033	1,624	63.6%	Pass
577	Chaffey Joint Union School District	\$848,000,000	2012-11-06	San Bernardino	P	55	70,026	110,096	63.6%	Pass
578	Gold Trail Union School District	\$1,800,000	2002-11-05	El Dorado	G	55	1,639	2,577	63.6%	Pass
579	Oak Grove Union School District	\$6,000,000	2014-11-04	Sonoma	K	55	1,174	1,846	63.6%	Pass
580	Nuvview Union School District	\$6,000,000	2002-11-05	Riverside	T	66.7	1,034	1,626	63.6%	Fail
581	Castro Valley Unified School District	\$44,000,000	2005-11-08	Alameda	B	55	10,602	16,674	63.6%	Pass
582	Victor Elementary School District	\$30,000,000	2001-11-06	San Bernardino	E	55	3,155	4,964	63.6%	Pass
583	La Canada Unified School District	\$25,000,000	2004-03-02	Los Angeles	B	55	4,408	6,939	63.5%	Pass
584	Beardsley School District	\$8,000,000	2005-11-08	Kern	D	66.7	1,771	2,788	63.5%	Fail
585	Fremont Unified School District	\$157,200,000	2002-03-05	Alameda	B	55	15,101	23,780	63.5%	Pass
586	Mojave Unified School District	\$12,000,000	2001-03-06	Kern	A	66.7	882	1,389	63.5%	Fail
587	Benicia Unified School District	\$49,600,000	2014-06-03	Solano	S	55	3,903	6,147	63.5%	Pass
588	Madera Unified School District	\$46,000,000	2002-11-05	Madera	B	55	6,812	10,729	63.5%	Pass
589	Solano Community College District	\$348,000,000	2012-11-06	Solano/Yolo	Q	55	89,634	141,189	63.5%	Pass
590	Solano Community College District	\$124,500,000	2002-11-05	Solano/Yolo	G	55	89,634	141,189	63.5%	Pass
591	Live Oak Unified School District	\$8,400,000	2004-03-02	Sutter	G	55	961	1,515	63.4%	Pass
592	Hollister School District	\$28,500,000	2014-11-04	San Benito	M	55	5,729	9,035	63.4%	Pass
593	Central Unified School District	\$152,000,000	2008-11-04	Fresno	E	55	12,062	19,023	63.4%	Pass
594	San Marcos Unified School District	\$287,000,000	2010-11-02	San Diego	K	55	20,082	31,682	63.4%	Pass
595	Temecula Valley Unified School District	\$165,000,000	2012-11-06	Riverside	Y	55	27,808	43,879	63.4%	Pass
596	Lucia Mar Unified School District	\$21,350,000	2004-03-02	San Luis Obispo	A	55	13,848	21,869	63.3%	Pass
597	Rancho Santa Fe Elementary School District	\$4,800,000	2004-03-02	San Diego	K	55	1,417	2,238	63.3%	Pass
598	Mojave Unified School District SFID No. 1	\$8,100,000	2014-11-04	Kern	C	55	1,551	2,450	63.3%	Pass
599	Rincon Valley Union School District	\$23,900,000	2004-03-02	Sonoma	D	55	10,302	16,288	63.2%	Pass
600	Merced City Elementary School District	\$2,200,000	2003-05-13	Merced	K	66.7	4,379	6,924	63.2%	Fail
601	Exeter Union High School District	\$5,100,000	2006-11-07	Tulare	U	55	2,486	3,931	63.2%	Pass
602	Anaheim City Elementary School District	\$111,000,000	2002-03-05	Orange	BB	55	11,610	18,366	63.2%	Pass
603	Burton Elementary School District	\$2,455,000	2002-11-05	Tulare	B	55	1,471	2,327	63.2%	Pass
604	Paradise Elementary School District	\$660,000	2002-03-05	Stanislaus	X	55	151	239	63.2%	Pass
605	Happy Valley Union Elementary School District	\$2,495,000	2014-06-03	Shasta	C	55	724	1,146	63.2%	Pass
606	Riverbank Unified School District	\$14,000,000	2003-11-04	Stanislaus	O	66.7	729	1,155	63.1%	Fail
607	Sonoma County Community College District	\$410,000,000	2014-11-04	Sonoma/Marin/Mendocino	H	55	87,739	139,046	63.1%	Pass
608	Glendora Unified School District	\$41,310,000	2005-11-08	Los Angeles	G	55	6,588	10,442	63.1%	Pass
609	San Leandro Unified School District	\$50,100,000	2010-11-02	Alameda	M	55	10,638	16,864	63.1%	Pass
610	Cotati/ Rohnert Park Unified School District	\$80,000,000	2014-06-03	Sonoma	B	55	5,382	8,532	63.1%	Pass
611	Colusa Unified School District	\$5,900,000	2014-11-04	Colusa	A	55	1,049	1,663	63.1%	Pass
612	College of Marin	\$249,500,000	2004-11-02	Marin	C	55	77,102	122,301	63.0%	Pass

## All California Educational Bond Measures Approved by Voters Since November 2000 Enactment of Proposition 39 - Ranked by Percentage of Voter Approval

Rank	Educational District	Amount Proposed to Borrow	Year-Month-Day of Election	Counties	Measure	% Needed to Pass	Yes	Total	Passage	Outcome
613	San Diego Community College District	\$870,000,000	2006-11-07	San Diego	N	55	149,399	237,005	63.0%	Pass
614	Oroville City Elementary School District	\$6,000,000	2002-11-05	Butte	F	55	4,742	7,525	63.0%	Pass
615	Ohlone Community College District	\$349,000,000	2010-11-02	Alameda	G	55	37,042	58,814	63.0%	Pass
616	Westminster School District	\$130,000,000	2008-11-04	Orange	O	55	15,947	25,321	63.0%	Pass
617	Princeton Unified School District	\$2,750,000	2014-06-03	Glenn/Colusa	S	55	165	262	63.0%	Pass
618	San Carlos Unified School District	\$38,000,000	2005-11-08	San Mateo	E	55	6,240	9,913	62.9%	Pass
619	Denair Unified School District	\$13,000,000	2007-11-06	Stanislaus	K	55	812	1,290	62.9%	Pass
620	Rio Hondo Community College District	\$245,000,000	2004-03-02	Los Angeles	A	55	27,447	43,642	62.9%	Pass
621	Bennett Valley Union School District	\$10,600,000	2010-11-02	Sonoma	J	55	2,976	4,732	62.9%	Pass
622	Bass Lake Joint Union Elementary School District	\$15,000,000	2006-06-06	Madera	R	55	2,213	3,520	62.9%	Pass
623	Westside Union School District	\$63,500,000	2008-11-04	Los Angeles	WS	55	16,127	25,660	62.8%	Pass
624	Antioch Unified School District SFID No. 1	\$56,500,000	2012-11-06	Contra Costa	B	55	12,264	19,515	62.8%	Pass
625	San Bernardino Community College District	\$190,000,000	2002-11-05	San Bernardino/Riverside	P	55	60,487	96,344	62.8%	Pass
626	Hemet Unified School District	\$60,000,000	2002-03-05	Riverside	E	66.7	12,899	20,547	62.8%	Fail
627	Southern Kern Unified School District	\$12,000,000	2002-11-05	Kern	H	55	1,914	3,049	62.8%	Pass
628	Windsor Unified School District	\$50,000,000	2008-02-05	Sonoma	B	55	6,208	9,891	62.8%	Pass
629	Alameda Unified School District	\$179,500,000	2014-11-04	Alameda	I	55	13,111	20,894	62.8%	Pass
630	Pomona Unified School District	\$68,000,000	2002-03-05	Los Angeles	J	55	7,280	11,602	62.7%	Pass
631	Gilroy Unified School District	\$69,000,000	2002-11-05	Santa Clara	I	55	5,865	9,349	62.7%	Pass
632	Kings Canyon Unified School District	\$40,000,000	2012-11-06	Fresno/Tulare	K	55	6,806	10,851	62.7%	Pass
633	Charter Oak Unified School District	\$47,000,000	2012-06-05	Los Angeles	CO	55	3,035	4,839	62.7%	Pass
634	Weaver Union School District	\$9,800,000	2006-06-06	Merced	B	55	533	850	62.7%	Pass
635	Oxnard Union High School District	\$135,000,000	2004-11-02	Ventura	H	55	52,851	84,324	62.7%	Pass
636	Alvord Unified School District	<b>Reauthorize \$79,000,000</b>	2012-11-06	Riverside	W	55	12,911	20,602	62.7%	Pass
637	Santa Clarita Community College District	\$160,000,000	2006-11-07	Los Angeles	M	55	38,292	61,119	62.7%	Pass
638	West Contra Costa Unified School District	\$380,000,000	2010-06-08	Contra Costa	D	55	23,014	36,754	62.6%	Pass
639	Merced Union High School District	\$149,450,000	2008-11-04	Merced	M	55	25,266	40,377	62.6%	Pass
640	San Luis Obispo County Community College District (Cuesta)	\$275,000,000	2014-11-04	San Luis Obispo/ Monterey	L	55	51,740	82,706	62.6%	Pass
641	Happy Valley Union Elementary School District	\$3,800,000	2008-11-04	Shasta	E	55	1,743	2,787	62.5%	Pass
642	Aromas-San Juan Unified School District	\$11,200,000	2002-03-05	Monterey/San Benito	S	55	1,243	1,988	62.5%	Pass
643	Mojave Unified School District SFID No. 1	\$24,000,000	2008-02-05	Kern	A	55	1,746	2,793	62.5%	Pass
644	Spreckels Union School District	\$7,000,000	2012-11-06	Monterey	B	55	2,091	3,346	62.5%	Pass
645	Westside Union School District	<b>Reauthorize \$18,510,000</b>	2012-11-06	Los Angeles	WR	55	16,153	25,849	62.5%	Pass
646	Antioch Unified School District SFID No. 2	\$61,600,000	2008-06-03	Contra Costa	C	55	4,948	7,921	62.5%	Pass
647	Siskiyou Joint Community College District	\$31,400,000	2005-11-08	Siskiyou/Shasta	A	55	8,912	14,267	62.5%	Pass
648	Black Oak Mine Unified School District	\$6,900,000	2006-11-07	El Dorado	G	55	3,768	6,034	62.4%	Pass
649	Clovis Unified School District	\$168,000,000	2004-03-02	Fresno	A	55	24,836	39,778	62.4%	Pass
650	Buckeye Union School District	\$28,300,000	2006-11-07	El Dorado	K	55	7,910	12,670	62.4%	Pass
651	Franklin School District	\$2,000,000	2006-06-06	Sutter	P	55	452	724	62.4%	Pass
652	Grant Joint Union High School District (Now Twin Rivers USD)	\$230,000,000	2006-06-06	Sacramento/Placer	G	55	10,477	16,782	62.4%	Pass
653	Bassett Unified School District	\$30,000,000	2014-11-04	Los Angeles	V	55	1,616	2,589	62.4%	Pass

## All California Educational Bond Measures Approved by Voters Since November 2000

### Enactment of Proposition 39 - Ranked by Percentage of Voter Approval

Rank	Educational District	Amount Proposed to Borrow	Year-Month-Day of Election	Counties	Measure	% Needed to Pass	Yes	Total	Passage	Outcome
654	Mendocino-Lake Community College District	\$67,500,000	2006-11-07	Mendocino/Lake	W	55	18,417	29,506	62.4%	Pass
655	Marysville Joint Unified School District	\$47,000,000	2008-11-04	Yuba/Butte	P	55	10,081	16,160	62.4%	Pass
656	Folsom Cordova Unified School District	\$750,000,000	2006-11-07	Sacramento	M	66.7	63	101	62.4%	Fail
657	Greenfield Union School District	\$10,000,000	2014-11-04	Monterey	C	55	1,014	1,626	62.4%	Pass
658	Planada Elementary School District	\$1,500,000	2014-06-03	Merced	O	55	220	353	62.3%	Pass
659	Franklin School District	\$2,200,000	2004-03-02	Sutter	E	66.7	554	889	62.3%	Fail
660	Bellevue Union School District	\$12,000,000	2014-06-03	Sonoma	D	55	1,314	2,111	62.2%	Pass
661	Anaheim Union High School District	\$132,000,000	2002-03-05	Orange	Z	55	25,592	41,126	62.2%	Pass
662	Palm Springs Unified School District	\$516,000,000	2008-02-05	Riverside	E	55	20,923	33,647	62.2%	Pass
663	Eureka Unified School District SFID No. 1	\$10,585,000	2002-03-05	Humboldt	S	55	7,598	12,225	62.2%	Pass
664	Wright Elementary School District	\$14,000,000	2012-06-05	Sonoma	M	55	1,913	3,078	62.2%	Pass
665	Rio Linda Union School District (Now Twin Rivers USD)	\$38,000,000	2006-11-07	Sacramento	P	55	11,752	18,915	62.1%	Pass
666	Santa Maria-Bonita School District	\$45,000,000	2014-11-04	Santa Barbara/SLO	T	55	8,085	13,021	62.1%	Pass
667	Torrance Unified School District	\$50,000,000	2014-11-04	Los Angeles	U	55	19,877	32,015	62.1%	Pass
668	Bonita Unified School District	\$56,360,000	2004-03-02	Los Angeles	C	55	8,841	14,243	62.1%	Pass
669	Sutter Union High School District	\$8,260,000	2004-11-02	Sutter	L	66.7	1,885	3,038	62.0%	Fail
670	Beaumont Unified School District	\$125,000,000	2008-11-04	Riverside/San Bernardino	Z	55	9,029	14,552	62.0%	Pass
671	Dublin Unified School District	\$99,000,000	2012-06-05	Alameda	E	55	4,205	6,780	62.0%	Pass
672	Alvord Unified School District	\$196,000,000	2007-11-06	Riverside	H	55	3,437	5,542	62.0%	Pass
673	Grossmont Union High School District	\$274,000,000	2004-03-02	San Diego	H	55	61,406	99,029	62.0%	Pass
674	Natomas Unified School District	\$145,500,000	2006-06-06	Sacramento	D	55	4,358	7,029	62.0%	Pass
675	College School District	\$9,370,000	2004-11-02	Santa Barbara	Z	55	2,028	3,271	62.0%	Pass
676	Big Pine Unified School District	\$4,100,000	2010-06-08	Inyo	A	55	360	581	62.0%	Pass
677	Vacaville Unified School District	\$194,000,000	2014-11-04	Solano	A	55	12,095	19,524	61.9%	Pass
678	San Mateo Union High School District	\$186,000,000	2010-11-02	San Mateo	O	55	43,251	69,854	61.9%	Pass
679	Val Verde Unified School District	\$178,000,000	2012-06-05	Riverside	L	55	2,758	4,455	61.9%	Pass
680	Mark Twain Union Elementary School District	\$9,600,000	2004-03-02	Calaveras	K	55	1,786	2,885	61.9%	Pass
681	Hawthorne Elementary School District	\$20,000,000	2008-06-03	Los Angeles	H	55	2,481	4,009	61.9%	Pass
682	Downey Unified School District	\$248,000,000	2014-11-04	Los Angeles	O	55	10,093	16,314	61.9%	Pass
683	Wheatland School District	\$9,000,000	2012-11-06	Yuba	U	55	2,250	3,637	61.9%	Pass
684	Chino Valley Unified School District	\$150,000,000	2002-03-05	San Bernardino	M	55	10,191	16,478	61.8%	Pass
685	Peninsula Union School District	\$600,000	2001-11-06	Humboldt	R	55	47	76	61.8%	Pass
686	Simi Valley Unified School District	\$145,000,000	2004-03-02	Ventura	C	55	16,118	26,079	61.8%	Pass
687	Woodlake Union High School District	\$4,500,000	2008-02-05	Tulare	C	55	1,592	2,576	61.8%	Pass
688	San Diego Unified School District	\$2,800,000,000	2012-11-06	San Diego	Z	55	218,242	353,169	61.8%	Pass
689	Burbank Unified School District	\$110,000,000	2013-03-05	Los Angeles	S	55	4,663	7,547	61.8%	Pass
690	Gateway Unified School District	\$22,000,000	2002-03-05	Shasta	B	55	3,623	5,864	61.8%	Pass
691	Pollock Pines Elementary School District	\$9,000,000	2012-06-05	El Dorado	K	55	1,606	2,601	61.7%	Pass
692	Los Rios Community College District	\$265,000,000	2002-03-05	El Dorado/Placer/ Sacramento/Yolo/Solano	A	55	132,952	215,359	61.7%	Pass
693	Buellton Union School District	\$6,500,000	2004-03-02	Santa Barbara	U	55	1,176	1,905	61.7%	Pass
694	Cutler-Orosi Joint Unified School District	\$6,400,000	2004-03-02	Fresno/Tulare	O	55	687	1,113	61.7%	Pass

## All California Educational Bond Measures Approved by Voters Since November 2000

### Enactment of Proposition 39 - Ranked by Percentage of Voter Approval

Rank	Educational District	Amount Proposed to Borrow	Year-Month-Day of Election	Counties	Measure	% Needed to Pass	Yes	Total	Passage	Outcome
695	Corona-Norco Unified School District	\$250,000,000	2006-11-07	Riverside	U	55	24,101	39,068	61.7%	Pass
696	Western Placer Unified School District	\$60,000,000	2014-11-04	Placer	A	55	10,742	17,418	61.7%	Pass
697	Atascadero Unified School District	<b>Reauthorize \$58,000,000</b>	2014-11-04	San Luis Obispo	B	55	7,102	11,517	61.7%	Pass
698	Solvang School District	\$11,650,000	2006-06-06	Santa Barbara	J	55	1,148	1,863	61.6%	Pass
699	Rim of the World Unified School District	\$23,340,000	2008-11-04	San Bernardino	W	55	8,116	13,171	61.6%	Pass
700	Cabrillo Community College District	\$118,500,000	2004-03-02	Santa Cruz/Monterey/San Benito	D	55	44,542	72,295	61.6%	Pass
701	Encinitas Union School District	\$44,200,000	2010-11-02	San Diego	P	55	17,989	29,200	61.6%	Pass
702	El Camino Community College District	\$394,516,464	2002-11-05	Los Angeles	E	55	68,095	110,541	61.6%	Pass
703	Novato Unified School District	\$107,000,000	2001-11-06	Marin	A	55	8,419	13,668	61.6%	Pass
704	Riverbank Unified School District	\$14,000,000	2004-03-02	Stanislaus	R	66.7	1,328	2,156	61.6%	Fail
705	Manhattan Beach Unified School District	\$67,480,000	2008-11-04	Los Angeles	BB	55	12,191	19,801	61.6%	Pass
706	Victor Valley Community College District	\$297,500,000	2008-11-04	San Bernardino/Los Angeles	JJ	55	63,581	103,301	61.5%	Pass
707	Fullerton School District	\$49,700,000	2002-03-05	Orange	CC	55	11,710	19,026	61.5%	Pass
708	San Ramon Valley Unified School District	\$260,000,000	2012-11-06	Contra Costa	D	55	37,380	60,774	61.5%	Pass
709	Vallejo City Unified School District	\$239,000,000	2014-11-04	Solano	E	66.7	13,392	21,776	61.5%	Fail
710	Los Olivos School District	\$4,650,000	2006-11-07	Santa Barbara	N	55	469	763	61.5%	Pass
711	Santa Monica Community College District	\$295,000,000	2008-11-04	Los Angeles	AA	55	30,232	49,185	61.5%	Pass
712	San Bernardino City Unified School District	\$140,000,000	2004-03-02	San Bernardino	T	55	14,282	23,247	61.4%	Pass
713	Wasco Union High School District	\$45,000,000	2007-11-06	Kern	G	66.7	834	1,358	61.4%	Fail
714	Nevada Joint Union High School District	\$15,000,000	2002-03-05	Nevada	A	55	16,397	26,704	61.4%	Pass
715	Center Joint Unified School District	\$500,000,000	2008-11-04	Sacramento/Placer	N	55	5,082	8,278	61.4%	Pass
716	Healdsburg Unified School District	\$35,000,000	2012-06-05	Sonoma	E	55	2,790	4,546	61.4%	Pass
717	Roseville City School District	\$29,117,071	2002-11-05	Placer	H	55	11,174	18,219	61.3%	Pass
718	Willits Unified School District	\$43,000,000	2010-06-08	Mendocino	B	55	2,211	3,606	61.3%	Pass
719	Merced River School District	\$1,800,000	2014-06-03	Merced	L	55	95	155	61.3%	Pass
720	Chatom Union Elementary School District	\$5,000,000	2006-11-07	Stanislaus	U	55	617	1,007	61.3%	Pass
721	Fortuna Union High School District	\$10,000,000	2012-11-06	Humboldt	D	55	5,072	8,278	61.3%	Pass
722	La Habra City School District	\$31,000,000	2012-11-06	Orange	O	55	8,874	14,489	61.2%	Pass
723	Walnut Valley Unified School District	\$64,600,000	2007-11-06	Los Angeles	S	55	4,159	6,797	61.2%	Pass
724	Golden Plains Unified School District	\$13,000,000	2014-11-04	Fresno	G	55	402	657	61.2%	Pass
725	Mesa Union Elementary School District	\$4,000,000	2008-06-03	Ventura	A	55	506	827	61.2%	Pass
726	Fremont Unified School District	\$650,000,000	2014-06-03	Alameda	E	55	15,971	26,106	61.2%	Pass
727	Cinnabar Elementary School District	\$2,500,000	2014-11-04	Sonoma	J	55	494	808	61.1%	Pass
728	Napa Valley Community College District	\$133,800,000	2002-11-05	Napa/Sonoma	N	55	21,699	35,502	61.1%	Pass
729	Bradley Union School District	\$1,100,000	2011-11-08	Monterey	T	55	33	54	61.1%	Pass
730	Le Grand Union High School	\$1,950,000	2001-11-06	Merced	A	66.7	396	648	61.1%	Fail
731	Sierra Sands Unified School District	\$50,500,000	2006-06-06	Kern/San Bernardino	A	55	4,710	7,710	61.1%	Pass
732	North Monterey County Unified School District	\$23,800,000	2013-11-05	Monterey	H	55	2,453	4,019	61.0%	Pass
733	Atwater Elementary School District	\$16,500,000	2002-11-05	Merced	I	66.7	3,425	5,621	60.9%	Fail
734	Central Unified School District	\$40,000,000	2004-03-02	Fresno	K	55	5,174	8,494	60.9%	Pass
735	Mt. Diablo Unified School District	\$348,000,000	2010-06-08	Contra Costa	C	55	32,152	52,814	60.9%	Pass

## All California Educational Bond Measures Approved by Voters Since November 2000 Enactment of Proposition 39 - Ranked by Percentage of Voter Approval

Rank	Educational District	Amount Proposed to Borrow	Year-Month-Day of Election	Counties	Measure	% Needed to Pass	Yes	Total	Passage	Outcome
736	Chualar Union Elementary School District	\$1,000,000	2004-03-02	Monterey	A	55	182	299	60.9%	Pass
737	Yosemite Community College District	\$326,174,000	2004-11-02	Stanislaus/San Joaquin/Merced/Calaveras/	E	55	109,315	179,656	60.8%	Pass
738	Huntington Beach City Elementary School District	\$30,000,000	2002-03-05	Orange	DD	55	11,913	19,584	60.8%	Pass
739	Bonita Unified School District	\$83,560,000	2008-11-04	Los Angeles	AB	55	17,218	28,308	60.8%	Pass
740	Greenfield Union School District	\$10,000,000	2014-11-04	Monterey	D	55	987	1,623	60.8%	Pass
741	Jurupa Unified School District	\$144,000,000	2014-11-04	Riverside	EE	55	5,824	9,578	60.8%	Pass
742	St. Helena Unified School District	\$30,000,000	2010-11-02	Napa	B	55	2,545	4,186	60.8%	Pass
743	Lodi Unified School District	\$114,000,000	2006-11-07	San Joaquin	L	55	9,225	15,176	60.8%	Pass
744	Perris Union High School District	\$153,420,000	2012-11-06	Riverside	T	55	24,229	39,886	60.7%	Pass
745	Napa Valley Unified School District	\$183,000,000	2006-11-07	Napa	G	55	20,831	34,315	60.7%	Pass
746	William S. Hart Union High School District	\$300,000,000	2008-11-04	Los Angeles	SA	55	59,287	97,816	60.6%	Pass
747	Beardsley School District	\$10,300,000	2006-06-06	Kern	B	55	1,444	2,383	60.6%	Pass
748	Riverside Community College District	\$350,000,000	2004-03-02	Riverside	C	55	61,923	102,205	60.6%	Pass
749	Madera Unified School District	\$32,500,000	2006-11-07	Madera	U	55	6,812	11,244	60.6%	Pass
750	McCabe Union Elementary School District	\$2,500,000	2002-11-05	Imperial	F	66.7	348	575	60.5%	Fail
751	Salinas Union High School District	\$52,000,000	2002-03-05	Monterey	F	55	12,746	21,111	60.4%	Pass
752	Paso Robles Joint Unified School District	\$20,000,000	2006-11-07	San Luis Obispo/Monterey	T	55	8,753	14,498	60.4%	Pass
753	Julian Union High School District	\$2,100,000	2010-11-02	San Diego	L	55	1,259	2,086	60.4%	Pass
754	Lost Hills Union School District	\$6,200,000	2005-11-08	Kern	G	55	35	58	60.3%	Pass
755	Fullerton Joint Union High School District	\$67,940,000	2002-03-05	Orange/Los Angeles	AA	55	21,974	36,437	60.3%	Pass
756	Salinas Union High School District	\$128,000,000	2014-11-04	Monterey	B	55	14,730	24,428	60.3%	Pass
757	Grossmont-Cuyamaca Community College District	\$207,000,000	2002-11-05	San Diego	R	55	60,622	100,551	60.3%	Pass
758	Upland Unified School District	\$103,000,000	2008-02-05	San Bernardino	K	55	11,785	19,554	60.3%	Pass
759	San Lorenzo Valley Unified School District	\$18,900,000	2008-02-05	Santa Cruz	O	55	6,298	10,452	60.3%	Pass
760	Tahoe Truckee Unified School District SFID No. 2	\$62,000,000	2014-11-04	El Dorado/Placer	E	55	2,074	3,442	60.3%	Pass
761	Manchester Union Elementary School District	\$1,180,000	2002-11-05	Mendocino	W	66.7	118	196	60.2%	Fail
762	San Juan Unified School District	\$350,000,000	2012-11-06	Sacramento	N	55	76,052	126,333	60.2%	Pass
763	Tustin Unified School District	\$135,000,000	2012-11-06	Orange	S	55	25,220	41,949	60.1%	Pass
764	Oak Park Unified School District	\$17,500,000	2006-06-06	Ventura	C	55	2,030	3,378	60.1%	Pass
765	Fairfield-Suisun Unified School District	\$100,000,000	2002-03-05	Solano	C	55	11,288	18,784	60.1%	Pass
766	Fall River Joint Unified School District	\$4,400,000	2004-11-02	Shasta/Lassen/Modoc	J	66.7	2,274	3,785	60.1%	Fail
767	West Valley-Mission Community College District	\$235,000,000	2004-11-02	Santa Clara/Santa Cruz	H	55	79,524	132,394	60.1%	Pass
768	Oak Park Unified School District	\$89,000,000	2006-06-06	Ventura	B	66.7	2,032	3,389	60.0%	Fail
769	Duarte Unified School District	\$62,000,000	2010-11-02	Los Angeles	E	55	4,198	7,008	59.9%	Pass
770	Bret Harte Union High School District	\$18,000,000	2008-11-04	Calaveras	K	55	6,167	10,295	59.9%	Pass
771	Roseville Joint Union High School District	\$79,000,000	2004-11-02	Placer/Sacramento	J	55	35,814	59,793	59.9%	Pass
772	Kings Canyon Unified School District	\$32,000,000	2006-11-07	Fresno/Tulare	K	55	5,029	8,400	59.9%	Pass
773	Calipatria Unified School District	\$9,000,000	2013-11-05	Imperial	T	55	422	705	59.9%	Pass
774	West Valley-Mission Community College District	\$350,000,000	2012-06-05	Santa Clara/Santa Cruz	C	55	42,498	71,020	59.8%	Pass
775	Oroville Union High School District	\$10,400,000	2002-11-05	Butte	J	55	6,809	11,379	59.8%	Pass
776	Pacific Grove Unified School District	\$18,000,000	2014-11-04	Monterey	A	55	4,020	6,724	59.8%	Pass

## All California Educational Bond Measures Approved by Voters Since November 2000

### Enactment of Proposition 39 - Ranked by Percentage of Voter Approval

Rank	Educational District	Amount Proposed to Borrow	Year-Month-Day of Election	Counties	Measure	% Needed to Pass	Yes	Total	Passage	Outcome
777	Tipton Elementary School District	\$3,300,000	2014-11-04	Tulare	C	55	211	353	59.8%	Pass
778	Cold Spring School District	\$2,440,000	2008-11-04	Santa Barbara	C	55	987	1,653	59.7%	Pass
779	Sierra Joint Community College District SFID No. 2	\$44,400,000	2004-11-02	Nevada	G	55	24,877	41,666	59.7%	Pass
780	Yuba City Unified School District	\$25,670,000	2004-11-02	Sutter	K	55	9,383	15,729	59.7%	Pass
781	Greenfield Union School District	\$8,300,000	2010-06-08	Monterey	L	55	761	1,276	59.6%	Pass
782	St. Helena Unified School District	\$30,000,000	2012-11-06	Napa	C	55	2,782	4,669	59.6%	Pass
783	Lincoln Unified School District	\$48,500,000	2012-06-05	San Joaquin	M	55	5,653	9,488	59.6%	Pass
784	Turlock Unified School District SFID No. 1	\$9,900,000	2006-11-07	Stanislaus/Merced	Z	55	8,570	14,405	59.5%	Pass
785	Northern Humboldt Union High School District	\$25,800,000	2010-11-02	Humboldt	Q	55	10,386	17,465	59.5%	Pass
786	Elverta Elementary School District	\$10,500,000	2002-11-05	Sacramento/Placer	L	55	512	861	59.5%	Pass
787	Lake Tahoe Unified School District	\$64,500,000	2008-11-04	El Dorado	G	55	6,715	11,301	59.4%	Pass
788	Needles Unified School District	\$10,900,000	2008-06-03	San Bernardino	N	55	623	1,049	59.4%	Pass
789	Mark West Union School District	\$14,000,000	2010-06-08	Sonoma	C	55	2,372	3,994	59.4%	Pass
790	Savanna Elementary School District	\$28,750,000	2012-06-05	Orange	G	55	1,715	2,888	59.4%	Pass
791	College of the Sequoias	\$22,000,000	2006-11-07	Fresno/Kings/Tulare	C	55	8,279	13,948	59.4%	Pass
792	Napa Valley Unified School District	\$95,000,000	2002-11-05	Napa	M	55	17,183	28,953	59.3%	Pass
793	Menifee Union School District	\$14,500,000	2002-11-05	Riverside	J	55	6,853	11,568	59.2%	Pass
794	Manchester Union Elementary School District	\$1,310,000	2004-11-02	Mendocino	O	55	151	255	59.2%	Pass
795	El Centro Elementary School District	\$8,000,000	2003-11-04	Imperial	G	55	1,493	2,522	59.2%	Pass
796	Shasta-Tehama-Trinity Joint Community College District	\$34,000,000	2002-03-05	Humboldt/Lassen/Modoc/Shasta/Tehema/Trinity	A	55	33,076	55,884	59.2%	Pass
797	Oroville Union High School District	\$12,000,000	2008-11-04	Butte	G	55	10,978	18,552	59.2%	Pass
798	Saddleback Valley Unified School District	\$180,000,000	2004-03-02	Orange	B	55	31,024	52,448	59.2%	Pass
799	Hart-Ransom Union School District	\$3,900,000	2007-11-06	Stanislaus	G	55	388	656	59.1%	Pass
800	West Contra Costa Unified School District	\$42,000,000	2003-09-16	Contra Costa	C	66.7	11,444	19,367	59.1%	Fail
801	Anaheim Union High School District	\$249,000,000	2014-11-04	Orange	H	55	30,238	51,177	59.1%	Pass
S-1	Kindergarten-University Public Education Facilities Bond Act of 2002	\$13,050,000,000	2002-11-05	All of California	Prop 47	50	4,222,946	7,148,169	59.1%	Pass
802	Rohnerville School District (in Fortuna Elementary School District)	\$5,000,000	2010-06-08	Humboldt	M	55	934	1,581	59.1%	Pass
803	Kern Community College District	\$180,000,000	2002-11-05	Kern/San Bernardino	G	55	79,076	133,870	59.1%	Pass
804	Manteca Unified School District	\$159,000,000	2014-11-04	San Joaquin	G	55	11,535	19,535	59.0%	Pass
805	Escondido Union High School District	\$98,000,000	2008-11-04	San Diego	T	55	30,006	50,836	59.0%	Pass
806	Chabot-Las Positas Community College District	\$498,000,000	2004-03-02	Alameda/Contra Costa	B	55	65,195	110,593	59.0%	Pass
807	McCabe Union Elementary School District	\$7,000,000	2007-06-05	Imperial	U	66.7	347	589	58.9%	Fail
808	Springville Union Elementary School District	\$4,000,000	2014-06-03	Tulare	J	55	724	1,229	58.9%	Pass
809	Sulphur Springs Union Elementary School District	\$72,000,000	2012-06-05	Los Angeles	CK	55	4,394	7,465	58.9%	Pass
810	Ross School District	\$19,000,000	2005-03-08	Marin	C	66.7	781	1,327	58.9%	Fail
811	Shasta-Tehama-Trinity Joint Community College District	\$34,000,000	2001-11-06	Humboldt/Lassen/Modoc/Shasta/Tehema/Trinity	A	66.7	16,422	27,909	58.8%	Fail
812	Mt. San Jacinto Community College District	\$295,000,000	2014-11-04	Riverside	AA	55	76,993	130,897	58.8%	Pass
813	West Hills Community College District SFID No. 3	<b>Reauthorize \$12,655,000</b>	2012-11-06	Fresno/Monterey/San Benito/Kings/Madera	L	55	5,946	10,109	58.8%	Pass
814	San Jose-Evergreen Community College District	\$268,000,000	2010-11-02	Santa Clara	G	55	111,032	188,791	58.8%	Pass
815	Santa Maria Joint Union High School District	\$79,000,000	2004-11-02	Santa Barbara	C	55	22,527	38,345	58.7%	Pass
816	Merced City Elementary School District	\$26,500,000	2003-11-04	Merced	S	55	4,710	8,021	58.7%	Pass

## All California Educational Bond Measures Approved by Voters Since November 2000 Enactment of Proposition 39 - Ranked by Percentage of Voter Approval

Rank	Educational District	Amount Proposed to Borrow	Year-Month-Day of Election	Counties	Measure	% Needed to Pass	Yes	Total	Passage	Outcome
817	San Ramon Valley Unified School District	\$260,000,000	2002-11-05	Contra Costa	A	55	23,655	40,330	58.7%	Pass
818	Lindsay Unified School District	\$16,000,000	2012-11-06	Tulare	L	55	1,468	2,503	58.6%	Pass
819	Fullerton Joint Union High School District	\$175,000,000	2014-11-04	Orange/Los Angeles	I	55	25,415	43,353	58.6%	Pass
820	Apple Valley Unified School District	\$36,000,000	2004-03-02	San Bernardino	S	55	8,818	15,051	58.6%	Pass
821	Lakeside Union School District	<b>Reauthorize \$31,000,000</b>	2014-11-04	San Diego	L	55	5,640	9,630	58.6%	Pass
822	Tustin Unified School District	\$95,000,000	2008-11-04	Orange	L	55	18,304	31,266	58.5%	Pass
823	Santee Elementary School District	\$60,000,000	2006-11-07	San Diego	R	55	9,742	16,649	58.5%	Pass
824	Mt. San Antonio Community College District	\$221,000,000	2001-11-06	Los Angeles	R	55	20,315	34,727	58.5%	Pass
825	Eastern Sierra Unified School District	\$11,100,000	2004-11-02	Mono	E	55	1,067	1,824	58.5%	Pass
826	Millbrae School District	\$30,000,000	2011-11-08	San Mateo	N	55	2,550	4,361	58.5%	Pass
827	Aromas-San Juan Unified School District	\$9,700,000	2010-11-02	Monterey/San Benito	Z	55	1,822	3,116	58.5%	Pass
828	Pierce Joint Unified School District	\$6,000,000	2002-03-05	Colusa	A	55	529	905	58.5%	Pass
829	Byron Union School District	\$19,700,000	2006-06-06	Contra Costa	C	55	1,617	2,767	58.4%	Pass
830	Santa Monica Community College District	\$135,000,000	2004-11-02	Los Angeles	S	55	27,472	47,028	58.4%	Pass
831	Dehesa School District	\$5,500,000	2010-11-02	San Diego	M	55	507	868	58.4%	Pass
832	Saugus Union School District	\$148,000,000	2014-11-04	Los Angeles	EE	55	11,878	20,351	58.4%	Pass
833	Madera Unified School District	\$70,000,000	2014-11-04	Madera	G	55	5,894	10,113	58.3%	Pass
834	Ripon Unified School District	\$10,000,000	2002-03-05	San Joaquin	J	55	2,060	3,535	58.3%	Pass
835	Kingsburg Joint Union High School District	\$9,900,000	2006-06-06	Fresno/Kings/Tulare	K	55	1,688	2,897	58.3%	Pass
836	Cajon Valley Union School District	<b>Reauthorize \$88,400,000</b>	2012-11-06	San Diego	C	55	29,048	49,882	58.2%	Pass
837	Hemet Unified School District	\$149,000,000	2006-11-07	Riverside	T	55	17,757	30,493	58.2%	Pass
838	Grossmont-Cuyamaca Community College District	\$398,000,000	2012-11-06	San Diego	V	55	95,802	164,554	58.2%	Pass
839	Campbell Union High School District	\$90,000,000	2006-11-07	Santa Clara	G	55	32,505	55,855	58.2%	Pass
840	Golden Valley Unified School District	\$70,000,000	2006-06-06	Madera	S	55	1,438	2,472	58.2%	Pass
841	Escondido Union School District	\$46,300,000	2002-03-05	San Diego	K	55	11,635	20,003	58.2%	Pass
842	Piedmont Unified School District	\$56,000,000	2006-03-07	Alameda	E	55	2,497	4,294	58.2%	Pass
843	Glendale Community College District	\$98,000,000	2002-03-05	Los Angeles	G	55	14,245	24,507	58.1%	Pass
844	West Kern Community College District	\$39,800,000	2004-03-02	Kern	A	55	2,039	3,509	58.1%	Pass
845	Kernville Union School District	\$6,000,000	2008-06-03	Kern	D	55	1,778	3,060	58.1%	Pass
846	Leggett Valley Unified School District	\$1,750,000	2008-06-03	Mendocino	D	66.7	79	136	58.1%	Fail
847	Huntington Beach Union High School District	\$238,000,000	2004-03-02	Orange	C	55	42,469	73,151	58.1%	Pass
848	Buellton Union School District	\$3,200,000	2012-06-05	Santa Barbara	V	55	278	479	58.0%	Pass
849	Chaffey Community College	\$230,000,000	2002-03-05	San Bernardino	L	55	33,737	58,195	58.0%	Pass
850	Banning Unified School District	\$63,000,000	2006-11-07	Riverside	R	55	2,635	4,546	58.0%	Pass
851	Banning Unified School District	\$63,000,000	2006-06-06	Riverside	R	55	2,635	4,546	58.0%	Pass
852	Murietta Valley Unified School District	\$98,000,000	2014-11-04	Riverside	BB	55	11,278	19,462	57.9%	Pass
853	Los Rios Community College District	\$475,000,000	2008-11-04	El Dorado/Placer/Sacramento/Solano/Yolo	M	55	328,240	566,450	57.9%	Pass
854	Palomar Community College	\$694,000,000	2006-11-07	San Diego	M	55	103,380	178,543	57.9%	Pass
855	Perris Union High School District	\$46,000,000	2004-11-02	Riverside	Z	55	18,871	32,594	57.9%	Pass
856	Templeton Unified School District	\$35,000,000	2012-11-06	San Luis Obispo	H	55	3,017	5,213	57.9%	Pass
857	Citrus Community College District	\$121,000,000	2004-03-02	Los Angeles	G	55	21,639	37,418	57.8%	Pass

## All California Educational Bond Measures Approved by Voters Since November 2000

### Enactment of Proposition 39 - Ranked by Percentage of Voter Approval

Rank	Educational District	Amount Proposed to Borrow	Year-Month-Day of Election	Counties	Measure	% Needed to Pass	Yes	Total	Passage	Outcome
858	Santa Rita Union Elementary School District	\$14,600,000	2006-11-07	Monterey	C	55	2,161	3,737	57.8%	Pass
859	West Hills Community College District	\$20,000,000	2014-11-04	Fresno/Monterey/San Benito/Kings/Madera	T	55	6,682	11,557	57.8%	Pass
860	Lake Tahoe Community College District	\$55,000,000	2014-11-04	El Dorado	F	55	3,784	6,547	57.8%	Pass
861	Atwater Elementary School District	\$11,000,000	2004-03-02	Merced	A	66.7	2,450	4,241	57.8%	Fail
862	Fallbrook Union Elementary School District	\$32,000,000	2002-11-05	San Diego	X	55	6,199	10,731	57.8%	Pass
863	Cerritos Community College District	\$210,000,000	2004-03-02	Los Angeles	CC	55	29,336	50,836	57.7%	Pass
864	Gateway Unified School District	\$19,000,000	2008-11-04	Shasta	G	55	7,152	12,396	57.7%	Pass
865	Siskiyou Union High School District	\$12,500,000	2008-11-04	Siskiyou	Q	55	4,418	7,659	57.7%	Pass
866	Ripon Unified School District	\$25,236,190	2012-11-06	San Joaquin	G	55	4,264	7,395	57.7%	Pass
867	Tahoe Truckee Unified School District	\$30,450,000	2002-11-05	El Dorado/Placer	J	55	2,076	3,603	57.6%	Pass
868	Corning Union School District	\$2,700,000	2002-03-05	Tehama	B	55	1,358	2,357	57.6%	Pass
869	Del Norte County School District	\$24,987,000	2008-11-04	Del Norte	A	55	5,338	9,265	57.6%	Pass
870	Contra Costa Community College District	\$450,000,000	2014-06-03	Contra Costa	E	55	82,464	143,168	57.6%	Pass
871	Allan Hancock Community College District	\$180,000,000	2006-06-06	Santa Barbara/Ventura	I	55	22,996	39,989	57.5%	Pass
872	Shasta Union High School District	\$31,000,000	2001-11-06	Shasta	B	55	8,590	14,949	57.5%	Pass
873	Poway Unified School District SFID 2002-1	\$198,000,000	2002-11-05	San Diego	U	55	21,958	38,252	57.4%	Pass
874	Los Altos School District	\$150,000,000	2014-11-04	Santa Clara	N	55	8,671	15,106	57.4%	Pass
875	Eureka Unified School District	\$49,750,000	2014-11-04	Humboldt	S	55	8,083	14,084	57.4%	Pass
876	Norwalk-La Mirada Unified School District	\$375,000,000	2014-11-04	Los Angeles	G	55	8,940	15,578	57.4%	Pass
877	Thermalito Union School District	\$2,050,000	2004-11-02	Butte	M	55	1,721	2,999	57.4%	Pass
878	Murieta Valley Unified School District	\$120,000,000	2006-06-06	Riverside	E	55	6,327	11,026	57.4%	Pass
879	Lynwood Unified School District	\$93,000,000	2012-11-06	Los Angeles	K	55	7,719	13,452	57.4%	Pass
880	Marysville Joint Unified School District	\$37,000,000	2006-06-06	Yuba/Butte	H	55	5,050	8,809	57.3%	Pass
881	Enterprise Elementary School District	\$34,000,000	2008-02-05	Shasta	A	55	4,340	7,574	57.3%	Pass
882	Merced City Elementary School District	\$60,000,000	2014-06-03	Merced	M	55	5,277	9,217	57.3%	Pass
883	Antelope Valley Joint Union High School District	\$103,600,000	2002-03-05	Los Angeles	V	55	19,029	33,254	57.2%	Pass
884	Contra Costa Community College District	\$286,500,000	2006-06-06	Contra Costa	A	55	99,077	173,269	57.2%	Pass
885	Coast Community College District	\$698,000,000	2012-11-06	Orange	M	55	134,619	235,462	57.2%	Pass
886	Semitropic School District	\$5,000,000	2013-11-05	Kern	D	66.7	12	21	57.1%	Fail
887	Anderson Union High School District	\$12,300,000	2012-11-06	Shasta	C	55	8,574	15,008	57.1%	Pass
888	Lodi Unified School District	\$109,300,000	2002-03-05	San Joaquin	K	55	15,881	27,827	57.1%	Pass
889	Southern Kern Unified School District	\$28,400,000	2014-11-04	Kern	D	55	1,874	3,284	57.1%	Pass
890	Southern Trinity Unified School District	\$2,300,000	2012-06-05	Humboldt/Trinity	V	55	170	298	57.0%	Pass
891	Corona-Norco Unified School District	\$396,000,000	2014-11-04	Riverside	GG	55	20,876	36,610	57.0%	Pass
892	Oak Park Unified School District	\$29,445,000	2008-11-04	Ventura	R	55	3,966	6,957	57.0%	Pass
893	Grant Joint Union High School District (Now Twin Rivers USD)	\$74,000,000	2002-03-05	Sacramento/Placer	E	55	10,435	18,312	57.0%	Pass
894	Chawanakee Unified School District	\$9,000,000	2005-11-08	Madera	Q	55	1,126	1,976	57.0%	Pass
895	Escondido Union School District	\$182,100,000	2014-11-04	San Diego	E	55	18,615	32,687	56.9%	Pass
896	Morongo Unified School District	\$48,150,000	2005-11-08	San Bernardino	O	55	6,563	11,527	56.9%	Pass
897	McCabe Union Elementary School District	\$7,000,000	2014-11-04	Imperial	G	55	780	1,370	56.9%	Pass
898	Placentia-Yorba Linda Unified School District	\$200,000,000	2008-02-05	Orange	A	55	25,764	45,272	56.9%	Pass

## All California Educational Bond Measures Approved by Voters Since November 2000 Enactment of Proposition 39 - Ranked by Percentage of Voter Approval

Rank	Educational District	Amount Proposed to Borrow	Year-Month-Day of Election	Counties	Measure	% Needed to Pass	Yes	Total	Passage	Outcome
S-3	Kindergarten-University Public Education Facilities Bond Act of 2006	\$10,416,000,000	2006-11-07	All of California	Prop 1D	50	4,754,868	8,356,923	56.9%	Pass
899	Sylvan Union School District	\$40,000,000	2006-11-07	Stanislaus	A	55	9,402	16,526	56.9%	Pass
900	Walnut Valley Unified School District	\$15,200,000	2007-11-06	Los Angeles	Y	55	3,859	6,784	56.9%	Pass
901	West Contra Costa Unified School District	\$400,000,000	2005-11-08	Contra Costa	J	55	30,013	52,789	56.9%	Pass
902	Barstow Unified School District	\$14,000,000	2001-11-06	San Bernardino	C	55	2,492	4,386	56.8%	Pass
903	Old Adobe Union School District	\$26,000,000	2012-06-05	Sonoma	G	55	3,391	5,969	56.8%	Pass
904	Menifee Union School District	\$31,460,000	2008-02-05	Riverside	B	55	9,561	16,850	56.7%	Pass
905	Grossmont Union High School District	\$417,000,000	2008-11-04	San Diego	U	55	97,337	171,811	56.7%	Pass
906	Columbia School District	\$8,600,000	2014-11-04	Shasta	E	55	1,984	3,502	56.7%	Pass
907	Yuba Community College District	\$190,000,000	2006-11-07	Yuba/Sutter	J	55	37,608	66,429	56.6%	Pass
908	Dry Creek Elementary School District	\$67,300,000	2008-02-05	Placer/Sacramento	E	55	8,282	14,634	56.6%	Pass
909	Cabrillo Unified School District	\$81,000,000	2012-06-05	San Mateo	S	55	3,803	6,730	56.5%	Pass
910	Sonora Union High School District	\$23,000,000	2012-11-06	Tuolumne	J	55	9,171	16,234	56.5%	Pass
911	State Center Community College District	\$161,000,000	2002-11-05	Fresno/Kings/Tulare/Madera	E	55	90,285	159,849	56.5%	Pass
912	Gavilan Community College District	\$108,000,000	2004-03-02	Santa Clara/San Benito	E	66.7	17,735	31,410	56.5%	Fail
913	Orland Joint Unified School District	\$21,900,000	2008-02-05	Glenn	K	55	2,093	3,710	56.4%	Pass
914	Kings River Union Elementary School District	\$850,000	2006-06-06	Tulare	N	55	163	289	56.4%	Pass
915	Nuvview Union School District	\$39,600,000	2006-06-06	Riverside	D	55	622	1,103	56.4%	Pass
916	Owens Valley Unified School District	\$2,600,000	2005-11-08	Inyo	A	55	196	348	56.3%	Pass
917	Ohlone Community College District	\$150,000,000	2002-03-05	Alameda	A	55	16,111	28,617	56.3%	Pass
918	Fruitvale School District	\$29,800,000	2006-06-06	Kern	C	55	2,257	4,009	56.3%	Pass
919	San Benito High School District	\$42,500,000	2014-06-03	San Benito/Santa Clara	G	55	3,524	6,260	56.3%	Pass
920	Azusa Unified School District	\$92,000,000	2014-11-04	Los Angeles	K	55	3,983	7,082	56.2%	Pass
921	El Dorado Union High School District	\$66,300,000	2008-06-03	El Dorado	Q	55	19,536	34,740	56.2%	Pass
922	Columbia Union Elementary School District	\$3,700,000	2004-03-02	Tuolumne	H	55	1,351	2,404	56.2%	Pass
923	Newport-Mesa Unified School District	\$282,000,000	2005-11-08	Orange	F	55	26,123	46,524	56.1%	Pass
924	Manteca Unified School District	\$66,000,000	2004-03-02	San Joaquin	M	55	7,867	14,011	56.1%	Pass
925	Weaver Union School District	\$9,000,000	2012-11-06	Merced	G	55	1,422	2,534	56.1%	Pass
926	El Tejon Unified School District	\$7,120,000	2005-11-08	Kern/Ventura	E	55	1,257	2,240	56.1%	Pass
927	Gold Oak Union School District	\$8,950,000	2008-11-04	El Dorado	E	55	2,326	4,149	56.1%	Pass
928	Le Grand Union High School District	\$4,200,000	2014-06-03	Merced	N	55	401	717	55.9%	Pass
929	Dehesa School District	<b>Reauthorize \$3,000,000</b>	2012-11-06	San Diego	D	55	562	1,005	55.9%	Pass
930	Newark Unified School District	\$63,000,000	2011-11-08	Alameda	G	55	3,286	5,878	55.9%	Pass
931	Taft City School District	\$23,600,000	2012-06-05	Kern	C	55	1,132	2,027	55.8%	Pass
932	Kingsburg Joint Union High School District	\$13,000,000	2014-06-03	Fresno/Kings/Tulare	K	55	1,588	2,844	55.8%	Pass
933	Calaveras Unified School District	\$13,500,000	2006-11-07	Calaveras	A	55	5,241	9,396	55.8%	Pass
934	Linden Unified School District	\$11,500,000	2002-11-05	San Joaquin	S	55	2,099	3,764	55.8%	Pass
935	Acton-Agua Dulce Unified School District	\$13,000,000	2008-11-04	Los Angeles	CF	55	3,486	6,252	55.8%	Pass
936	Grant Elementary School District	\$1,700,000	2004-03-02	Shasta	A	55	671	1,204	55.7%	Pass
937	Merced Community College District SFID No. 1	\$53,500,000	2002-11-05	Merced/Madera	H	55	17,048	30,594	55.7%	Pass
938	Pacheco Union School District	\$11,000,000	2008-11-04	Shasta	B	55	2,534	4,548	55.7%	Pass

## All California Educational Bond Measures Approved by Voters Since November 2000 Enactment of Proposition 39 - Ranked by Percentage of Voter Approval

Rank	Educational District	Amount Proposed to Borrow	Year-Month-Day of Election	Counties	Measure	% Needed to Pass	Yes	Total	Passage	Outcome
939	Nuview Union School District	\$6,000,000	2003-03-04	Riverside	A	66.7	375	674	55.6%	Fail
940	Cloverdale Unified School District	\$17,000,000	2010-11-02	Sonoma	G	55	2,096	3,769	55.6%	Pass
941	Tahoe Truckee Unified School District SFID No. 1	\$114,000,000	2014-11-04	Nevada/Placer	U	55	3,018	5,433	55.5%	Pass
942	San Dieguito Union High School District	\$449,000,000	2012-11-06	San Diego	AA	55	43,627	78,574	55.5%	Pass
943	McKinleyville Union School District	\$14,000,000	2008-06-03	Humboldt	C	55	1,723	3,108	55.4%	Pass
944	San Joaquin-Delta Community College District	\$250,000,000	2004-03-02	San Joaquin/Sacramento	L	55	62,465	112,744	55.4%	Pass
945	Turlock Unified School District	\$7,725,000	2006-11-07	Stanislaus	Y	55	8,942	16,143	55.4%	Pass
946	Piner-Olivet Union School District	\$20,000,000	2010-11-02	Sonoma	L	55	3,006	5,429	55.4%	Pass
947	Gridley Unified School District ESFID No. 1	\$2,500,000	2012-06-05	Butte	D	55	1,058	1,911	55.4%	Pass
948	Yreka Union High School District	\$8,000,000	2014-11-04	Siskiyou	H	55	2,942	5,316	55.3%	Pass
949	Fallbrook Union Elementary School District	\$20,000,000	2001-06-05	San Diego	A	66.7	2,999	5,429	55.2%	Fail
950	Palmdale Elementary School District	\$25,000,000	2001-11-06	Los Angeles	W	55	3,848	6,971	55.2%	Pass
951	North County Joint Union School District	\$3,350,000	2006-06-06	San Benito/Santa Clara	M	55	458	830	55.2%	Pass
952	Sonora Elementary School District	\$7,800,000	2010-11-02	Tuolumne	H	55	1,867	3,385	55.2%	Pass
953	Norris School District	\$149,000,000	2012-06-05	Kern	B	55	3,017	5,475	55.1%	Pass
954	Oak Park Unified School District	\$71,100,000	2006-11-07	Ventura	H	66.7	2,786	5,058	55.1%	Fail
955	North Orange County Community College District	\$574,000,000	2014-11-04	Orange/Los Angeles	J	55	84,780	154,118	55.0%	Pass
956	Summersville Union High School District	\$8,000,000	2012-11-06	Tuolumne	H	55	2,601	4,731	55.0%	Fail
957	Junction Elementary School District	\$3,100,000	2010-11-02	Shasta	C	55	1,025	1,868	54.9%	Fail
958	MiraCosta Community College District	\$497,000,000	2012-11-06	San Diego	EE	55	80,929	147,583	54.8%	Fail
959	Knightsen Elementary School District	\$5,000,000	2010-11-02	Contra Costa	N	55	375	684	54.8%	Fail
960	Mojave Unified School District	\$8,100,000	2014-06-03	Kern	A	55	837	1,527	54.8%	Fail
961	Central Unified School District	\$152,000,000	2008-06-03	Fresno	E	55	4,092	7,469	54.8%	Fail
962	Turlock Unified School District	\$7,725,000	2006-11-07	Stanislaus/Merced	Y	55	8,842	16,143	54.8%	Fail
963	Paso Robles Joint Unified School District	\$20,000,000	2006-06-06	San Luis Obispo/Monterey	H	55	5,620	10,272	54.7%	Fail
964	Linden Unified School District	\$11,500,000	2002-03-05	San Joaquin	O	55	1,574	2,877	54.7%	Fail
965	Susanville Elementary School District	\$3,700,000	2004-11-02	Lassen	N	55	2,461	4,505	54.6%	Fail
966	Mt. San Jacinto Community College District	\$720,000,000	2006-06-06	Riverside	G	55	42,697	78,198	54.6%	Fail
967	Orange Unified School District	\$296,000,000	2014-11-04	Orange	K	55	25,992	47,605	54.6%	Fail
968	Orange Unified School District	\$196,000,000	2004-11-02	Orange	A	55	42,928	78,640	54.6%	Fail
969	Antioch Unified School District	\$59,500,000	2012-06-05	Contra Costa	J	55	4,722	8,659	54.5%	Fail
970	Jacoby Creek School District	\$2,500,000	2014-11-04	Humboldt	Y	55	640	1,174	54.5%	Fail
971	Byron Union School District	\$14,299,707	2004-11-02	Contra Costa	G	55	2,756	5,058	54.5%	Fail
972	Hermosa Beach City School District	\$54,000,000	2014-11-04	Los Angeles	Q	55	3,283	6,027	54.5%	Fail
973	Mountain Empire Unified School District	\$30,800,000	2012-06-05	San Diego	G	55	1,352	2,489	54.3%	Fail
974	Del Mar Union School District	\$76,800,000	2012-11-06	San Diego	CC	55	9,699	17,869	54.3%	Fail
975	Southern Humboldt Joint Unified School District	\$10,000,000	2014-06-03	Humboldt/Mendocino	N	55	959	1,768	54.2%	Fail
976	Columbia Union Elementary School District	\$9,500,000	2008-11-04	Shasta	D	55	2,518	4,655	54.1%	Fail
977	Muroc Joint Unified School District	\$14,800,000	2007-11-06	Kern/San Bernardino	H	66.7	457	845	54.1%	Fail
978	Summersville Union High School District	\$8,000,000	2010-11-02	Tuolumne	G	55	2,354	4,353	54.1%	Fail
979	Plumas Lake School District	\$20,000,000	2008-11-04	Yuba	Q	66.7	858	1,589	54.0%	Fail

## All California Educational Bond Measures Approved by Voters Since November 2000

### Enactment of Proposition 39 - Ranked by Percentage of Voter Approval

Rank	Educational District	Amount Proposed to Borrow	Year-Month-Day of Election	Counties	Measure	% Needed to Pass	Yes	Total	Passage	Outcome
980	West Hills Community College District	\$36,000,000	2005-11-08	Fresno/Monterey/San Benito/Kings/Madera	B	55	5,763	10,673	54.0%	Fail
981	John Swett Unified School District	\$52,000,000	2014-11-04	Contra Costa	M	55	1,687	3,129	53.9%	Fail
982	Fallbrook Union Elementary School District	\$32,000,000	2002-03-05	San Diego	L	55	4,299	7,975	53.9%	Fail
983	Ocean View School District	\$198,000,000	2012-11-06	Orange	P	55	18,700	34,697	53.9%	Fail
984	Napa Valley Community College District	\$198,000,000	2014-11-04	Napa/Sonoma	E	55	19,884	36,932	53.8%	Fail
985	Willows Unified School District	\$14,700,000	2012-11-06	Glenn	P	55	1,743	3,238	53.8%	Fail
986	Roseville Joint Union High School District	\$65,300,000	2002-11-05	Placer/Sacramento	K	55	21,653	40,229	53.8%	Fail
987	Eastern Sierra Unified School District	\$7,000,000	2004-03-02	Mono	A	55	680	1,264	53.8%	Fail
988	Lynwood Unified School District	\$45,000,000	2010-11-02	Los Angeles	L	55	4,821	8,963	53.8%	Fail
989	Palo Verde Community College District	\$12,500,000	2014-11-04	Riverside/San Bernardino	P	55	1,847	3,434	53.8%	Fail
990	Snowline Joint Unified School District	\$108,000,000	2006-06-06	San Bernardino/Los Angeles	I	55	2,840	5,284	53.7%	Fail
991	Kings Canyon Unified School District	\$32,000,000	2006-06-06	Fresno/Tulare	C	55	2,630	4,897	53.7%	Fail
992	Colfax School District	\$2,500,000	2008-11-04	Placer	Q	55	1,320	2,463	53.6%	Fail
993	Torrance Unified School District	\$280,000,000	2006-06-06	Los Angeles	T	55	12,694	23,694	53.6%	Fail
994	Scotts Valley Unified School District	\$55,000,000	2008-06-03	Santa Cruz	Q	55	3,020	5,637	53.6%	Fail
995	Perris Union High School District	\$38,000,000	2004-03-02	Riverside	D	55	9,214	17,222	53.5%	Fail
996	Needles Unified School District	\$7,500,000	2006-06-06	San Bernardino	J	55	536	1,002	53.5%	Fail
997	Vallecitos School District	\$2,000,000	2014-11-04	San Diego	O	55	299	559	53.5%	Fail
998	Mother Lode Union Elementary School District	\$11,400,000	2006-06-06	El Dorado	A	55	2,405	4,509	53.3%	Fail
999	Ramona Unified School District	\$40,000,000	2014-11-04	San Diego	Q	55	5,488	10,290	53.3%	Fail
1000	Merced Union High School District	\$104,000,000	2006-11-07	Merced	E	55	14,317	26,867	53.3%	Fail
1001	Latrobe Unified School District	\$4,300,000	2005-11-08	El Dorado	U	55	586	1,100	53.3%	Fail
1002	Liberty Union High School District	\$85,000,000	2006-11-07	Contra Costa	K	55	12,156	22,845	53.2%	Fail
1003	Rancho Santiago Community College District	\$393,000,000	2006-11-07	Orange	O	55	48,520	91,286	53.2%	Fail
1004	Central Elementary School District	\$25,000,000	2003-03-04	San Bernardino	I	66.7	837	1,575	53.1%	Fail
1005	Hemet Unified School District	\$149,000,000	2006-06-06	Riverside	C	55	10,463	19,697	53.1%	Fail
1006	San Mateo County Community College District	\$564,000,000	2011-11-08	San Mateo	H	55	48,933	92,171	53.1%	Fail
1007	Loomis Union School District	\$19,500,000	2006-11-07	Placer	M	55	4,511	8,505	53.0%	Fail
1008	Golden Plains Unified School District	\$13,000,000	2014-06-03	Fresno	G	55	192	362	53.0%	Fail
1009	Victor Valley Community College District	\$338,000,000	2006-11-07	San Bernardino/Los Angeles	X	55	32,781	61,809	53.0%	Fail
1010	Gilroy Unified School District	\$69,000,000	2002-03-05	Santa Clara	D	55	3,720	7,028	52.9%	Fail
1011	Hamilton Unified School District	\$5,400,000	2010-11-02	Glenn	O	55	372	704	52.8%	Fail
1012	Rio Hondo Community College District	\$194,600,000	2002-11-05	Los Angeles	R	55	31,913	60,587	52.7%	Fail
1013	Gridley Unified School District	\$11,000,000	2012-06-05	Butte	C	55	1,147	2,178	52.7%	Fail
1014	Visalia Unified School District	\$25,600,000	2004-03-02	Tulare	P	55	12,602	23,973	52.6%	Fail
1015	Mt. San Jacinto Community College District	\$47,000,000	2010-11-02	Riverside	U	55	10,754	20,458	52.6%	Fail
1016	Loomis Union School District	\$17,700,000	2008-02-05	Placer	G	55	4,148	7,892	52.6%	Fail
1017	McCabe Union Elementary School District	\$7,000,000	2008-02-05	Imperial	A	66.7	520	990	52.5%	Fail
1018	Santa Ynez Valley Unified School District	\$19,840,000	2012-11-06	Santa Barbara	L	55	5,387	10,257	52.5%	Fail
1019	Westside Union School District	\$67,500,000	2006-06-06	Los Angeles	K	55	4,543	8,652	52.5%	Fail
1020	Antelope Valley Joint Union High School District	\$177,500,000	2006-06-06	Los Angeles/Kern	E	55	15,105	28,812	52.4%	Fail

## All California Educational Bond Measures Approved by Voters Since November 2000 Enactment of Proposition 39 - Ranked by Percentage of Voter Approval

Rank	Educational District	Amount Proposed to Borrow	Year-Month-Day of Election	Counties	Measure	% Needed to Pass	Yes	Total	Passage	Outcome
1021	Lake Tahoe Unified School District	\$87,000,000	2008-06-03	El Dorado	A	55	2,777	5,312	52.3%	Fail
1022	Paso Robles Joint Unified School District	\$6,700,000	2002-11-05	San Luis Obispo/Monterey	N	55	5,862	11,222	52.2%	Fail
1023	Pacific Grove Unified School District	\$27,800,000	2013-11-05	Monterey	G	55	2,828	5,421	52.2%	Fail
1024	Susanville Elementary School District	\$6,250,000	2006-11-07	Lassen	P	55	1,663	3,195	52.1%	Fail
1025	Jurupa Unified School District	\$125,000,000	2012-06-05	Riverside	M	55	3,703	7,117	52.0%	Fail
1026	Napa Valley Community College District	\$178,400,000	2008-02-05	Napa/Sonoma	L	55	22,440	43,153	52.0%	Fail
1027	Lemoore Union Elementary School District	\$7,200,000	2002-11-05	Kings	A	66.7	2,198	4,229	52.0%	Fail
1028	Tahoe Truckee Unified School District	\$93,530,000	2008-06-03	Nevada/Placer	L	55	2,202	4,245	51.9%	Fail
1029	College of the Sequoias	\$95,000,000	2004-03-02	Fresno/Kings/Tulare	N	55	28,341	54,637	51.9%	Fail
1030	Gateway Unified School District	\$14,500,000	2006-06-06	Shasta	B	55	3,331	6,427	51.8%	Fail
1031	Galt Joint Union High School District	\$16,000,000	2004-03-02	Sacramento/San Joaquin	G	55	3,940	7,619	51.7%	Fail
1032	Visalia Unified School District	\$41,000,000	2006-06-06	Tulare	M	55	8,821	17,093	51.6%	Fail
1033	Rancho Santa Fe Elementary School District	\$44,500,000	2006-06-06	San Diego	H	55	1,506	2,925	51.5%	Fail
1034	San Bruno Park School District	\$40,000,000	2011-11-08	San Mateo	O	55	2,368	4,607	51.4%	Fail
1035	Porterville Unified School District	\$90,000,000	2012-11-06	Tulare	J	55	9,749	19,012	51.3%	Fail
1036	Corcoran Unified School District	\$24,700,000	2010-06-08	Kings/Tulare	Q	55	618	1,206	51.2%	Fail
1037	West Hills Community College District	\$49,400,000	2006-11-07	Fresno/Monterey/San Benito/Kings/Madera	W	55	6,041	11,789	51.2%	Fail
1038	Pine Ridge Elementary School District	\$4,000,000	2014-06-03	Fresno	P	55	187	365	51.2%	Fail
1039	Fountain Valley Unified School District	\$23,500,000	2012-11-06	Orange	N	55	11,987	23,411	51.2%	Fail
1040	Paso Robles Joint Unified School District	\$5,900,000	2002-11-05	San Luis Obispo/Monterey	M	55	5,164	10,091	51.2%	Fail
1041	Acton-Agua Dulce Unified School District	\$46,200,000	2008-02-05	Los Angeles	V	55	2,365	4,632	51.1%	Fail
1042	Sierra Unified School District	\$10,000,000	2008-11-04	Fresno	W	55	2,836	5,560	51.0%	Fail
1043	Yucaipa-Calimesa Joint Unified School District	\$98,000,000	2012-11-06	San Bernardino/Riverside	O	55	10,786	21,150	51.0%	Fail
1044	Sierra Unified School District	\$5,400,000	2012-06-05	Fresno	O	55	1,924	3,780	50.9%	Fail
1045	Cold Spring School District	\$14,500,000	2006-11-07	Santa Barbara	K	55	661	1,299	50.9%	Fail
1046	Tipton Elementary School District	\$3,100,000	2008-02-05	Tulare	E	55	174	342	50.9%	Fail
1047	McCabe Union Elementary School District	\$7,000,000	2008-06-03	Imperial	B	55	495	973	50.9%	Fail
S-2	Kindergarten-University Public Education Facilities Bond Act of 2004	\$12,300,000,000	2004-03-02	All of California	Prop 55	50	3,239,706	6,370,627	50.9%	Pass
1048	Santa Maria Joint Union High School District	\$98,000,000	2004-03-02	Santa Barbara	V	55	12,894	25,394	50.8%	Fail
1049	Antelope Valley Joint Union High School District	\$240,000,000	2007-11-06	Los Angeles	W	55	10,518	20,723	50.8%	Fail
1050	Acton-Agua Dulce Unified School District	\$13,100,000	2004-03-02	Los Angeles	S	55	1,935	3,813	50.7%	Fail
1051	Lynwood Unified School District	\$37,400,000	2010-06-08	Los Angeles	AA	55	1,312	2,588	50.7%	Fail
1052	Pine Ridge Elementary School District	\$4,000,000	2014-11-04	Fresno	R	55	219	432	50.7%	Fail
1053	Lassen Union High School District	\$5,000,000	2004-03-02	Lassen	G	55	2,874	5,678	50.6%	Fail
1054	Ramona Unified School District	\$66,000,000	2012-11-06	San Diego	R	55	7,737	15,288	50.6%	Fail
1055	West Hills Community College District	\$49,400,000	2006-06-06	Fresno/Monterey/San Benito/Kings/Madera	B	55	3,419	6,773	50.5%	Fail
1056	Washington Unified School District	\$59,000,000	2007-11-06	Yolo	S	55	2,343	4,644	50.5%	Fail
1057	West Valley-Mission Community College District	\$268,653,300	2002-03-05	Santa Clara/Santa Cruz	E	55	31,541	62,565	50.4%	Fail
1058	Lemoore Union High School District	\$7,100,000	2004-03-02	Kings	K	55	1,729	3,431	50.4%	Fail
1059	Hermosa Beach City School District	\$13,100,000	2006-06-06	Los Angeles	A	55	1,840	3,653	50.4%	Fail
1060	Santa Barbara Community College District	\$288,000,000	2014-11-04	Santa Barbara	S	55	30,493	60,707	50.2%	Fail

## All California Educational Bond Measures Approved by Voters Since November 2000

### Enactment of Proposition 39 - Ranked by Percentage of Voter Approval

Rank	Educational District	Amount Proposed to Borrow	Year-Month-Day of Election	Counties	Measure	% Needed to Pass	Yes	Total	Passage	Outcome
1061	Etna High School District	\$1,560,000	2002-11-05	Siskiyou	G	55	1,010	2,013	50.2%	Fail
1062	Cold Spring School District	\$8,500,000	2008-02-05	Santa Barbara	R	55	670	1,336	50.1%	Fail
1063	Tracy Unified School District	\$103,000,000	2002-11-05	San Joaquin/Alameda	W	55	7,497	14,986	50.0%	Fail
1064	El Segundo Unified School District	\$19,000,000	2006-11-07	Los Angeles	Q	55	2,909	5,818	50.0%	Fail
1065	College School District	\$12,000,000	2014-11-04	Santa Barbara	Y	55	1,244	2,490	50.0%	Fail
1066	Beaumont Unified School District	\$54,000,000	2004-11-02	Riverside/San Bernardino	AA	55	6,935	13,918	49.8%	Fail
1067	Bolinas-Stinson Union School District	\$9,000,000	2014-11-04	Marin	B	55	451	907	49.7%	Fail
1068	Eastern Sierra Unified School District	\$5,600,000	2002-11-05	Mono	B	55	598	1,203	49.7%	Fail
1069	Cutler-Orosi Unified School District	\$15,000,000	2010-11-02	Fresno/Tulare	T	66.7	869	1,752	49.6%	Fail
1070	Upland Unified School District	\$20,000,000	2002-11-05	San Bernardino	S	55	7,821	15,778	49.6%	Fail
1071	Gonzales Unified School District	\$16,900,000	2014-06-03	Monterey	N	55	408	824	49.5%	Fail
1072	Ramona Unified School District	\$25,000,000	2002-03-05	San Diego	N	55	3,459	6,990	49.5%	Fail
1073	Willows Unified School District	\$20,700,000	2008-11-04	Glenn	N	55	1,701	3,440	49.4%	Fail
1074	Sierra Joint Community College District	\$394,000,000	2004-03-02	Placer/Nevada/El Dorado	E	55	66,165	133,912	49.4%	Fail
1075	Newman-Crows Landing Unified School District	\$11,500,000	2005-11-08	Stanislaus	O	55	869	1,760	49.4%	Fail
1076	Sierra Unified School District	\$9,600,000	2010-11-02	Fresno	S	55	2,087	4,227	49.4%	Fail
1077	Willows Unified School District	\$20,700,000	2008-06-03	Glenn	L	55	1,129	2,292	49.3%	Fail
1078	Big Oak Flat-Groveland Unified School District	\$5,750,000	2002-11-05	Tuolumne	A	55	1,041	2,116	49.2%	Fail
1079	Cajon Valley Union School District	\$20,000,000	2014-11-04	San Diego	C	55	13,382	27,266	49.1%	Fail
1080	Orange Unified School District	\$200,000,000	2004-03-02	Orange	A	55	21,797	44,575	48.9%	Fail
1081	Biggs Unified School District	\$4,275,000	2008-02-05	Butte	A	55	530	1,085	48.8%	Fail
1082	Sierra Unified School District	\$12,000,000	2008-11-04	Fresno	V	55	2,698	5,550	48.6%	Fail
1083	Tahoe Truckee Unified School District	\$93,530,000	2008-11-04	Nevada/Placer	U	55	4,270	8,809	48.5%	Fail
1084	Aromas-San Juan Unified School District	\$23,500,000	2006-06-06	Monterey/San Benito	N	55	971	2,004	48.5%	Fail
1085	Brea-Olinda Unified School District	\$54,000,000	2012-06-05	Orange	E	55	3,254	6,721	48.4%	Fail
1086	Calipatria Unified School District	\$25,000,000	2010-06-08	Imperial	I	55	317	655	48.4%	Fail
1087	Acton-Agua Dulce Unified School District	\$13,100,000	2003-11-04	Los Angeles	AA	55	1,231	2,547	48.3%	Fail
1088	Mammoth School District	\$65,000,000	2008-11-04	Mono	K	66.7	1,518	3,183	47.7%	Fail
1089	Tipton Elementary School District	\$2,800,000	2006-11-07	Tulare	V	55	174	365	47.7%	Fail
1090	Piedmont Unified School District	\$13,500,000	2014-06-03	Alameda	H	55	1,801	3,779	47.7%	Fail
1091	Elk Hills School District	\$7,130,000	2014-06-03	Kern	B	55	19	40	47.5%	Fail
1092	Aromas-San Juan Unified School District	\$18,800,000	2008-06-03	Monterey/San Benito/ Santa Cruz	V	55	952	2,011	47.3%	Fail
1093	Butteville Unified School District	\$3,500,000	2012-11-06	Siskiyou	R	55	424	897	47.3%	Fail
1094	Dixon Unified School District	\$32,000,000	2010-11-02	Solano	D	55	2,830	6,026	47.0%	Fail
1095	Knightsen Elementary School District	\$3,000,000	2012-11-06	Contra Costa	H	55	397	847	46.9%	Fail
1096	San Mateo-Foster City School District	\$130,000,000	2013-11-05	San Mateo	P	55	8,002	17,197	46.5%	Fail
1097	Caruthers Unified School District	\$11,300,000	2008-02-05	Fresno	A	55	683	1,474	46.3%	Fail
1098	West Contra Costa Unified School District	\$270,000,000	2014-06-03	Contra Costa	H	55	13,529	29,215	46.3%	Fail
1099	Jacoby Creek School District	\$2,500,000	2006-11-07	Humboldt	Y	55	678	1,465	46.3%	Fail
1100	North Orange County Community College District	\$239,000,000	2002-03-05	Orange/Los Angeles	X	55	70,179	151,820	46.2%	Fail
1101	San Luis Obispo County Community College District	\$310,000,000	2006-06-06	San Luis Obispo/ Monterey	G	55	29,464	63,758	46.2%	Fail

## All California Educational Bond Measures Approved by Voters Since November 2000 Enactment of Proposition 39 - Ranked by Percentage of Voter Approval

Rank	Educational District	Amount Proposed to Borrow	Year-Month-Day of Election	Counties	Measure	% Needed to Pass	Yes	Total	Passage	Outcome
1102	Anderson Union High School District	\$25,000,000	2006-06-06	Shasta	A	55	3,867	8,416	45.9%	Fail
1103	College School District	\$12,000,000	2012-11-06	Santa Barbara	K	55	1,429	3,118	45.8%	Fail
1104	Elk Hills School District	\$6,200,000	2012-11-06	Kern	O	55	65	142	45.8%	Fail
1105	Biggs Unified School District	\$6,900,000	2008-11-04	Butte	E	55	644	1,408	45.7%	Fail
1106	Snowline Joint Unified School District	\$60,000,000	2014-11-04	San Bernardino/Los Angeles	L	55	3,399	7,439	45.7%	Fail
1107	Oakdale Joint Unified School District	\$37,500,000	2006-06-06	San Joaquin/Stanislaus	R	55	2,546	5,587	45.6%	Fail
1108	Oroville City Elementary School District	\$6,000,000	2006-06-06	Butte	A	55	2,697	5,932	45.5%	Fail
1109	Alpine Union Elementary School District	\$12,000,000	2002-11-05	San Diego	V	55	2,514	5,534	45.4%	Fail
1110	Acton-Agua Dulce Unified School District	\$21,500,000	2002-11-05	Los Angeles	Q	55	1,621	3,574	45.4%	Fail
1111	Caruthers Unified School District	\$2,800,000	2008-02-05	Fresno	B	55	424	935	45.3%	Fail
1112	Mountain Empire Unified School District	\$30,800,000	2012-11-06	San Diego	G	55	2,037	4,492	45.3%	Fail
1113	Oakdale Joint Unified School District	\$37,500,000	2006-11-07	San Joaquin/Stanislaus	C	55	3,856	8,547	45.1%	Fail
1114	Calaveras Unified School District	\$8,440,000	2004-03-02	Calaveras	M	55	3,563	7,945	44.8%	Fail
1115	Fortuna Elementary School District	\$9,000,000	2014-11-04	Humboldt	W	55	1,602	3,590	44.6%	Fail
1116	Alpine Union Elementary School District	\$26,000,000	2002-11-05	San Diego	W	55	2,468	5,532	44.6%	Fail
1117	Western Placer Unified School District	\$163,000,000	2010-11-02	Placer	J	55	9,045	20,294	44.6%	Fail
1118	Biggs Unified School District	\$6,000,000	2012-06-05	Butte	B	55	360	810	44.4%	Fail
1119	Montecito Union School District	\$27,150,000	2014-11-04	Santa Barbara	Q	55	1,257	2,831	44.4%	Fail
1120	Rancho Santa Fe Elementary School District	\$46,000,000	2002-11-05	San Diego	Y	55	1,194	2,696	44.3%	Fail
1121	ABC Unified School District	\$195,200,000	2014-11-04	Los Angeles	AA	55	7,591	17,270	44.0%	Fail
1122	Chawanakee Unified School District	\$7,400,000	2004-03-02	Madera	J	55	1,210	2,761	43.8%	Fail
1123	Sierra Joint Community College District	\$78,200,000	2006-06-06	Placer/Nevada/El Dorado	B	55	28,307	64,887	43.6%	Fail
1124	Monterey Peninsula Unified School District	\$158,000,000	2002-03-05	Monterey	A	55	5,924	13,665	43.4%	Fail
1125	Woodland Joint Unified School District	\$78,000,000	2014-11-04	Yolo/Sutter	S	55	5,488	12,718	43.2%	Fail
1126	Springville Union Elementary School District	\$6,700,000	2009-05-19	Tulare	L	66.7	561	1,305	43.0%	Fail
1127	Alpine Union Elementary School District	\$11,995,000	2012-06-05	San Diego	H	55	1,933	4,525	42.7%	Fail
1128	Corcoran Unified School District	\$9,000,000	2012-06-05	Kings/Tulare	V	55	521	1,223	42.6%	Fail
1129	Coronado Unified School District	\$29,000,000	2014-06-03	San Diego	E	55	2,275	5,416	42.0%	Fail
1130	Woodland Joint Unified School District	\$19,000,000	2014-11-04	Yolo/Sutter	T	55	5,279	12,689	41.6%	Fail
1131	Penryn School District	\$3,000,000	2002-03-05	Placer	F	66.7	399	962	41.5%	Fail
1132	Mendocino Unified School District	\$8,500,000	2002-11-05	Mendocino	Z	55	1,120	2,724	41.1%	Fail
1133	Hughson Unified School District	\$21,000,000	2010-11-02	Stanislaus	H	55	1,533	3,757	40.8%	Fail
1134	Claremont Unified School District	\$95,000,000	2010-11-02	Los Angeles	CL	55	6,198	15,354	40.4%	Fail
1135	Baker Valley Unified School District	\$3,200,000	2010-11-02	San Bernardino	D	55	41	102	40.2%	Fail
1136	Waterford Unified School District	\$11,000,000	2010-11-02	Stanislaus	I	55	849	2,189	38.8%	Fail
1137	Hesperia Unified School District	\$207,000,000	2014-11-04	San Bernardino	L	55	4,970	12,890	38.6%	Fail
1138	Porterville Unified School District	\$67,000,000	2014-11-04	Tulare	B	55	4,930	12,794	38.5%	Fail
1139	Gridley Unified School District	\$11,000,000	2012-11-06	Butte	G	55	1,377	3,577	38.5%	Fail
1140	Sierra Plumas Joint Unified School District	\$5,000,000	2010-11-02	Sierra/Plumas	C	55	765	2,035	37.6%	Fail
1141	Springville Union Elementary School District	\$6,700,000	2009-11-03	Tulare	O	66.7	485	1,428	34.0%	Fail
1142	Lucerne Valley Unified School District	\$7,950,000	2010-06-08	San Bernardino	S	55	472	1,392	33.9%	Fail

## All California Educational Bond Measures Approved by Voters Since November 2000 Enactment of Proposition 39 - Ranked by Percentage of Voter Approval

Rank	Educational District	Amount Proposed to Borrow	Year- Month-Day of Election	Counties	Measure	% Needed to Pass	Yes	Total	Passage	Outcome
1143	Scott Valley Unified School District	\$5,900,000	2010-11-02	Siskiyou	E	55	817	2,443	33.4%	Fail
1144	Chawanakee Unified School District	\$450,000,000	2003-09-23	Madera	I	66.7	625	1,890	33.1%	Fail
1145	McSwain Union School District	\$6,500,000	2002-03-05	Merced	C	66.7	431	1,325	32.5%	Fail
1146	Byron Union School District	\$10,000,000	2002-03-05	Contra Costa	E	55	1,158	3,812	30.4%	Fail
1147	Arcohe Union Elementary School District	\$3,900,000	2010-06-08	Sacramento	A	55	377	1,310	28.8%	Fail
	<b>TOTAL</b> (includes three state bond measures)	<b>\$160,116,056,744</b>	(\$160,846,421,744 if 16 reauthorizations included)				<b>31,705,846</b>	<b>52,171,777</b>	<b>60.8%</b>	

# Appendix B

## All California Educational Bond Measures Approved by Voters Since November 2000 Enactment of Proposition 39 - Ranked by Amount Authorized to Borrow

Rank	Educational District	Amount Authorized to Borrow	Year-Month-Day of Election	Counties	Measure	% Needed to Pass	Yes	Total	Passage	Amount Per Vote
S-1	Kindergarten-University Public Education Facilities Bond Act of 2002	\$13,050,000,000	2002-11-05	All of California	Prop 47	50	4,222,946	7,148,169	59.1%	\$3,090
S-2	Kindergarten-University Public Education Facilities Bond Act of 2004	\$12,300,000,000	2004-03-02	All of California	Prop 55	50	3,239,706	6,370,627	50.9%	\$3,797
S-3	Kindergarten-University Public Education Facilities Bond Act of 2006	\$10,416,000,000	2006-11-07	All of California	Prop 1D	50	4,754,868	8,356,923	56.9%	\$2,191
1	Los Angeles Unified School District	\$7,000,000,000	2008-11-04	Los Angeles	Q	55	885,467	1,281,319	69.1%	\$7,905
2	Los Angeles Unified School District	\$3,985,000,000	2005-11-08	Los Angeles	Y	55	443,905	671,636	66.1%	\$8,977
3	Los Angeles Unified School District	\$3,870,000,000	2004-03-02	Los Angeles	R	55	335,230	526,258	63.7%	\$11,544
4	Los Angeles Community College District	\$3,500,000,000	2008-11-04	Los Angeles	J	55	1,057,738	1,508,687	70.1%	\$3,309
5	Los Angeles Unified School District	\$3,350,000,000	2002-11-05	Los Angeles	K	55	488,849	718,030	68.1%	\$6,853
6	San Diego Unified School District	\$2,800,000,000	2012-11-06	San Diego	Z	55	218,242	353,169	61.8%	\$12,830
7	San Diego Unified School District	\$2,100,000,000	2008-11-04	San Diego	S	55	261,672	380,808	68.7%	\$8,025
8	Long Beach Unified School District	\$1,200,000,000	2008-11-04	Los Angeles	K	55	123,253	170,892	72.1%	\$9,736
9	San Diego Community College District	\$870,000,000	2006-11-07	San Diego	N	55	149,399	237,005	63.0%	\$5,823
10	Chaffey Joint Union School District	\$848,000,000	2012-11-06	San Bernardino	P	55	70,026	110,096	63.6%	\$12,110
11	Folsom Cordova Unified School District SFID No. 3	\$750,000,000	2007-03-27	Sacramento	M	66.7	60	74	81.1%	\$12,500,000
12	Coast Community College District	\$698,000,000	2012-11-06	Orange	M	55	134,619	235,462	57.2%	\$5,185
13	Palomar Community College	\$694,000,000	2006-11-07	San Diego	M	55	103,380	178,543	57.9%	\$6,713
14	San Diego Community College District	\$685,000,000	2002-11-05	San Diego	S	55	140,577	204,797	68.6%	\$4,873
15	Fremont Unified School District	\$650,000,000	2014-06-03	Alameda	E	55	15,971	26,106	61.2%	\$40,699
16	Sweetwater Union High School District	\$644,000,000	2006-11-07	San Diego	O	55	48,916	72,502	67.5%	\$13,165
17	North Orange County Community College District	\$574,000,000	2014-11-04	Orange/Los Angeles	J	55	84,780	154,118	55.0%	\$6,770
18	San Francisco Unified School District	\$531,000,000	2011-11-08	San Francisco	A	55	134,695	189,445	71.1%	\$3,942
19	Palm Springs Unified School District	\$516,000,000	2008-02-05	Riverside	E	55	20,923	33,647	62.2%	\$24,662
20	Victor Valley Union High School District	\$500,000,000	2008-11-04	San Bernardino	V	55	24,037	35,400	67.9%	\$20,801
21	San Bernardino Community College District	\$500,000,000	2008-02-05	San Bernardino/Riverside	M	55	87,405	130,437	67.0%	\$5,720
22	Center Joint Unified School District	\$500,000,000	2008-11-04	Sacramento/Placer	N	55	5,082	8,278	61.4%	\$98,386
23	Chabot-Las Positas Community College District	\$498,000,000	2004-03-02	Alameda/Contra Costa	B	55	65,195	110,593	59.0%	\$7,639
24	Foothill-De Anza Community College District	\$490,800,000	2006-06-06	Santa Clara	C	55	44,924	68,392	65.7%	\$10,925
25	Oakland Unified School District	\$475,000,000	2012-11-06	Alameda	J	55	122,695	145,382	84.4%	\$3,871
26	Los Rios Community College District	\$475,000,000	2008-11-04	El Dorado/Placer/Sacramento/Solano/Yolo	M	55	328,240	566,450	57.9%	\$1,447
27	San Mateo County Community College District	\$468,000,000	2005-11-08	San Mateo	A	55	114,319	178,121	64.2%	\$4,094
28	Stockton Unified School District	\$464,500,000	2008-02-05	San Joaquin	Q	55	20,331	29,495	68.9%	\$22,847
29	Desert Sands Unified School District	\$450,000,000	2001-11-06	Riverside	K	66.7	12,110	15,006	80.7%	\$37,159
30	San Francisco Unified School District	\$450,000,000	2006-11-07	San Francisco	A	55	171,236	231,876	73.8%	\$2,628
31	Contra Costa Community College District	\$450,000,000	2014-06-03	Contra Costa	E	55	82,464	143,168	57.6%	\$5,457
32	San Dieguito Union High School District	\$449,000,000	2012-11-06	San Diego	AA	55	43,627	78,574	55.5%	\$10,292
33	Long Beach Community College District	\$440,000,000	2008-02-05	Los Angeles	E	55	79,204	107,261	73.8%	\$5,555
34	Oakland Unified School District	\$435,000,000	2006-06-06	Alameda	B	55	62,559	80,231	78.0%	\$6,953
35	San Jose Unified School District	\$429,000,000	2002-03-05	Santa Clara	F	55	23,248	33,549	69.3%	\$18,453
36	Santa Clara Unified School District	\$419,000,000	2014-11-04	Santa Clara	H	55	18,958	27,320	69.4%	\$22,101

## All California Educational Bond Measures Approved by Voters Since November 2000 Enactment of Proposition 39 - Ranked by Amount Authorized to Borrow

Rank	Educational District	Amount Authorized to Borrow	Year-Month-Day of Election	Counties	Measure	% Needed to Pass	Yes	Total	Passage	Amount Per Vote
37	Grossmont Union High School District	\$417,000,000	2008-11-04	San Diego	U	55	97,337	171,811	56.7%	\$4,284
38	Sonoma County Community College District	\$410,000,000	2014-11-04	Sonoma/Marin/Mendocino	H	55	87,739	139,046	63.1%	\$4,673
39	West Contra Costa Unified School District	\$400,000,000	2005-11-08	Contra Costa	J	55	30,013	52,789	56.9%	\$13,328
40	Moreno Valley Unified School District	\$398,000,000	2014-11-04	Riverside	M	55	12,348	19,276	64.1%	\$32,232
41	Grossmont-Cuyamaca Community College District	\$398,000,000	2012-11-06	San Diego	V	55	95,802	164,554	58.2%	\$4,154
42	Corona-Norco Unified School District	\$396,000,000	2014-11-04	Riverside	GG	55	20,876	36,610	57.0%	\$18,969
43	El Camino Community College District	\$394,516,464	2002-11-05	Los Angeles	E	55	68,095	110,541	61.6%	\$5,794
44	Peralta Community College District	\$390,000,000	2006-06-06	Alameda	A	55	97,685	129,106	75.7%	\$3,992
45	Southwestern Community College District	\$389,000,000	2008-11-04	San Diego	R	55	95,460	133,681	71.4%	\$4,075
46	San Mateo County Community College District	\$388,000,000	2014-11-04	San Mateo	H	55	102,629	154,995	66.2%	\$3,781
47	Santa Monica-Malibu Unified School District	\$385,000,000	2012-11-06	Los Angeles	ES	55	29,874	43,892	68.1%	\$12,887
48	West Contra Costa Unified School District	\$380,000,000	2010-06-08	Contra Costa	D	55	23,014	36,754	62.6%	\$16,512
49	Palo Alto Unified School District	\$378,000,000	2008-06-03	Santa Clara	A	55	14,074	18,140	77.6%	\$26,858
50	Norwalk-La Mirada Unified School District	\$375,000,000	2014-11-04	Los Angeles	G	55	8,940	15,578	57.4%	\$41,946
51	Coast Community College District	\$370,000,000	2002-11-05	Orange	C	55	90,198	138,348	65.2%	\$4,102
52	West Contra Costa Unified School District	\$360,000,000	2012-11-06	Contra Costa	E	55	50,266	78,060	64.4%	\$7,162
53	Ventura County Community College District	\$356,000,000	2002-03-05	Ventura	S	55	77,854	118,715	65.6%	\$4,573
54	Mt. San Antonio Community College District	\$353,000,000	2008-11-04	Los Angeles/Orange	RR/CC	55	164,177	234,717	69.9%	\$2,150
55	Pasadena Unified School District	\$350,000,000	2008-11-04	Los Angeles	TT	55	64,090	85,998	74.5%	\$5,461
56	Cerritos Community College District	\$350,000,000	2012-11-06	Los Angeles	G	55	83,524	118,758	70.3%	\$4,190
57	El Camino Community College District	\$350,000,000	2012-11-06	Los Angeles	E	55	129,939	190,356	68.3%	\$2,694
58	San Juan Unified School District	\$350,000,000	2002-11-05	Sacramento	J	55	63,010	95,831	65.8%	\$5,555
59	Riverside Community College District	\$350,000,000	2004-03-02	Riverside	C	55	61,923	102,205	60.6%	\$5,652
60	San Juan Unified School District	\$350,000,000	2012-11-06	Sacramento	N	55	76,052	126,333	60.2%	\$4,602
61	West Valley-Mission Community College District	\$350,000,000	2012-06-05	Santa Clara/Santa Cruz	C	55	42,498	71,020	59.8%	\$8,236
62	East Side Union High School District	\$349,000,000	2008-02-05	Santa Clara	E	55	68,929	96,559	71.4%	\$5,063
63	Ohlone Community College District	\$349,000,000	2010-11-02	Alameda	G	55	37,042	58,814	63.0%	\$9,422
64	Solano Community College District	\$348,000,000	2012-11-06	Solano/Yolo	Q	55	89,634	141,189	63.5%	\$3,882
65	Mt. Diablo Unified School District	\$348,000,000	2010-06-08	Contra Costa	C	55	32,152	52,814	60.9%	\$10,824
66	Desert Community College District	\$346,500,000	2004-03-02	Riverside/Imperial	B	66.7	36,698	53,375	68.8%	\$9,442
67	Sacramento City Unified School District	\$346,000,000	2012-11-06	Sacramento	Q	55	74,681	106,528	70.1%	\$4,633
68	Rancho Santiago Community College District	\$337,000,000	2002-11-05	Orange	E	55	51,789	80,771	64.1%	\$6,507
69	Beverly Hills Unified School District	\$334,000,000	2008-11-04	Los Angeles	E	55	9,484	14,616	64.9%	\$35,217
70	Yosemite Community College District	\$326,174,000	2004-11-02	Stanislaus/San Joaquin/ Merced/Calaveras/	E	55	109,315	179,656	60.8%	\$2,984
71	Santa Clara Unified School District	\$315,000,000	2004-11-02	Santa Clara	J	55	27,343	37,953	72.0%	\$11,520
72	West Contra Costa Unified School District	\$300,000,000	2002-03-05	Contra Costa	D	55	24,391	33,958	71.8%	\$12,300
73	William S. Hart Union High School District	\$300,000,000	2008-11-04	Los Angeles	SA	55	59,287	97,816	60.6%	\$5,060
74	East Side Union High School District	\$298,000,000	2002-03-05	Santa Clara	G	55	33,345	47,687	69.9%	\$8,937
75	San Mateo Union High School District	\$298,000,000	2006-11-07	San Mateo	M	55	42,296	63,640	66.5%	\$7,046
76	Clovis Unified School District	\$298,000,000	2012-06-05	Fresno	M	55	23,752	36,429	65.2%	\$12,546
77	Victor Valley Community College District	\$297,500,000	2008-11-04	San Bernardino/Los Angeles	JJ	55	63,581	103,301	61.5%	\$4,679
78	San Francisco Unified School District	\$295,000,000	2003-11-04	San Francisco	A	55	139,814	198,068	70.6%	\$2,110

## All California Educational Bond Measures Approved by Voters Since November 2000 Enactment of Proposition 39 - Ranked by Amount Authorized to Borrow

Rank	Educational District	Amount Authorized to Borrow	Year-Month-Day of Election	Counties	Measure	% Needed to Pass	Yes	Total	Passage	Amount Per Vote
79	Fremont Union High School District	\$295,000,000	2014-11-04	Santa Clara	K	55	31,982	49,326	64.8%	\$9,224
80	Santa Monica Community College District	\$295,000,000	2008-11-04	Los Angeles	AA	55	30,232	49,185	61.5%	\$9,758
81	Mt. San Jacinto Community College District	\$295,000,000	2014-11-04	Riverside	AA	55	76,993	130,897	58.8%	\$3,832
82	San Jose Unified School District	\$290,000,000	2012-11-06	Santa Clara	H	55	63,447	88,944	71.3%	\$4,571
83	San Marcos Unified School District	\$287,000,000	2010-11-02	San Diego	K	55	20,082	31,682	63.4%	\$14,291
84	Contra Costa Community College District	\$286,500,000	2006-06-06	Contra Costa	A	55	99,077	173,269	57.2%	\$2,892
85	Newport-Mesa Unified School District	\$282,000,000	2005-11-08	Orange	F	55	26,123	46,524	56.1%	\$10,795
86	Fresno Unified School District	\$280,000,000	2010-11-02	Fresno	Q	55	51,125	67,522	75.7%	\$5,477
87	Fontana Unified School District	\$275,000,000	2006-06-06	Orange	C	55	5,598	8,547	65.5%	\$49,125
88	San Luis Obispo County Community College District (Cuesta)	\$275,000,000	2014-11-04	San Luis Obispo/Monterey	L	55	51,740	82,706	62.6%	\$5,315
89	Grossmont Union High School District	\$274,000,000	2004-03-02	San Diego	H	55	61,406	99,029	62.0%	\$4,462
90	Glendale Unified School District	\$270,000,000	2011-04-05	Los Angeles	S	55	13,637	19,501	69.9%	\$19,799
91	Santa Monica-Malibu Unified School District	\$268,000,000	2006-11-07	Los Angeles	BB	55	22,927	33,960	67.5%	\$11,689
92	San Jose-Evergreen Community College District	\$268,000,000	2010-11-02	Santa Clara	G	55	111,032	188,791	58.8%	\$2,414
93	Torrance Unified School District	\$265,000,000	2008-11-04	Los Angeles	Y	55	44,075	59,267	74.4%	\$6,012
94	Sequoia Union High School District	\$265,000,000	2014-06-03	San Mateo	A	55	22,995	35,047	65.6%	\$11,524
95	Los Rios Community College District	\$265,000,000	2002-03-05	El Dorado/Placer/Sacramento/Yolo/Solano	A	55	132,952	215,359	61.7%	\$1,993
96	San Ramon Valley Unified School District	\$260,000,000	2012-11-06	Contra Costa	D	55	37,380	60,774	61.5%	\$6,956
97	San Ramon Valley Unified School District	\$260,000,000	2002-11-05	Contra Costa	A	55	23,655	40,330	58.7%	\$10,991
98	Sonoma County Community College District	\$251,700,000	2002-03-05	Sonoma/Marin/Mendocino	E	66.7	77,248	113,889	67.8%	\$3,258
99	Coachella Valley Unified School District	\$250,000,000	2005-06-07	Riverside/Imperial	D	66.7	1,747	2,322	75.2%	\$143,102
100	San Bernardino City Unified School District	\$250,000,000	2012-11-06	San Bernardino	N	55	34,902	48,873	71.4%	\$7,163
101	Mt. Diablo Unified School District	\$250,000,000	2002-03-05	Contra Costa	C	55	31,530	48,112	65.5%	\$7,929
102	Garden Grove Unified School District	\$250,000,000	2010-06-08	Orange	A	55	21,149	33,168	63.8%	\$11,821
103	Corona-Norco Unified School District	\$250,000,000	2006-11-07	Riverside	U	55	24,101	39,068	61.7%	\$10,373
104	San Joaquin-Delta Community College District	\$250,000,000	2004-03-02	San Joaquin/Sacramento	L	55	62,465	112,744	55.4%	\$4,002
105	College of Marin	\$249,500,000	2004-11-02	Marin	C	55	77,102	122,301	63.0%	\$3,236
106	Anaheim Union High School District	\$249,000,000	2014-11-04	Orange	H	55	30,238	51,177	59.1%	\$8,235
107	Downey Unified School District	\$248,000,000	2014-11-04	Los Angeles	O	55	10,093	16,314	61.9%	\$24,571
108	City College of San Francisco	\$246,300,000	2005-11-08	San Francisco	A	55	134,999	211,319	63.9%	\$1,824
109	Rio Hondo Community College District	\$245,000,000	2004-03-02	Los Angeles	A	55	27,447	43,642	62.9%	\$8,926
110	Huntington Beach Union High School District	\$238,000,000	2004-03-02	Orange	C	55	42,469	73,151	58.1%	\$5,604
111	Pomona Unified School District	\$235,000,000	2008-11-04	Los Angeles	PS	55	30,058	40,221	74.7%	\$7,818
112	West Valley-Mission Community College District	\$235,000,000	2004-11-02	Santa Clara/Santa Cruz	H	55	79,524	132,394	60.1%	\$2,955
113	Grant Joint Union High School District (Now Twin Rivers USD)	\$230,000,000	2006-06-06	Sacramento/Placer	G	55	10,477	16,782	62.4%	\$21,953
114	Chaffey Community College	\$230,000,000	2002-03-05	San Bernardino	L	55	33,737	58,195	58.0%	\$6,817
115	Hayward Unified School District	\$229,000,000	2014-11-04	Alameda	L	55	17,976	23,239	77.4%	\$12,739
116	Colton Joint Unified School District	\$225,000,000	2008-11-04	San Bernardino/Riverside	G	55	19,641	26,735	73.5%	\$11,456
117	Desert Sands Unified School District	\$225,000,000	2014-11-04	Riverside	KK	55	27,027	38,889	69.5%	\$8,325
118	Sacramento City Unified School District	\$225,000,000	2002-11-05	Sacramento	I	55	49,115	73,041	67.2%	\$4,581
119	Mt. San Antonio Community College District	\$221,000,000	2001-11-06	Los Angeles	R	55	20,315	34,727	58.5%	\$10,879
120	Palmdale Elementary School District	\$220,000,000	2012-11-06	Los Angeles	DD	55	22,328	30,162	74.0%	\$9,853

## All California Educational Bond Measures Approved by Voters Since November 2000 Enactment of Proposition 39 - Ranked by Amount Authorized to Borrow

Rank	Educational District	Amount Authorized to Borrow	Year-Month-Day of Election	Counties	Measure	% Needed to Pass	Yes	Total	Passage	Amount Per Vote
121	Cupertino Union School District	\$220,000,000	2012-06-05	Santa Clara	H	55	17,510	26,432	66.2%	\$12,564
122	Kern High School District	\$219,000,000	2004-11-02	Kern	N	55	97,540	146,279	66.7%	\$2,245
123	Arcadia Unified School District	\$218,000,000	2006-11-07	Los Angeles	I	55	10,104	15,093	66.9%	\$21,576
124	Berkeley Unified School District	\$210,000,000	2010-11-02	Alameda	I	55	31,723	41,113	77.2%	\$6,620
125	Cerritos Community College District	\$210,000,000	2004-03-02	Los Angeles	CC	55	29,336	50,836	57.7%	\$7,158
126	San Mateo County Community College District	\$207,000,000	2001-11-06	San Mateo	C	55	58,530	89,208	65.6%	\$3,537
127	Grossmont-Cuyamaca Community College District	\$207,000,000	2002-11-05	San Diego	R	55	60,622	100,551	60.3%	\$3,415
128	Hayward Unified School District	\$205,000,000	2008-06-03	Alameda	I	55	11,546	16,000	72.2%	\$17,755
129	Santa Ana Unified School District	\$200,000,000	2008-06-03	Orange	G	66.7	8,426	12,244	68.8%	\$23,736
130	Placentia-Yorba Linda Unified School District	\$200,000,000	2008-02-05	Orange	A	55	25,764	45,272	56.9%	\$7,763
131	Fresno Unified School District	\$199,000,000	2001-03-06	Fresno	K	66.7	19,864	29,542	67.2%	\$10,018
132	Morgan Hill Unified School District	\$198,250,000	2012-11-06	Santa Clara	G	55	15,243	23,187	65.7%	\$13,006
133	Rancho Santiago Community College District	\$198,000,000	2012-11-06	Orange	Q	55	45,981	63,351	72.6%	\$4,306
134	Carlsbad Unified School District	\$198,000,000	2006-11-07	San Diego	P	55	16,250	23,522	69.1%	\$12,185
135	Mountain View Whisman Unified School District	\$198,000,000	2012-06-05	Santa Clara	G	55	7,341	10,863	67.6%	\$26,972
136	Fremont Union High School District	\$198,000,000	2008-06-03	Santa Clara	B	55	22,100	32,949	67.1%	\$8,959
137	Poway Unified School District SFID 2002-1	\$198,000,000	2002-11-05	San Diego	U	55	21,958	38,252	57.4%	\$9,017
138	Conejo Valley Unified School District	\$197,000,000	2014-11-04	Ventura	I	55	27,468	41,652	65.9%	\$7,172
139	Alvord Unified School District	\$196,000,000	2007-11-06	Riverside	H	55	3,437	5,542	62.0%	\$57,026
140	City College of San Francisco	\$195,000,000	2001-11-06	San Francisco	A	66.7	91,643	127,035	72.1%	\$2,128
141	Oceanside Unified School District	\$195,000,000	2008-06-03	San Diego	H	55	10,952	15,366	71.3%	\$17,805
142	Folsom Cordova Unified School District SFID No. 5	\$195,000,000	2014-11-04	Sacramento	G	55	13,332	19,350	68.9%	\$14,626
143	Vacaville Unified School District	\$194,000,000	2014-11-04	Solano	A	55	12,095	19,524	61.9%	\$16,040
144	San Bernardino Community College District	\$190,000,000	2002-11-05	San Bernardino/Riverside	P	55	60,487	96,344	62.8%	\$3,141
145	Yuba Community College District	\$190,000,000	2006-11-07	Yuba/Sutter	J	55	37,608	66,429	56.6%	\$5,052
146	San Mateo Union High School District	\$186,000,000	2010-11-02	San Mateo	O	55	43,251	69,854	61.9%	\$4,300
147	San Jose-Evergreen Community College District	\$185,000,000	2004-11-02	Santa Clara	G	55	141,070	215,731	65.4%	\$1,311
148	Dublin Unified School District	\$184,000,000	2004-11-02	Alameda	C	55	9,062	12,380	73.2%	\$20,305
149	Napa Valley Unified School District	\$183,000,000	2006-11-07	Napa	G	55	20,831	34,315	60.7%	\$8,785
150	Escondido Union School District	\$182,100,000	2014-11-04	San Diego	E	55	18,615	32,687	56.9%	\$9,782
151	Saddleback Valley Unified School District	\$180,000,000	2004-03-02	Orange	B	55	31,024	52,448	59.2%	\$5,802
152	Kern Community College District	\$180,000,000	2002-11-05	Kern/San Bernardino	G	55	79,076	133,870	59.1%	\$2,276
153	Allan Hancock Community College District	\$180,000,000	2006-06-06	Santa Barbara/Ventura	I	55	22,996	39,989	57.5%	\$7,827
154	Alameda Unified School District	\$179,500,000	2014-11-04	Alameda	I	55	13,111	20,894	62.8%	\$13,691
155	Alum Rock Union Elementary School District	\$179,000,000	2008-06-03	Santa Clara	G	55	6,901	8,725	79.1%	\$25,938
156	Poway Unified School District SFID 2007-1	\$179,000,000	2008-02-05	San Diego	C	55	28,323	44,318	63.9%	\$6,320
157	Val Verde Unified School District	\$178,000,000	2012-06-05	Riverside	L	55	2,758	4,455	61.9%	\$64,540
158	San Luis Coastal Unified School District	\$177,000,000	2014-11-04	San Luis Obispo	D	55	18,400	25,636	71.8%	\$9,620
159	Long Beach Community College District	\$176,000,000	2002-03-05	Los Angeles	E	55	34,580	53,225	65.0%	\$5,090
160	Riverside Unified School District	\$175,000,000	2001-11-06	Riverside	B	66.7	16,466	24,104	68.3%	\$10,628
161	San Mateo-Foster City School District	\$175,000,000	2008-02-05	San Mateo	J	55	48,116	73,047	65.9%	\$3,637
162	Santa Rosa High School District	\$175,000,000	2014-11-04	Sonoma	I	55	34,086	53,235	64.0%	\$5,134

## All California Educational Bond Measures Approved by Voters Since November 2000 Enactment of Proposition 39 - Ranked by Amount Authorized to Borrow

Rank	Educational District	Amount Authorized to Borrow	Year-Month-Day of Election	Counties	Measure	% Needed to Pass	Yes	Total	Passage	Amount Per Vote
163	Fullerton Joint Union High School District	\$175,000,000	2014-11-04	Orange/Los Angeles	I	55	25,415	43,353	58.6%	\$6,886
164	Anaheim City Elementary School District	\$169,300,000	2010-11-02	Orange	G	55	19,550	30,580	63.9%	\$8,660
165	Clovis Unified School District	\$168,000,000	2004-03-02	Fresno	A	55	24,836	39,778	62.4%	\$6,764
166	Sequoia Union High School District	\$165,000,000	2008-02-05	San Mateo	L	55	28,285	37,391	75.6%	\$5,833
167	Norwalk-La Mirada Unified School District	\$165,000,000	2002-11-05	Los Angeles	S	55	14,949	20,313	73.6%	\$11,038
168	Temecula Valley Unified School District	\$165,000,000	2012-11-06	Riverside	Y	55	27,808	43,879	63.4%	\$5,934
169	South San Francisco Unified School District	\$162,000,000	2010-11-02	San Mateo	J	55	13,758	17,743	77.5%	\$11,775
170	State Center Community College District	\$161,000,000	2002-11-05	Fresno/Kings/Tulare/Madera	E	55	90,285	159,849	56.5%	\$1,783
171	Santa Monica Community College District	\$160,000,000	2002-03-05	Los Angeles	U	55	13,540	19,347	70.0%	\$11,817
172	Santa Clarita Community College District	\$160,000,000	2006-11-07	Los Angeles	M	55	38,292	61,119	62.7%	\$4,178
173	Manteca Unified School District	\$159,000,000	2014-11-04	San Joaquin	G	55	11,535	19,535	59.0%	\$13,784
174	Rowland Unified School District	\$158,800,000	2012-11-06	Los Angeles/Orange	R	55	17,181	25,465	67.5%	\$9,243
175	William S. Hart Union High School District	\$158,000,000	2001-11-06	Los Angeles	V	55	18,100	25,584	70.7%	\$8,729
176	Fremont Unified School District	\$157,200,000	2002-03-05	Alameda	B	55	15,101	23,780	63.5%	\$10,410
177	Cajon Valley Union School District	\$156,500,000	2008-02-05	San Diego	D	55	23,696	36,946	64.1%	\$6,604
178	Stockton Unified School District	Reauthorize \$156,000,000	2012-11-06	San Joaquin	E	55	31,228	41,954	74.4%	\$4,996
179	Perris Union High School District	\$153,420,000	2012-11-06	Riverside	T	55	24,229	39,886	60.7%	\$6,332
180	Central Unified School District	\$152,000,000	2008-11-04	Fresno	E	55	12,062	19,023	63.4%	\$12,602
181	Campbell Union School District	\$150,000,000	2010-06-08	Santa Clara	G	55	12,753	17,237	74.0%	\$11,762
182	Evergreen School District	\$150,000,000	2006-11-07	Santa Clara	I	55	15,494	21,278	72.8%	\$9,681
183	Pasadena City College	\$150,000,000	2002-03-05	Los Angeles	P	55	39,129	56,024	69.8%	\$3,833
184	Pajaro Valley Unified School District	\$150,000,000	2012-11-06	Santa Cruz/Monterey	L	55	23,645	34,304	68.9%	\$6,344
185	Gilroy Unified School District	\$150,000,000	2008-11-04	Santa Clara	P	55	11,989	17,741	67.6%	\$12,511
186	Victor Elementary School District	\$150,000,000	2008-11-04	San Bernardino	E	55	15,167	22,529	67.3%	\$9,890
187	San Jacinto Unified School District	\$150,000,000	2006-11-07	Riverside	V	55	4,153	6,198	67.0%	\$36,118
188	Chino Valley Unified School District	\$150,000,000	2002-03-05	San Bernardino	M	55	10,191	16,478	61.8%	\$14,719
189	Los Altos School District	\$150,000,000	2014-11-04	Santa Clara	N	55	8,671	15,106	57.4%	\$17,299
190	Ohlone Community College District	\$150,000,000	2002-03-05	Alameda	A	55	16,111	28,617	56.3%	\$9,310
191	Merced Union High School District	\$149,450,000	2008-11-04	Merced	M	55	25,266	40,377	62.6%	\$5,915
192	Hemet Unified School District	\$149,000,000	2006-11-07	Riverside	T	55	17,757	30,493	58.2%	\$8,391
193	Norris School District	\$149,000,000	2012-06-05	Kern	B	55	3,017	5,475	55.1%	\$49,387
194	El Monte Union High School District	\$148,000,000	2008-11-04	Los Angeles	D	55	24,851	33,510	74.2%	\$5,955
195	Saugus Union School District	\$148,000,000	2014-11-04	Los Angeles	EE	55	11,878	20,351	58.4%	\$12,460
196	Panama-Buena Vista Union School District	\$147,000,000	2012-11-06	Kern	P	55	23,690	36,447	65.0%	\$6,205
197	Natomas Unified School District	\$145,500,000	2006-06-06	Sacramento	D	55	4,358	7,029	62.0%	\$33,387
198	Redondo Beach Unified School District	\$145,000,000	2008-02-05	Los Angeles	C	55	13,516	20,505	65.9%	\$10,728
199	Monterey Peninsula Community College District	\$145,000,000	2002-11-05	Monterey	I	55	23,711	36,677	64.6%	\$6,115
200	Simi Valley Unified School District	\$145,000,000	2004-03-02	Ventura	C	55	16,118	26,079	61.8%	\$8,996
201	Torrance Unified School District	\$144,300,000	2014-11-04	Los Angeles	T	55	21,424	32,113	66.7%	\$6,735
202	Jurupa Unified School District	\$144,000,000	2014-11-04	Riverside	EE	55	5,824	9,578	60.8%	\$24,725
203	Vista Unified School District	\$140,000,000	2002-03-05	San Diego	O	55	17,506	26,037	67.2%	\$7,997
204	San Bernardino City Unified School District	\$140,000,000	2004-03-02	San Bernardino	T	55	14,282	23,247	61.4%	\$9,803

## All California Educational Bond Measures Approved by Voters Since November 2000 Enactment of Proposition 39 - Ranked by Amount Authorized to Borrow

Rank	Educational District	Amount Authorized to Borrow	Year-Month-Day of Election	Counties	Measure	% Needed to Pass	Yes	Total	Passage	Amount Per Vote
205	Antelope Valley Community College	\$139,000,000	2004-11-02	Los Angeles/Kern	R	55	74,966	107,579	69.7%	\$1,854
206	San Mateo Union High School District	<b>Repurpose</b> \$137,500,000	2002-11-05	San Mateo	C	66.7	40,674	55,573	73.2%	\$3,381
207	Jefferson Union High School District	\$136,900,000	2006-11-07	San Mateo	N	55	22,025	31,358	70.2%	\$6,216
208	Oxnard Union High School District	\$135,000,000	2004-11-02	Ventura	H	55	52,851	84,324	62.7%	\$2,554
209	Tustin Unified School District	\$135,000,000	2012-11-06	Orange	S	55	25,220	41,949	60.1%	\$5,353
210	Santa Monica Community College District	\$135,000,000	2004-11-02	Los Angeles	S	55	27,472	47,028	58.4%	\$4,914
211	Napa Valley Community College District	\$133,800,000	2002-11-05	Napa/Sonoma	N	55	21,699	35,502	61.1%	\$6,166
212	Jefferson Union High School District	\$133,000,000	2014-11-04	San Mateo	J	55	17,090	24,018	71.2%	\$7,782
213	Anaheim Union High School District	\$132,000,000	2002-03-05	Orange	Z	55	25,592	41,126	62.2%	\$5,158
214	Hartnell Community College District	\$131,000,000	2002-11-05	Monterey/San Benito	H	55	29,768	45,263	65.8%	\$4,401
215	Westminster School District	\$130,000,000	2008-11-04	Orange	O	55	15,947	25,321	63.0%	\$8,152
216	Covina Valley Unified School District	\$129,000,000	2012-11-06	Los Angeles	CC	55	17,640	24,131	73.1%	\$7,313
217	Natomas Unified School District	\$129,000,000	2014-11-04	Sacramento	J	55	9,091	12,606	72.1%	\$14,190
218	Temple City Unified School District	\$128,800,000	2012-11-06	Los Angeles	S	55	6,454	10,029	64.4%	\$19,957
219	Las Virgenes Unified School District	\$128,000,000	2006-06-06	Los Angeles/Ventura	G	55	6,865	10,705	64.1%	\$18,645
220	Salinas Union High School District	\$128,000,000	2014-11-04	Monterey	B	55	14,730	24,428	60.3%	\$8,690
221	Los Alamitos Unified School District	\$126,000,000	2008-11-04	Orange	K	55	13,740	21,015	65.4%	\$9,170
222	Alum Rock Union Elementary School District	\$125,000,000	2012-11-06	Santa Clara	J	55	18,442	23,188	79.5%	\$6,778
223	Oak Grove School District	\$125,000,000	2008-11-04	Santa Clara	S	55	25,589	34,139	75.0%	\$4,885
224	Folsom Cordova Unified School District SFID No. 5	\$125,000,000	2006-11-07	Sacramento	N	55	8,199	11,845	69.2%	\$15,246
225	Union School District	\$125,000,000	2014-06-03	Santa Clara	J	55	5,958	8,656	68.8%	\$20,980
226	New Haven Unified School District	\$125,000,000	2014-11-04	Alameda	M	55	8,962	13,044	68.7%	\$13,948
227	Beaumont Unified School District	\$125,000,000	2008-11-04	Riverside/San Bernardino	Z	55	9,029	14,552	62.0%	\$13,844
228	Solano Community College District	\$124,500,000	2002-11-05	Solano/Yolo	G	55	89,634	141,189	63.5%	\$1,389
229	Palm Springs Unified School District	\$122,000,000	2004-11-02	Riverside	T	55	32,476	44,662	72.7%	\$3,757
230	Tamalpais Union High School District	\$121,000,000	2001-03-06	Marin	A	66.7	14,527	21,319	68.1%	\$8,329
231	Citrus Community College District	\$121,000,000	2004-03-02	Los Angeles	G	55	21,639	37,418	57.8%	\$5,592
232	Sunnyvale School District	\$120,000,000	2004-11-02	Santa Clara	P	55	16,283	22,313	73.0%	\$7,370
233	East Side Union High School District	\$120,000,000	2012-11-06	Santa Clara	M	55	102,531	143,231	71.6%	\$1,170
234	Stockton Unified School District	\$120,000,000	2005-11-08	San Joaquin	C	55	18,858	27,167	69.4%	\$6,363
235	New Haven Unified School District	\$120,000,000	2003-11-04	Alameda	A	66.7	3,817	5,581	68.4%	\$31,438
236	Contra Costa Community College District	\$120,000,000	2002-03-05	Contra Costa	A	55	112,062	172,726	64.9%	\$1,071
237	Murieta Valley Unified School District	\$120,000,000	2006-06-06	Riverside	E	55	6,327	11,026	57.4%	\$18,966
238	Cabrillo Community College District	\$118,500,000	2004-03-02	Santa Cruz/Monterey/San Benito	D	55	44,542	72,295	61.6%	\$2,660
239	Rowland Unified School District	\$118,000,000	2006-06-06	Los Angeles/Orange	R	55	4,790	6,804	70.4%	\$24,635
240	Atascadero Unified School District	\$117,000,000	2010-11-02	San Luis Obispo	I	55	9,165	14,029	65.3%	\$12,766
241	Roseville Joint Union High School District SFID No. 1	\$115,000,000	2007-04-24	Placer	A	66.7	11	12	91.7%	\$10,454,545
242	Stockton Unified School District	\$114,000,000	2014-11-04	San Joaquin	E	55	14,751	21,871	67.4%	\$7,728
243	Lodi Unified School District	\$114,000,000	2006-11-07	San Joaquin	L	55	9,225	15,176	60.8%	\$12,358
244	Tahoe Truckee Unified School District SFID No. 1	\$114,000,000	2014-11-04	Nevada/Placer	U	55	3,018	5,433	55.5%	\$37,773
245	East Side Union High School District	\$113,200,000	2014-11-04	Santa Clara	I	55	59,092	87,014	67.9%	\$1,916
246	Anaheim City Elementary School District	\$111,000,000	2002-03-05	Orange	BB	55	11,610	18,366	63.2%	\$9,561

## All California Educational Bond Measures Approved by Voters Since November 2000 Enactment of Proposition 39 - Ranked by Amount Authorized to Borrow

Rank	Educational District	Amount Authorized to Borrow	Year-Month-Day of Election	Counties	Measure	% Needed to Pass	Yes	Total	Passage	Amount Per Vote
247	Monterey Peninsula Unified School District	\$110,000,000	2010-11-02	Monterey	P	55	15,558	21,745	71.5%	\$7,070
248	Burbank Unified School District	\$110,000,000	2013-03-05	Los Angeles	S	55	4,663	7,547	61.8%	\$23,590
249	Lodi Unified School District	\$109,300,000	2002-03-05	San Joaquin	K	55	15,881	27,827	57.1%	\$6,882
250	San Leandro Unified School District	\$109,000,000	2006-11-07	Alameda	B	55	11,398	16,600	68.7%	\$9,563
251	Novato Unified School District	\$107,000,000	2001-11-06	Marin	A	55	8,419	13,668	61.6%	\$12,709
252	Culver City Unified School District	\$106,000,000	2014-06-03	Los Angeles	CC	55	4,706	6,169	76.3%	\$22,524
253	Antelope Valley Joint Union High School District	\$103,600,000	2002-03-05	Los Angeles	V	55	19,029	33,254	57.2%	\$5,444
254	Upland Unified School District	\$103,000,000	2008-02-05	San Bernardino	K	55	11,785	19,554	60.3%	\$8,740
255	Colton Joint Unified School District	\$102,000,000	2001-09-25	San Bernardino	B	66.7	3,884	5,181	75.0%	\$26,262
256	Placentia-Yorba Linda Unified School District	\$102,000,000	2002-03-05	Orange	Y	55	18,300	27,735	66.0%	\$5,574
257	Vacaville Unified School District	\$101,300,000	2001-11-06	Solano	V	66.7	8,606	12,737	67.6%	\$11,771
258	Compton Community College District	\$100,000,000	2014-11-04	Los Angeles	C	55	20,209	25,779	78.4%	\$4,948
259	Compton Community College District	\$100,000,000	2002-11-05	Los Angeles	CC	55	23,005	30,141	76.3%	\$4,347
260	Paramount Unified School District	\$100,000,000	2006-11-07	Los Angeles	AA	55	6,845	9,233	74.1%	\$14,609
261	Pittsburg Unified School District	\$100,000,000	2010-11-02	Contra Costa	L	55	7,391	10,787	68.5%	\$13,530
262	Evergreen School District	\$100,000,000	2014-11-04	Santa Clara	M	55	14,002	20,740	67.5%	\$7,142
263	Bakersfield City Elementary School District	\$100,000,000	2006-11-07	Kern	G	55	17,657	27,661	63.8%	\$5,663
264	Fairfield-Suisun Unified School District	\$100,000,000	2002-03-05	Solano	C	55	11,288	18,784	60.1%	\$8,859
265	Los Gatos-Saratoga Joint Union High School District	\$99,000,000	2014-06-03	Santa Clara/Santa Cruz	E	55	8,331	13,020	64.0%	\$11,883
266	Dublin Unified School District	\$99,000,000	2012-06-05	Alameda	E	55	4,205	6,780	62.0%	\$23,543
267	Montebello Unified School District	\$98,000,000	2004-11-02	Los Angeles	M	55	25,476	34,968	72.9%	\$3,847
268	Centinela Valley Union High School District	\$98,000,000	2008-11-04	Los Angeles	CV	66.7	27,255	38,508	70.8%	\$3,596
269	Rialto Unified School District	\$98,000,000	2010-11-02	San Bernardino	Y	55	12,608	18,137	69.5%	\$7,773
270	Centinela Valley Union High School District	\$98,000,000	2010-11-02	Los Angeles	CV	55	16,057	24,754	64.9%	\$6,103
271	Escondido Union High School District	\$98,000,000	2008-11-04	San Diego	T	55	30,006	50,836	59.0%	\$3,266
272	Glendale Community College District	\$98,000,000	2002-03-05	Los Angeles	G	55	14,245	24,507	58.1%	\$6,880
273	Murieta Valley Unified School District	\$98,000,000	2014-11-04	Riverside	BB	55	11,278	19,462	57.9%	\$8,689
274	Sunnyvale School District	\$96,000,000	2013-11-05	Santa Clara	G	55	6,995	10,220	68.4%	\$13,724
275	Emery Unified School District	\$95,000,000	2010-11-02	Alameda	J	55	1,982	2,683	73.9%	\$47,931
276	Milpitas Unified School District	\$95,000,000	2012-06-05	Santa Clara	E	55	5,412	8,449	64.1%	\$17,554
277	Napa Valley Unified School District	\$95,000,000	2002-11-05	Napa	M	55	17,183	28,953	59.3%	\$5,529
278	Tustin Unified School District	\$95,000,000	2008-11-04	Orange	L	55	18,304	31,266	58.5%	\$5,190
279	Acalanes Union High School District	\$93,000,000	2008-11-04	Contra Costa	E	55	39,556	61,553	64.3%	\$2,351
280	Lynwood Unified School District	\$93,000,000	2012-11-06	Los Angeles	K	55	7,719	13,452	57.4%	\$12,048
281	Azusa Unified School District	\$92,000,000	2014-11-04	Los Angeles	K	55	3,983	7,082	56.2%	\$23,098
282	Menlo Park City School District	\$91,100,000	2006-06-06	San Mateo	U	55	4,936	6,993	70.6%	\$18,456
283	Los Gatos Union School District	\$91,000,000	2001-06-05	Santa Clara	B	66.7	4,615	5,443	84.8%	\$19,718
284	Inglewood Unified School District	\$90,000,000	2012-11-06	Los Angeles	GG	55	31,907	37,059	86.1%	\$2,821
285	Beverly Hills Unified School District	\$90,000,000	2002-03-05	Los Angeles	K	55	4,424	5,659	78.2%	\$20,344
286	El Monte Union High School District	\$90,000,000	2002-11-05	Los Angeles	Y	66.7	13,584	17,815	76.3%	\$6,625
287	Torrance Unified School District	\$90,000,000	2008-11-04	Los Angeles	Z	55	41,832	58,516	71.5%	\$2,151
288	Chula Vista Elementary School District SFID No. 1	\$90,000,000	2012-11-06	San Diego	E	55	36,265	52,699	68.8%	\$2,482

## All California Educational Bond Measures Approved by Voters Since November 2000 Enactment of Proposition 39 - Ranked by Amount Authorized to Borrow

Rank	Educational District	Amount Authorized to Borrow	Year-Month-Day of Election	Counties	Measure	% Needed to Pass	Yes	Total	Passage	Amount Per Vote
289	Oxnard School District	\$90,000,000	2012-11-06	Ventura	R	55	19,968	30,078	66.4%	\$4,507
290	Carpinteria Unified School District	\$90,000,000	2014-11-04	Santa Barbara	U	55	3,901	5,881	66.3%	\$23,071
291	Alisal Union School District	\$90,000,000	2006-11-07	Monterey	A	55	3,414	5,266	64.8%	\$26,362
292	Campbell Union High School District	\$90,000,000	2006-11-07	Santa Clara	G	55	32,505	55,855	58.2%	\$2,769
293	Oak Grove School District	\$89,800,000	2014-11-04	Santa Clara	P	55	13,056	19,918	65.5%	\$6,878
294	Cajon Valley Union School District	Reauthorize \$88,400,000	2012-11-06	San Diego	C	55	29,048	49,882	58.2%	\$3,043
295	Sequoia Union High School District	\$88,000,000	2001-11-06	San Mateo	G	55	23,927	35,083	68.2%	\$3,678
296	Wiseburn Unified School District	\$87,000,000	2010-11-02	Los Angeles	A	55	3,285	4,338	75.7%	\$26,484
297	Pittsburg Unified School District	\$85,000,000	2006-11-07	Contra Costa	J	55	7,288	9,833	74.1%	\$11,663
298	Alhambra Unified School District	\$85,000,000	2004-11-02	Los Angeles	C	55	26,048	36,862	70.7%	\$3,263
299	Pittsburg Unified School District	\$85,000,000	2014-11-04	Contra Costa	N	55	5,483	8,007	68.5%	\$15,502
300	Butte-Glenn Community College District	\$84,900,000	2002-03-05	Butte/Glenn	A	55	36,012	54,724	65.8%	\$2,358
301	Bonita Unified School District	\$83,560,000	2008-11-04	Los Angeles	AB	55	17,218	28,308	60.8%	\$4,853
302	San Lorenzo Unified School District	\$83,000,000	2008-11-04	Alameda	O	55	17,356	23,311	74.5%	\$4,782
303	Santa Clarita Community College District	\$82,110,000	2001-11-06	Los Angeles	C	55	16,370	24,050	68.1%	\$5,016
304	Tracy Unified School District SFID No. 4	\$82,000,000	2014-06-03	San Joaquin/Alameda	B	55	4,570	7,028	65.0%	\$17,943
305	Santa Clara Unified School District	\$81,100,000	2010-11-02	Santa Clara	H	55	21,839	33,890	64.4%	\$3,714
306	Cabrillo Unified School District	\$81,000,000	2012-06-05	San Mateo	S	55	3,803	6,730	56.5%	\$21,299
307	Cupertino Union School District	\$80,000,000	2001-06-05	Santa Clara	C	66.7	11,117	14,860	74.8%	\$7,196
308	Compton Unified School District	\$80,000,000	2002-11-05	Los Angeles	I	55	14,150	17,036	83.1%	\$5,654
309	Tustin Unified School District	\$80,000,000	2002-11-05	Orange	G	55	12,792	19,054	67.1%	\$6,254
310	Imperial Valley College	\$80,000,000	2010-11-02	Imperial	J	55	16,325	25,220	64.7%	\$4,900
311	Salinas City Elementary School District	\$80,000,000	2008-06-03	Monterey	T	55	5,513	8,627	63.9%	\$14,511
312	Cotati/ Rohnert Park Unified School District	\$80,000,000	2014-06-03	Sonoma	B	55	5,382	8,532	63.1%	\$14,864
313	Tamalpais Union High School District	\$79,920,000	2006-06-06	Marin	A	55	23,420	35,020	66.9%	\$3,412
314	Lakeside Union School District	\$79,550,000	2008-11-04	San Diego	I	55	10,695	16,561	64.6%	\$7,438
315	Roseville Joint Union High School District	\$79,500,000	2002-03-05	Sacramento	D	55	2,114	2,664	79.4%	\$37,606
316	Alvord Unified School District	Reauthorize \$79,000,000	2012-11-06	Riverside	W	55	12,911	20,602	62.7%	\$6,119
317	Bellflower Unified School District	\$79,000,000	2012-11-06	Los Angeles	BB	55	16,469	22,624	72.8%	\$4,797
318	Roseville Joint Union High School District	\$79,000,000	2004-11-02	Placer/Sacramento	J	55	35,814	59,793	59.9%	\$2,206
319	Santa Maria Joint Union High School District	\$79,000,000	2004-11-02	Santa Barbara	C	55	22,527	38,345	58.7%	\$3,507
320	El Monte City School District	\$78,000,000	2014-11-04	Los Angeles	M	55	4,614	6,877	67.1%	\$16,905
321	Chico Unified School District	\$78,000,000	2012-11-06	Butte	E	55	27,112	41,734	65.0%	\$2,877
322	Santa Barbara Community College District	\$77,242,012	2008-06-03	Santa Barbara	V	55	28,397	40,308	70.5%	\$2,720
323	Berryessa Union School District	\$77,000,000	2014-11-04	Santa Clara	L	55	10,622	15,320	69.3%	\$7,249
324	Santa Rosa High School District	\$77,000,000	2002-03-05	Sonoma	B	55	29,276	44,707	65.5%	\$2,630
325	Baldwin Park Unified School District	\$75,500,000	2006-11-07	Los Angeles	K	55	6,727	9,335	72.1%	\$11,223
326	Azusa Unified School District	\$75,000,000	2002-03-05	Los Angeles	I	55	4,200	5,624	74.7%	\$17,857
327	El Monte City School District	\$75,000,000	2008-11-04	Los Angeles	KC	55	12,515	17,253	72.5%	\$5,993
328	Whittier Union High School District	\$75,000,000	2008-11-04	Los Angeles	W	55	51,521	71,490	72.1%	\$1,456
329	Santa Barbara Elementary School District	\$75,000,000	2010-11-02	Santa Barbara	R	55	18,701	26,108	71.6%	\$4,010
330	Santa Barbara High School District	\$75,000,000	2010-11-02	Santa Barbara	Q	55	41,893	60,237	69.5%	\$1,790

## All California Educational Bond Measures Approved by Voters Since November 2000 Enactment of Proposition 39 - Ranked by Amount Authorized to Borrow

Rank	Educational District	Amount Authorized to Borrow	Year-Month-Day of Election	Counties	Measure	% Needed to Pass	Yes	Total	Passage	Amount Per Vote
331	Campbell Union School District	\$74,900,000	2002-03-05	Santa Clara	H	55	8,772	13,156	66.7%	\$8,539
332	Grant Joint Union High School District (Now Twin Rivers USD)	\$74,000,000	2002-03-05	Sacramento/Placer	E	55	10,435	18,312	57.0%	\$7,092
333	San Carlos Unified School District	\$72,000,000	2012-11-06	San Mateo	H	55	9,534	14,023	68.0%	\$7,552
334	Sulphur Springs Union Elementary School District	\$72,000,000	2012-06-05	Los Angeles	CK	55	4,394	7,465	58.9%	\$16,386
335	Buena Park Elementary School District	\$71,000,000	2014-06-03	Orange	B	55	2,229	3,333	66.9%	\$31,853
336	Sequoia Union High School District	\$70,000,000	2004-11-02	San Mateo	H	55	63,187	91,162	69.3%	\$1,108
337	Madera Unified School District	\$70,000,000	2014-11-04	Madera	G	55	5,894	10,113	58.3%	\$11,876
338	Golden Valley Unified School District	\$70,000,000	2006-06-06	Madera	S	55	1,438	2,472	58.2%	\$48,679
339	Gilroy Unified School District	\$69,000,000	2002-11-05	Santa Clara	I	55	5,865	9,349	62.7%	\$11,765
340	Folsom Cordova Unified School District SFID No. 4	\$68,000,000	2012-11-06	Sacramento	P	55	11,677	16,337	71.5%	\$5,823
341	Petaluma Joint Union High School District	\$68,000,000	2014-06-03	Sonoma	E	55	4,652	6,813	68.3%	\$14,617
342	Sacramento City Unified School District	\$68,000,000	2012-11-06	Sacramento	R	55	71,820	105,834	67.9%	\$947
343	Pomona Unified School District	\$68,000,000	2002-03-05	Los Angeles	J	55	7,280	11,602	62.7%	\$9,341
344	Fullerton Joint Union High School District	\$67,940,000	2002-03-05	Orange/Los Angeles	AA	55	21,974	36,437	60.3%	\$3,092
345	Jefferson Elementary School District	\$67,500,000	2012-11-06	San Mateo	M	55	16,261	21,138	76.9%	\$4,151
346	Mendocino-Lake Community College District	\$67,500,000	2006-11-07	Mendocino/Lake	W	55	18,417	29,506	62.4%	\$3,665
347	Manhattan Beach Unified School District	\$67,480,000	2008-11-04	Los Angeles	BB	55	12,191	19,801	61.6%	\$5,535
348	Dry Creek Elementary School District	\$67,300,000	2008-02-05	Placer/Sacramento	E	55	8,282	14,634	56.6%	\$8,126
349	Hillsborough City School District	\$66,800,000	2002-11-05	San Mateo	B	55	2,838	4,271	66.4%	\$23,538
350	El Dorado Union High School District	\$66,300,000	2008-06-03	El Dorado	Q	55	19,536	34,740	56.2%	\$3,394
351	Covina Valley Unified School District	\$66,000,000	2006-06-06	Los Angeles	C	55	5,432	7,872	69.0%	\$12,150
352	Manteca Unified School District	\$66,000,000	2004-03-02	San Joaquin	M	55	7,867	14,011	56.1%	\$8,389
353	Redlands Unified School District	\$65,500,000	2008-02-05	San Bernardino	J	55	21,545	32,446	66.4%	\$3,040
354	Modesto City Schools (Modesto High School District)	\$65,000,000	2001-11-06	Stanislaus	T	55	20,783	30,639	67.8%	\$3,128
355	San Gabriel Unified School District	\$65,000,000	2008-02-05	Los Angeles	A	55	5,240	7,475	70.1%	\$12,405
356	Downey Unified School District	\$65,000,000	2002-11-05	Los Angeles	D	55	13,257	19,771	67.1%	\$4,903
357	Walnut Valley Unified School District	\$64,600,000	2007-11-06	Los Angeles	S	55	4,159	6,797	61.2%	\$15,533
358	Lake Tahoe Unified School District	\$64,500,000	2008-11-04	El Dorado	G	55	6,715	11,301	59.4%	\$9,605
359	Oxnard School District	\$64,000,000	2006-11-07	Ventura	M	55	12,655	19,631	64.5%	\$5,057
360	Westside Union School District	\$63,500,000	2008-11-04	Los Angeles	WS	55	16,127	25,660	62.8%	\$3,937
361	Alameda Unified School District	\$63,000,000	2004-03-02	Alameda	C	55	13,809	19,205	71.9%	\$4,562
362	Lancaster Elementary School District	\$63,000,000	2012-11-06	Los Angeles	L	55	21,804	31,042	70.2%	\$2,889
363	Redondo Beach Unified School District	\$63,000,000	2012-11-06	Los Angeles	Q	55	18,786	29,232	64.3%	\$3,354
364	Banning Unified School District	\$63,000,000	2006-11-07	Riverside	R	55	2,635	4,546	58.0%	\$23,909
365	Banning Unified School District	\$63,000,000	2006-06-06	Riverside	R	55	2,635	4,546	58.0%	\$23,909
366	Newark Unified School District	\$63,000,000	2011-11-08	Alameda	G	55	3,286	5,878	55.9%	\$19,172
367	Tahoe Truckee Unified School District SFID No. 2	\$62,000,000	2014-11-04	El Dorado/Placer	E	55	2,074	3,442	60.3%	\$29,894
368	Duarte Unified School District	\$62,000,000	2010-11-02	Los Angeles	E	55	4,198	7,008	59.9%	\$14,769
369	Antioch Unified School District SFID No. 2	\$61,600,000	2008-06-03	Contra Costa	C	55	4,948	7,921	62.5%	\$12,449
370	Visalia Unified School District	\$60,100,000	2012-11-06	Tulare	E	55	26,898	39,806	67.6%	\$2,234
371	Las Lomas School District	\$60,000,000	2013-11-05	San Mateo	S	55	2,305	3,117	73.9%	\$26,030
372	Ceres Unified School District	\$60,000,000	2008-11-04	Stanislaus	U	55	9,625	13,258	72.6%	\$6,234

## All California Educational Bond Measures Approved by Voters Since November 2000 Enactment of Proposition 39 - Ranked by Amount Authorized to Borrow

Rank	Educational District	Amount Authorized to Borrow	Year-Month-Day of Election	Counties	Measure	% Needed to Pass	Yes	Total	Passage	Amount Per Vote
373	College of the Sequoias	\$60,000,000	2008-11-04	Kings/Tulare	J	55	16,395	22,818	71.9%	\$3,660
374	Redlands Unified School District	\$60,000,000	2002-11-05	San Bernardino	R	55	17,850	25,448	70.1%	\$3,361
375	Newhall School District	\$60,000,000	2011-11-08	Los Angeles	E	55	3,706	5,556	66.7%	\$16,190
376	Western Placer Unified School District	\$60,000,000	2014-11-04	Placer	A	55	10,742	17,418	61.7%	\$5,586
377	Santee Elementary School District	\$60,000,000	2006-11-07	San Diego	R	55	9,742	16,649	58.5%	\$6,159
378	Merced City Elementary School District	\$60,000,000	2014-06-03	Merced	M	55	5,277	9,217	57.3%	\$11,370
379	Mill Valley School District	\$59,800,000	2009-11-03	Marin	C	55	5,530	8,261	66.9%	\$10,814
380	Ontario-Montclair School District	\$59,655,000	2002-11-05	San Bernardino	T	55	11,472	17,042	67.3%	\$5,200
381	South Bay Union School District	\$59,400,000	2008-11-04	San Diego	X	55	15,219	19,909	76.4%	\$3,903
382	Imperial Valley College	\$58,600,000	2004-11-02	Imperial	L	55	20,176	31,716	63.6%	\$2,904
383	Pajaro Valley Unified School District	\$58,250,000	2002-11-05	Santa Cruz/Monterey	J or L	55	14,352	21,530	66.7%	\$4,059
384	Atascadero Unified School District	Reauthorize \$58,000,000	2014-11-04	San Luis Obispo	B	55	7,102	11,517	61.7%	\$8,167
385	Antioch Unified School District SFID No. 1	\$56,500,000	2012-11-06	Contra Costa	B	55	12,264	19,515	62.8%	\$4,607
386	Bonita Unified School District	\$56,360,000	2004-03-02	Los Angeles	C	55	8,841	14,243	62.1%	\$6,375
387	Burlingame School District	\$56,000,000	2012-11-06	San Mateo	D	55	8,609	12,754	67.5%	\$6,505
388	Piedmont Unified School District	\$56,000,000	2006-03-07	Alameda	E	55	2,497	4,294	58.2%	\$22,427
389	Delano Joint Union High School District	\$55,000,000	2005-11-08	Kern/Tulare	C	66.7	3,321	4,552	73.0%	\$16,561
390	Whittier City Unified School District	\$55,000,000	2012-11-06	Los Angeles	Z	55	14,205	19,629	72.4%	\$3,872
391	Moreland School District	\$55,000,000	2010-11-02	Santa Clara	K	55	9,035	13,066	69.1%	\$6,087
392	Lake Tahoe Community College District	\$55,000,000	2014-11-04	El Dorado	F	55	3,784	6,547	57.8%	\$14,535
393	Santa Rosa Elementary School District	\$54,000,000	2014-11-04	Sonoma	L	55	11,000	15,919	69.1%	\$4,909
394	Cypress School District	\$53,600,000	2008-11-04	Orange	M	55	12,493	18,045	69.2%	\$4,290
395	Merced Community College District SFID No. 1	\$53,500,000	2002-11-05	Merced/Madera	H	55	17,048	30,594	55.7%	\$3,138
396	Folsom Cordova Unified School District SFID No. 2	\$53,000,000	2002-03-05	Sacramento	C	55	8,657	12,623	68.6%	\$6,122
397	Jefferson Elementary School District	\$52,000,000	2001-11-06	San Mateo	H	66.7	3,829	5,597	68.4%	\$13,581
398	El Rancho Unified School District	\$52,000,000	2010-11-02	Los Angeles	EE	55	9,549	12,903	74.0%	\$5,446
399	Washington Unified School District	\$52,000,000	2004-03-02	Yolo	Q	55	5,213	7,578	68.8%	\$9,975
400	Rocklin Unified School District	\$52,000,000	2002-03-05	Placer	B	66.7	7,101	10,500	67.6%	\$7,323
401	Salinas Union High School District	\$52,000,000	2002-03-05	Monterey	F	55	12,746	21,111	60.4%	\$4,080
402	Tracy Unified School District	\$51,000,000	2006-06-06	San Joaquin/Alameda	E	55	7,230	10,644	67.9%	\$7,054
403	Castaic Union School District	\$51,000,000	2012-11-06	Los Angeles	QS	55	5,682	8,793	64.6%	\$8,976
404	Sierra Sands Unified School District	\$50,500,000	2006-06-06	Kern/San Bernardino	A	55	4,710	7,710	61.1%	\$10,722
405	San Leandro Unified School District	\$50,100,000	2010-11-02	Alameda	M	55	10,638	16,864	63.1%	\$4,710
406	Covina Valley Unified School District	\$50,000,000	2001-06-05	Los Angeles	K	66.7	4,746	6,551	72.4%	\$10,535
407	Moreno Valley Unified School District	\$50,000,000	2004-03-02	Riverside	G	55	140	178	78.7%	\$357,143
408	El Monte City School District	\$50,000,000	2004-11-02	Los Angeles	J	55	11,855	15,576	76.1%	\$4,218
409	Alhambra Unified School District ESID	\$50,000,000	2008-11-04	Los Angeles	MM	55	23,276	31,080	74.9%	\$2,148
410	Lincoln Unified School District	\$50,000,000	2004-11-02	San Joaquin	P	55	12,065	16,925	71.3%	\$4,144
411	Sanger Unified School District	\$50,000,000	2012-11-06	Fresno	S	55	9,986	14,190	70.4%	\$5,007
412	Franklin-McKinley School District	\$50,000,000	2010-11-02	Santa Clara	J	55	10,860	15,534	69.9%	\$4,604
413	Patterson Joint Unified School District	\$50,000,000	2008-11-04	Stanislaus/Santa Clara	V	55	3,982	6,015	66.2%	\$12,557
414	Windsor Unified School District	\$50,000,000	2008-02-05	Sonoma	B	55	6,208	9,891	62.8%	\$8,054

## All California Educational Bond Measures Approved by Voters Since November 2000 Enactment of Proposition 39 - Ranked by Amount Authorized to Borrow

Rank	Educational District	Amount Authorized to Borrow	Year-Month-Day of Election	Counties	Measure	% Needed to Pass	Yes	Total	Passage	Amount Per Vote
415	Torrance Unified School District	\$50,000,000	2014-11-04	Los Angeles	U	55	19,877	32,015	62.1%	\$2,515
416	Washington Unified School District	\$49,800,000	2014-11-04	Yolo	V	55	6,118	9,072	67.4%	\$8,140
417	Eureka Unified School District	\$49,750,000	2014-11-04	Humboldt	S	55	8,083	14,084	57.4%	\$6,155
418	Fullerton School District	\$49,700,000	2002-03-05	Orange	CC	55	11,710	19,026	61.5%	\$4,244
419	Benicia Unified School District	\$49,600,000	2014-06-03	Solano	S	55	3,903	6,147	63.5%	\$12,708
420	El Rancho Unified School District	\$49,500,000	2003-11-04	Los Angeles	A	55	1,788	2,601	68.7%	\$27,685
421	San Rafael High School District (San Rafael City Schools)	\$49,500,000	2002-11-05	Marin	A	55	15,011	22,208	67.6%	\$3,298
422	San Rafael Elementary School District (San Rafael City Schools)	\$49,300,000	2002-11-05	Marin	B	55	9,365	13,665	68.5%	\$5,264
423	Hemet Unified School District	Reauthorize \$49,000,000	2012-11-06	Riverside	U	55	25,661	37,287	68.8%	\$1,910
424	San Lorenzo Unified School District	\$49,000,000	2004-11-02	Alameda	E	55	15,797	21,217	74.5%	\$3,102
425	Folsom Cordova Unified School District SFID No. 1	\$49,000,000	2002-03-05	Sacramento	B	55	5,685	7,793	73.0%	\$8,619
426	Lincoln Unified School District	\$48,500,000	2012-06-05	San Joaquin	M	55	5,653	9,488	59.6%	\$8,580
427	Burlingame School District	\$48,300,000	2007-11-06	San Mateo	A	55	3,650	5,712	63.9%	\$13,233
428	Morongo Unified School District	\$48,150,000	2005-11-08	San Bernardino	O	55	6,563	11,527	56.9%	\$7,337
429	Saugus Union School District	\$48,000,000	2002-06-04	Los Angeles	E	66.7	4,462	6,043	73.8%	\$10,758
430	Belmont-Redwood Shores School District	\$48,000,000	2014-11-04	San Mateo	I	55	7,017	10,837	64.8%	\$6,841
431	San Gabriel Unified School District	\$47,150,000	2002-03-05	Los Angeles	S	55	3,411	4,867	70.1%	\$13,823
432	Charter Oak Unified School District	\$47,000,000	2012-06-05	Los Angeles	CO	55	3,035	4,839	62.7%	\$15,486
433	Marysville Joint Unified School District	\$47,000,000	2008-11-04	Yuba/Butte	P	55	10,081	16,160	62.4%	\$4,662
434	Baldwin Park Unified School District	\$46,600,000	2002-11-05	Los Angeles	AA	55	6,321	7,952	79.5%	\$7,372
435	Escondido Union School District	\$46,300,000	2002-03-05	San Diego	K	55	11,635	20,003	58.2%	\$3,979
436	Madera Unified School District	\$46,000,000	2002-11-05	Madera	B	55	6,812	10,729	63.5%	\$6,753
437	Perris Union High School District	\$46,000,000	2004-11-02	Riverside	Z	55	18,871	32,594	57.9%	\$2,438
438	Natomas Unified School District	\$45,880,000	2002-11-05	Sacramento	M	55	5,669	7,832	72.4%	\$8,093
439	Tulare Joint Union High School District	\$45,000,000	2004-03-02	Tulare/Kings	Q	66.7	6,308	9,112	69.2%	\$7,134
440	Monrovia Unified School District	\$45,000,000	2006-06-06	Los Angeles	M	55	4,301	6,409	67.1%	\$10,463
441	Martinez Unified School District	\$45,000,000	2010-11-02	Contra Costa	K	55	6,863	10,474	65.5%	\$6,557
442	Santa Maria-Bonita School District	\$45,000,000	2014-11-04	Santa Barbara/SLO	T	55	8,085	13,021	62.1%	\$5,566
443	Sierra Joint Community College District SFID No. 2	\$44,400,000	2004-11-02	Nevada	G	55	24,877	41,666	59.7%	\$1,785
444	Encinitas Union School District	\$44,200,000	2010-11-02	San Diego	P	55	17,989	29,200	61.6%	\$2,457
445	La Mesa Spring Valley School District	\$44,000,000	2002-03-05	San Diego	M	55	16,326	23,478	69.5%	\$2,695
446	Acalanes Union High School District	\$44,000,000	2002-11-05	Contra Costa	B	55	30,163	44,797	67.3%	\$1,459
447	Castro Valley Unified School District	\$44,000,000	2005-11-08	Alameda	B	55	10,602	16,674	63.6%	\$4,150
448	Val Verde Unified School District	\$43,440,000	2008-06-03	Riverside	J	55	2,323	3,363	69.1%	\$18,700
449	Tracy Unified School District SFID No. 3	\$43,100,000	2008-11-04	San Joaquin/Alameda	S	55	14,856	21,036	70.6%	\$2,901
450	Ukiah Unified School District	\$43,000,000	2005-11-08	Mendocino	U	66.7	6,675	9,822	68.0%	\$6,442
451	Willits Unified School District	\$43,000,000	2010-06-08	Mendocino	B	55	2,211	3,606	61.3%	\$19,448
452	San Benito High School District	\$42,500,000	2014-06-03	San Benito/Santa Clara	G	55	3,524	6,260	56.3%	\$12,060
453	Pacific Grove Unified School District	\$42,000,000	2006-06-06	Monterey	D	55	3,411	5,200	65.6%	\$12,313
454	Calistoga Joint Unified School District	\$42,000,000	2010-11-02	Sonoma/Napa	A	55	1,572	2,411	65.2%	\$26,718
455	Jefferson Union High School District	Reauthorize \$41,900,000	2012-11-06	San Mateo	E	55	30,757	41,401	74.3%	\$1,362
456	Glendora Unified School District	\$41,310,000	2005-11-08	Los Angeles	G	55	6,588	10,442	63.1%	\$6,270

## All California Educational Bond Measures Approved by Voters Since November 2000 Enactment of Proposition 39 - Ranked by Amount Authorized to Borrow

Rank	Educational District	Amount Authorized to Borrow	Year-Month-Day of Election	Counties	Measure	% Needed to Pass	Yes	Total	Passage	Amount Per Vote
457	Mountain View-Los Altos Union School District	\$41,300,000	2010-06-08	Santa Clara	A	55	19,480	25,067	77.7%	\$2,120
458	Ross Valley Unified School District	\$41,000,000	2010-11-02	Marin	A	66.7	8,565	12,055	71.0%	\$4,787
459	Coachella Valley Unified School District	\$41,000,000	2012-11-06	Riverside/Imperial	X	55	7,191	11,032	65.2%	\$5,702
460	Pittsburg Unified School District	\$40,500,000	2004-11-02	Contra Costa	E	55	11,311	14,499	78.0%	\$3,581
461	Murietta Valley Unified School District	\$40,400,000	2002-11-05	Riverside	K	55	11,119	15,781	70.5%	\$3,633
462	College of the Redwoods	\$40,320,000	2004-11-02	Del Norte/Humboldt/Mendocino	B/Q	55	52,249	81,284	64.3%	\$772
463	Liberty Union High School District	\$40,000,000	2001-03-06	Contra Costa	A	66.7	5,100	7,060	72.2%	\$7,843
464	Orchard School District	\$40,000,000	2001-11-06	Santa Clara	E	55	391	553	70.7%	\$102,302
465	Soledad Unified School District	\$40,000,000	2012-11-06	Monterey	C	66.7	2,332	3,131	74.5%	\$17,153
466	Greenfield Union School District	\$40,000,000	2006-11-07	Kern	H	55	3,241	4,636	69.9%	\$12,342
467	Sonoma Valley Unified School District	\$40,000,000	2010-11-02	Sonoma	H	55	10,581	15,649	67.6%	\$3,780
468	Perris Elementary School District	\$40,000,000	2014-06-03	Riverside	C	55	1,153	1,738	66.3%	\$34,692
469	Kings Canyon Unified School District	\$40,000,000	2012-11-06	Fresno/Tulare	K	55	6,806	10,851	62.7%	\$5,877
470	Central Unified School District	\$40,000,000	2004-03-02	Fresno	K	55	5,174	8,494	60.9%	\$7,731
471	Sylvan Union School District	\$40,000,000	2006-11-07	Stanislaus	A	55	9,402	16,526	56.9%	\$4,254
472	Stanislaus Union School District	\$39,800,000	2008-11-04	Stanislaus	T	55	7,213	10,214	70.6%	\$5,518
473	West Kern Community College District	\$39,800,000	2004-03-02	Kern	A	55	2,039	3,509	58.1%	\$19,519
474	Nuvew Union School District	\$39,600,000	2006-06-06	Riverside	D	55	622	1,103	56.4%	\$63,666
475	Moorpark Unified School District	\$39,500,000	2008-11-04	Ventura	S	55	9,560	14,620	65.4%	\$4,132
476	Cambrian School District	\$39,000,000	2014-06-03	Santa Clara	I	55	3,840	5,299	72.5%	\$10,156
477	Santa Paula Union High School District	\$39,000,000	2008-11-04	Ventura	Q	55	5,871	8,340	70.4%	\$6,643
478	Rio School District	\$38,500,000	2014-11-04	Ventura	G	55	3,753	5,291	70.9%	\$10,258
479	Reed Union School District	\$38,000,000	2001-11-06	Marin	C	66.7	2,886	3,864	74.7%	\$13,167
480	Lompoc Unified School District	\$38,000,000	2002-11-05	Santa Barbara	N	66.7	9,049	12,993	69.6%	\$4,199
481	San Carlos Unified School District	\$38,000,000	2005-11-08	San Mateo	E	55	6,240	9,913	62.9%	\$6,090
482	Rio Linda Union School District (Now Twin Rivers USD)	\$38,000,000	2006-11-07	Sacramento	P	55	11,752	18,915	62.1%	\$3,233
483	Salinas Union High School District	\$37,000,000	2002-11-05	Monterey	M	55	14,651	21,589	67.9%	\$2,525
484	Dinuba Unified School District	\$37,000,000	2006-11-07	Fresno/Tulare	T	55	2,205	3,290	67.0%	\$16,780
485	Marysville Joint Unified School District	\$37,000,000	2006-06-06	Yuba/Butte	H	55	5,050	8,809	57.3%	\$7,327
486	Apple Valley Unified School District	\$36,000,000	2004-03-02	San Bernardino	S	55	8,818	15,051	58.6%	\$4,083
487	Jefferson School District	\$35,400,000	2010-11-02	San Joaquin	J	55	2,354	3,500	67.3%	\$15,038
488	Moreland School District	\$35,000,000	2002-03-05	Santa Clara	J	66.7	5,597	7,722	72.5%	\$6,253
489	Scotts Valley Unified School District	\$35,000,000	2014-06-03	Santa Cruz	A	55	3,978	5,512	72.2%	\$8,798
490	Sierra Joint Community College District SFID No. 1	\$35,000,000	2004-11-02	Placer/Nevada/	H	55	8,856	12,872	68.8%	\$3,952
491	Ojai Unified School District	\$35,000,000	2014-11-04	Ventura	J	55	5,223	7,655	68.2%	\$6,701
492	Brentwood Union Elementary School District	\$35,000,000	2003-03-04	Contra Costa	B	66.7	2,968	4,385	67.7%	\$11,792
493	Central School District	\$35,000,000	2014-11-04	San Bernardino	N	55	4,141	6,171	67.1%	\$8,452
494	Rincon Valley Union School District	\$35,000,000	2014-06-03	Sonoma	F	55	6,816	10,209	66.8%	\$5,135
495	Belmont-Redwood Shores School District ESFID	\$35,000,000	2010-11-02	San Mateo	N	55	6,744	10,539	64.0%	\$5,190
496	Healdsburg Unified School District	\$35,000,000	2012-06-05	Sonoma	E	55	2,790	4,546	61.4%	\$12,545
497	Templeton Unified School District	\$35,000,000	2012-11-06	San Luis Obispo	H	55	3,017	5,213	57.9%	\$11,601
498	Rancho Santa Fe Elementary School District	\$34,000,000	2008-02-05	San Diego	E	55	2,041	2,878	70.9%	\$16,659

## All California Educational Bond Measures Approved by Voters Since November 2000 Enactment of Proposition 39 - Ranked by Amount Authorized to Borrow

Rank	Educational District	Amount Authorized to Borrow	Year-Month-Day of Election	Counties	Measure	% Needed to Pass	Yes	Total	Passage	Amount Per Vote
499	Shasta-Tehama-Trinity Joint Community College District	\$34,000,000	2002-03-05	Humboldt/Lassen/Modoc/Shasta/Tehema/Trinity	A	55	33,076	55,884	59.2%	\$1,028
500	Enterprise Elementary School District	\$34,000,000	2008-02-05	Shasta	A	55	4,340	7,574	57.3%	\$7,834
501	Hanford Joint Union High School District	\$33,500,000	2004-11-02	Kings/Tulare	K	55	12,549	18,737	67.0%	\$2,670
502	Wasco Union High School District	\$33,500,000	2008-06-03	Kern	C	55	1,070	1,640	65.2%	\$31,308
503	Moorpark Unified School District	\$33,000,000	2002-03-05	Ventura	R	55	4,153	5,996	69.3%	\$7,946
504	Wiseburn Unified School District	\$32,600,000	2007-06-12	Los Angeles	A	66.7	1,477	1,778	83.1%	\$22,072
505	Eureka Unified School District	\$32,515,000	2002-03-05	Humboldt	T	55	5,059	7,881	64.2%	\$6,427
506	Madera Unified School District	\$32,500,000	2006-11-07	Madera	U	55	6,812	11,244	60.6%	\$4,771
507	Kings Canyon Unified School District	\$32,000,000	2006-11-07	Fresno/Tulare	K	55	5,029	8,400	59.9%	\$6,363
508	Fallbrook Union Elementary School District	\$32,000,000	2002-11-05	San Diego	X	55	6,199	10,731	57.8%	\$5,162
509	Menifee Union School District	\$31,460,000	2008-02-05	Riverside	B	55	9,561	16,850	56.7%	\$3,290
510	Siskiyou Joint Community College District	\$31,400,000	2005-11-08	Siskiyou/Shasta	A	55	8,912	14,267	62.5%	\$3,523
511	Los Nietos School District	\$31,140,000	2008-06-03	Los Angeles	M	55	738	1,029	71.7%	\$42,195
512	Lakeside Union School District	Reauthorize \$31,000,000	2014-11-04	San Diego	L	55	5,640	9,630	58.6%	\$5,496
513	Shasta Union High School District	\$31,000,000	2001-11-06	Shasta	B	55	8,590	14,949	57.5%	\$3,609
514	Central School District	\$31,000,000	2008-11-04	San Bernardino	A	55	9,072	12,753	71.1%	\$3,417
515	West Hills Community College District SFID No. 3	\$31,000,000	2008-11-04	Fresno/Kings	E	55	7,512	11,763	63.9%	\$4,127
516	La Habra City School District	\$31,000,000	2012-11-06	Orange	O	55	8,874	14,489	61.2%	\$3,493
517	Los Gatos Union School District	\$30,900,000	2010-06-08	Santa Clara	E	55	6,412	8,966	71.5%	\$4,819
518	Sanger Unified School District	\$30,800,000	2006-06-06	Fresno	S	55	3,298	5,097	64.7%	\$9,339
519	Tahoe Truckee Unified School District	\$30,450,000	2002-11-05	El Dorado/Placer	J	55	2,076	3,603	57.6%	\$14,668
520	Victor Elementary School District	\$30,000,000	2001-11-06	San Bernardino	E	55	3,155	4,964	63.6%	\$9,509
521	Calexico Unified School District	\$30,000,000	2004-03-02	Imperial	J	55	2,680	3,562	75.2%	\$11,194
522	Dixie School District	\$30,000,000	2014-11-04	Marin	C	55	6,083	8,158	74.6%	\$4,932
523	Rosemead School District	\$30,000,000	2008-11-04	Los Angeles	O	55	4,325	5,893	73.4%	\$6,936
524	Garvey Elementary School District	\$30,000,000	2004-11-02	Los Angeles	Q	55	6,602	9,026	73.1%	\$4,544
525	Franklin-McKinley School District	\$30,000,000	2004-11-02	Santa Clara	Q	55	11,366	15,632	72.7%	\$2,639
526	Rosemead School District	\$30,000,000	2014-11-04	Los Angeles	RS	55	1,635	2,283	71.6%	\$18,349
527	Kentfield School District	\$30,000,000	2014-11-04	Marin	D	55	3,314	4,673	70.9%	\$9,053
528	Palos Verdes Peninsula Unified School District	\$30,000,000	2005-11-08	Los Angeles	R	55	15,496	21,894	70.8%	\$1,936
529	Millbrae School District	\$30,000,000	2008-11-04	San Mateo	X	55	6,646	9,566	69.5%	\$4,514
530	Bassett Unified School District	\$30,000,000	2014-11-04	Los Angeles	V	55	1,616	2,589	62.4%	\$18,564
531	Huntington Beach City Elementary School District	\$30,000,000	2002-03-05	Orange	DD	55	11,913	19,584	60.8%	\$2,518
532	St. Helena Unified School District	\$30,000,000	2010-11-02	Napa	B	55	2,545	4,186	60.8%	\$11,788
533	St. Helena Unified School District	\$30,000,000	2012-11-06	Napa	C	55	2,782	4,669	59.6%	\$10,784
534	Millbrae School District	\$30,000,000	2011-11-08	San Mateo	N	55	2,550	4,361	58.5%	\$11,765
535	Robla School District	\$29,800,000	2014-11-04	Sacramento	K	55	1,694	2,331	72.7%	\$17,591
536	Fruitvale School District	\$29,800,000	2006-06-06	Kern	C	55	2,257	4,009	56.3%	\$13,203
537	Oak Park Unified School District	\$29,445,000	2008-11-04	Ventura	R	55	3,966	6,957	57.0%	\$7,424
538	Galt Joint Union High School District	\$29,200,000	2005-11-08	Sacramento/San Joaquin	B	66.7	6,569	9,251	71.0%	\$4,445
539	Dixon Unified School District	\$29,200,000	2002-11-05	Solano	J	55	3,313	4,896	67.7%	\$8,814
540	Roseville City School District	\$29,117,071	2002-11-05	Placer	H	55	11,174	18,219	61.3%	\$2,606

## All California Educational Bond Measures Approved by Voters Since November 2000 Enactment of Proposition 39 - Ranked by Amount Authorized to Borrow

Rank	Educational District	Amount Authorized to Borrow	Year-Month-Day of Election	Counties	Measure	% Needed to Pass	Yes	Total	Passage	Amount Per Vote
541	South Pasadena Unified School District	\$29,000,000	2002-11-05	Los Angeles	M	55	5,754	7,377	78.0%	\$5,040
542	Savanna Elementary School District	\$28,750,000	2012-06-05	Orange	G	55	1,715	2,888	59.4%	\$16,764
543	Hollister School District	\$28,500,000	2014-11-04	San Benito	M	55	5,729	9,035	63.4%	\$4,975
544	Southern Kern Unified School District	\$28,400,000	2014-11-04	Kern	D	55	1,874	3,284	57.1%	\$15,155
545	Buckeye Union School District	\$28,300,000	2006-11-07	El Dorado	K	55	7,910	12,670	62.4%	\$3,578
546	Lemon Grove School District	\$28,000,000	2008-11-04	San Diego	W	55	8,635	11,853	72.9%	\$3,243
547	College of the Sequoias	\$28,000,000	2008-11-04	Tulare	I	55	35,713	54,070	66.0%	\$784
548	Standard School District	\$28,000,000	2006-06-06	Kern	D	55	2,166	3,342	64.8%	\$12,927
549	Merced City Elementary School District	\$26,500,000	2003-11-04	Merced	S	55	4,710	8,021	58.7%	\$5,626
550	National School District	\$26,100,000	2014-11-04	San Diego	N	55	4,621	5,880	78.6%	\$5,648
551	South Bay Union School District	Reauthorize \$26,000,000	2012-11-06	San Diego	Y	55	14,123	18,600	75.9%	\$1,841
552	Larkspur-Corte Madera School District	\$26,000,000	2011-11-08	Marin	A	55	3,243	4,574	70.9%	\$8,017
553	Old Adobe Union School District	\$26,000,000	2012-06-05	Sonoma	G	55	3,391	5,969	56.8%	\$7,667
554	Northern Humboldt Union High School District	\$25,800,000	2010-11-02	Humboldt	Q	55	10,386	17,465	59.5%	\$2,484
555	Yuba City Unified School District	\$25,670,000	2004-11-02	Sutter	K	55	9,383	15,729	59.7%	\$2,736
556	Ripon Unified School District	\$25,236,190	2012-11-06	San Joaquin	G	55	4,264	7,395	57.7%	\$5,918
557	Southern Humboldt Joint Unified School District	\$25,200,000	2010-06-08	Humboldt/Mendocino	L	55	1,528	2,379	64.2%	\$16,492
558	El Segundo Unified School District	\$25,000,000	2001-11-06	Los Angeles	E	55	2,369	3,149	75.2%	\$10,553
559	Palmdale Elementary School District	\$25,000,000	2001-11-06	Los Angeles	W	55	3,848	6,971	55.2%	\$6,497
560	Ceres Unified School District	\$25,000,000	2001-11-06	Stanislaus	J	55	3,214	4,310	74.6%	\$7,778
561	Mount Pleasant School District	\$25,000,000	2012-11-06	Santa Clara	L	55	4,482	5,938	75.5%	\$5,578
562	McFarland Unified School District	\$25,000,000	2012-11-06	Kern	M	55	1,238	1,664	74.4%	\$20,194
563	Perris Elementary School District	\$25,000,000	2006-11-07	Riverside	S	55	2,823	4,111	68.7%	\$8,856
564	Redwood Shores SFID	\$25,000,000	2005-11-08	San Mateo	C	55	2,312	3,401	68.0%	\$10,813
565	Belmont-Redwood Shores School District	\$25,000,000	2010-11-02	San Mateo	I	55	9,509	14,431	65.9%	\$2,629
566	Newman-Crows Landing Unified School District	\$25,000,000	2008-11-04	Stanislaus	R	55	1,998	3,114	64.2%	\$12,513
567	Bear Valley Unified School District	\$25,000,000	2002-11-05	San Bernardino	Q	55	3,423	5,351	64.0%	\$7,304
568	La Canada Unified School District	\$25,000,000	2004-03-02	Los Angeles	B	55	4,408	6,939	63.5%	\$5,672
569	Del Norte County School District	\$24,987,000	2008-11-04	Del Norte	A	55	5,338	9,265	57.6%	\$4,681
570	Savanna Elementary School District	\$24,935,000	2008-11-04	Orange	N	55	5,728	7,900	72.5%	\$4,353
571	Kerman Unified School District	\$24,900,000	2008-06-03	Fresno	K	55	931	1,447	64.3%	\$26,745
572	Fairfax Elementary School District	\$24,800,000	2010-11-02	Kern	C	55	1,265	1,708	74.1%	\$19,605
573	Hawthorne Elementary School District	\$24,000,000	2004-11-02	Los Angeles	H	55	11,314	14,331	78.9%	\$2,121
574	Southern Kern Unified School District	\$24,000,000	2008-11-04	Kern	H	55	4,089	6,007	68.1%	\$5,869
575	Mojave Unified School District SFID No. 1	\$24,000,000	2008-02-05	Kern	A	55	1,746	2,793	62.5%	\$13,746
576	Rincon Valley Union School District	\$23,900,000	2004-03-02	Sonoma	D	55	10,302	16,288	63.2%	\$2,320
577	West Sonoma County Union High School District	\$23,800,000	2010-11-02	Sonoma	I	55	15,375	20,443	75.2%	\$1,548
578	Selma Unified School District	\$23,800,000	2006-11-07	Fresno	A	55	3,091	4,410	70.1%	\$7,700
579	North Monterey County Unified School District	\$23,800,000	2013-11-05	Monterey	H	55	2,453	4,019	61.0%	\$9,702
580	Taft City School District	\$23,600,000	2012-06-05	Kern	C	55	1,132	2,027	55.8%	\$20,848
581	Rim of the World Unified School District	\$23,340,000	2008-11-04	San Bernardino	W	55	8,116	13,171	61.6%	\$2,876
582	Bassett Unified School District	\$23,000,000	2004-11-02	Los Angeles	E	55	4,852	5,995	80.9%	\$4,740

## All California Educational Bond Measures Approved by Voters Since November 2000 Enactment of Proposition 39 - Ranked by Amount Authorized to Borrow

Rank	Educational District	Amount Authorized to Borrow	Year-Month-Day of Election	Counties	Measure	% Needed to Pass	Yes	Total	Passage	Amount Per Vote
583	Richland School District	\$23,000,000	2002-06-04	Kern	F	66.7	1,006	1,283	78.4%	\$22,863
584	Menlo Park City School District	\$23,000,000	2013-11-05	San Mateo	W	55	4,222	5,605	75.3%	\$5,448
585	Porterville Unified School District FID	\$23,000,000	2002-11-05	Tulare	A	55	3,681	5,368	68.6%	\$6,248
586	Sonora Union High School District	\$23,000,000	2012-11-06	Tuolumne	J	55	9,171	16,234	56.5%	\$2,508
587	Lakeside Union Elementary School District	\$22,500,000	2008-11-04	Kern	I	55	2,179	2,835	76.9%	\$10,326
588	Fort Bragg Unified School District	\$22,200,000	2003-11-04	Mendocino	D	55	1,946	2,958	65.8%	\$11,408
589	Washington Unified School District	\$22,000,000	2012-11-06	Yolo	W	55	2,757	3,787	72.8%	\$7,980
590	Redwood City Elementary School District	\$22,000,000	2002-03-05	San Mateo	B	55	8,946	13,774	64.9%	\$2,459
591	Gateway Unified School District	\$22,000,000	2002-03-05	Shasta	B	55	3,623	5,864	61.8%	\$6,072
592	College of the Sequoias	\$22,000,000	2006-11-07	Fresno/Kings/Tulare	C	55	8,279	13,948	59.4%	\$2,657
593	Orland Joint Unified School District	\$21,900,000	2008-02-05	Glenn	K	55	2,093	3,710	56.4%	\$10,463
594	Carmel Unified School District	\$21,500,000	2005-11-08	Monterey	A	55	7,744	10,512	73.7%	\$2,776
595	Lucia Mar Unified School District	\$21,350,000	2004-03-02	San Luis Obispo	A	55	13,848	21,869	63.3%	\$1,542
596	Fowler Unified School District	\$21,000,000	2004-11-02	Fresno	L	55	1,757	2,224	79.0%	\$11,952
597	Petaluma City School District	\$21,000,000	2014-06-03	Sonoma	C	55	9,760	15,033	64.9%	\$2,152
598	Cambrian School District	\$20,975,000	2002-11-05	Santa Clara	G	55	5,352	7,254	73.8%	\$3,919
599	North Monterey County Unified School District	\$20,750,000	2002-11-05	Monterey	E	55	4,188	6,123	68.4%	\$4,955
600	Lindsay Unified School District	\$20,700,000	2008-02-05	Tulare	B	55	1,285	1,825	70.4%	\$16,109
601	Taft City School District	\$20,000,000	2001-06-05	Kern	E	66.7	1,488	1,844	80.7%	\$13,441
602	Lynwood Unified School District	\$20,000,000	2002-11-05	Los Angeles	C	55	4,448	5,799	76.7%	\$4,496
603	John Swett Unified School District	\$20,000,000	2008-11-04	Contra Costa	A	55	3,950	5,350	73.8%	\$5,063
604	Bassett Unified School District	\$20,000,000	2006-11-07	Los Angeles	E	55	2,844	3,876	73.4%	\$7,032
605	Helendale School District	\$20,000,000	2008-11-04	San Bernardino	X	55	1,707	2,507	68.1%	\$11,716
606	Walnut Creek School District	\$20,000,000	2002-11-05	Contra Costa	C	55	11,876	18,290	64.9%	\$1,684
607	Oakdale Joint Unified School District	\$20,000,000	2002-11-05	San Joaquin	C	55	28	44	63.6%	\$714,286
608	Hawthorne Elementary School District	\$20,000,000	2008-06-03	Los Angeles	H	55	2,481	4,009	61.9%	\$8,061
609	Paso Robles Joint Unified School District	\$20,000,000	2006-11-07	San Luis Obispo/ Monterey	T	55	8,753	14,498	60.4%	\$2,285
610	West Hills Community College District	\$20,000,000	2014-11-04	Fresno/Monterey/San Benito/Kings/Madera	T	55	6,682	11,557	57.8%	\$2,993
611	Piner-Olivet Union School District	\$20,000,000	2010-11-02	Sonoma	L	55	3,006	5,429	55.4%	\$6,653
612	Saratoga Union School District	\$19,900,000	2002-03-05	Santa Clara	L	55	3,903	6,091	64.1%	\$5,099
613	Copper Mountain Community College District	\$19,720,000	2004-11-02	San Bernardino	T	55	12,455	17,379	71.7%	\$1,583
614	Byron Union School District	\$19,700,000	2006-06-06	Contra Costa	C	55	1,617	2,767	58.4%	\$12,183
615	Hueneme Elementary School District	\$19,600,000	2012-11-06	Ventura	T	55	8,408	11,011	76.4%	\$2,331
616	Patterson Joint Unified School District	\$19,500,000	2001-05-22	Stanislaus	G	66.7	1,341	1,871	71.7%	\$14,541
617	Escalon Unified School District	\$19,500,000	2012-11-06	San Joaquin	B	55	3,279	5,115	64.1%	\$5,947
618	Mendota Unified School District	\$19,000,000	2012-11-06	Fresno	M	55	856	1,158	73.9%	\$22,196
619	Santa Rosa Elementary School District	\$19,000,000	2002-03-05	Sonoma	C	55	9,204	13,074	70.4%	\$2,064
620	Larkspur-Corte Madera School District	\$19,000,000	2014-06-03	Marin	D	55	2,725	3,889	70.1%	\$6,972
621	Bellevue Union School District	\$19,000,000	2008-11-04	Sonoma	J	55	3,953	5,755	68.7%	\$4,806
622	Gateway Unified School District	\$19,000,000	2008-11-04	Shasta	G	55	7,152	12,396	57.7%	\$2,657
623	San Lorenzo Valley Unified School District	\$18,900,000	2008-02-05	Santa Cruz	O	55	6,298	10,452	60.3%	\$3,001
624	Healdsburg Unified School District SFID No. 1	\$18,820,000	2002-11-05	Sonoma	H	55	3,519	5,214	67.5%	\$5,348

## All California Educational Bond Measures Approved by Voters Since November 2000 Enactment of Proposition 39 - Ranked by Amount Authorized to Borrow

Rank	Educational District	Amount Authorized to Borrow	Year-Month-Day of Election	Counties	Measure	% Needed to Pass	Yes	Total	Passage	Amount Per Vote
625	Westside Union School District	Reauthorize \$18,510,000	2012-11-06	Los Angeles	WR	55	16,153	25,849	62.5%	\$1,146
626	Konocti Unified School District	\$18,500,000	2004-11-02	Lake	G	55	5,173	7,219	71.7%	\$3,576
627	Franklin-McKinley School District	\$18,000,000	2001-06-05	Santa Clara	A	66.7	1,640	1,996	82.2%	\$10,976
628	Little Lake City School District	\$18,000,000	2012-11-06	Los Angeles	EE	55	8,041	10,471	76.8%	\$2,239
629	Kings Canyon Unified School District	\$18,000,000	2002-03-05	Fresno/Tulare	K	55	4,257	6,556	64.9%	\$4,228
630	Bret Harte Union High School District	\$18,000,000	2008-11-04	Calaveras	K	55	6,167	10,295	59.9%	\$2,919
631	Pacific Grove Unified School District	\$18,000,000	2014-11-04	Monterey	A	55	4,020	6,724	59.8%	\$4,478
632	North Sacramento School District (Now Twin Rivers USD)	\$17,500,000	2006-06-06	Sacramento	C	55	2,523	3,641	69.3%	\$6,936
633	Centralia School District	\$17,500,000	2002-11-05	Orange	H	55	5,760	8,589	67.1%	\$3,038
634	Oak Park Unified School District	\$17,500,000	2006-06-06	Ventura	C	55	2,030	3,378	60.1%	\$8,621
635	Hueneme Elementary School District	\$17,100,000	2004-11-02	Ventura	I	55	8,681	11,732	74.0%	\$1,970
636	Mountain View School District	\$17,000,000	2001-05-08	San Bernardino	V	66.7	284	372	76.3%	\$59,859
637	Modesto City Schools (Modesto)	\$17,000,000	2001-11-06	Stanislaus	S	55	9,167	13,110	69.9%	\$1,854
638	Bonsall Unified School District	\$17,000,000	2005-11-08	San Diego	C	55	2,767	4,218	65.6%	\$6,144
639	Lakeport Unified School District	\$17,000,000	2014-11-04	Lake	T	55	1,543	2,405	64.2%	\$11,017
640	Cloverdale Unified School District	\$17,000,000	2010-11-02	Sonoma	G	55	2,096	3,769	55.6%	\$8,111
641	Castro Valley Unified School District	\$16,800,000	2002-03-05	Alameda	C	55	6,861	9,836	69.8%	\$2,449
642	Oakley Union Elementary School District	\$16,500,000	2004-11-02	Contra Costa	H	55	5,988	8,670	69.1%	\$2,756
643	Magnolia School District	\$16,300,000	2010-11-02	Orange	I	55	6,366	9,693	65.7%	\$2,560
644	Coalinga-Huron Joint Unified School District	\$16,100,000	2010-11-02	Fresno/Monterey/San Benito	E	55	1,813	2,844	63.7%	\$8,880
645	Mojave Unified School District SFID No. 1	\$16,000,000	2002-03-05	Kern	C	66.7	1,365	1,917	71.2%	\$11,722
646	Fort Bragg Unified School District	\$16,000,000	2008-06-03	Mendocino	C	55	3,329	4,813	69.2%	\$4,806
647	Lindsay Unified School District	\$16,000,000	2012-11-06	Tulare	L	55	1,468	2,503	58.6%	\$10,899
648	Sausalito Marin City School District	\$15,900,000	2004-11-02	Marin	I	55	4,346	5,911	73.5%	\$3,659
649	Mendocino Unified School District	\$15,500,000	2006-11-07	Mendocino	AA	55	2,327	3,281	70.9%	\$6,661
650	Rio Linda Union School District (Now Twin Rivers USD)	\$15,400,000	2002-11-05	Sacramento	N	55	9,946	15,195	65.5%	\$1,548
651	Middletown Unified School District	\$15,300,000	2006-11-07	Lake	X	55	2,020	3,021	66.9%	\$7,574
652	Anderson Valley Unified School District	\$15,250,000	2010-06-08	Mendocino	A	55	551	847	65.1%	\$27,677
653	Riverbank Unified School District	\$15,200,000	2005-11-08	Stanislaus	P	55	1,584	2,426	65.3%	\$9,596
654	Walnut Valley Unified School District	\$15,200,000	2007-11-06	Los Angeles	Y	55	3,859	6,784	56.9%	\$3,939
655	Mendota Unified School District	\$15,000,000	2014-11-04	Fresno	M	55	628	785	80.0%	\$23,885
656	Arvin Union School District	\$15,000,000	2014-11-04	Kern	E	55	1,114	1,402	79.5%	\$13,465
657	Ross School District	\$15,000,000	2006-06-06	Marin	B	66.7	976	1,237	78.9%	\$15,369
658	Los Nietos School District	\$15,000,000	2014-11-04	Los Angeles	E	55	1,300	1,686	77.1%	\$11,538
659	Soquel Union Elementary School District	\$15,000,000	2002-03-05	Santa Cruz	A	55	5,118	7,145	71.6%	\$2,931
660	Winters Joint Unified School District	\$15,000,000	2014-06-03	Solano/Yolo	R	55	1,097	1,538	71.3%	\$13,674
661	La Honda-Pescadero Unified	\$15,000,000	2006-11-07	San Mateo	I	55	918	1,309	70.1%	\$16,340
662	Plumas Unified School District	\$15,000,000	2002-11-05	Plumas	A	66.7	5,206	7,735	67.3%	\$2,881
663	Bass Lake Joint Union Elementary School District	\$15,000,000	2006-06-06	Madera	R	55	2,213	3,520	62.9%	\$6,778
664	Nevada Joint Union High School District	\$15,000,000	2002-03-05	Nevada	A	55	16,397	26,704	61.4%	\$915
665	Dinuba Unified School District	\$14,915,000	2002-11-05	Fresno/Tulare	K	55	2,266	3,228	70.2%	\$6,582
666	Santa Rita Union Elementary School District	\$14,600,000	2006-11-07	Monterey	C	55	2,161	3,737	57.8%	\$6,756

## All California Educational Bond Measures Approved by Voters Since November 2000 Enactment of Proposition 39 - Ranked by Amount Authorized to Borrow

Rank	Educational District	Amount Authorized to Borrow	Year-Month-Day of Election	Counties	Measure	% Needed to Pass	Yes	Total	Passage	Amount Per Vote
667	Live Oak School District	\$14,500,000	2004-03-02	Santa Cruz	E	55	4,446	6,100	72.9%	\$3,261
668	Menifee Union School District	\$14,500,000	2002-11-05	Riverside	J	55	6,853	11,568	59.2%	\$2,116
669	Hughson Unified School District	\$14,300,000	2004-11-02	Stanislaus	G	55	2,788	4,146	67.2%	\$5,129
670	Barstow Unified School District	\$14,000,000	2001-11-06	San Bernardino	C	55	2,492	4,386	56.8%	\$5,618
671	Willows Unified School District	\$14,000,000	2014-06-03	Glenn	T	55	140	177	79.1%	\$100,000
672	Mojave Unified School District SFID No. 2	\$14,000,000	2008-11-04	Kern	G	55	804	1,145	70.2%	\$17,413
673	River Delta Unified School District SFID No. 1	\$14,000,000	2004-11-02	Sacramento/Solano/Yolo	U	55	3,017	4,347	69.4%	\$4,640
674	El Segundo Unified School District	\$14,000,000	2008-11-04	Los Angeles	M	55	5,602	8,326	67.3%	\$2,499
675	Gustine Unified School District	\$14,000,000	2014-11-04	Merced	P	55	962	1,461	65.8%	\$14,553
676	Wright Elementary School District	\$14,000,000	2012-06-05	Sonoma	M	55	1,913	3,078	62.2%	\$7,318
677	Mark West Union School District	\$14,000,000	2010-06-08	Sonoma	C	55	2,372	3,994	59.4%	\$5,902
678	McKinleyville Union School District	\$14,000,000	2008-06-03	Humboldt	C	55	1,723	3,108	55.4%	\$8,125
679	Hermosa Beach City School District	\$13,600,000	2002-11-05	Los Angeles	J	55	3,874	5,944	65.2%	\$3,511
680	Woodside Elementary School District	\$13,500,000	2014-06-03	San Mateo	D	55	713	1,108	64.4%	\$18,934
681	Calaveras Unified School District	\$13,500,000	2006-11-07	Calaveras	A	55	5,241	9,396	55.8%	\$2,576
682	Ocean View School District	\$13,200,000	2006-11-07	Ventura	J	55	1,571	2,180	72.1%	\$8,402
683	Washington Union School District	\$13,000,000	2001-03-20	Monterey	A	66.7	1,253	1,704	73.5%	\$10,375
684	Albany Unified School District	\$13,000,000	2004-11-02	Alameda	A	55	5,879	7,304	80.5%	\$2,211
685	Los Nietos School District	\$13,000,000	2014-11-04	Los Angeles	N	55	1,285	1,668	77.0%	\$10,117
686	Reed Union School District	\$13,000,000	2005-11-08	Marin	B	55	3,907	5,566	70.2%	\$3,327
687	Denair Unified School District	\$13,000,000	2007-11-06	Stanislaus	K	55	812	1,290	62.9%	\$16,010
688	Golden Plains Unified School District	\$13,000,000	2014-11-04	Fresno	G	55	402	657	61.2%	\$32,338
689	Kingsburg Joint Union High School District	\$13,000,000	2014-06-03	Fresno/Kings/Tulare	K	55	1,588	2,844	55.8%	\$8,186
690	Acton-Agua Dulce Unified School District	\$13,000,000	2008-11-04	Los Angeles	CF	55	3,486	6,252	55.8%	\$3,729
691	Coast Unified School District SFID No. 1	\$12,700,000	2002-03-05	San Luis Obispo	B	55	2,260	3,159	71.5%	\$5,619
692	West Hills Community College District SFID No. 3	Reauthorize \$12,655,000	2012-11-06	Fresno/Monterey/San Benito/Kings/Madera	L	55	5,946	10,109	58.8%	\$2,128
693	Sutter Union High School District	\$12,600,000	2008-06-03	Sutter	T	55	1,194	1,810	66.0%	\$10,553
694	Siskiyou Union High School District	\$12,500,000	2008-11-04	Siskiyou	Q	55	4,418	7,659	57.7%	\$2,829
695	Cascade Union Elementary School District	\$12,400,000	2008-11-04	Shasta	C	55	3,211	4,800	66.9%	\$3,862
696	Anderson Union High School District	\$12,300,000	2012-11-06	Shasta	C	55	8,574	15,008	57.1%	\$1,435
697	Imperial Unified School District	\$12,000,000	2004-03-02	Imperial	I	55	1,265	1,823	69.4%	\$9,486
698	Woodside Elementary School District	\$12,000,000	2005-11-08	San Mateo	D	55	1,042	1,522	68.5%	\$11,516
699	Banning Unified School District	\$12,000,000	2002-11-05	Riverside	L	55	2,620	3,865	67.8%	\$4,580
700	Caruthers Unified School District	\$12,000,000	2012-11-06	Fresno	C	55	1,264	1,888	66.9%	\$9,494
701	Southern Kern Unified School District	\$12,000,000	2002-11-05	Kern	H	55	1,914	3,049	62.8%	\$6,270
702	Bellevue Union School District	\$12,000,000	2014-06-03	Sonoma	D	55	1,314	2,111	62.2%	\$9,132
703	Oroville Union High School District	\$12,000,000	2008-11-04	Butte	G	55	10,978	18,552	59.2%	\$1,093
704	Merced Community College District SFID No. 2	\$11,930,000	2002-11-05	Merced/Madera	J	55	4,644	6,863	67.7%	\$2,569
705	Kentfield School District	\$11,900,000	2004-03-02	Marin	E	55	3,259	4,630	70.4%	\$3,651
706	West Hills Community College District SFID No. 1	\$11,800,000	2008-06-03	Fresno/Madera	Q	55	782	1,171	66.8%	\$15,090
707	Solvang School District	\$11,650,000	2006-06-06	Santa Barbara	J	55	1,148	1,863	61.6%	\$10,148
708	West Hills Community College District SFID No. 2	\$11,600,000	2008-11-04	Fresno/Monterey/San Benito	C	55	2,656	4,040	65.7%	\$4,367

## All California Educational Bond Measures Approved by Voters Since November 2000 Enactment of Proposition 39 - Ranked by Amount Authorized to Borrow

Rank	Educational District	Amount Authorized to Borrow	Year-Month-Day of Election	Counties	Measure	% Needed to Pass	Yes	Total	Passage	Amount Per Vote
709	Linden Unified School District	\$11,500,000	2002-11-05	San Joaquin	S	55	2,099	3,764	55.8%	\$5,479
710	Standard School District	Reauthorize \$11,200,000	2012-11-06	Kern	Q	55	4,179	5,923	70.6%	\$2,680
711	Aromas-San Juan Unified School District	\$11,200,000	2002-03-05	Monterey/San Benito	S	55	1,243	1,988	62.5%	\$9,010
712	Eastern Sierra Unified School District	\$11,100,000	2004-11-02	Mono	E	55	1,067	1,824	58.5%	\$10,403
713	Arvin Union School District	\$11,000,000	2004-03-02	Kern	C	55	854	1,105	77.3%	\$12,881
714	Kerman Unified School District	\$11,000,000	2004-11-02	Fresno	K	55	2,407	3,387	71.1%	\$4,570
715	Mark West Union School District	\$11,000,000	2002-11-05	Sonoma	I	55	2,957	4,348	68.0%	\$3,720
716	Amador County Unified School District	\$11,000,000	2002-11-05	Amador	F	55	8,332	12,752	65.3%	\$1,320
717	Brisbane Elementary School District	\$11,000,000	2003-11-04	San Mateo	C	55	706	1,083	65.2%	\$15,581
718	Twin Hills Union School District	\$11,000,000	2010-11-02	Sonoma	M	55	1,975	3,046	64.8%	\$5,570
719	Pacheco Union School District	\$11,000,000	2008-11-04	Shasta	B	55	2,534	4,548	55.7%	\$4,341
720	Turlock Unified School District (Turlock Elementary School District)	\$10,950,000	2002-11-05	Stanislaus/Merced	D	55	8,989	13,048	68.9%	\$1,218
721	Rio Bravo-Greeley Union School District	\$10,900,000	2008-02-05	Kern	B	55	957	1,372	69.8%	\$11,390
722	Needles Unified School District	\$10,900,000	2008-06-03	San Bernardino	N	55	623	1,049	59.4%	\$17,496
723	Reef-Sunset Unified School District	\$10,830,000	2012-06-05	Kings	M	55	376	451	83.4%	\$28,803
724	Lennox Elementary School District	\$10,700,000	2007-11-06	Los Angeles	L	55	682	881	77.4%	\$15,689
725	Bennett Valley Union School District	\$10,600,000	2010-11-02	Sonoma	J	55	2,976	4,732	62.9%	\$3,562
726	Eureka Unified School District SFID No. 1	\$10,585,000	2002-03-05	Humboldt	S	55	7,598	12,225	62.2%	\$1,393
727	Elverta Elementary School District	\$10,500,000	2002-11-05	Sacramento/Placer	L	55	512	861	59.5%	\$20,508
728	Oroville Union High School District	\$10,400,000	2002-11-05	Butte	J	55	6,809	11,379	59.8%	\$1,527
729	Beardsley School District	\$10,300,000	2006-06-06	Kern	B	55	1,444	2,383	60.6%	\$7,133
730	Lemon Grove School District	Reauthorize \$10,000,000	2014-11-04	San Diego	R	55	4,323	6,016	71.9%	\$2,313
731	Albany Unified School District	\$10,000,000	2008-02-05	Alameda	E	55	4,667	6,467	72.2%	\$2,143
732	Fillmore Unified School District	\$10,000,000	2004-11-02	Ventura	E	55	3,650	5,370	68.0%	\$2,740
733	Livingston Union School District	\$10,000,000	2005-11-08	Merced	B	55	1,002	1,487	67.4%	\$9,980
734	Palos Verdes Peninsula Unified School District	\$10,000,000	2005-11-08	Los Angeles	S	55	14,678	21,911	67.0%	\$681
735	John Swett Unified School District	\$10,000,000	2002-03-05	Contra Costa	B	55	1,778	2,673	66.5%	\$5,624
736	Southern Humboldt Joint Unified School District	\$10,000,000	2014-11-04	Humboldt/Mendocino	X	55	1,632	2,510	65.0%	\$6,127
737	Greenfield Union School District	\$10,000,000	2014-11-04	Monterey	C	55	1,014	1,626	62.4%	\$9,862
738	Fortuna Union High School District	\$10,000,000	2012-11-06	Humboldt	D	55	5,072	8,278	61.3%	\$1,972
739	Greenfield Union School District	\$10,000,000	2014-11-04	Monterey	D	55	987	1,623	60.8%	\$10,132
740	Ripon Unified School District	\$10,000,000	2002-03-05	San Joaquin	J	55	2,060	3,535	58.3%	\$4,854
741	Turlock Unified School District SFID No. 1	\$9,900,000	2006-11-07	Stanislaus/Merced	Z	55	8,570	14,405	59.5%	\$1,155
742	Kingsburg Joint Union High School District	\$9,900,000	2006-06-06	Fresno/Kings/Tulare	K	55	1,688	2,897	58.3%	\$5,865
743	Weaver Union School District	\$9,800,000	2006-06-06	Merced	B	55	533	850	62.7%	\$18,386
744	Aromas-San Juan Unified School District	\$9,700,000	2010-11-02	Monterey/San Benito	Z	55	1,822	3,116	58.5%	\$5,324
745	Mark Twain Union Elementary School District	\$9,600,000	2004-03-02	Calaveras	K	55	1,786	2,885	61.9%	\$5,375
746	College School District	\$9,370,000	2004-11-02	Santa Barbara	Z	55	2,028	3,271	62.0%	\$4,620
747	Big Oak Flat-Groveland Unified School District	\$9,300,000	2005-11-08	Tuolumne	M	55	1,563	2,285	68.4%	\$5,950
748	Shoreline Unified School District	\$9,290,000	2009-11-03	Marin/Sonoma	D	55	1,033	1,624	63.6%	\$8,993
749	Galt Joint Union High School District	\$9,240,000	2001-10-23	Sacramento/San Joaquin	W	66.7	2,136	3,027	70.6%	\$4,326
750	River Delta Unified School District SFID No. 2	\$9,000,000	2004-11-02	Yolo/Sacramento/Solano	V	55	1,165	1,529	76.2%	\$7,725

## All California Educational Bond Measures Approved by Voters Since November 2000 Enactment of Proposition 39 - Ranked by Amount Authorized to Borrow

Rank	Educational District	Amount Authorized to Borrow	Year-Month-Day of Election	Counties	Measure	% Needed to Pass	Yes	Total	Passage	Amount Per Vote
751	Sebastopol Union School District	\$9,000,000	2012-06-05	Sonoma	H	55	2,869	4,094	70.1%	\$3,137
752	Somis Union School District	\$9,000,000	2012-11-06	Ventura	S	55	955	1,416	67.4%	\$9,424
753	Susanville Elementary School District	\$9,000,000	2008-11-04	Lassen	S	55	3,025	4,552	66.5%	\$2,975
754	Wheatland School District	\$9,000,000	2012-11-06	Yuba	U	55	2,250	3,637	61.9%	\$4,000
755	Pollock Pines Elementary School District	\$9,000,000	2012-06-05	El Dorado	K	55	1,606	2,601	61.7%	\$5,604
756	Calipatria Unified School District	\$9,000,000	2013-11-05	Imperial	T	55	422	705	59.9%	\$21,327
757	Chawanakee Unified School District	\$9,000,000	2005-11-08	Madera	Q	55	1,126	1,976	57.0%	\$7,993
758	Weaver Union School District	\$9,000,000	2012-11-06	Merced	G	55	1,422	2,534	56.1%	\$6,329
759	Gold Oak Union School District	\$8,950,000	2008-11-04	El Dorado	E	55	2,326	4,149	56.1%	\$3,848
760	Columbia School District	\$8,600,000	2014-11-04	Shasta	E	55	1,984	3,502	56.7%	\$4,335
761	Live Oak Unified School District	\$8,400,000	2004-03-02	Sutter	G	55	961	1,515	63.4%	\$8,741
762	McFarland Unified School District	\$8,300,000	2004-03-02	Kern	B	55	649	833	77.9%	\$12,789
763	Greenfield Union School District	\$8,300,000	2010-06-08	Monterey	L	55	761	1,276	59.6%	\$10,907
764	Denair Unified School District	\$8,200,000	2001-11-06	Stanislaus	P	66.7	959	1,181	81.2%	\$8,551
765	Chowchilla Union High School District	\$8,100,000	2004-11-02	Madera	N	55	2,258	3,368	67.0%	\$3,587
766	Mojave Unified School District SFID No. 1	\$8,100,000	2014-11-04	Kern	C	55	1,551	2,450	63.3%	\$5,222
767	Holtville Unified School District	\$8,000,000	2002-11-05	Imperial	E	55	1,282	1,666	77.0%	\$6,240
768	Delhi Unified School District	\$8,000,000	2012-11-06	Merced	E	55	1,435	2,013	71.3%	\$5,575
769	Hope Elementary School District	\$8,000,000	2010-06-08	Santa Barbara	L	55	3,278	4,746	69.1%	\$2,441
770	El Centro Elementary School District	\$8,000,000	2003-11-04	Imperial	G	55	1,493	2,522	59.2%	\$5,358
771	Yreka Union High School District	\$8,000,000	2014-11-04	Siskiyou	H	55	2,942	5,316	55.3%	\$2,719
772	Elk Hills School District	\$7,905,000	2004-03-02	Kern	D	55	54	72	75.0%	\$146,389
773	Pixley Union School District	\$7,800,000	2014-06-03	Tulare	Z	55	229	298	76.8%	\$34,061
774	Midway School District	\$7,800,000	2004-03-02	Kern	F	55	93	137	67.9%	\$83,871
775	Sonora Elementary School District	\$7,800,000	2010-11-02	Tuolumne	H	55	1,867	3,385	55.2%	\$4,178
776	Turlock Unified School District	\$7,725,000	2006-11-07	Stanislaus	Y	55	8,942	16,143	55.4%	\$864
777	South Whittier Elementary School District	\$7,500,000	2004-11-02	Los Angeles	I	55	4,577	5,910	77.4%	\$1,639
778	Kingsburg Joint Union Elementary School District	\$7,500,000	2004-03-02	Tulare/Kings/Fresno	R	55	2,221	3,175	70.0%	\$3,377
779	Escalon Unified School District	\$7,500,000	2002-11-05	San Joaquin	T	55	2,402	3,531	68.0%	\$3,122
780	Brawley Elementary School District	\$7,500,000	2012-11-06	Imperial	S	55	4,081	6,096	66.9%	\$1,838
781	Pioneer Elementary School District	\$7,500,000	2005-11-08	Kings	P	55	1,524	2,336	65.2%	\$4,921
782	Lakeport Unified School District	\$7,300,000	2001-11-06	Lake	W	55	1,246	1,794	69.5%	\$5,859
783	Lindsay Unified School District	\$7,200,000	2002-03-05	Tulare	C	55	1,099	1,576	69.7%	\$6,551
784	El Tejon Unified School District	\$7,120,000	2005-11-08	Kern/Ventura	E	55	1,257	2,240	56.1%	\$5,664
785	Arcata Elementary School District	\$7,000,000	2012-11-06	Humboldt	F	55	4,667	6,195	75.3%	\$1,500
786	Roseland School District	\$7,000,000	2012-11-06	Sonoma	N	55	1,612	2,335	69.0%	\$4,342
787	Spreckels Union School District	\$7,000,000	2012-11-06	Monterey	B	55	2,091	3,346	62.5%	\$3,348
788	McCabe Union Elementary School District	\$7,000,000	2014-11-04	Imperial	G	55	780	1,370	56.9%	\$8,974
789	Black Oak Mine Unified School District	\$6,900,000	2006-11-07	El Dorado	G	55	3,768	6,034	62.4%	\$1,831
790	Ross School District	\$6,750,000	2008-06-03	Marin	A	55	894	1,183	75.6%	\$7,550
791	Wasco Union High School District	\$6,600,000	2001-06-05	Kern	F	66.7	1,229	1,642	74.8%	\$5,370
792	Buttonwillow Union Elementary School District	\$6,500,000	2002-11-05	Kern	I	55	223	285	78.2%	\$29,148

## All California Educational Bond Measures Approved by Voters Since November 2000 Enactment of Proposition 39 - Ranked by Amount Authorized to Borrow

Rank	Educational District	Amount Authorized to Borrow	Year-Month-Day of Election	Counties	Measure	% Needed to Pass	Yes	Total	Passage	Amount Per Vote
793	Buellton Union School District	\$6,500,000	2004-03-02	Santa Barbara	U	55	1,176	1,905	61.7%	\$5,527
794	Exeter Union Elementary School District	\$6,400,000	2008-02-05	Tulare	D	55	2,066	3,031	68.2%	\$3,098
795	Cutler-Orosi Joint Unified School District	\$6,400,000	2004-03-02	Fresno/Tulare	O	55	687	1,113	61.7%	\$9,316
796	Lost Hills Union School District	\$6,200,000	2005-11-08	Kern	G	55	35	58	60.3%	\$177,143
797	Portola Valley School District	\$6,000,000	2001-11-06	San Mateo	J	55	1,823	2,483	73.4%	\$3,291
798	Bayshore Elementary School District	\$6,000,000	2014-06-03	San Mateo	C	55	325	396	82.1%	\$18,462
799	Palo Verde Community College District	\$6,000,000	2005-06-07	San Bernardino	K	66.7	608	912	66.7%	\$9,868
800	Gravenstein Union School District	\$6,000,000	2012-11-06	Sonoma	M	55	1,971	2,986	66.0%	\$3,044
801	Parlier Unified School District	\$6,000,000	2014-06-03	Fresno	P	55	554	840	66.0%	\$10,830
802	Guerneville School District	\$6,000,000	2012-06-05	Sonoma	F	55	993	1,533	64.8%	\$6,042
803	Maricopa Unified School District	\$6,000,000	2004-11-02	Kern	O	55	342	534	64.0%	\$17,544
804	Oak Grove Union School District	\$6,000,000	2014-11-04	Sonoma	K	55	1,174	1,846	63.6%	\$5,111
805	Oroville City Elementary School District	\$6,000,000	2002-11-05	Butte	F	55	4,742	7,525	63.0%	\$1,265
806	Pierce Joint Unified School District	\$6,000,000	2002-03-05	Colusa	A	55	529	905	58.5%	\$11,342
807	Kernville Union School District	\$6,000,000	2008-06-03	Kern	D	55	1,778	3,060	58.1%	\$3,375
808	Klamath-Trinity Joint Unified School District	\$5,950,000	2008-11-04	Humboldt/Trinity	S	55	1,287	1,865	69.0%	\$4,623
809	Colusa Unified School District	\$5,900,000	2014-11-04	Colusa	A	55	1,049	1,663	63.1%	\$5,624
810	Wheatland School District	\$5,700,000	2008-11-04	Yuba	R	55	1,465	2,243	65.3%	\$3,891
811	Kernville Union School District	\$5,600,000	2004-03-02	Kern	E	55	2,559	3,770	67.9%	\$2,188
812	Laytonville Unified School District	\$5,500,000	2014-11-04	Mendocino	Q	55	610	888	68.7%	\$9,016
813	Dehesa School District	\$5,500,000	2010-11-02	San Diego	M	55	507	868	58.4%	\$10,848
814	Spreckels Union School District	\$5,335,000	2002-11-05	Monterey	D	55	1,594	2,322	68.6%	\$3,347
815	Placerville Union School District	\$5,300,000	2002-11-05	El Dorado	K	55	3,274	4,896	66.9%	\$1,619
816	Forestville Union School District	\$5,100,000	2001-11-06	Sonoma	E	66.7	1,041	1,464	71.1%	\$4,899
817	Forestville Union School District	\$5,100,000	2010-11-02	Sonoma	K	55	2,104	3,183	66.1%	\$2,424
818	Exeter Union High School District	\$5,100,000	2006-11-07	Tulare	U	55	2,486	3,931	63.2%	\$2,051
819	Keyes Union High School District	\$5,000,000	2005-03-08	Stanislaus	L	66.7	392	532	73.7%	\$12,755
820	Lagunitas Elementary School District	\$5,000,000	2013-11-05	Marin	A	55	754	1,093	69.0%	\$6,631
821	Greenfield Union School District	\$5,000,000	2002-03-05	Kern	A	55	2,242	3,423	65.5%	\$2,230
822	Chatom Union Elementary School District	\$5,000,000	2006-11-07	Stanislaus	U	55	617	1,007	61.3%	\$8,104
823	Rohnerville School District (in Fortuna Elementary School District)	\$5,000,000	2010-06-08	Humboldt	M	55	934	1,581	59.1%	\$5,353
824	Loma Prieta Schools	\$4,965,000	2002-03-05	Santa Clara/Santa Cruz	K	55	982	1,466	67.0%	\$5,056
825	Cayucos Elementary School District	\$4,950,000	2004-11-02	San Luis Obispo	P	55	1,316	1,893	69.5%	\$3,761
826	Farmersville Unified School District	\$4,800,000	2014-11-04	Tulare	A	55	575	871	66.0%	\$8,348
827	Rancho Santa Fe Elementary School District	\$4,800,000	2004-03-02	San Diego	K	55	1,417	2,238	63.3%	\$3,387
828	Los Olivos School District	\$4,650,000	2006-11-07	Santa Barbara	N	55	469	763	61.5%	\$9,915
829	Parlier Unified School District	\$4,500,000	2004-11-02	Fresno	P	55	1,152	1,603	71.9%	\$3,906
830	Briggs Elementary School District	\$4,500,000	2014-11-04	Ventura	K	55	398	587	67.8%	\$11,307
831	Woodlake Union High School District	\$4,500,000	2008-02-05	Tulare	C	55	1,592	2,576	61.8%	\$2,827
832	Dos Palos-Oro Loma Joint Unified School District	\$4,400,000	2002-11-05	Fresno/Merced	G	55	1,130	1,676	67.4%	\$3,894
833	Exeter Union High School District	\$4,350,000	2001-03-06	Tulare	S	55	1,268	1,836	69.1%	\$3,431
834	Ocean View School District	Reauthorize \$4,200,000	2012-11-06	Ventura	P	55	2,530	3,230	78.3%	\$1,660

## All California Educational Bond Measures Approved by Voters Since November 2000 Enactment of Proposition 39 - Ranked by Amount Authorized to Borrow

Rank	Educational District	Amount Authorized to Borrow	Year-Month-Day of Election	Counties	Measure	% Needed to Pass	Yes	Total	Passage	Amount Per Vote
835	Le Grand Union High School District	\$4,200,000	2014-06-03	Merced	N	55	401	717	55.9%	\$10,474
836	Big Pine Unified School District	\$4,100,000	2010-06-08	Inyo	A	55	360	581	62.0%	\$11,389
837	Nuvew Union School District	Reauthorize \$4,000,000	2012-11-06	Riverside	V	55	1,632	2,526	64.6%	\$2,451
838	Vineland School District	\$4,000,000	2007-05-22	Kern	C	66.7	188	227	82.8%	\$21,277
839	Round Valley Unified School District	\$4,000,000	2014-06-03	Mendocino	L	55	290	398	72.9%	\$13,793
840	East Nicolaus Joint Union High School District	\$4,000,000	2014-11-04	Sutter/Placer	W	55	583	863	67.6%	\$6,861
841	Wilmar Union School District	\$4,000,000	2012-11-06	Sonoma	P	55	1,075	1,679	64.0%	\$3,721
842	Mesa Union Elementary School District	\$4,000,000	2008-06-03	Ventura	A	55	506	827	61.2%	\$7,905
843	Springville Union Elementary School District	\$4,000,000	2014-06-03	Tulare	J	55	724	1,229	58.9%	\$5,525
844	Healdsburg Unified School District	\$3,990,000	2002-11-05	Sonoma	G	55	3,922	6,023	65.1%	\$1,017
845	Fortuna Elementary School District	\$3,900,000	2006-11-07	Humboldt	X	55	1,720	2,329	73.9%	\$2,267
846	Mesa Union Elementary School District	\$3,900,000	2002-11-05	Ventura	H	55	786	1,204	65.3%	\$4,962
847	Hart-Ransom Union School District	\$3,900,000	2007-11-06	Stanislaus	G	55	388	656	59.1%	\$10,052
848	Happy Valley Union Elementary School District	\$3,800,000	2008-11-04	Shasta	E	55	1,743	2,787	62.5%	\$2,180
849	Arena Union Elementary School District	\$3,700,000	2003-11-04	Mendocino	E	55	554	752	73.7%	\$6,679
850	Oak View Union School District	\$3,700,000	2008-06-03	San Joaquin	R	55	380	568	66.9%	\$9,737
851	Columbia Union Elementary School District	\$3,700,000	2004-03-02	Tuolumne	H	55	1,351	2,404	56.2%	\$2,739
852	Earlimart School District	\$3,600,000	2012-11-06	Tulare	H	55	672	847	79.3%	\$5,357
853	Columbia Union Elementary School District	\$3,600,000	2003-03-04	Tuolumne	G	55	937	1,417	66.1%	\$3,842
854	Strathmore Union High School District	\$3,500,000	2002-02-05	Tulare	A	66.7	463	624	74.2%	\$7,559
855	North County Joint Union School District	\$3,350,000	2006-06-06	San Benito/Santa Clara	M	55	458	830	55.2%	\$7,314
856	Terra Bella Union Elementary School District	\$3,300,000	2008-11-04	Tulare	K	55	763	1,133	67.3%	\$4,325
857	Tipton Elementary School District	\$3,300,000	2014-11-04	Tulare	C	55	211	353	59.8%	\$15,640
858	Geyserville Unified School District	\$3,250,000	2008-02-05	Sonoma	A	55	498	722	69.0%	\$6,526
859	Sebastopol Union School District	\$3,200,000	2001-06-05	Sonoma	C	66.7	2,642	3,398	77.8%	\$1,211
860	Buellton Union School District	\$3,200,000	2012-06-05	Santa Barbara	V	55	278	479	58.0%	\$11,511
861	Dehesa School District	Reauthorize \$3,000,000	2012-11-06	San Diego	D	55	562	1,005	55.9%	\$5,338
862	Bishop Union Elementary School District	\$3,000,000	2008-11-04	Inyo	A	55	3,740	5,375	69.6%	\$802
863	Kelseyville Unified School District	\$2,930,000	2001-11-06	Lake	X	55	1,361	1,717	79.3%	\$2,153
864	Howell Mountain School District	\$2,900,000	2005-11-08	Napa	C	55	663	939	70.6%	\$4,374
865	Planada Elementary School District	\$2,900,000	2008-11-04	Merced	O	55	625	904	69.1%	\$4,640
866	Cayucos Elementary School District	\$2,900,000	2006-11-07	San Luis Obispo	Z	55	1,003	1,551	64.7%	\$2,891
867	Armona Union Elementary School District	\$2,785,000	2004-03-02	Kings	S	55	534	832	64.2%	\$5,215
868	Princeton Unified School District	\$2,750,000	2014-06-03	Glenn/Colusa	S	55	165	262	63.0%	\$16,667
869	Corning Union School District	\$2,700,000	2002-03-05	Tehama	B	55	1,358	2,357	57.6%	\$1,988
870	Owens Valley Unified School District	\$2,600,000	2005-11-08	Inyo	A	55	196	348	56.3%	\$13,265
871	Cinnabar Elementary School District	\$2,500,000	2014-11-04	Sonoma	J	55	494	808	61.1%	\$5,061
872	Gridley Unified School District ESFID No. 1	\$2,500,000	2012-06-05	Butte	D	55	1,058	1,911	55.4%	\$2,363
873	Happy Valley Union Elementary School District	\$2,495,000	2014-06-03	Shasta	C	55	724	1,146	63.2%	\$3,446
874	Burton Elementary School District	\$2,455,000	2002-11-05	Tulare	B	55	1,471	2,327	63.2%	\$1,669
875	Cold Spring School District	\$2,440,000	2008-11-04	Santa Barbara	C	55	987	1,653	59.7%	\$2,472
876	Southern Trinity Unified School District	\$2,300,000	2012-06-05	Humboldt/Trinity	V	55	170	298	57.0%	\$13,529

## All California Educational Bond Measures Approved by Voters Since November 2000 Enactment of Proposition 39 - Ranked by Amount Authorized to Borrow

Rank	Educational District	Amount Authorized to Borrow	Year-Month-Day of Election	Counties	Measure	% Needed to Pass	Yes	Total	Passage	Amount Per Vote
877	Trinidad Union School District	\$2,200,000	2012-06-05	Humboldt	W	55	568	697	81.5%	\$3,873
878	Big Pine Unified School District	\$2,200,000	2005-03-08	Inyo	B	66.7	410	600	68.3%	\$5,366
879	Cottonwood Union Elementary School District	\$2,200,000	2003-11-04	Shasta	A	55	1,111	1,678	66.2%	\$1,980
880	Julian Union High School District	\$2,100,000	2010-11-02	San Diego	L	55	1,259	2,086	60.4%	\$1,668
881	Thermalito Union School District	\$2,050,000	2004-11-02	Butte	M	55	1,721	2,999	57.4%	\$1,191
882	Hilmar Unified School District	\$2,000,000	2002-11-05	Merced	E	55	1,483	2,034	72.9%	\$1,349
883	Blue Lake Union Elementary School District	\$2,000,000	2010-06-08	Humboldt	K	55	528	821	64.3%	\$3,788
884	Franklin School District	\$2,000,000	2006-06-06	Sutter	P	55	452	724	62.4%	\$4,425
885	Liberty School District	\$1,920,000	2004-11-02	Sonoma	L	55	618	959	64.4%	\$3,107
886	Chualar Union Elementary School District	\$1,900,000	2001-11-06	Monterey	K	55	157	236	66.5%	\$12,102
887	Gold Trail Union School District	\$1,800,000	2002-11-05	El Dorado	G	55	1,639	2,577	63.6%	\$1,098
888	Merced River School District	\$1,800,000	2014-06-03	Merced	L	55	95	155	61.3%	\$18,947
889	Grant Elementary School District	\$1,700,000	2004-03-02	Shasta	A	55	671	1,204	55.7%	\$2,534
890	Soulsbyville Elementary School District	\$1,500,000	2002-11-05	Tuolumne	B	55	1,057	1,541	68.6%	\$1,419
891	Planada Elementary School District	\$1,500,000	2014-06-03	Merced	O	55	220	353	62.3%	\$6,818
892	Big Creek Elementary School District	\$1,400,000	2004-11-02	Fresno	M	55	167	246	67.9%	\$8,383
893	Valley Home Joint School District	\$1,400,000	2004-03-02	Stanislaus/San Joaquin	S	55	264	410	64.4%	\$5,303
894	Manchester Union Elementary School District	\$1,310,000	2004-11-02	Mendocino	O	55	151	255	59.2%	\$8,675
895	Hydesville Elementary School District	\$1,100,000	2014-06-03	Humboldt	M	55	244	371	65.8%	\$4,508
896	Bradley Union School District	\$1,100,000	2011-11-08	Monterey	T	55	33	54	61.1%	\$33,333
897	Chualar Union Elementary School District	\$1,000,000	2004-03-02	Monterey	A	55	182	299	60.9%	\$5,495
898	Kings River Union Elementary School District	\$850,000	2005-11-08	Tulare	E	55	300	457	65.6%	\$2,833
899	Kings River Union Elementary School District	\$850,000	2006-06-06	Tulare	N	55	163	289	56.4%	\$5,215
900	Pacific Elementary School District	\$830,000	2012-11-06	Santa Cruz	M	55	292	374	78.1%	\$2,842
901	Banta Elementary School District	\$800,000	2002-11-05	San Joaquin	X	55	216	318	67.9%	\$3,704
902	Stone Corral Elementary School District	\$715,000	2008-06-03	Tulare	F	55	46	71	64.8%	\$15,543
903	Traver Joint Elementary School District	\$700,000	2004-03-02	Tulare/Kings	S	55	124	170	72.9%	\$5,645
904	Traver Joint Elementary School District	\$700,000	2004-11-02	Tulare/Kings	A	55	146	211	69.2%	\$4,795
905	Paradise Elementary School District	\$660,000	2002-03-05	Stanislaus	X	55	151	239	63.2%	\$4,371
906	Peninsula Union School District	\$600,000	2001-11-06	Humboldt	R	55	47	76	61.8%	\$12,766
907	Loleta Union School District	\$456,000	2004-11-02	Humboldt	K	55	422	621	68.0%	\$1,081
908	Washington Colony Elementary School District	\$400,000	2004-03-02	Fresno	B	55	350	503	69.6%	\$1,143
909	Linns Valley-Poso Flat Elementary School District	\$340,000	2002-11-05	Tulare/Kern	J	55	191	266	71.8%	\$1,780
910	Mission Union School District	\$315,000	2004-11-02	Monterey	E	55	103	126	81.7%	\$3,058
911	Graves Union School District	\$180,000	2004-11-02	Monterey	D	55	16	21	76.2%	\$11,250
	<b>TOTAL</b> (includes three state bond measures)	<b>\$145,386,418,737</b>	(\$146,116,783,737 if 16 reauthorizations included)				<b>30,172,510</b>	<b>49,224,369</b>	<b>61.3%</b>	<b>\$4,819</b>

# Appendix C

## All California Educational Bond Measures Rejected by Voters Since November 2000 Enactment of Proposition 39 - Ranked by Amount NOT Authorized to Borrow

Rank	Educational District	Amount Proposed to Borrow	Year- Month-Day of Election	Counties	Measure	% Needed to Pass	Yes	Total	Passage	Outcome
1	Folsom Cordova Unified School District	\$750,000,000	2006-11-07	Sacramento	M	66.7	63	101	62.4%	Fail
2	Mt. San Jacinto Community College District	\$720,000,000	2006-06-06	Riverside	G	55	42,697	78,198	54.6%	Fail
3	San Mateo County Community College District	\$564,000,000	2011-11-08	San Mateo	H	55	48,933	92,171	53.1%	Fail
4	MiraCosta Community College District	\$497,000,000	2012-11-06	San Diego	EE	55	80,929	147,583	54.8%	Fail
5	Chawanakee Unified School District	\$450,000,000	2003-09-23	Madera	I	66.7	625	1,890	33.1%	Fail
6	Sierra Joint Community College District	\$394,000,000	2004-03-02	Placer/Nevada/El Dorado	E	55	66,165	133,912	49.4%	Fail
7	Rancho Santiago Community College District	\$393,000,000	2006-11-07	Orange	O	55	48,520	91,286	53.2%	Fail
8	Victor Valley Community College District	\$338,000,000	2006-11-07	San Bernardino/Los Angeles	X	55	32,781	61,809	53.0%	Fail
9	San Luis Obispo County Community College District	\$310,000,000	2006-06-06	San Luis Obispo/Monterey	G	55	29,464	63,758	46.2%	Fail
10	Orange Unified School District	\$296,000,000	2014-11-04	Orange	K	55	25,992	47,605	54.6%	Fail
11	Santa Barbara Community College District	\$288,000,000	2014-11-04	Santa Barbara	S	55	30,493	60,707	50.2%	Fail
12	Torrance Unified School District	\$280,000,000	2006-06-06	Los Angeles	T	55	12,694	23,694	53.6%	Fail
13	West Contra Costa Unified School District	\$270,000,000	2014-06-03	Contra Costa	H	55	13,529	29,215	46.3%	Fail
14	West Valley-Mission Community College District	\$268,653,300	2002-03-05	Santa Clara/Santa Cruz	E	55	31,541	62,565	50.4%	Fail
15	Antelope Valley Joint Union High School District	\$240,000,000	2007-11-06	Los Angeles	W	55	10,518	20,723	50.8%	Fail
16	Vallejo City Unified School District	\$239,000,000	2014-11-04	Solano	E	66.7	13,392	21,776	61.5%	Fail
17	North Orange County Community College District	\$239,000,000	2002-03-05	Orange/Los Angeles	X	55	70,179	151,820	46.2%	Fail
18	Hesperia Unified School District	\$207,000,000	2014-11-04	San Bernardino	L	55	4,970	12,890	38.6%	Fail
19	Orange Unified School District	\$200,000,000	2004-03-02	Orange	A	55	21,797	44,575	48.9%	Fail
20	Grossmont Union High School District	\$199,000,000	2002-11-05	San Diego	T	66.7	64,773	101,487	63.8%	Fail
21	Ocean View School District	\$198,000,000	2012-11-06	Orange	P	55	18,700	34,697	53.9%	Fail
22	Napa Valley Community College District	\$198,000,000	2014-11-04	Napa/Sonoma	E	55	19,884	36,932	53.8%	Fail
23	Orange Unified School District	\$196,000,000	2004-11-02	Orange	A	55	42,928	78,640	54.6%	Fail
24	ABC Unified School District	\$195,200,000	2014-11-04	Los Angeles	AA	55	7,591	17,270	44.0%	Fail
25	Rio Hondo Community College District	\$194,600,000	2002-11-05	Los Angeles	R	55	31,913	60,587	52.7%	Fail
26	Napa Valley Community College District	\$178,400,000	2008-02-05	Napa/Sonoma	L	55	22,440	43,153	52.0%	Fail
27	Antelope Valley Joint Union High School District	\$177,500,000	2006-06-06	Los Angeles/Kern	E	55	15,105	28,812	52.4%	Fail
28	Western Placer Unified School District	\$163,000,000	2010-11-02	Placer	J	55	9,045	20,294	44.6%	Fail
29	Monterey Peninsula Unified School District	\$158,000,000	2002-03-05	Monterey	A	55	5,924	13,665	43.4%	Fail
30	Central Unified School District	\$152,000,000	2008-06-03	Fresno	E	55	4,092	7,469	54.8%	Fail
31	Hemet Unified School District	\$149,000,000	2006-06-06	Riverside	C	55	10,463	19,697	53.1%	Fail
32	San Mateo-Foster City School District	\$130,000,000	2013-11-05	San Mateo	P	55	8,002	17,197	46.5%	Fail
33	Jurupa Unified School District	\$125,000,000	2012-06-05	Riverside	M	55	3,703	7,117	52.0%	Fail
34	Gavilan Community College District	\$108,000,000	2004-03-02	Santa Clara/San Benito	E	66.7	17,735	31,410	56.5%	Fail
35	Snowline Joint Unified School District	\$108,000,000	2006-06-06	San Bernardino/Los Angeles	I	55	2,840	5,284	53.7%	Fail
36	Merced Union High School District	\$104,000,000	2006-11-07	Merced	E	55	14,317	26,867	53.3%	Fail
37	Tracy Unified School District	\$103,000,000	2002-11-05	San Joaquin/Alameda	W	55	7,497	14,986	50.0%	Fail
38	Yucaipa-Calimesa Joint Unified School District	\$98,000,000	2012-11-06	San Bernardino/Riverside	O	55	10,786	21,150	51.0%	Fail

## All California Educational Bond Measures Rejected by Voters Since November 2000 Enactment of Proposition 39 - Ranked by Amount NOT Authorized to Borrow

Rank	Educational District	Amount Proposed to Borrow	Year- Month-Day of Election	Counties	Measure	% Needed to Pass	Yes	Total	Passage	Outcome
39	Santa Maria Joint Union High School District	\$98,000,000	2004-03-02	Santa Barbara	V	55	12,894	25,394	50.8%	Fail
40	College of the Sequoias	\$95,000,000	2004-03-02	Fresno/Kings/Tulare	N	55	28,341	54,637	51.9%	Fail
41	Claremont Unified School District	\$95,000,000	2010-11-02	Los Angeles	CL	55	6,198	15,354	40.4%	Fail
42	Tahoe Truckee Unified School District	\$93,530,000	2008-06-03	Nevada/Placer	L	55	2,202	4,245	51.9%	Fail
43	Tahoe Truckee Unified School District	\$93,530,000	2008-11-04	Nevada/Placer	U	55	4,270	8,809	48.5%	Fail
44	Porterville Unified School District	\$90,000,000	2012-11-06	Tulare	J	55	9,749	19,012	51.3%	Fail
45	Oak Park Unified School District	\$89,000,000	2006-06-06	Ventura	B	66.7	2,032	3,389	60.0%	Fail
46	Sequoia Union High School District	\$88,000,000	2001-06-05	San Mateo	A	66.7	16,903	25,781	65.6%	Fail
47	Lake Tahoe Unified School District	\$87,000,000	2008-06-03	El Dorado	A	55	2,777	5,312	52.3%	Fail
48	Liberty Union High School District	\$85,000,000	2006-11-07	Contra Costa	K	55	12,156	22,845	53.2%	Fail
49	Clovis Unified School District	\$79,000,000	2001-03-06	Fresno	A	66.7	12,839	19,932	64.4%	Fail
50	Sierra Joint Community College District	\$78,200,000	2006-06-06	Placer/Nevada/El Dorado	B	55	28,307	64,887	43.6%	Fail
51	Woodland Joint Unified School District	\$78,000,000	2014-11-04	Yolo/Sutter	S	55	5,488	12,718	43.2%	Fail
52	Del Mar Union School District	\$76,800,000	2012-11-06	San Diego	CC	55	9,699	17,869	54.3%	Fail
53	Oak Park Unified School District	\$71,100,000	2006-11-07	Ventura	H	66.7	2,786	5,058	55.1%	Fail
54	Gilroy Unified School District	\$69,000,000	2002-03-05	Santa Clara	D	55	3,720	7,028	52.9%	Fail
55	Westside Union School District	\$67,500,000	2006-06-06	Los Angeles	K	55	4,543	8,652	52.5%	Fail
56	Porterville Unified School District	\$67,000,000	2014-11-04	Tulare	B	55	4,930	12,794	38.5%	Fail
57	Ramona Unified School District	\$66,000,000	2012-11-06	San Diego	R	55	7,737	15,288	50.6%	Fail
58	Roseville Joint Union High School District	\$65,300,000	2002-11-05	Placer/Sacramento	K	55	21,653	40,229	53.8%	Fail
59	Mammoth School District	\$65,000,000	2008-11-04	Mono	K	66.7	1,518	3,183	47.7%	Fail
60	Hemet Unified School District	\$60,000,000	2002-03-05	Riverside	E	66.7	12,899	20,547	62.8%	Fail
61	Snowline Joint Unified School District	\$60,000,000	2014-11-04	San Bernardino/Los Angeles	L	55	3,399	7,439	45.7%	Fail
62	Antioch Unified School District	\$59,500,000	2012-06-05	Contra Costa	J	55	4,722	8,659	54.5%	Fail
63	Washington Unified School District	\$59,000,000	2007-11-06	Yolo	S	55	2,343	4,644	50.5%	Fail
64	Jurupa Unified School District	\$58,000,000	2001-11-06	Riverside	C	66.7	2,818	4,359	64.6%	Fail
65	Scotts Valley Unified School District	\$55,000,000	2008-06-03	Santa Cruz	Q	55	3,020	5,637	53.6%	Fail
66	Hermosa Beach City School District	\$54,000,000	2014-11-04	Los Angeles	Q	55	3,283	6,027	54.5%	Fail
67	Beaumont Unified School District	\$54,000,000	2004-11-02	Riverside/San Bernardino	AA	55	6,935	13,918	49.8%	Fail
68	Brea-Olinda Unified School District	\$54,000,000	2012-06-05	Orange	E	55	3,254	6,721	48.4%	Fail
69	John Swett Unified School District	\$52,000,000	2014-11-04	Contra Costa	M	55	1,687	3,129	53.9%	Fail
70	West Hills Community College District	\$49,400,000	2006-11-07	Fresno/Monterey/San Benito/Kings/Madera	W	55	6,041	11,789	51.2%	Fail
71	West Hills Community College District	\$49,400,000	2006-06-06	Fresno/Monterey/San Benito/Kings/Madera	B	55	3,419	6,773	50.5%	Fail
72	Central Elementary School District	\$49,000,000	2006-11-07	San Bernardino	L	66.7	4,803	7,423	64.7%	Fail
73	Mt. San Jacinto Community College District	\$47,000,000	2010-11-02	Riverside	U	55	10,754	20,458	52.6%	Fail
74	Acton-Agua Dulce Unified School District	\$46,200,000	2008-02-05	Los Angeles	V	55	2,365	4,632	51.1%	Fail
75	Rancho Santa Fe Elementary School District	\$46,000,000	2002-11-05	San Diego	Y	55	1,194	2,696	44.3%	Fail
76	Wasco Union High School District	\$45,000,000	2007-11-06	Kern	G	66.7	834	1,358	61.4%	Fail
77	Lynwood Unified School District	\$45,000,000	2010-11-02	Los Angeles	L	55	4,821	8,963	53.8%	Fail
78	Rancho Santa Fe Elementary School District	\$44,500,000	2006-06-06	San Diego	H	55	1,506	2,925	51.5%	Fail
79	Scotts Valley Unified School District	\$44,200,000	2002-03-05	Santa Cruz	B	66.7	3,716	5,652	65.7%	Fail

## All California Educational Bond Measures Rejected by Voters Since November 2000 Enactment of Proposition 39 - Ranked by Amount NOT Authorized to Borrow

Rank	Educational District	Amount Proposed to Borrow	Year-Month-Day of Election	Counties	Measure	% Needed to Pass	Yes	Total	Passage	Outcome
80	Los Banos Unified School District	\$44,000,000	2008-02-05	Merced	K	66.7	4,285	6,558	65.3%	Fail
81	West Contra Costa Unified School District	\$42,000,000	2003-09-16	Contra Costa	C	66.7	11,444	19,367	59.1%	Fail
82	Visalia Unified School District	\$41,000,000	2006-06-06	Tulare	M	55	8,821	17,093	51.6%	Fail
83	Ramona Unified School District	\$40,000,000	2014-11-04	San Diego	Q	55	5,488	10,290	53.3%	Fail
84	San Bruno Park School District	\$40,000,000	2011-11-08	San Mateo	O	55	2,368	4,607	51.4%	Fail
85	Perris Union High School District	\$38,000,000	2004-03-02	Riverside	D	55	9,214	17,222	53.5%	Fail
86	Oakdale Joint Unified School District	\$37,500,000	2006-06-06	San Joaquin/Stanislaus	R	55	2,546	5,587	45.6%	Fail
87	Oakdale Joint Unified School District	\$37,500,000	2006-11-07	San Joaquin/Stanislaus	C	55	3,856	8,547	45.1%	Fail
88	Lynwood Unified School District	\$37,400,000	2010-06-08	Los Angeles	AA	55	1,312	2,588	50.7%	Fail
89	West Hills Community College District	\$36,000,000	2005-11-08	Fresno/Monterey/San Benito/Kings/Madera	B	55	5,763	10,673	54.0%	Fail
90	Shasta-Tehama-Trinity Joint Community College District	\$34,000,000	2001-11-06	Humboldt/Lassen/Modoc/Shasta/Tehama/	A	66.7	16,422	27,909	58.8%	Fail
91	Fallbrook Union Elementary School District	\$32,000,000	2002-03-05	San Diego	L	55	4,299	7,975	53.9%	Fail
92	Kings Canyon Unified School District	\$32,000,000	2006-06-06	Fresno/Tulare	C	55	2,630	4,897	53.7%	Fail
93	Dixon Unified School District	\$32,000,000	2010-11-02	Solano	D	55	2,830	6,026	47.0%	Fail
94	Mountain Empire Unified School District	\$30,800,000	2012-06-05	San Diego	G	55	1,352	2,489	54.3%	Fail
95	Mountain Empire Unified School District	\$30,800,000	2012-11-06	San Diego	G	55	2,037	4,492	45.3%	Fail
96	Rincon Valley Union School District	\$30,000,000	2002-11-05	Sonoma	J	66.7	9,187	14,228	64.6%	Fail
97	Coronado Unified School District	\$29,000,000	2014-06-03	San Diego	E	55	2,275	5,416	42.0%	Fail
98	Pacific Grove Unified School District	\$27,800,000	2013-11-05	Monterey	G	55	2,828	5,421	52.2%	Fail
99	Montecito Union School District	\$27,150,000	2014-11-04	Santa Barbara	Q	55	1,257	2,831	44.4%	Fail
100	Alpine Union Elementary School District	\$26,000,000	2002-11-05	San Diego	W	55	2,468	5,532	44.6%	Fail
101	Visalia Unified School District	\$25,600,000	2004-03-02	Tulare	P	55	12,602	23,973	52.6%	Fail
102	Central Elementary School District	\$25,000,000	2003-03-04	San Bernardino	I	66.7	837	1,575	53.1%	Fail
103	Ramona Unified School District	\$25,000,000	2002-03-05	San Diego	N	55	3,459	6,990	49.5%	Fail
104	Calipatria Unified School District	\$25,000,000	2010-06-08	Imperial	I	55	317	655	48.4%	Fail
105	Anderson Union High School District	\$25,000,000	2006-06-06	Shasta	A	55	3,867	8,416	45.9%	Fail
106	Corcoran Unified School District	\$24,700,000	2010-06-08	Kings/Tulare	Q	55	618	1,206	51.2%	Fail
107	Mojave Unified School District	\$24,000,000	2007-09-18	Kern	E	66.7	1,093	1,704	64.1%	Fail
108	Fountain Valley Unified School District	\$23,500,000	2012-11-06	Orange	N	55	11,987	23,411	51.2%	Fail
109	Aromas-San Juan Unified School District	\$23,500,000	2006-06-06	Monterey/San Benito	N	55	971	2,004	48.5%	Fail
110	Acton-Agua Dulce Unified School District	\$21,500,000	2002-11-05	Los Angeles	Q	55	1,621	3,574	45.4%	Fail
111	Hughson Unified School District	\$21,000,000	2010-11-02	Stanislaus	H	55	1,533	3,757	40.8%	Fail
112	Willows Unified School District	\$20,700,000	2008-11-04	Glenn	N	55	1,701	3,440	49.4%	Fail
113	Willows Unified School District	\$20,700,000	2008-06-03	Glenn	L	55	1,129	2,292	49.3%	Fail
114	Fallbrook Union Elementary School District	\$20,000,000	2001-06-05	San Diego	A	66.7	2,999	5,429	55.2%	Fail
115	Plumas Lake School District	\$20,000,000	2008-11-04	Yuba	Q	66.7	858	1,589	54.0%	Fail
116	Paso Robles Joint Unified School District	\$20,000,000	2006-06-06	San Luis Obispo/Monterey	H	55	5,620	10,272	54.7%	Fail
117	Upland Unified School District	\$20,000,000	2002-11-05	San Bernardino	S	55	7,821	15,778	49.6%	Fail
118	Cajon Valley Union School District	\$20,000,000	2014-11-04	San Diego	C	55	13,382	27,266	49.1%	Fail
119	Santa Ynez Valley Unified School District	\$19,840,000	2012-11-06	Santa Barbara	L	55	5,387	10,257	52.5%	Fail
120	Richland School District	\$19,800,000	2007-06-05	Kern	D	66.7	643	972	66.2%	Fail

## All California Educational Bond Measures Rejected by Voters Since November 2000 Enactment of Proposition 39 - Ranked by Amount NOT Authorized to Borrow

Rank	Educational District	Amount Proposed to Borrow	Year- Month-Day of Election	Counties	Measure	% Needed to Pass	Yes	Total	Passage	Outcome
121	Loomis Union School District	\$19,500,000	2006-11-07	Placer	M	55	4,511	8,505	53.0%	Fail
122	Ross School District	\$19,000,000	2005-03-08	Marin	C	66.7	781	1,327	58.9%	Fail
123	El Segundo Unified School District	\$19,000,000	2006-11-07	Los Angeles	Q	55	2,909	5,818	50.0%	Fail
124	Woodland Joint Unified School District	\$19,000,000	2014-11-04	Yolo/Sutter	T	55	5,279	12,689	41.6%	Fail
125	Aromas-San Juan Unified School District	\$18,800,000	2008-06-03	Monterey/San Benito/ Santa Cruz	V	55	952	2,011	47.3%	Fail
126	Loomis Union School District	\$17,700,000	2008-02-05	Placer	G	55	4,148	7,892	52.6%	Fail
127	Gonzales Unified School District	\$16,900,000	2014-06-03	Monterey	N	55	408	824	49.5%	Fail
128	Atwater Elementary School District	\$16,500,000	2002-11-05	Merced	I	66.7	3,425	5,621	60.9%	Fail
129	Galt Joint Union High School District	\$16,000,000	2004-03-02	Sacramento/San Joaquin	G	55	3,940	7,619	51.7%	Fail
130	Cutler-Orosi Unified School District	\$15,000,000	2010-11-02	Fresno/Tulare	T	66.7	869	1,752	49.6%	Fail
131	Muroc Joint Unified School District	\$14,800,000	2007-11-06	Kern/San Bernardino	H	66.7	457	845	54.1%	Fail
132	Willows Unified School District	\$14,700,000	2012-11-06	Glenn	P	55	1,743	3,238	53.8%	Fail
133	Gateway Unified School District	\$14,500,000	2006-06-06	Shasta	B	55	3,331	6,427	51.8%	Fail
134	Cold Spring School District	\$14,500,000	2006-11-07	Santa Barbara	K	55	661	1,299	50.9%	Fail
135	Byron Union School District	\$14,299,707	2004-11-02	Contra Costa	G	55	2,756	5,058	54.5%	Fail
136	Riverbank Unified School District	\$14,000,000	2003-11-04	Stanislaus	O	66.7	729	1,155	63.1%	Fail
137	Riverbank Unified School District	\$14,000,000	2004-03-02	Stanislaus	R	66.7	1,328	2,156	61.6%	Fail
138	Piedmont Unified School District	\$13,500,000	2014-06-03	Alameda	H	55	1,801	3,779	47.7%	Fail
139	Acton-Agua Dulce Unified School District	\$13,100,000	2004-03-02	Los Angeles	S	55	1,935	3,813	50.7%	Fail
140	Hermosa Beach City School District	\$13,100,000	2006-06-06	Los Angeles	A	55	1,840	3,653	50.4%	Fail
141	Acton-Agua Dulce Unified School District	\$13,100,000	2003-11-04	Los Angeles	AA	55	1,231	2,547	48.3%	Fail
142	Golden Plains Unified School District	\$13,000,000	2014-06-03	Fresno	G	55	192	362	53.0%	Fail
143	Palo Verde Community College District	\$12,500,000	2014-11-04	Riverside/San Bernardino	P	55	1,847	3,434	53.8%	Fail
144	Mojave Unified School District	\$12,000,000	2001-03-06	Kern	A	66.7	882	1,389	63.5%	Fail
145	College School District	\$12,000,000	2014-11-04	Santa Barbara	Y	55	1,244	2,490	50.0%	Fail
146	Sierra Unified School District	\$12,000,000	2008-11-04	Fresno	V	55	2,698	5,550	48.6%	Fail
147	College School District	\$12,000,000	2012-11-06	Santa Barbara	K	55	1,429	3,118	45.8%	Fail
148	Alpine Union Elementary School District	\$12,000,000	2002-11-05	San Diego	V	55	2,514	5,534	45.4%	Fail
149	Alpine Union Elementary School District	\$11,995,000	2012-06-05	San Diego	H	55	1,933	4,525	42.7%	Fail
150	Linden Unified School District	\$11,500,000	2002-03-05	San Joaquin	O	55	1,574	2,877	54.7%	Fail
151	Newman-Crows Landing Unified School District	\$11,500,000	2005-11-08	Stanislaus	O	55	869	1,760	49.4%	Fail
152	Mother Lode Union Elementary School District	\$11,400,000	2006-06-06	El Dorado	A	55	2,405	4,509	53.3%	Fail
153	Caruthers Unified School District	\$11,300,000	2008-02-05	Fresno	A	55	683	1,474	46.3%	Fail
154	Atwater Elementary School District	\$11,000,000	2004-03-02	Merced	A	66.7	2,450	4,241	57.8%	Fail
155	Gridley Unified School District	\$11,000,000	2012-06-05	Butte	C	55	1,147	2,178	52.7%	Fail
156	Waterford Unified School District	\$11,000,000	2010-11-02	Stanislaus	I	55	849	2,189	38.8%	Fail
157	Gridley Unified School District	\$11,000,000	2012-11-06	Butte	G	55	1,377	3,577	38.5%	Fail
158	Southern Humboldt Joint Unified School District	\$10,000,000	2014-06-03	Humboldt/Mendocino	N	55	959	1,768	54.2%	Fail
159	Sierra Unified School District	\$10,000,000	2008-11-04	Fresno	W	55	2,836	5,560	51.0%	Fail
160	Byron Union School District	\$10,000,000	2002-03-05	Contra Costa	E	55	1,158	3,812	30.4%	Fail
161	Sierra Unified School District	\$9,600,000	2010-11-02	Fresno	S	55	2,087	4,227	49.4%	Fail

## All California Educational Bond Measures Rejected by Voters Since November 2000 Enactment of Proposition 39 - Ranked by Amount NOT Authorized to Borrow

Rank	Educational District	Amount Proposed to Borrow	Year- Month-Day of Election	Counties	Measure	% Needed to Pass	Yes	Total	Passage	Outcome
162	Columbia Union Elementary School District	\$9,500,000	2008-11-04	Shasta	D	55	2,518	4,655	54.1%	Fail
163	Bolinas-Stinson Union School District	\$9,000,000	2014-11-04	Marin	B	55	451	907	49.7%	Fail
164	Fortuna Elementary School District	\$9,000,000	2014-11-04	Humboldt	W	55	1,602	3,590	44.6%	Fail
165	Corcoran Unified School District	\$9,000,000	2012-06-05	Kings/Tulare	V	55	521	1,223	42.6%	Fail
166	Cold Spring School District	\$8,500,000	2008-02-05	Santa Barbara	R	55	670	1,336	50.1%	Fail
167	Mendocino Unified School District	\$8,500,000	2002-11-05	Mendocino	Z	55	1,120	2,724	41.1%	Fail
168	Calaveras Unified School District	\$8,440,000	2004-03-02	Calaveras	M	55	3,563	7,945	44.8%	Fail
169	Sutter Union High School District	\$8,260,000	2004-11-02	Sutter	L	66.7	1,885	3,038	62.0%	Fail
170	Mojave Unified School District	\$8,100,000	2014-06-03	Kern	A	55	837	1,527	54.8%	Fail
171	Beardsley School District	\$8,000,000	2005-11-08	Kern	D	66.7	1,771	2,788	63.5%	Fail
172	Summersville Union High School District	\$8,000,000	2012-11-06	Tuolumne	H	55	2,601	4,731	55.0%	Fail
173	Summersville Union High School District	\$8,000,000	2010-11-02	Tuolumne	G	55	2,354	4,353	54.1%	Fail
174	Lucerne Valley Unified School District	\$7,950,000	2010-06-08	San Bernardino	S	55	472	1,392	33.9%	Fail
175	Turlock Unified School District	\$7,725,000	2006-11-07	Stanislaus/Merced	Y	55	8,842	16,143	54.8%	Fail
176	Needles Unified School District	\$7,500,000	2006-06-06	San Bernardino	J	55	536	1,002	53.5%	Fail
177	Chawanahee Unified School District	\$7,400,000	2004-03-02	Madera	J	55	1,210	2,761	43.8%	Fail
178	Lemoore Union Elementary School District	\$7,200,000	2002-11-05	Kings	A	66.7	2,198	4,229	52.0%	Fail
179	Elk Hills School District	\$7,130,000	2014-06-03	Kern	B	55	19	40	47.5%	Fail
180	Lemoore Union High School District	\$7,100,000	2004-03-02	Kings	K	55	1,729	3,431	50.4%	Fail
181	Kingsburg Joint Union High School District	\$7,000,000	2005-11-08	Fresno/Kings/Tulare	K	66.7	2,828	4,284	66.0%	Fail
182	McCabe Union Elementary School District	\$7,000,000	2007-06-05	Imperial	U	66.7	347	589	58.9%	Fail
183	McCabe Union Elementary School District	\$7,000,000	2008-02-05	Imperial	A	66.7	520	990	52.5%	Fail
184	Eastern Sierra Unified School District	\$7,000,000	2004-03-02	Mono	A	55	680	1,264	53.8%	Fail
185	McCabe Union Elementary School District	\$7,000,000	2008-06-03	Imperial	B	55	495	973	50.9%	Fail
186	Biggs Unified School District	\$6,900,000	2008-11-04	Butte	E	55	644	1,408	45.7%	Fail
187	Springville Union Elementary School District	\$6,700,000	2009-05-19	Tulare	L	66.7	561	1,305	43.0%	Fail
188	Springville Union Elementary School District	\$6,700,000	2009-11-03	Tulare	O	66.7	485	1,428	34.0%	Fail
189	Paso Robles Joint Unified School District	\$6,700,000	2002-11-05	San Luis Obispo/ Monterey	N	55	5,862	11,222	52.2%	Fail
190	McSwain Union School District	\$6,500,000	2002-03-05	Merced	C	66.7	431	1,325	32.5%	Fail
191	Susanville Elementary School District	\$6,250,000	2006-11-07	Lassen	P	55	1,663	3,195	52.1%	Fail
192	Elk Hills School District	\$6,200,000	2012-11-06	Kern	O	55	65	142	45.8%	Fail
193	Nuvview Union School District	\$6,000,000	2002-11-05	Riverside	T	66.7	1,034	1,626	63.6%	Fail
194	Nuvview Union School District	\$6,000,000	2003-03-04	Riverside	A	66.7	375	674	55.6%	Fail
195	Oroville City Elementary School District	\$6,000,000	2006-06-06	Butte	A	55	2,697	5,932	45.5%	Fail
196	Biggs Unified School District	\$6,000,000	2012-06-05	Butte	B	55	360	810	44.4%	Fail
197	Paso Robles Joint Unified School District	\$5,900,000	2002-11-05	San Luis Obispo/ Monterey	M	55	5,164	10,091	51.2%	Fail
198	Scott Valley Unified School District	\$5,900,000	2010-11-02	Siskiyou	E	55	817	2,443	33.4%	Fail
199	Big Oak Flat-Groveland Unified School District	\$5,750,000	2002-11-05	Tuolumne	A	55	1,041	2,116	49.2%	Fail
200	Eastern Sierra Unified School District	\$5,600,000	2002-11-05	Mono	B	55	598	1,203	49.7%	Fail
201	Hamilton Unified School District	\$5,400,000	2010-11-02	Glenn	O	55	372	704	52.8%	Fail
202	Sierra Unified School District	\$5,400,000	2012-06-05	Fresno	O	55	1,924	3,780	50.9%	Fail

## All California Educational Bond Measures Rejected by Voters Since November 2000 Enactment of Proposition 39 - Ranked by Amount NOT Authorized to Borrow

Rank	Educational District	Amount Proposed to Borrow	Year- Month-Day of Election	Counties	Measure	% Needed to Pass	Yes	Total	Passage	Outcome
203	Semitropic School District	\$5,000,000	2013-11-05	Kern	D	66.7	12	21	57.1%	Fail
204	Knightesen Elementary School District	\$5,000,000	2010-11-02	Contra Costa	N	55	375	684	54.8%	Fail
205	Lassen Union High School District	\$5,000,000	2004-03-02	Lassen	G	55	2,874	5,678	50.6%	Fail
206	Sierra Plumas Joint Unified School District	\$5,000,000	2010-11-02	Sierra/Plumas	C	55	765	2,035	37.6%	Fail
207	Fall River Joint Unified School District	\$4,400,000	2004-11-02	Shasta/Lassen/Modoc	J	66.7	2,274	3,785	60.1%	Fail
208	Latrobe Unified School District	\$4,300,000	2005-11-08	El Dorado	U	55	586	1,100	53.3%	Fail
209	Biggs Unified School District	\$4,275,000	2008-02-05	Butte	A	55	530	1,085	48.8%	Fail
210	Pine Ridge Elementary School District	\$4,000,000	2014-06-03	Fresno	P	55	187	365	51.2%	Fail
211	Pine Ridge Elementary School District	\$4,000,000	2014-11-04	Fresno	R	55	219	432	50.7%	Fail
212	Arcohe Union Elementary School District	\$3,900,000	2010-06-08	Sacramento	A	55	377	1,310	28.8%	Fail
213	Susanville Elementary School District	\$3,700,000	2004-11-02	Lassen	N	55	2,461	4,505	54.6%	Fail
214	Strathmore Union High School District (now in Porterville Unified School District)	\$3,500,000	2001-09-25	Tulare	A	66.7	288	449	64.1%	Fail
215	Butteville Unified School District	\$3,500,000	2012-11-06	Siskiyou	R	55	424	897	47.3%	Fail
216	Baker Valley Unified School District	\$3,200,000	2010-11-02	San Bernardino	D	55	41	102	40.2%	Fail
217	Junction Elementary School District	\$3,100,000	2010-11-02	Shasta	C	55	1,025	1,868	54.9%	Fail
218	Tipton Elementary School District	\$3,100,000	2008-02-05	Tulare	E	55	174	342	50.9%	Fail
219	Penryn School District	\$3,000,000	2002-03-05	Placer	F	66.7	399	962	41.5%	Fail
220	Knightesen Elementary School District	\$3,000,000	2012-11-06	Contra Costa	H	55	397	847	46.9%	Fail
221	Tipton Elementary School District	\$2,800,000	2006-11-07	Tulare	V	55	174	365	47.7%	Fail
222	Caruthers Unified School District	\$2,800,000	2008-02-05	Fresno	B	55	424	935	45.3%	Fail
223	McCabe Union Elementary School District	\$2,500,000	2002-11-05	Imperial	F	66.7	348	575	60.5%	Fail
224	Jacoby Creek School District	\$2,500,000	2014-11-04	Humboldt	Y	55	640	1,174	54.5%	Fail
225	Colfax School District	\$2,500,000	2008-11-04	Placer	Q	55	1,320	2,463	53.6%	Fail
226	Jacoby Creek School District	\$2,500,000	2006-11-07	Humboldt	Y	55	678	1,465	46.3%	Fail
227	Merced City Elementary School District	\$2,200,000	2003-05-13	Merced	K	66.7	4,379	6,924	63.2%	Fail
228	Franklin School District	\$2,200,000	2004-03-02	Sutter	E	66.7	554	889	62.3%	Fail
229	Vallecitos School District	\$2,000,000	2014-11-04	San Diego	O	55	299	559	53.5%	Fail
230	Le Grand Union High School	\$1,950,000	2001-11-06	Merced	A	66.7	396	648	61.1%	Fail
231	Leggett Valley Unified School District	\$1,750,000	2008-06-03	Mendocino	D	66.7	79	136	58.1%	Fail
232	Etna High School District	\$1,560,000	2002-11-05	Siskiyou	G	55	1,010	2,013	50.2%	Fail
233	Banta Elementary School District	\$1,420,000	2001-03-06	San Joaquin	I	66.7	135	209	64.6%	Fail
234	Manchester Union Elementary School District	\$1,180,000	2002-11-05	Mendocino	W	66.7	118	196	60.2%	Fail
235	Planada Elementary School District	\$1,100,000	2004-11-02	Merced	M	66.7	462	721	64.1%	Fail
236	Paradise Elementary School District	\$400,000	2002-11-05	Stanislaus	E	66.7	181	283	64.0%	Fail
<b>TOTAL</b>		<b>\$14,729,638,007</b>					<b>1,533,336</b>	<b>2,947,408</b>	<b>52.0%</b>	

# Appendix D

## All California Educational Bond Measures Approved With a Two-Thirds Threshold Since November 2000 Enactment of Proposition 39 - Listed By Election Year

Rank	Educational District	Amount Proposed to Borrow	Year-Month-Day of Election	Counties	Measure	% Needed to Pass	Yes	Total	Passage	Outcome
1	Fresno Unified School District	\$199,000,000	2001-03-06	Fresno	K	66.7	19,864	29,542	67.2%	Pass
2	Tamalpais Union High School District	\$121,000,000	2001-03-06	Marin	A	66.7	14,527	21,319	68.1%	Pass
3	Liberty Union High School District	\$40,000,000	2001-03-06	Contra Costa	A	66.7	5,100	7,060	72.2%	Pass
4	Washington Union School District	\$13,000,000	2001-03-20	Monterey	A	66.7	1,253	1,704	73.5%	Pass
5	Mountain View School District	\$17,000,000	2001-05-08	San Bernardino	V	66.7	284	372	76.3%	Pass
6	Patterson Joint Unified School District	\$19,500,000	2001-05-22	Stanislaus	G	66.7	1,341	1,871	71.7%	Pass
7	Los Gatos Union School District	\$91,000,000	2001-06-05	Santa Clara	B	66.7	4,615	5,443	84.8%	Pass
8	Cupertino Union School District	\$80,000,000	2001-06-05	Santa Clara	C	66.7	11,117	14,860	74.8%	Pass
9	Covina Valley Unified School District	\$50,000,000	2001-06-05	Los Angeles	K	66.7	4,746	6,551	72.4%	Pass
10	Taft City School District	\$20,000,000	2001-06-05	Kern	E	66.7	1,488	1,844	80.7%	Pass
11	Franklin-McKinley School District	\$18,000,000	2001-06-05	Santa Clara	A	66.7	1,640	1,996	82.2%	Pass
12	Wasco Union High School District	\$6,600,000	2001-06-05	Kern	F	66.7	1,229	1,642	74.8%	Pass
13	Sebastopol Union School District	\$3,200,000	2001-06-05	Sonoma	C	66.7	2,642	3,398	77.8%	Pass
14	Colton Joint Unified School District	\$102,000,000	2001-09-25	San Bernardino	B	66.7	3,884	5,181	75.0%	Pass
15	Galt Joint Union High School District	\$9,240,000	2001-10-23	Sacramento/San Joaquin	W	66.7	2,136	3,027	70.6%	Pass
16	Desert Sands Unified School District	\$450,000,000	2001-11-06	Riverside	K	66.7	12,110	15,006	80.7%	Pass
17	City College of San Francisco	\$195,000,000	2001-11-06	San Francisco	A	66.7	91,643	127,035	72.1%	Pass
18	Riverside Unified School District	\$175,000,000	2001-11-06	Riverside	B	66.7	16,466	24,104	68.3%	Pass
19	Vacaville Unified School District	\$101,300,000	2001-11-06	Solano	V	66.7	8,606	12,737	67.6%	Pass
20	Jefferson Elementary School District	\$52,000,000	2001-11-06	San Mateo	H	66.7	3,829	5,597	68.4%	Pass
21	Reed Union School District	\$38,000,000	2001-11-06	Marin	C	66.7	2,886	3,864	74.7%	Pass
22	Denair Unified School District	\$8,200,000	2001-11-06	Stanislaus	P	66.7	959	1,181	81.2%	Pass
23	Forestville Union School District	\$5,100,000	2001-11-06	Sonoma	E	66.7	1,041	1,464	71.1%	Pass
24	Strathmore Union High School District	\$3,500,000	2002-02-05	Tulare	A	66.7	463	624	74.2%	Pass
25	Sonoma County Community College District	\$251,700,000	2002-03-05	Sonoma/Marin/Mendocino	E	66.7	77,248	113,889	67.8%	Pass
26	Rocklin Unified School District	\$52,000,000	2002-03-05	Placer	B	66.7	7,101	10,500	67.6%	Pass
27	Moreland School District	\$35,000,000	2002-03-05	Santa Clara	J	66.7	5,597	7,722	72.5%	Pass
28	Mojave Unified School District SFID No. 1	\$16,000,000	2002-03-05	Kern	C	66.7	1,365	1,917	71.2%	Pass
29	Saugus Union School District	\$48,000,000	2002-06-04	Los Angeles	E	66.7	4,462	6,043	73.8%	Pass
30	Richland School District	\$23,000,000	2002-06-04	Kern	F	66.7	1,006	1,283	78.4%	Pass
31	San Mateo Union High School District	Repurpose 2000 bonds	2002-11-05	San Mateo	C	66.7	40,674	55,573	73.2%	Pass
32	El Monte Union High School District	\$90,000,000	2002-11-05	Los Angeles	Y	66.7	13,584	17,815	76.3%	Pass
33	Lompoc Unified School District	\$38,000,000	2002-11-05	Santa Barbara	N	66.7	9,049	12,993	69.6%	Pass
34	Plumas Unified School District	\$15,000,000	2002-11-05	Plumas	A	66.7	5,206	7,735	67.3%	Pass
35	Brentwood Union Elementary School District	\$35,000,000	2003-03-04	Contra Costa	B	66.7	2,968	4,385	67.7%	Pass
36	New Haven Unified School District	\$120,000,000	2003-11-04	Alameda	A	66.7	3,817	5,581	68.4%	Pass
37	Desert Community College District	\$346,500,000	2004-03-02	Riverside/Imperial	B	66.7	36,698	53,375	68.8%	Pass
38	Tulare Joint Union High School District	\$45,000,000	2004-03-02	Tulare/Kings	Q	66.7	6,308	9,112	69.2%	Pass

## All California Educational Bond Measures Approved With a Two-Thirds Threshold Since November 2000 Enactment of Proposition 39 - Listed By Election Year

Rank	Educational District	Amount Proposed to Borrow	Year-Month-Day of Election	Counties	Measure	% Needed to Pass	Yes	Total	Passage	Outcome
39	Keyes Union High School District	\$5,000,000	2005-03-08	Stanislaus	L	66.7	392	532	73.7%	Pass
40	Big Pine Unified School District	\$2,200,000	2005-03-08	Inyo	B	66.7	410	600	68.3%	Pass
41	Coachella Valley Unified School District	\$250,000,000	2005-06-07	Riverside/Imperial	D	66.7	1,747	2,322	75.2%	Pass
42	Palo Verde Community College District	\$6,000,000	2005-06-07	San Bernardino	K	66.7	608	912	66.7%	Pass
43	Delano Joint Union High School District	\$55,000,000	2005-11-08	Kern/Tulare	C	66.7	3,321	4,552	73.0%	Pass
44	Ukiah Unified School District	\$43,000,000	2005-11-08	Mendocino	U	66.7	6,675	9,822	68.0%	Pass
45	Galt Joint Union High School District	\$29,200,000	2005-11-08	Sacramento/San Joaquin	B	66.7	6,569	9,251	71.0%	Pass
46	Ross School District	\$15,000,000	2006-06-06	Marin	B	66.7	976	1,237	78.9%	Pass
47	Folsom Cordova Unified School District SFID No. 3	\$750,000,000	2007-03-27	Sacramento	M	66.7	60	74	81.1%	Pass
48	Roseville Joint Union High School District SFID No. 1	\$115,000,000	2007-04-24	Placer	A	66.7	11	12	91.7%	Pass
49	Vineland School District	\$4,000,000	2007-05-22	Kern	C	66.7	188	227	82.8%	Pass
50	Wiseburn Unified School District	\$32,600,000	2007-06-12	Los Angeles	A	66.7	1,477	1,778	83.1%	Pass
51	Santa Ana Unified School District	\$200,000,000	2008-06-03	Orange	G	66.7	8,426	12,244	68.8%	Pass
52	Centinela Valley Union High School District	\$98,000,000	2008-11-04	Los Angeles	CV	66.7	27,255	38,508	70.8%	Pass
53	Ross Valley Unified School District	\$41,000,000	2010-11-02	Marin	A	66.7	8,565	12,055	71.0%	Pass
54	Soledad Unified School District	\$40,000,000	2012-11-06	Monterey	C	66.7	2,332	3,131	74.5%	Pass
<b>TOTAL</b>		<b>\$4,618,840,000</b>	(\$4,756,340,000 if one bond reauthorization included)				<b>497,964</b>	<b>702,602</b>	<b>70.9%</b>	

# Appendix E

## All California Educational Bond Measures Approved With a 55 Percent Threshold Since November 2000 Enactment of Proposition 39 - Ranked by Percentage of Voter Approval

Rank	Educational District	Amount Proposed to Borrow	Year-Month-Day of Election	Counties	Measure	% Needed to Pass	Yes	Total	Passage	Outcome
1	Inglewood Unified School District	\$90,000,000	2012-11-06	Los Angeles	GG	55	31,907	37,059	86.1%	Pass
2	Oakland Unified School District	\$475,000,000	2012-11-06	Alameda	J	55	122,695	145,382	84.4%	Pass
3	Reef-Sunset Unified School District	\$10,830,000	2012-06-05	Kings	M	55	376	451	83.4%	Pass
4	Compton Unified School District	\$80,000,000	2002-11-05	Los Angeles	I	55	14,150	17,036	83.1%	Pass
5	Bayshore Elementary School District	\$6,000,000	2014-06-03	San Mateo	C	55	325	396	82.1%	Pass
6	Mission Union School District	\$315,000	2004-11-02	Monterey	E	55	103	126	81.7%	Pass
7	Trinidad Union School District	\$2,200,000	2012-06-05	Humboldt	W	55	568	697	81.5%	Pass
8	Bassett Unified School District	\$23,000,000	2004-11-02	Los Angeles	E	55	4,852	5,995	80.9%	Pass
9	Albany Unified School District	\$13,000,000	2004-11-02	Alameda	A	55	5,879	7,304	80.5%	Pass
10	Mendota Unified School District	\$15,000,000	2014-11-04	Fresno	M	55	628	785	80.0%	Pass
11	Alum Rock Union Elementary School District	\$125,000,000	2012-11-06	Santa Clara	J	55	18,442	23,188	79.5%	Pass
12	Baldwin Park Unified School District	\$46,600,000	2002-11-05	Los Angeles	AA	55	6,321	7,952	79.5%	Pass
13	Arvin Union School District	\$15,000,000	2014-11-04	Kern	E	55	1,114	1,402	79.5%	Pass
14	Roseville Joint Union High School District	\$79,500,000	2002-03-05	Sacramento	D	55	2,114	2,664	79.4%	Pass
15	Earlimart School District	\$3,600,000	2012-11-06	Tulare	H	55	672	847	79.3%	Pass
16	Kelseyville Unified School District	\$2,930,000	2001-11-06	Lake	X	55	1,361	1,717	79.3%	Pass
17	Willows Unified School District	\$14,000,000	2014-06-03	Glenn	T	55	140	177	79.1%	Pass
18	Alum Rock Union Elementary School District	\$179,000,000	2008-06-03	Santa Clara	G	55	6,901	8,725	79.1%	Pass
19	Fowler Unified School District	\$21,000,000	2004-11-02	Fresno	L	55	1,757	2,224	79.0%	Pass
20	Hawthorne Elementary School District	\$24,000,000	2004-11-02	Los Angeles	H	55	11,314	14,331	78.9%	Pass
21	Moreno Valley Unified School District	\$50,000,000	2004-03-02	Riverside	G	55	140	178	78.7%	Pass
22	National School District	\$26,100,000	2014-11-04	San Diego	N	55	4,621	5,880	78.6%	Pass
23	Compton Community College District	\$100,000,000	2014-11-04	Los Angeles	C	55	20,209	25,779	78.4%	Pass
24	Ocean View School District	Reauthorize \$4,200,000	2012-11-06	Ventura	P	55	2,530	3,230	78.3%	Pass
25	Buttonwillow Union Elementary School District	\$6,500,000	2002-11-05	Kern	I	55	223	285	78.2%	Pass
26	Beverly Hills Unified School District	\$90,000,000	2002-03-05	Los Angeles	K	55	4,424	5,659	78.2%	Pass
27	Pacific Elementary School District	\$830,000	2012-11-06	Santa Cruz	M	55	292	374	78.1%	Pass
28	Pittsburg Unified School District	\$40,500,000	2004-11-02	Contra Costa	E	55	11,311	14,499	78.0%	Pass
29	South Pasadena Unified School District	\$29,000,000	2002-11-05	Los Angeles	M	55	5,754	7,377	78.0%	Pass
30	Oakland Unified School District	\$435,000,000	2006-06-06	Alameda	B	55	62,559	80,231	78.0%	Pass
31	McFarland Unified School District	\$8,300,000	2004-03-02	Kern	B	55	649	833	77.9%	Pass
32	Mountain View-Los Altos Union School District	\$41,300,000	2010-06-08	Santa Clara	A	55	19,480	25,067	77.7%	Pass
33	Palo Alto Unified School District	\$378,000,000	2008-06-03	Santa Clara	A	55	14,074	18,140	77.6%	Pass
34	South San Francisco Unified School District	\$162,000,000	2010-11-02	San Mateo	J	55	13,758	17,743	77.5%	Pass
35	South Whittier Elementary School District	\$7,500,000	2004-11-02	Los Angeles	I	55	4,577	5,910	77.4%	Pass
36	Lennox Elementary School District	\$10,700,000	2007-11-06	Los Angeles	L	55	682	881	77.4%	Pass
37	Hayward Unified School District	\$229,000,000	2014-11-04	Alameda	L	55	17,976	23,239	77.4%	Pass
38	Arvin Union School District	\$11,000,000	2004-03-02	Kern	C	55	854	1,105	77.3%	Pass

## All California Educational Bond Measures Approved With a 55 Percent Threshold Since November 2000 Enactment of Proposition 39 - Ranked by Percentage of Voter Approval

Rank	Educational District	Amount Proposed to Borrow	Year-Month-Day of Election	Counties	Measure	% Needed to Pass	Yes	Total	Passage	Outcome
39	Berkeley Unified School District	\$210,000,000	2010-11-02	Alameda	I	55	31,723	41,113	77.2%	Pass
40	Los Nietos School District	\$15,000,000	2014-11-04	Los Angeles	E	55	1,300	1,686	77.1%	Pass
41	Los Nietos School District	\$13,000,000	2014-11-04	Los Angeles	N	55	1,285	1,668	77.0%	Pass
42	Holtville Unified School District	\$8,000,000	2002-11-05	Imperial	E	55	1,282	1,666	77.0%	Pass
43	Jefferson Elementary School District	\$67,500,000	2012-11-06	San Mateo	M	55	16,261	21,138	76.9%	Pass
44	Lakeside Union Elementary School District	\$22,500,000	2008-11-04	Kern	I	55	2,179	2,835	76.9%	Pass
45	Pixley Union School District	\$7,800,000	2014-06-03	Tulare	Z	55	229	298	76.8%	Pass
46	Little Lake City School District	\$18,000,000	2012-11-06	Los Angeles	EE	55	8,041	10,471	76.8%	Pass
47	Lynwood Unified School District	\$20,000,000	2002-11-05	Los Angeles	C	55	4,448	5,799	76.7%	Pass
48	South Bay Union School District	\$59,400,000	2008-11-04	San Diego	X	55	15,219	19,909	76.4%	Pass
49	Hueneme Elementary School District	\$19,600,000	2012-11-06	Ventura	T	55	8,408	11,011	76.4%	Pass
50	Compton Community College District	\$100,000,000	2002-11-05	Los Angeles	CC	55	23,005	30,141	76.3%	Pass
51	Culver City Unified School District	\$106,000,000	2014-06-03	Los Angeles	CC	55	4,706	6,169	76.3%	Pass
52	River Delta Unified School District SFID No. 2	\$9,000,000	2004-11-02	Yolo/Sacramento/Solano	V	55	1,165	1,529	76.2%	Pass
53	Graves Union School District	\$180,000	2004-11-02	Monterey	D	55	16	21	76.2%	Pass
54	El Monte City School District	\$50,000,000	2004-11-02	Los Angeles	J	55	11,855	15,576	76.1%	Pass
55	South Bay Union School District	Reauthorize \$26,000,000	2012-11-06	San Diego	Y	55	14,123	18,600	75.9%	Pass
56	Wiseburn Unified School District	\$87,000,000	2010-11-02	Los Angeles	A	55	3,285	4,338	75.7%	Pass
57	Fresno Unified School District	\$280,000,000	2010-11-02	Fresno	Q	55	51,125	67,522	75.7%	Pass
58	Peralta Community College District	\$390,000,000	2006-06-06	Alameda	A	55	97,685	129,106	75.7%	Pass
59	Sequoia Union High School District	\$165,000,000	2008-02-05	San Mateo	L	55	28,285	37,391	75.6%	Pass
60	Ross School District	\$6,750,000	2008-06-03	Marin	A	55	894	1,183	75.6%	Pass
61	Mount Pleasant School District	\$25,000,000	2012-11-06	Santa Clara	L	55	4,482	5,938	75.5%	Pass
62	Arcata Elementary School District	\$7,000,000	2012-11-06	Humboldt	F	55	4,667	6,195	75.3%	Pass
63	Menlo Park City School District	\$23,000,000	2013-11-05	San Mateo	W	55	4,222	5,605	75.3%	Pass
64	Calexico Unified School District	\$30,000,000	2004-03-02	Imperial	J	55	2,680	3,562	75.2%	Pass
65	El Segundo Unified School District	\$25,000,000	2001-11-06	Los Angeles	E	55	2,369	3,149	75.2%	Pass
66	West Sonoma County Union High School District	\$23,800,000	2010-11-02	Sonoma	I	55	15,375	20,443	75.2%	Pass
67	Elk Hills School District	\$7,905,000	2004-03-02	Kern	D	55	54	72	75.0%	Pass
68	Oak Grove School District	\$125,000,000	2008-11-04	Santa Clara	S	55	25,589	34,139	75.0%	Pass
69	Alhambra Unified School District ESID	\$50,000,000	2008-11-04	Los Angeles	MM	55	23,276	31,080	74.9%	Pass
70	Pomona Unified School District	\$235,000,000	2008-11-04	Los Angeles	PS	55	30,058	40,221	74.7%	Pass
71	Azusa Unified School District	\$75,000,000	2002-03-05	Los Angeles	I	55	4,200	5,624	74.7%	Pass
72	Ceres Unified School District	\$25,000,000	2001-11-06	Stanislaus	J	55	3,214	4,310	74.6%	Pass
73	Dixie School District	\$30,000,000	2014-11-04	Marin	C	55	6,083	8,158	74.6%	Pass
74	Pasadena Unified School District	\$350,000,000	2008-11-04	Los Angeles	TT	55	64,090	85,998	74.5%	Pass
75	San Lorenzo Unified School District	\$49,000,000	2004-11-02	Alameda	E	55	15,797	21,217	74.5%	Pass
76	San Lorenzo Unified School District	\$83,000,000	2008-11-04	Alameda	O	55	17,356	23,311	74.5%	Pass
77	Stockton Unified School District	Reauthorize \$156,000,000	2012-11-06	San Joaquin	E	55	31,228	41,954	74.4%	Pass
78	McFarland Unified School District	\$25,000,000	2012-11-06	Kern	M	55	1,238	1,664	74.4%	Pass
79	Torrance Unified School District	\$265,000,000	2008-11-04	Los Angeles	Y	55	44,075	59,267	74.4%	Pass

## All California Educational Bond Measures Approved With a 55 Percent Threshold Since November 2000 Enactment of Proposition 39 - Ranked by Percentage of Voter Approval

Rank	Educational District	Amount Proposed to Borrow	Year-Month-Day of Election	Counties	Measure	% Needed to Pass	Yes	Total	Passage	Outcome
80	Jefferson Union High School District	Reauthorize \$41,900,000	2012-11-06	San Mateo	E	55	30,757	41,401	74.3%	Pass
81	El Monte Union High School District	\$148,000,000	2008-11-04	Los Angeles	D	55	24,851	33,510	74.2%	Pass
82	Paramount Unified School District	\$100,000,000	2006-11-07	Los Angeles	AA	55	6,845	9,233	74.1%	Pass
83	Pittsburg Unified School District	\$85,000,000	2006-11-07	Contra Costa	J	55	7,288	9,833	74.1%	Pass
84	Fairfax Elementary School District	\$24,800,000	2010-11-02	Kern	C	55	1,265	1,708	74.1%	Pass
85	Palmdale Elementary School District	\$220,000,000	2012-11-06	Los Angeles	DD	55	22,328	30,162	74.0%	Pass
86	El Rancho Unified School District	\$52,000,000	2010-11-02	Los Angeles	EE	55	9,549	12,903	74.0%	Pass
87	Hueneme Elementary School District	\$17,100,000	2004-11-02	Ventura	I	55	8,681	11,732	74.0%	Pass
88	Campbell Union School District	\$150,000,000	2010-06-08	Santa Clara	G	55	12,753	17,237	74.0%	Pass
89	Las Lomitas School District	\$60,000,000	2013-11-05	San Mateo	S	55	2,305	3,117	73.9%	Pass
90	Mendota Unified School District	\$19,000,000	2012-11-06	Fresno	M	55	856	1,158	73.9%	Pass
91	Emery Unified School District	\$95,000,000	2010-11-02	Alameda	J	55	1,982	2,683	73.9%	Pass
92	Fortuna Elementary School District	\$3,900,000	2006-11-07	Humboldt	X	55	1,720	2,329	73.9%	Pass
93	San Francisco Unified School District	\$450,000,000	2006-11-07	San Francisco	A	55	171,236	231,876	73.8%	Pass
94	Long Beach Community College District	\$440,000,000	2008-02-05	Los Angeles	E	55	79,204	107,261	73.8%	Pass
95	John Swett Unified School District	\$20,000,000	2008-11-04	Contra Costa	A	55	3,950	5,350	73.8%	Pass
96	Cambrian School District	\$20,975,000	2002-11-05	Santa Clara	G	55	5,352	7,254	73.8%	Pass
97	Arena Union Elementary School District	\$3,700,000	2003-11-04	Mendocino	E	55	554	752	73.7%	Pass
98	Carmel Unified School District	\$21,500,000	2005-11-08	Monterey	A	55	7,744	10,512	73.7%	Pass
99	Norwalk-La Mirada Unified School District	\$165,000,000	2002-11-05	Los Angeles	S	55	14,949	20,313	73.6%	Pass
100	Sausalito Marin City School District	\$15,900,000	2004-11-02	Marin	I	55	4,346	5,911	73.5%	Pass
101	Colton Joint Unified School District	\$225,000,000	2008-11-04	San Bernardino/Riverside	G	55	19,641	26,735	73.5%	Pass
102	Portola Valley School District	\$6,000,000	2001-11-06	San Mateo	J	55	1,823	2,483	73.4%	Pass
103	Rosemead School District	\$30,000,000	2008-11-04	Los Angeles	O	55	4,325	5,893	73.4%	Pass
104	Bassett Unified School District	\$20,000,000	2006-11-07	Los Angeles	E	55	2,844	3,876	73.4%	Pass
105	Dublin Unified School District	\$184,000,000	2004-11-02	Alameda	C	55	9,062	12,380	73.2%	Pass
106	Garvey Elementary School District	\$30,000,000	2004-11-02	Los Angeles	Q	55	6,602	9,026	73.1%	Pass
107	Covina Valley Unified School District	\$129,000,000	2012-11-06	Los Angeles	CC	55	17,640	24,131	73.1%	Pass
108	Sunnyvale School District	\$120,000,000	2004-11-02	Santa Clara	P	55	16,283	22,313	73.0%	Pass
109	Folsom Cordova Unified School District SFID No. 1	\$49,000,000	2002-03-05	Sacramento	B	55	5,685	7,793	73.0%	Pass
110	Traver Joint Elementary School District	\$700,000	2004-03-02	Tulare/Kings	S	55	124	170	72.9%	Pass
111	Hilmar Unified School District	\$2,000,000	2002-11-05	Merced	E	55	1,483	2,034	72.9%	Pass
112	Live Oak School District	\$14,500,000	2004-03-02	Santa Cruz	E	55	4,446	6,100	72.9%	Pass
113	Round Valley Unified School District	\$4,000,000	2014-06-03	Mendocino	L	55	290	398	72.9%	Pass
114	Montebello Unified School District	\$98,000,000	2004-11-02	Los Angeles	M	55	25,476	34,968	72.9%	Pass
115	Lemon Grove School District	\$28,000,000	2008-11-04	San Diego	W	55	8,635	11,853	72.9%	Pass
116	Evergreen School District	\$150,000,000	2006-11-07	Santa Clara	I	55	15,494	21,278	72.8%	Pass
117	Washington Unified School District	\$22,000,000	2012-11-06	Yolo	W	55	2,757	3,787	72.8%	Pass
118	Bellflower Unified School District	\$79,000,000	2012-11-06	Los Angeles	BB	55	16,469	22,624	72.8%	Pass
119	Palm Springs Unified School District	\$122,000,000	2004-11-02	Riverside	T	55	32,476	44,662	72.7%	Pass
120	Franklin-McKinley School District	\$30,000,000	2004-11-02	Santa Clara	Q	55	11,366	15,632	72.7%	Pass

## All California Educational Bond Measures Approved With a 55 Percent Threshold Since November 2000 Enactment of Proposition 39 - Ranked by Percentage of Voter Approval

Rank	Educational District	Amount Proposed to Borrow	Year-Month-Day of Election	Counties	Measure	% Needed to Pass	Yes	Total	Passage	Outcome
121	Robla School District	\$29,800,000	2014-11-04	Sacramento	K	55	1,694	2,331	72.7%	Pass
122	Ceres Unified School District	\$60,000,000	2008-11-04	Stanislaus	U	55	9,625	13,258	72.6%	Pass
123	Rancho Santiago Community College District	\$198,000,000	2012-11-06	Orange	Q	55	45,981	63,351	72.6%	Pass
124	El Monte City School District	\$75,000,000	2008-11-04	Los Angeles	KC	55	12,515	17,253	72.5%	Pass
125	Savanna Elementary School District	\$24,935,000	2008-11-04	Orange	N	55	5,728	7,900	72.5%	Pass
126	Cambrian School District	\$39,000,000	2014-06-03	Santa Clara	I	55	3,840	5,299	72.5%	Pass
127	Natomas Unified School District	\$45,880,000	2002-11-05	Sacramento	M	55	5,669	7,832	72.4%	Pass
128	Whittier City Unified School District	\$55,000,000	2012-11-06	Los Angeles	Z	55	14,205	19,629	72.4%	Pass
129	Scotts Valley Unified School District	\$35,000,000	2014-06-03	Santa Cruz	A	55	3,978	5,512	72.2%	Pass
130	Albany Unified School District	\$10,000,000	2008-02-05	Alameda	E	55	4,667	6,467	72.2%	Pass
131	Hayward Unified School District	\$205,000,000	2008-06-03	Alameda	I	55	11,546	16,000	72.2%	Pass
132	Long Beach Unified School District	\$1,200,000,000	2008-11-04	Los Angeles	K	55	123,253	170,892	72.1%	Pass
133	Natomas Unified School District	\$129,000,000	2014-11-04	Sacramento	J	55	9,091	12,606	72.1%	Pass
134	Whittier Union High School District	\$75,000,000	2008-11-04	Los Angeles	W	55	51,521	71,490	72.1%	Pass
135	Ocean View School District	\$13,200,000	2006-11-07	Ventura	J	55	1,571	2,180	72.1%	Pass
136	Baldwin Park Unified School District	\$75,500,000	2006-11-07	Los Angeles	K	55	6,727	9,335	72.1%	Pass
137	Santa Clara Unified School District	\$315,000,000	2004-11-02	Santa Clara	J	55	27,343	37,953	72.0%	Pass
138	Alameda Unified School District	\$63,000,000	2004-03-02	Alameda	C	55	13,809	19,205	71.9%	Pass
139	Parlier Unified School District	\$4,500,000	2004-11-02	Fresno	P	55	1,152	1,603	71.9%	Pass
140	Lemon Grove School District	Reauthorize \$10,000,000	2014-11-04	San Diego	R	55	4,323	6,016	71.9%	Pass
141	College of the Sequoias	\$60,000,000	2008-11-04	Kings/Tulare	J	55	16,395	22,818	71.9%	Pass
142	West Contra Costa Unified School District	\$300,000,000	2002-03-05	Contra Costa	D	55	24,391	33,958	71.8%	Pass
143	Linns Valley-Poso Flat Elementary School District	\$340,000	2002-11-05	Tulare/Kern	J	55	191	266	71.8%	Pass
144	San Luis Coastal Unified School District	\$177,000,000	2014-11-04	San Luis Obispo	D	55	18,400	25,636	71.8%	Pass
145	Los Nietos School District	\$31,140,000	2008-06-03	Los Angeles	M	55	738	1,029	71.7%	Pass
146	Copper Mountain Community College District	\$19,720,000	2004-11-02	San Bernardino	T	55	12,455	17,379	71.7%	Pass
147	Konocti Unified School District	\$18,500,000	2004-11-02	Lake	G	55	5,173	7,219	71.7%	Pass
148	Soquel Union Elementary School District	\$15,000,000	2002-03-05	Santa Cruz	A	55	5,118	7,145	71.6%	Pass
149	Santa Barbara Elementary School District	\$75,000,000	2010-11-02	Santa Barbara	R	55	18,701	26,108	71.6%	Pass
150	Rosemead School District	\$30,000,000	2014-11-04	Los Angeles	RS	55	1,635	2,283	71.6%	Pass
151	East Side Union High School District	\$120,000,000	2012-11-06	Santa Clara	M	55	102,531	143,231	71.6%	Pass
152	Monterey Peninsula Unified School District	\$110,000,000	2010-11-02	Monterey	P	55	15,558	21,745	71.5%	Pass
153	Coast Unified School District SFID No. 1	\$12,700,000	2002-03-05	San Luis Obispo	B	55	2,260	3,159	71.5%	Pass
154	Los Gatos Union School District	\$30,900,000	2010-06-08	Santa Clara	E	55	6,412	8,966	71.5%	Pass
155	Torrance Unified School District	\$90,000,000	2008-11-04	Los Angeles	Z	55	41,832	58,516	71.5%	Pass
156	Folsom Cordova Unified School District SFID No. 4	\$68,000,000	2012-11-06	Sacramento	P	55	11,677	16,337	71.5%	Pass
157	San Bernardino City Unified School District	\$250,000,000	2012-11-06	San Bernardino	N	55	34,902	48,873	71.4%	Pass
158	Southwestern Community College District	\$389,000,000	2008-11-04	San Diego	R	55	95,460	133,681	71.4%	Pass
159	East Side Union High School District	\$349,000,000	2008-02-05	Santa Clara	E	55	68,929	96,559	71.4%	Pass
160	San Jose Unified School District	\$290,000,000	2012-11-06	Santa Clara	H	55	63,447	88,944	71.3%	Pass
161	Winters Joint Unified School District	\$15,000,000	2014-06-03	Solano/Yolo	R	55	1,097	1,538	71.3%	Pass

## All California Educational Bond Measures Approved With a 55 Percent Threshold Since November 2000 Enactment of Proposition 39 - Ranked by Percentage of Voter Approval

Rank	Educational District	Amount Proposed to Borrow	Year-Month-Day of Election	Counties	Measure	% Needed to Pass	Yes	Total	Passage	Outcome
162	Delhi Unified School District	\$8,000,000	2012-11-06	Merced	E	55	1,435	2,013	71.3%	Pass
163	Lincoln Unified School District	\$50,000,000	2004-11-02	San Joaquin	P	55	12,065	16,925	71.3%	Pass
164	Oceanside Unified School District	\$195,000,000	2008-06-03	San Diego	H	55	10,952	15,366	71.3%	Pass
165	Jefferson Union High School District	\$133,000,000	2014-11-04	San Mateo	J	55	17,090	24,018	71.2%	Pass
166	Central School District	\$31,000,000	2008-11-04	San Bernardino	A	55	9,072	12,753	71.1%	Pass
167	San Francisco Unified School District	\$531,000,000	2011-11-08	San Francisco	A	55	134,695	189,445	71.1%	Pass
168	Kerman Unified School District	\$11,000,000	2004-11-02	Fresno	K	55	2,407	3,387	71.1%	Pass
169	Rio School District	\$38,500,000	2014-11-04	Ventura	G	55	3,753	5,291	70.9%	Pass
170	Mendocino Unified School District	\$15,500,000	2006-11-07	Mendocino	AA	55	2,327	3,281	70.9%	Pass
171	Kentfield School District	\$30,000,000	2014-11-04	Marin	D	55	3,314	4,673	70.9%	Pass
172	Rancho Santa Fe Elementary School District	\$34,000,000	2008-02-05	San Diego	E	55	2,041	2,878	70.9%	Pass
173	Larkspur-Corte Madera School District	\$26,000,000	2011-11-08	Marin	A	55	3,243	4,574	70.9%	Pass
174	Palos Verdes Peninsula Unified School District	\$30,000,000	2005-11-08	Los Angeles	R	55	15,496	21,894	70.8%	Pass
175	William S. Hart Union High School District	\$158,000,000	2001-11-06	Los Angeles	V	55	18,100	25,584	70.7%	Pass
176	Orchard School District	\$40,000,000	2001-11-06	Santa Clara	E	55	391	553	70.7%	Pass
177	Alhambra Unified School District	\$85,000,000	2004-11-02	Los Angeles	C	55	26,048	36,862	70.7%	Pass
178	Tracy Unified School District SFID No. 3	\$43,100,000	2008-11-04	San Joaquin/Alameda	S	55	14,856	21,036	70.6%	Pass
179	Stanislaus Union School District	\$39,800,000	2008-11-04	Stanislaus	T	55	7,213	10,214	70.6%	Pass
180	Howell Mountain School District	\$2,900,000	2005-11-08	Napa	C	55	663	939	70.6%	Pass
181	San Francisco Unified School District	\$295,000,000	2003-11-04	San Francisco	A	55	139,814	198,068	70.6%	Pass
182	Menlo Park City School District	\$91,100,000	2006-06-06	San Mateo	U	55	4,936	6,993	70.6%	Pass
183	Standard School District	Reauthorize \$11,200,000	2012-11-06	Kern	Q	55	4,179	5,923	70.6%	Pass
184	Murieta Valley Unified School District	\$40,400,000	2002-11-05	Riverside	K	55	11,119	15,781	70.5%	Pass
185	Santa Barbara Community College District	\$77,242,012	2008-06-03	Santa Barbara	V	55	28,397	40,308	70.5%	Pass
186	Lindsay Unified School District	\$20,700,000	2008-02-05	Tulare	B	55	1,285	1,825	70.4%	Pass
187	Rowland Unified School District	\$118,000,000	2006-06-06	Los Angeles/Orange	R	55	4,790	6,804	70.4%	Pass
188	Santa Rosa Elementary School District	\$19,000,000	2002-03-05	Sonoma	C	55	9,204	13,074	70.4%	Pass
189	Santa Paula Union High School District	\$39,000,000	2008-11-04	Ventura	Q	55	5,871	8,340	70.4%	Pass
190	Kentfield School District	\$11,900,000	2004-03-02	Marin	E	55	3,259	4,630	70.4%	Pass
191	Sanger Unified School District	\$50,000,000	2012-11-06	Fresno	S	55	9,986	14,190	70.4%	Pass
192	Cerritos Community College District	\$350,000,000	2012-11-06	Los Angeles	G	55	83,524	118,758	70.3%	Pass
193	Lancaster Elementary School District	\$63,000,000	2012-11-06	Los Angeles	L	55	21,804	31,042	70.2%	Pass
194	Jefferson Union High School District	\$136,900,000	2006-11-07	San Mateo	N	55	22,025	31,358	70.2%	Pass
195	Mojave Unified School District SFID No. 2	\$14,000,000	2008-11-04	Kern	G	55	804	1,145	70.2%	Pass
196	Dinuba Unified School District	\$14,915,000	2002-11-05	Fresno/Tulare	K	55	2,266	3,228	70.2%	Pass
197	Reed Union School District	\$13,000,000	2005-11-08	Marin	B	55	3,907	5,566	70.2%	Pass
198	Redlands Unified School District	\$60,000,000	2002-11-05	San Bernardino	R	55	17,850	25,448	70.1%	Pass
199	La Honda-Pescadero Unified	\$15,000,000	2006-11-07	San Mateo	I	55	918	1,309	70.1%	Pass
200	Los Angeles Community College District	\$3,500,000,000	2008-11-04	Los Angeles	J	55	1,057,738	1,508,687	70.1%	Pass
201	Sacramento City Unified School District	\$346,000,000	2012-11-06	Sacramento	Q	55	74,681	106,528	70.1%	Pass
202	San Gabriel Unified School District	\$65,000,000	2008-02-05	Los Angeles	A	55	5,240	7,475	70.1%	Pass

## All California Educational Bond Measures Approved With a 55 Percent Threshold Since November 2000 Enactment of Proposition 39 - Ranked by Percentage of Voter Approval

Rank	Educational District	Amount Proposed to Borrow	Year-Month-Day of Election	Counties	Measure	% Needed to Pass	Yes	Total	Passage	Outcome
203	Selma Unified School District	\$23,800,000	2006-11-07	Fresno	A	55	3,091	4,410	70.1%	Pass
204	San Gabriel Unified School District	\$47,150,000	2002-03-05	Los Angeles	S	55	3,411	4,867	70.1%	Pass
205	Sebastopol Union School District	\$9,000,000	2012-06-05	Sonoma	H	55	2,869	4,094	70.1%	Pass
206	Larkspur-Corte Madera School District	\$19,000,000	2014-06-03	Marin	D	55	2,725	3,889	70.1%	Pass
207	Santa Monica Community College District	\$160,000,000	2002-03-05	Los Angeles	U	55	13,540	19,347	70.0%	Pass
208	Kingsburg Joint Union Elementary School District	\$7,500,000	2004-03-02	Tulare/Kings/Fresno	R	55	2,221	3,175	70.0%	Pass
209	Mt. San Antonio Community College District	\$353,000,000	2008-11-04	Los Angeles/Orange	RR/CC	55	164,177	234,717	69.9%	Pass
210	Glendale Unified School District	\$270,000,000	2011-04-05	Los Angeles	S	55	13,637	19,501	69.9%	Pass
211	East Side Union High School District	\$298,000,000	2002-03-05	Santa Clara	G	55	33,345	47,687	69.9%	Pass
212	Modesto City Schools (Modesto City Elementary School District)	\$17,000,000	2001-11-06	Stanislaus	S	55	9,167	13,110	69.9%	Pass
213	Franklin-McKinley School District	\$50,000,000	2010-11-02	Santa Clara	J	55	10,860	15,534	69.9%	Pass
214	Greenfield Union School District	\$40,000,000	2006-11-07	Kern	H	55	3,241	4,636	69.9%	Pass
215	Pasadena City College	\$150,000,000	2002-03-05	Los Angeles	P	55	39,129	56,024	69.8%	Pass
216	Castro Valley Unified School District	\$16,800,000	2002-03-05	Alameda	C	55	6,861	9,836	69.8%	Pass
217	Rio Bravo-Greeley Union School District	\$10,900,000	2008-02-05	Kern	B	55	957	1,372	69.8%	Pass
218	Lindsay Unified School District	\$7,200,000	2002-03-05	Tulare	C	55	1,099	1,576	69.7%	Pass
219	Antelope Valley Community College	\$139,000,000	2004-11-02	Los Angeles/Kern	R	55	74,966	107,579	69.7%	Pass
220	Washington Colony Elementary School District	\$400,000	2004-03-02	Fresno	B	55	350	503	69.6%	Pass
221	Bishop Union Elementary School District	\$3,000,000	2008-11-04	Inyo	A	55	3,740	5,375	69.6%	Pass
222	Santa Barbara High School District	\$75,000,000	2010-11-02	Santa Barbara	Q	55	41,893	60,237	69.5%	Pass
223	La Mesa Spring Valley School District	\$44,000,000	2002-03-05	San Diego	M	55	16,326	23,478	69.5%	Pass
224	Cayucos Elementary School District	\$4,950,000	2004-11-02	San Luis Obispo	P	55	1,316	1,893	69.5%	Pass
225	Rialto Unified School District	\$98,000,000	2010-11-02	San Bernardino	Y	55	12,608	18,137	69.5%	Pass
226	Desert Sands Unified School District	\$225,000,000	2014-11-04	Riverside	KK	55	27,027	38,889	69.5%	Pass
227	Millbrae School District	\$30,000,000	2008-11-04	San Mateo	X	55	6,646	9,566	69.5%	Pass
228	Lakeport Unified School District	\$7,300,000	2001-11-06	Lake	W	55	1,246	1,794	69.5%	Pass
229	Stockton Unified School District	\$120,000,000	2005-11-08	San Joaquin	C	55	18,858	27,167	69.4%	Pass
230	River Delta Unified School District SFID No. 1	\$14,000,000	2004-11-02	Sacramento/Solano/Yolo	U	55	3,017	4,347	69.4%	Pass
231	Santa Clara Unified School District	\$419,000,000	2014-11-04	Santa Clara	H	55	18,958	27,320	69.4%	Pass
232	Imperial Unified School District	\$12,000,000	2004-03-02	Imperial	I	55	1,265	1,823	69.4%	Pass
233	Berryessa Union School District	\$77,000,000	2014-11-04	Santa Clara	L	55	10,622	15,320	69.3%	Pass
234	Sequoia Union High School District	\$70,000,000	2004-11-02	San Mateo	H	55	63,187	91,162	69.3%	Pass
235	San Jose Unified School District	\$429,000,000	2002-03-05	Santa Clara	F	55	23,248	33,549	69.3%	Pass
236	North Sacramento School District (Now Twin Rivers USD)	\$17,500,000	2006-06-06	Sacramento	C	55	2,523	3,641	69.3%	Pass
237	Moorpark Unified School District	\$33,000,000	2002-03-05	Ventura	R	55	4,153	5,996	69.3%	Pass
238	Cypress School District	\$53,600,000	2008-11-04	Orange	M	55	12,493	18,045	69.2%	Pass
239	Folsom Cordova Unified School District SFID No. 5	\$125,000,000	2006-11-07	Sacramento	N	55	8,199	11,845	69.2%	Pass
240	Traver Joint Elementary School District	\$700,000	2004-11-02	Tulare/Kings	A	55	146	211	69.2%	Pass
241	Fort Bragg Unified School District	\$16,000,000	2008-06-03	Mendocino	C	55	3,329	4,813	69.2%	Pass
242	Moreland School District	\$55,000,000	2010-11-02	Santa Clara	K	55	9,035	13,066	69.1%	Pass
243	Planada Elementary School District	\$2,900,000	2008-11-04	Merced	O	55	625	904	69.1%	Pass

## All California Educational Bond Measures Approved With a 55 Percent Threshold Since November 2000 Enactment of Proposition 39 - Ranked by Percentage of Voter Approval

Rank	Educational District	Amount Proposed to Borrow	Year-Month-Day of Election	Counties	Measure	% Needed to Pass	Yes	Total	Passage	Outcome
244	Los Angeles Unified School District	\$7,000,000,000	2008-11-04	Los Angeles	Q	55	885,467	1,281,319	69.1%	Pass
245	Santa Rosa Elementary School District	\$54,000,000	2014-11-04	Sonoma	L	55	11,000	15,919	69.1%	Pass
246	Carlsbad Unified School District	\$198,000,000	2006-11-07	San Diego	P	55	16,250	23,522	69.1%	Pass
247	Val Verde Unified School District	\$43,440,000	2008-06-03	Riverside	J	55	2,323	3,363	69.1%	Pass
248	Hope Elementary School District	\$8,000,000	2010-06-08	Santa Barbara	L	55	3,278	4,746	69.1%	Pass
249	Oakley Union Elementary School District	\$16,500,000	2004-11-02	Contra Costa	H	55	5,988	8,670	69.1%	Pass
250	Exeter Union High School District	\$4,350,000	2001-03-06	Tulare	S	55	1,268	1,836	69.1%	Pass
251	Roseland School District	\$7,000,000	2012-11-06	Sonoma	N	55	1,612	2,335	69.0%	Pass
252	Klamath-Trinity Joint Unified School District	\$5,950,000	2008-11-04	Humboldt/Trinity	S	55	1,287	1,865	69.0%	Pass
253	Covina Valley Unified School District	\$66,000,000	2006-06-06	Los Angeles	C	55	5,432	7,872	69.0%	Pass
254	Lagunitas Elementary School District	\$5,000,000	2013-11-05	Marin	A	55	754	1,093	69.0%	Pass
255	Geyserville Unified School District	\$3,250,000	2008-02-05	Sonoma	A	55	498	722	69.0%	Pass
256	Stockton Unified School District	\$464,500,000	2008-02-05	San Joaquin	Q	55	20,331	29,495	68.9%	Pass
257	Pajaro Valley Unified School District	\$150,000,000	2012-11-06	Santa Cruz/Monterey	L	55	23,645	34,304	68.9%	Pass
258	Folsom Cordova Unified School District SFID No. 5	\$195,000,000	2014-11-04	Sacramento	G	55	13,332	19,350	68.9%	Pass
259	Turlock Unified School District (Turlock Elementary School District)	\$10,950,000	2002-11-05	Stanislaus/Merced	D	55	8,989	13,048	68.9%	Pass
260	Union School District	\$125,000,000	2014-06-03	Santa Clara	J	55	5,958	8,656	68.8%	Pass
261	Hemet Unified School District	Reauthorize \$49,000,000	2012-11-06	Riverside	U	55	25,661	37,287	68.8%	Pass
262	Chula Vista Elementary School District SFID No. 1	\$90,000,000	2012-11-06	San Diego	E	55	36,265	52,699	68.8%	Pass
263	Sierra Joint Community College District SFID No. 1	\$35,000,000	2004-11-02	Placer/Nevada/	H	55	8,856	12,872	68.8%	Pass
264	Washington Unified School District	\$52,000,000	2004-03-02	Yolo	Q	55	5,213	7,578	68.8%	Pass
265	El Rancho Unified School District	\$49,500,000	2003-11-04	Los Angeles	A	55	1,788	2,601	68.7%	Pass
266	San Diego Unified School District	\$2,100,000,000	2008-11-04	San Diego	S	55	261,672	380,808	68.7%	Pass
267	New Haven Unified School District	\$125,000,000	2014-11-04	Alameda	M	55	8,962	13,044	68.7%	Pass
268	Laytonville Unified School District	\$5,500,000	2014-11-04	Mendocino	Q	55	610	888	68.7%	Pass
269	Bellevue Union School District	\$19,000,000	2008-11-04	Sonoma	J	55	3,953	5,755	68.7%	Pass
270	Perris Elementary School District	\$25,000,000	2006-11-07	Riverside	S	55	2,823	4,111	68.7%	Pass
271	San Leandro Unified School District	\$109,000,000	2006-11-07	Alameda	B	55	11,398	16,600	68.7%	Pass
272	Spreckels Union School District	\$5,335,000	2002-11-05	Monterey	D	55	1,594	2,322	68.6%	Pass
273	San Diego Community College District	\$685,000,000	2002-11-05	San Diego	S	55	140,577	204,797	68.6%	Pass
274	Soulsbyville Elementary School District	\$1,500,000	2002-11-05	Tuolumne	B	55	1,057	1,541	68.6%	Pass
275	Folsom Cordova Unified School District SFID No. 2	\$53,000,000	2002-03-05	Sacramento	C	55	8,657	12,623	68.6%	Pass
276	Porterville Unified School District FID	\$23,000,000	2002-11-05	Tulare	A	55	3,681	5,368	68.6%	Pass
277	San Rafael Elementary School District (San Rafael City Schools)	\$49,300,000	2002-11-05	Marin	B	55	9,365	13,665	68.5%	Pass
278	Pittsburg Unified School District	\$100,000,000	2010-11-02	Contra Costa	L	55	7,391	10,787	68.5%	Pass
279	Pittsburg Unified School District	\$85,000,000	2014-11-04	Contra Costa	N	55	5,483	8,007	68.5%	Pass
280	Woodside Elementary School District	\$12,000,000	2005-11-08	San Mateo	D	55	1,042	1,522	68.5%	Pass
281	Sunnyvale School District	\$96,000,000	2013-11-05	Santa Clara	G	55	6,995	10,220	68.4%	Pass
282	Big Oak Flat-Groveland Unified School District	\$9,300,000	2005-11-08	Tuolumne	M	55	1,563	2,285	68.4%	Pass
283	North Monterey County Unified School District	\$20,750,000	2002-11-05	Monterey	E	55	4,188	6,123	68.4%	Pass
284	Petaluma Joint Union High School District	\$68,000,000	2014-06-03	Sonoma	E	55	4,652	6,813	68.3%	Pass

## All California Educational Bond Measures Approved With a 55 Percent Threshold Since November 2000 Enactment of Proposition 39 - Ranked by Percentage of Voter Approval

Rank	Educational District	Amount Proposed to Borrow	Year-Month-Day of Election	Counties	Measure	% Needed to Pass	Yes	Total	Passage	Outcome
285	El Camino Community College District	\$350,000,000	2012-11-06	Los Angeles	E	55	129,939	190,356	68.3%	Pass
286	Ojai Unified School District	\$35,000,000	2014-11-04	Ventura	J	55	5,223	7,655	68.2%	Pass
287	Sequoia Union High School District	\$88,000,000	2001-11-06	San Mateo	G	55	23,927	35,083	68.2%	Pass
288	Exeter Union Elementary School District	\$6,400,000	2008-02-05	Tulare	D	55	2,066	3,031	68.2%	Pass
289	Helendale School District	\$20,000,000	2008-11-04	San Bernardino	X	55	1,707	2,507	68.1%	Pass
290	Los Angeles Unified School District	\$3,350,000,000	2002-11-05	Los Angeles	K	55	488,849	718,030	68.1%	Pass
291	Southern Kern Unified School District	\$24,000,000	2008-11-04	Kern	H	55	4,089	6,007	68.1%	Pass
292	Santa Clarita Community College District	\$82,110,000	2001-11-06	Los Angeles	C	55	16,370	24,050	68.1%	Pass
293	Santa Monica-Malibu Unified School District	\$385,000,000	2012-11-06	Los Angeles	ES	55	29,874	43,892	68.1%	Pass
294	Escalon Unified School District	\$7,500,000	2002-11-05	San Joaquin	T	55	2,402	3,531	68.0%	Pass
295	Mark West Union School District	\$11,000,000	2002-11-05	Sonoma	I	55	2,957	4,348	68.0%	Pass
296	San Carlos Unified School District	\$72,000,000	2012-11-06	San Mateo	H	55	9,534	14,023	68.0%	Pass
297	Redwood Shores SFID	\$25,000,000	2005-11-08	San Mateo	C	55	2,312	3,401	68.0%	Pass
298	Fillmore Unified School District	\$10,000,000	2004-11-02	Ventura	E	55	3,650	5,370	68.0%	Pass
299	Loleta Union School District	\$456,000	2004-11-02	Humboldt	K	55	422	621	68.0%	Pass
300	Tracy Unified School District	\$51,000,000	2006-06-06	San Joaquin/Alameda	E	55	7,230	10,644	67.9%	Pass
301	Banta Elementary School District	\$800,000	2002-11-05	San Joaquin	X	55	216	318	67.9%	Pass
302	East Side Union High School District	\$113,200,000	2014-11-04	Santa Clara	I	55	59,092	87,014	67.9%	Pass
303	Victor Valley Union High School District	\$500,000,000	2008-11-04	San Bernardino	V	55	24,037	35,400	67.9%	Pass
304	Big Creek Elementary School District	\$1,400,000	2004-11-02	Fresno	M	55	167	246	67.9%	Pass
305	Midway School District	\$7,800,000	2004-03-02	Kern	F	55	93	137	67.9%	Pass
306	Kernville Union School District	\$5,600,000	2004-03-02	Kern	E	55	2,559	3,770	67.9%	Pass
307	Salinas Union High School District	\$37,000,000	2002-11-05	Monterey	M	55	14,651	21,589	67.9%	Pass
308	Sacramento City Unified School District	\$68,000,000	2012-11-06	Sacramento	R	55	71,820	105,834	67.9%	Pass
309	Modesto City Schools (Modesto High School District)	\$65,000,000	2001-11-06	Stanislaus	T	55	20,783	30,639	67.8%	Pass
310	Briggs Elementary School District	\$4,500,000	2014-11-04	Ventura	K	55	398	587	67.8%	Pass
311	Banning Unified School District	\$12,000,000	2002-11-05	Riverside	L	55	2,620	3,865	67.8%	Pass
312	Dixon Unified School District	\$29,200,000	2002-11-05	Solano	J	55	3,313	4,896	67.7%	Pass
313	Merced Community College District SFID No. 2	\$11,930,000	2002-11-05	Merced/Madera	J	55	4,644	6,863	67.7%	Pass
314	Sonoma Valley Unified School District	\$40,000,000	2010-11-02	Sonoma	H	55	10,581	15,649	67.6%	Pass
315	San Rafael High School District (San Rafael City Schools)	\$49,500,000	2002-11-05	Marin	A	55	15,011	22,208	67.6%	Pass
316	Mountain View Whisman Unified School District	\$198,000,000	2012-06-05	Santa Clara	G	55	7,341	10,863	67.6%	Pass
317	Gilroy Unified School District	\$150,000,000	2008-11-04	Santa Clara	P	55	11,989	17,741	67.6%	Pass
318	Visalia Unified School District	\$60,100,000	2012-11-06	Tulare	E	55	26,898	39,806	67.6%	Pass
319	East Nicolaus Joint Union High School District	\$4,000,000	2014-11-04	Sutter/Placer	W	55	583	863	67.6%	Pass
320	Evergreen School District	\$100,000,000	2014-11-04	Santa Clara	M	55	14,002	20,740	67.5%	Pass
321	Santa Monica-Malibu Unified School District	\$268,000,000	2006-11-07	Los Angeles	BB	55	22,927	33,960	67.5%	Pass
322	Burlingame School District	\$56,000,000	2012-11-06	San Mateo	D	55	8,609	12,754	67.5%	Pass
323	Healdsburg Unified School District SFID No. 1	\$18,820,000	2002-11-05	Sonoma	H	55	3,519	5,214	67.5%	Pass
324	Rowland Unified School District	\$158,800,000	2012-11-06	Los Angeles/Orange	R	55	17,181	25,465	67.5%	Pass
325	Sweetwater Union High School District	\$644,000,000	2006-11-07	San Diego	O	55	48,916	72,502	67.5%	Pass

## All California Educational Bond Measures Approved With a 55 Percent Threshold Since November 2000 Enactment of Proposition 39 - Ranked by Percentage of Voter Approval

Rank	Educational District	Amount Proposed to Borrow	Year-Month-Day of Election	Counties	Measure	% Needed to Pass	Yes	Total	Passage	Outcome
326	Stockton Unified School District	\$114,000,000	2014-11-04	San Joaquin	E	55	14,751	21,871	67.4%	Pass
327	Somis Union School District	\$9,000,000	2012-11-06	Ventura	S	55	955	1,416	67.4%	Pass
328	Washington Unified School District	\$49,800,000	2014-11-04	Yolo	V	55	6,118	9,072	67.4%	Pass
329	Dos Palos-Oro Loma Joint Unified School District	\$4,400,000	2002-11-05	Fresno/Merced	G	55	1,130	1,676	67.4%	Pass
330	Livingston Union School District	\$10,000,000	2005-11-08	Merced	B	55	1,002	1,487	67.4%	Pass
331	Terra Bella Union Elementary School District	\$3,300,000	2008-11-04	Tulare	K	55	763	1,133	67.3%	Pass
332	Acalanes Union High School District	\$44,000,000	2002-11-05	Contra Costa	B	55	30,163	44,797	67.3%	Pass
333	Victor Elementary School District	\$150,000,000	2008-11-04	San Bernardino	E	55	15,167	22,529	67.3%	Pass
334	Ontario-Montclair School District	\$59,655,000	2002-11-05	San Bernardino	T	55	11,472	17,042	67.3%	Pass
335	El Segundo Unified School District	\$14,000,000	2008-11-04	Los Angeles	M	55	5,602	8,326	67.3%	Pass
336	Jefferson School District	\$35,400,000	2010-11-02	San Joaquin	J	55	2,354	3,500	67.3%	Pass
337	Hughson Unified School District	\$14,300,000	2004-11-02	Stanislaus	G	55	2,788	4,146	67.2%	Pass
338	Sacramento City Unified School District	\$225,000,000	2002-11-05	Sacramento	I	55	49,115	73,041	67.2%	Pass
339	Vista Unified School District	\$140,000,000	2002-03-05	San Diego	O	55	17,506	26,037	67.2%	Pass
340	Tustin Unified School District	\$80,000,000	2002-11-05	Orange	G	55	12,792	19,054	67.1%	Pass
341	Monrovia Unified School District	\$45,000,000	2006-06-06	Los Angeles	M	55	4,301	6,409	67.1%	Pass
342	Central School District	\$35,000,000	2014-11-04	San Bernardino	N	55	4,141	6,171	67.1%	Pass
343	El Monte City School District	\$78,000,000	2014-11-04	Los Angeles	M	55	4,614	6,877	67.1%	Pass
344	Fremont Union High School District	\$198,000,000	2008-06-03	Santa Clara	B	55	22,100	32,949	67.1%	Pass
345	Centralia School District	\$17,500,000	2002-11-05	Orange	H	55	5,760	8,589	67.1%	Pass
346	Downey Unified School District	\$65,000,000	2002-11-05	Los Angeles	D	55	13,257	19,771	67.1%	Pass
347	Chowchilla Union High School District	\$8,100,000	2004-11-02	Madera	N	55	2,258	3,368	67.0%	Pass
348	Dinuba Unified School District	\$37,000,000	2006-11-07	Fresno/Tulare	T	55	2,205	3,290	67.0%	Pass
349	San Bernardino Community College District	\$500,000,000	2008-02-05	San Bernardino/Riverside	M	55	87,405	130,437	67.0%	Pass
350	San Jacinto Unified School District	\$150,000,000	2006-11-07	Riverside	V	55	4,153	6,198	67.0%	Pass
351	Palos Verdes Peninsula Unified School District	\$10,000,000	2005-11-08	Los Angeles	S	55	14,678	21,911	67.0%	Pass
352	Loma Prieta Schools	\$4,965,000	2002-03-05	Santa Clara/Santa Cruz	K	55	982	1,466	67.0%	Pass
353	Hanford Joint Union High School District	\$33,500,000	2004-11-02	Kings/Tulare	K	55	12,549	18,737	67.0%	Pass
354	Caruthers Unified School District	\$12,000,000	2012-11-06	Fresno	C	55	1,264	1,888	66.9%	Pass
355	Brawley Elementary School District	\$7,500,000	2012-11-06	Imperial	S	55	4,081	6,096	66.9%	Pass
356	Arcadia Unified School District	\$218,000,000	2006-11-07	Los Angeles	I	55	10,104	15,093	66.9%	Pass
357	Mill Valley School District	\$59,800,000	2009-11-03	Marin	C	55	5,530	8,261	66.9%	Pass
358	Oak View Union School District	\$3,700,000	2008-06-03	San Joaquin	R	55	380	568	66.9%	Pass
359	Cascade Union Elementary School District	\$12,400,000	2008-11-04	Shasta	C	55	3,211	4,800	66.9%	Pass
360	Buena Park Elementary School District	\$71,000,000	2014-06-03	Orange	B	55	2,229	3,333	66.9%	Pass
361	Tamalpais Union High School District	\$79,920,000	2006-06-06	Marin	A	55	23,420	35,020	66.9%	Pass
362	Placerville Union School District	\$5,300,000	2002-11-05	El Dorado	K	55	3,274	4,896	66.9%	Pass
363	Middletown Unified School District	\$15,300,000	2006-11-07	Lake	X	55	2,020	3,021	66.9%	Pass
364	West Hills Community College District SFID No. 1	\$11,800,000	2008-06-03	Fresno/Madera	Q	55	782	1,171	66.8%	Pass
365	Rincon Valley Union School District	\$35,000,000	2014-06-03	Sonoma	F	55	6,816	10,209	66.8%	Pass
366	Torrance Unified School District	\$144,300,000	2014-11-04	Los Angeles	T	55	21,424	32,113	66.7%	Pass

## All California Educational Bond Measures Approved With a 55 Percent Threshold Since November 2000 Enactment of Proposition 39 - Ranked by Percentage of Voter Approval

Rank	Educational District	Amount Proposed to Borrow	Year-Month-Day of Election	Counties	Measure	% Needed to Pass	Yes	Total	Passage	Outcome
367	Newhall School District	\$60,000,000	2011-11-08	Los Angeles	E	55	3,706	5,556	66.7%	Pass
368	Kern High School District	\$219,000,000	2004-11-02	Kern	N	55	97,540	146,279	66.7%	Pass
369	Campbell Union School District	\$74,900,000	2002-03-05	Santa Clara	H	55	8,772	13,156	66.7%	Pass
370	Pajaro Valley Unified School District	\$58,250,000	2002-11-05	Santa Cruz/Monterey	J or L	55	14,352	21,530	66.7%	Pass
371	Chualar Union Elementary School District	\$1,900,000	2001-11-06	Monterey	K	55	157	236	66.5%	Pass
372	John Swett Unified School District	\$10,000,000	2002-03-05	Contra Costa	B	55	1,778	2,673	66.5%	Pass
373	San Mateo Union High School District	\$298,000,000	2006-11-07	San Mateo	M	55	42,296	63,640	66.5%	Pass
374	Susanville Elementary School District	\$9,000,000	2008-11-04	Lassen	S	55	3,025	4,552	66.5%	Pass
375	Hillsborough City School District	\$66,800,000	2002-11-05	San Mateo	B	55	2,838	4,271	66.4%	Pass
376	Redlands Unified School District	\$65,500,000	2008-02-05	San Bernardino	J	55	21,545	32,446	66.4%	Pass
377	Oxnard School District	\$90,000,000	2012-11-06	Ventura	R	55	19,968	30,078	66.4%	Pass
378	Perris Elementary School District	\$40,000,000	2014-06-03	Riverside	C	55	1,153	1,738	66.3%	Pass
379	Carpinteria Unified School District	\$90,000,000	2014-11-04	Santa Barbara	U	55	3,901	5,881	66.3%	Pass
380	Cupertino Union School District	\$220,000,000	2012-06-05	Santa Clara	H	55	17,510	26,432	66.2%	Pass
381	San Mateo County Community College District	\$388,000,000	2014-11-04	San Mateo	H	55	102,629	154,995	66.2%	Pass
382	Cottonwood Union Elementary School District	\$2,200,000	2003-11-04	Shasta	A	55	1,111	1,678	66.2%	Pass
383	Patterson Joint Unified School District	\$50,000,000	2008-11-04	Stanislaus/Santa Clara	V	55	3,982	6,015	66.2%	Pass
384	Columbia Union Elementary School District	\$3,600,000	2003-03-04	Tuolumne	G	55	937	1,417	66.1%	Pass
385	Forestville Union School District	\$5,100,000	2010-11-02	Sonoma	K	55	2,104	3,183	66.1%	Pass
386	Los Angeles Unified School District	\$3,985,000,000	2005-11-08	Los Angeles	Y	55	443,905	671,636	66.1%	Pass
387	College of the Sequoias	\$28,000,000	2008-11-04	Tulare	I	55	35,713	54,070	66.0%	Pass
388	Farmersville Unified School District	\$4,800,000	2014-11-04	Tulare	A	55	575	871	66.0%	Pass
389	Gravenstein Union School District	\$6,000,000	2012-11-06	Sonoma	M	55	1,971	2,986	66.0%	Pass
390	Placentia-Yorba Linda Unified School District	\$102,000,000	2002-03-05	Orange	Y	55	18,300	27,735	66.0%	Pass
391	Sutter Union High School District	\$12,600,000	2008-06-03	Sutter	T	55	1,194	1,810	66.0%	Pass
392	Parlier Unified School District	\$6,000,000	2014-06-03	Fresno	P	55	554	840	66.0%	Pass
393	Conejo Valley Unified School District	\$197,000,000	2014-11-04	Ventura	I	55	27,468	41,652	65.9%	Pass
394	Redondo Beach Unified School District	\$145,000,000	2008-02-05	Los Angeles	C	55	13,516	20,505	65.9%	Pass
395	Belmont-Redwood Shores School District	\$25,000,000	2010-11-02	San Mateo	I	55	9,509	14,431	65.9%	Pass
396	San Mateo-Foster City School District	\$175,000,000	2008-02-05	San Mateo	J	55	48,116	73,047	65.9%	Pass
397	Gustine Unified School District	\$14,000,000	2014-11-04	Merced	P	55	962	1,461	65.8%	Pass
398	Butte-Glenn Community College District	\$84,900,000	2002-03-05	Butte/Glenn	A	55	36,012	54,724	65.8%	Pass
399	Fort Bragg Unified School District	\$22,200,000	2003-11-04	Mendocino	D	55	1,946	2,958	65.8%	Pass
400	Hydesville Elementary School District	\$1,100,000	2014-06-03	Humboldt	M	55	244	371	65.8%	Pass
401	Hartnell Community College District	\$131,000,000	2002-11-05	Monterey/San Benito	H	55	29,768	45,263	65.8%	Pass
402	San Juan Unified School District	\$350,000,000	2002-11-05	Sacramento	J	55	63,010	95,831	65.8%	Pass
403	West Hills Community College District SFID No. 2	\$11,600,000	2008-11-04	Fresno/Monterey/San Benito	C	55	2,656	4,040	65.7%	Pass
404	Morgan Hill Unified School District	\$198,250,000	2012-11-06	Santa Clara	G	55	15,243	23,187	65.7%	Pass
405	Foothill-De Anza Community College District	\$490,800,000	2006-06-06	Santa Clara	C	55	44,924	68,392	65.7%	Pass
406	Magnolia School District	\$16,300,000	2010-11-02	Orange	I	55	6,366	9,693	65.7%	Pass
407	Kings River Union Elementary School District	\$850,000	2005-11-08	Tulare	E	55	300	457	65.6%	Pass

## All California Educational Bond Measures Approved With a 55 Percent Threshold Since November 2000 Enactment of Proposition 39 - Ranked by Percentage of Voter Approval

Rank	Educational District	Amount Proposed to Borrow	Year-Month-Day of Election	Counties	Measure	% Needed to Pass	Yes	Total	Passage	Outcome
408	Sequoia Union High School District	\$265,000,000	2014-06-03	San Mateo	A	55	22,995	35,047	65.6%	Pass
409	San Mateo County Community College District	\$207,000,000	2001-11-06	San Mateo	C	55	58,530	89,208	65.6%	Pass
410	Bonsall Unified School District	\$17,000,000	2005-11-08	San Diego	C	55	2,767	4,218	65.6%	Pass
411	Pacific Grove Unified School District	\$42,000,000	2006-06-06	Monterey	D	55	3,411	5,200	65.6%	Pass
412	Ventura County Community College District	\$356,000,000	2002-03-05	Ventura	S	55	77,854	118,715	65.6%	Pass
413	Oak Grove School District	\$89,800,000	2014-11-04	Santa Clara	P	55	13,056	19,918	65.5%	Pass
414	Mt. Diablo Unified School District	\$250,000,000	2002-03-05	Contra Costa	C	55	31,530	48,112	65.5%	Pass
415	Martinez Unified School District	\$45,000,000	2010-11-02	Contra Costa	K	55	6,863	10,474	65.5%	Pass
416	Greenfield Union School District	\$5,000,000	2002-03-05	Kern	A	55	2,242	3,423	65.5%	Pass
417	Fontana Unified School District	\$275,000,000	2006-06-06	Orange	C	55	5,598	8,547	65.5%	Pass
418	Santa Rosa High School District	\$77,000,000	2002-03-05	Sonoma	B	55	29,276	44,707	65.5%	Pass
419	Rio Linda Union School District (Now Twin Rivers USD)	\$15,400,000	2002-11-05	Sacramento	N	55	9,946	15,195	65.5%	Pass
420	San Jose-Evergreen Community College District	\$185,000,000	2004-11-02	Santa Clara	G	55	141,070	215,731	65.4%	Pass
421	Moorpark Unified School District	\$39,500,000	2008-11-04	Ventura	S	55	9,560	14,620	65.4%	Pass
422	Los Alamitos Unified School District	\$126,000,000	2008-11-04	Orange	K	55	13,740	21,015	65.4%	Pass
423	Amador County Unified School District	\$11,000,000	2002-11-05	Amador	F	55	8,332	12,752	65.3%	Pass
424	Atascadero Unified School District	\$117,000,000	2010-11-02	San Luis Obispo	I	55	9,165	14,029	65.3%	Pass
425	Wheatland School District	\$5,700,000	2008-11-04	Yuba	R	55	1,465	2,243	65.3%	Pass
426	Riverbank Unified School District	\$15,200,000	2005-11-08	Stanislaus	P	55	1,584	2,426	65.3%	Pass
427	Mesa Union Elementary School District	\$3,900,000	2002-11-05	Ventura	H	55	786	1,204	65.3%	Pass
428	Wasco Union High School District	\$33,500,000	2008-06-03	Kern	C	55	1,070	1,640	65.2%	Pass
429	Pioneer Elementary School District	\$7,500,000	2005-11-08	Kings	P	55	1,524	2,336	65.2%	Pass
430	Calistoga Joint Unified School District	\$42,000,000	2010-11-02	Sonoma/Napa	A	55	1,572	2,411	65.2%	Pass
431	Clovis Unified School District	\$298,000,000	2012-06-05	Fresno	M	55	23,752	36,429	65.2%	Pass
432	Coast Community College District	\$370,000,000	2002-11-05	Orange	C	55	90,198	138,348	65.2%	Pass
433	Brisbane Elementary School District	\$11,000,000	2003-11-04	San Mateo	C	55	706	1,083	65.2%	Pass
434	Coachella Valley Unified School District	\$41,000,000	2012-11-06	Riverside/Imperial	X	55	7,191	11,032	65.2%	Pass
435	Hermosa Beach City School District	\$13,600,000	2002-11-05	Los Angeles	J	55	3,874	5,944	65.2%	Pass
436	Healdsburg Unified School District	\$3,990,000	2002-11-05	Sonoma	G	55	3,922	6,023	65.1%	Pass
437	Anderson Valley Unified School District	\$15,250,000	2010-06-08	Mendocino	A	55	551	847	65.1%	Pass
438	Tracy Unified School District SFID No. 4	\$82,000,000	2014-06-03	San Joaquin/Alameda	B	55	4,570	7,028	65.0%	Pass
439	Southern Humboldt Joint Unified School District	\$10,000,000	2014-11-04	Humboldt/Mendocino	X	55	1,632	2,510	65.0%	Pass
440	Panama-Buena Vista Union School District	\$147,000,000	2012-11-06	Kern	P	55	23,690	36,447	65.0%	Pass
441	Long Beach Community College District	\$176,000,000	2002-03-05	Los Angeles	E	55	34,580	53,225	65.0%	Pass
442	Chico Unified School District	\$78,000,000	2012-11-06	Butte	E	55	27,112	41,734	65.0%	Pass
443	Redwood City Elementary School District	\$22,000,000	2002-03-05	San Mateo	B	55	8,946	13,774	64.9%	Pass
444	Kings Canyon Unified School District	\$18,000,000	2002-03-05	Fresno/Tulare	K	55	4,257	6,556	64.9%	Pass
445	Walnut Creek School District	\$20,000,000	2002-11-05	Contra Costa	C	55	11,876	18,290	64.9%	Pass
446	Petaluma City School District	\$21,000,000	2014-06-03	Sonoma	C	55	9,760	15,033	64.9%	Pass
447	Beverly Hills Unified School District	\$334,000,000	2008-11-04	Los Angeles	E	55	9,484	14,616	64.9%	Pass
448	Contra Costa Community College District	\$120,000,000	2002-03-05	Contra Costa	A	55	112,062	172,726	64.9%	Pass

## All California Educational Bond Measures Approved With a 55 Percent Threshold Since November 2000 Enactment of Proposition 39 - Ranked by Percentage of Voter Approval

Rank	Educational District	Amount Proposed to Borrow	Year-Month-Day of Election	Counties	Measure	% Needed to Pass	Yes	Total	Passage	Outcome
449	Centinela Valley Union High School District	\$98,000,000	2010-11-02	Los Angeles	CV	55	16,057	24,754	64.9%	Pass
450	Twin Hills Union School District	\$11,000,000	2010-11-02	Sonoma	M	55	1,975	3,046	64.8%	Pass
451	Fremont Union High School District	\$295,000,000	2014-11-04	Santa Clara	K	55	31,982	49,326	64.8%	Pass
452	Alisal Union School District	\$90,000,000	2006-11-07	Monterey	A	55	3,414	5,266	64.8%	Pass
453	Standard School District	\$28,000,000	2006-06-06	Kern	D	55	2,166	3,342	64.8%	Pass
454	Stone Corral Elementary School District	\$715,000	2008-06-03	Tulare	F	55	46	71	64.8%	Pass
455	Guerneville School District	\$6,000,000	2012-06-05	Sonoma	F	55	993	1,533	64.8%	Pass
456	Belmont-Redwood Shores School District	\$48,000,000	2014-11-04	San Mateo	I	55	7,017	10,837	64.8%	Pass
457	Imperial Valley College	\$80,000,000	2010-11-02	Imperial	J	55	16,325	25,220	64.7%	Pass
458	Sanger Unified School District	\$30,800,000	2006-06-06	Fresno	S	55	3,298	5,097	64.7%	Pass
459	Cayucos Elementary School District	\$2,900,000	2006-11-07	San Luis Obispo	Z	55	1,003	1,551	64.7%	Pass
460	Monterey Peninsula Community College District	\$145,000,000	2002-11-05	Monterey	I	55	23,711	36,677	64.6%	Pass
461	Castaic Union School District	\$51,000,000	2012-11-06	Los Angeles	QS	55	5,682	8,793	64.6%	Pass
462	Nuview Union School District	Reauthorize \$4,000,000	2012-11-06	Riverside	V	55	1,632	2,526	64.6%	Pass
463	Lakeside Union School District	\$79,550,000	2008-11-04	San Diego	I	55	10,695	16,561	64.6%	Pass
464	Oxnard School District	\$64,000,000	2006-11-07	Ventura	M	55	12,655	19,631	64.5%	Pass
465	Liberty School District	\$1,920,000	2004-11-02	Sonoma	L	55	618	959	64.4%	Pass
466	Santa Clara Unified School District	\$81,100,000	2010-11-02	Santa Clara	H	55	21,839	33,890	64.4%	Pass
467	West Contra Costa Unified School District	\$360,000,000	2012-11-06	Contra Costa	E	55	50,266	78,060	64.4%	Pass
468	Valley Home Joint School District	\$1,400,000	2004-03-02	Stanislaus/San Joaquin	S	55	264	410	64.4%	Pass
469	Temple City Unified School District	\$128,800,000	2012-11-06	Los Angeles	S	55	6,454	10,029	64.4%	Pass
470	Woodside Elementary School District	\$13,500,000	2014-06-03	San Mateo	D	55	713	1,108	64.4%	Pass
471	Kerman Unified School District	\$24,900,000	2008-06-03	Fresno	K	55	931	1,447	64.3%	Pass
472	Blue Lake Union Elementary School District	\$2,000,000	2010-06-08	Humboldt	K	55	528	821	64.3%	Pass
473	College of the Redwoods	\$40,320,000	2004-11-02	Del Norte/Humboldt/Mendocino	B/Q	55	52,249	81,284	64.3%	Pass
474	Redondo Beach Unified School District	\$63,000,000	2012-11-06	Los Angeles	Q	55	18,786	29,232	64.3%	Pass
475	Acalanes Union High School District	\$93,000,000	2008-11-04	Contra Costa	E	55	39,556	61,553	64.3%	Pass
476	Southern Humboldt Joint Unified School District	\$25,200,000	2010-06-08	Humboldt/Mendocino	L	55	1,528	2,379	64.2%	Pass
477	Eureka Unified School District	\$32,515,000	2002-03-05	Humboldt	T	55	5,059	7,881	64.2%	Pass
478	Armona Union Elementary School District	\$2,785,000	2004-03-02	Kings	S	55	534	832	64.2%	Pass
479	San Mateo County Community College District	\$468,000,000	2005-11-08	San Mateo	A	55	114,319	178,121	64.2%	Pass
480	Newman-Crows Landing Unified School District	\$25,000,000	2008-11-04	Stanislaus	R	55	1,998	3,114	64.2%	Pass
481	Lakeport Unified School District	\$17,000,000	2014-11-04	Lake	T	55	1,543	2,405	64.2%	Pass
482	Cajon Valley Union School District	\$156,500,000	2008-02-05	San Diego	D	55	23,696	36,946	64.1%	Pass
483	Las Virgenes Unified School District	\$128,000,000	2006-06-06	Los Angeles/Ventura	G	55	6,865	10,705	64.1%	Pass
484	Rancho Santiago Community College District	\$337,000,000	2002-11-05	Orange	E	55	51,789	80,771	64.1%	Pass
485	Escalon Unified School District	\$19,500,000	2012-11-06	San Joaquin	B	55	3,279	5,115	64.1%	Pass
486	Saratoga Union School District	\$19,900,000	2002-03-05	Santa Clara	L	55	3,903	6,091	64.1%	Pass
487	Moreno Valley Unified School District	\$398,000,000	2014-11-04	Riverside	M	55	12,348	19,276	64.1%	Pass
488	Milpitas Unified School District	\$95,000,000	2012-06-05	Santa Clara	E	55	5,412	8,449	64.1%	Pass
489	Maricopa Unified School District	\$6,000,000	2004-11-02	Kern	O	55	342	534	64.0%	Pass

## All California Educational Bond Measures Approved With a 55 Percent Threshold Since November 2000 Enactment of Proposition 39 - Ranked by Percentage of Voter Approval

Rank	Educational District	Amount Proposed to Borrow	Year-Month-Day of Election	Counties	Measure	% Needed to Pass	Yes	Total	Passage	Outcome
490	Santa Rosa High School District	\$175,000,000	2014-11-04	Sonoma	I	55	34,086	53,235	64.0%	Pass
491	Wilmar Union School District	\$4,000,000	2012-11-06	Sonoma	P	55	1,075	1,679	64.0%	Pass
492	Belmont-Redwood Shores School District ESFID	\$35,000,000	2010-11-02	San Mateo	N	55	6,744	10,539	64.0%	Pass
493	Los Gatos-Saratoga Joint Union High School District	\$99,000,000	2014-06-03	Santa Clara/Santa Cruz	E	55	8,331	13,020	64.0%	Pass
494	Bear Valley Unified School District	\$25,000,000	2002-11-05	San Bernardino	Q	55	3,423	5,351	64.0%	Pass
495	Anaheim City Elementary School District	\$169,300,000	2010-11-02	Orange	G	55	19,550	30,580	63.9%	Pass
496	Poway Unified School District SFID 2007-1	\$179,000,000	2008-02-05	San Diego	C	55	28,323	44,318	63.9%	Pass
497	Salinas City Elementary School District	\$80,000,000	2008-06-03	Monterey	T	55	5,513	8,627	63.9%	Pass
498	Burlingame School District	\$48,300,000	2007-11-06	San Mateo	A	55	3,650	5,712	63.9%	Pass
499	City College of San Francisco	\$246,300,000	2005-11-08	San Francisco	A	55	134,999	211,319	63.9%	Pass
500	West Hills Community College District SFID No. 3	\$31,000,000	2008-11-04	Fresno/Kings	E	55	7,512	11,763	63.9%	Pass
501	Bakersfield City Elementary School District	\$100,000,000	2006-11-07	Kern	G	55	17,657	27,661	63.8%	Pass
502	Garden Grove Unified School District	\$250,000,000	2010-06-08	Orange	A	55	21,149	33,168	63.8%	Pass
503	Coalinga-Huron Joint Unified School District	\$16,100,000	2010-11-02	Fresno/Monterey/San Benito	E	55	1,813	2,844	63.7%	Pass
504	Los Angeles Unified School District	\$3,870,000,000	2004-03-02	Los Angeles	R	55	335,230	526,258	63.7%	Pass
505	Oakdale Joint Unified School District	\$20,000,000	2002-11-05	San Joaquin	C	55	28	44	63.6%	Pass
506	Imperial Valley College	\$58,600,000	2004-11-02	Imperial	L	55	20,176	31,716	63.6%	Pass
507	Shoreline Unified School District	\$9,290,000	2009-11-03	Marin/Sonoma	D	55	1,033	1,624	63.6%	Pass
508	Chaffey Joint Union School District	\$848,000,000	2012-11-06	San Bernardino	P	55	70,026	110,096	63.6%	Pass
509	Gold Trail Union School District	\$1,800,000	2002-11-05	El Dorado	G	55	1,639	2,577	63.6%	Pass
510	Oak Grove Union School District	\$6,000,000	2014-11-04	Sonoma	K	55	1,174	1,846	63.6%	Pass
511	Castro Valley Unified School District	\$44,000,000	2005-11-08	Alameda	B	55	10,602	16,674	63.6%	Pass
512	Victor Elementary School District	\$30,000,000	2001-11-06	San Bernardino	E	55	3,155	4,964	63.6%	Pass
513	La Canada Unified School District	\$25,000,000	2004-03-02	Los Angeles	B	55	4,408	6,939	63.5%	Pass
514	Fremont Unified School District	\$157,200,000	2002-03-05	Alameda	B	55	15,101	23,780	63.5%	Pass
515	Benicia Unified School District	\$49,600,000	2014-06-03	Solano	S	55	3,903	6,147	63.5%	Pass
516	Madera Unified School District	\$46,000,000	2002-11-05	Madera	B	55	6,812	10,729	63.5%	Pass
517	Solano Community College District	\$348,000,000	2012-11-06	Solano/Yolo	Q	55	89,634	141,189	63.5%	Pass
518	Solano Community College District	\$124,500,000	2002-11-05	Solano/Yolo	G	55	89,634	141,189	63.5%	Pass
519	Live Oak Unified School District	\$8,400,000	2004-03-02	Sutter	G	55	961	1,515	63.4%	Pass
520	Hollister School District	\$28,500,000	2014-11-04	San Benito	M	55	5,729	9,035	63.4%	Pass
521	Central Unified School District	\$152,000,000	2008-11-04	Fresno	E	55	12,062	19,023	63.4%	Pass
522	San Marcos Unified School District	\$287,000,000	2010-11-02	San Diego	K	55	20,082	31,682	63.4%	Pass
523	Temecula Valley Unified School District	\$165,000,000	2012-11-06	Riverside	Y	55	27,808	43,879	63.4%	Pass
524	Lucia Mar Unified School District	\$21,350,000	2004-03-02	San Luis Obispo	A	55	13,848	21,869	63.3%	Pass
525	Rancho Santa Fe Elementary School District	\$4,800,000	2004-03-02	San Diego	K	55	1,417	2,238	63.3%	Pass
526	Mojave Unified School District SFID No. 1	\$8,100,000	2014-11-04	Kern	C	55	1,551	2,450	63.3%	Pass
527	Rincon Valley Union School District	\$23,900,000	2004-03-02	Sonoma	D	55	10,302	16,288	63.2%	Pass
528	Exeter Union High School District	\$5,100,000	2006-11-07	Tulare	U	55	2,486	3,931	63.2%	Pass
529	Anaheim City Elementary School District	\$111,000,000	2002-03-05	Orange	BB	55	11,610	18,366	63.2%	Pass
530	Burton Elementary School District	\$2,455,000	2002-11-05	Tulare	B	55	1,471	2,327	63.2%	Pass

## All California Educational Bond Measures Approved With a 55 Percent Threshold Since November 2000 Enactment of Proposition 39 - Ranked by Percentage of Voter Approval

Rank	Educational District	Amount Proposed to Borrow	Year-Month-Day of Election	Counties	Measure	% Needed to Pass	Yes	Total	Passage	Outcome
531	Paradise Elementary School District	\$660,000	2002-03-05	Stanislaus	X	55	151	239	63.2%	Pass
532	Happy Valley Union Elementary School District	\$2,495,000	2014-06-03	Shasta	C	55	724	1,146	63.2%	Pass
533	Sonoma County Community College District	\$410,000,000	2014-11-04	Sonoma/Marin/Mendocino	H	55	87,739	139,046	63.1%	Pass
534	Glendora Unified School District	\$41,310,000	2005-11-08	Los Angeles	G	55	6,588	10,442	63.1%	Pass
535	San Leandro Unified School District	\$50,100,000	2010-11-02	Alameda	M	55	10,638	16,864	63.1%	Pass
536	Cotati/ Rohnert Park Unified School District	\$80,000,000	2014-06-03	Sonoma	B	55	5,382	8,532	63.1%	Pass
537	Colusa Unified School District	\$5,900,000	2014-11-04	Colusa	A	55	1,049	1,663	63.1%	Pass
538	College of Marin	\$249,500,000	2004-11-02	Marin	C	55	77,102	122,301	63.0%	Pass
539	San Diego Community College District	\$870,000,000	2006-11-07	San Diego	N	55	149,399	237,005	63.0%	Pass
540	Oroville City Elementary School District	\$6,000,000	2002-11-05	Butte	F	55	4,742	7,525	63.0%	Pass
541	Ohlone Community College District	\$349,000,000	2010-11-02	Alameda	G	55	37,042	58,814	63.0%	Pass
542	Westminster School District	\$130,000,000	2008-11-04	Orange	O	55	15,947	25,321	63.0%	Pass
543	Princeton Unified School District	\$2,750,000	2014-06-03	Glenn/Colusa	S	55	165	262	63.0%	Pass
544	San Carlos Unified School District	\$38,000,000	2005-11-08	San Mateo	E	55	6,240	9,913	62.9%	Pass
545	Denair Unified School District	\$13,000,000	2007-11-06	Stanislaus	K	55	812	1,290	62.9%	Pass
546	Rio Hondo Community College District	\$245,000,000	2004-03-02	Los Angeles	A	55	27,447	43,642	62.9%	Pass
547	Bennett Valley Union School District	\$10,600,000	2010-11-02	Sonoma	J	55	2,976	4,732	62.9%	Pass
548	Bass Lake Joint Union Elementary School District	\$15,000,000	2006-06-06	Madera	R	55	2,213	3,520	62.9%	Pass
549	Westside Union School District	\$63,500,000	2008-11-04	Los Angeles	WS	55	16,127	25,660	62.8%	Pass
550	Antioch Unified School District SFID No. 1	\$56,500,000	2012-11-06	Contra Costa	B	55	12,264	19,515	62.8%	Pass
551	San Bernardino Community College District	\$190,000,000	2002-11-05	San Bernardino/Riverside	P	55	60,487	96,344	62.8%	Pass
552	Southern Kern Unified School District	\$12,000,000	2002-11-05	Kern	H	55	1,914	3,049	62.8%	Pass
553	Windsor Unified School District	\$50,000,000	2008-02-05	Sonoma	B	55	6,208	9,891	62.8%	Pass
554	Alameda Unified School District	\$179,500,000	2014-11-04	Alameda	I	55	13,111	20,894	62.8%	Pass
555	Pomona Unified School District	\$68,000,000	2002-03-05	Los Angeles	J	55	7,280	11,602	62.7%	Pass
556	Gilroy Unified School District	\$69,000,000	2002-11-05	Santa Clara	I	55	5,865	9,349	62.7%	Pass
557	Kings Canyon Unified School District	\$40,000,000	2012-11-06	Fresno/Tulare	K	55	6,806	10,851	62.7%	Pass
558	Charter Oak Unified School District	\$47,000,000	2012-06-05	Los Angeles	CO	55	3,035	4,839	62.7%	Pass
559	Weaver Union School District	\$9,800,000	2006-06-06	Merced	B	55	533	850	62.7%	Pass
560	Oxnard Union High School District	\$135,000,000	2004-11-02	Ventura	H	55	52,851	84,324	62.7%	Pass
561	Alvord Unified School District	<b>Reauthorize \$79,000,000</b>	2012-11-06	Riverside	W	55	12,911	20,602	62.7%	Pass
562	Santa Clarita Community College District	\$160,000,000	2006-11-07	Los Angeles	M	55	38,292	61,119	62.7%	Pass
563	West Contra Costa Unified School District	\$380,000,000	2010-06-08	Contra Costa	D	55	23,014	36,754	62.6%	Pass
564	Merced Union High School District	\$149,450,000	2008-11-04	Merced	M	55	25,266	40,377	62.6%	Pass
565	San Luis Obispo County Community College District (Cuesta)	\$275,000,000	2014-11-04	San Luis Obispo/ Monterey	L	55	51,740	82,706	62.6%	Pass
566	Happy Valley Union Elementary School District	\$3,800,000	2008-11-04	Shasta	E	55	1,743	2,787	62.5%	Pass
567	Aromas-San Juan Unified School District	\$11,200,000	2002-03-05	Monterey/San Benito	S	55	1,243	1,988	62.5%	Pass
568	Mojave Unified School District SFID No. 1	\$24,000,000	2008-02-05	Kern	A	55	1,746	2,793	62.5%	Pass
569	Spreckels Union School District	\$7,000,000	2012-11-06	Monterey	B	55	2,091	3,346	62.5%	Pass
570	Westside Union School District	<b>Reauthorize \$18,510,000</b>	2012-11-06	Los Angeles	WR	55	16,153	25,849	62.5%	Pass
571	Antioch Unified School District SFID No. 2	\$61,600,000	2008-06-03	Contra Costa	C	55	4,948	7,921	62.5%	Pass

## All California Educational Bond Measures Approved With a 55 Percent Threshold Since November 2000 Enactment of Proposition 39 - Ranked by Percentage of Voter Approval

Rank	Educational District	Amount Proposed to Borrow	Year-Month-Day of Election	Counties	Measure	% Needed to Pass	Yes	Total	Passage	Outcome
572	Siskiyou Joint Community College District	\$31,400,000	2005-11-08	Siskiyou/Shasta	A	55	8,912	14,267	62.5%	Pass
573	Black Oak Mine Unified School District	\$6,900,000	2006-11-07	El Dorado	G	55	3,768	6,034	62.4%	Pass
574	Clovis Unified School District	\$168,000,000	2004-03-02	Fresno	A	55	24,836	39,778	62.4%	Pass
575	Buckeye Union School District	\$28,300,000	2006-11-07	El Dorado	K	55	7,910	12,670	62.4%	Pass
576	Franklin School District	\$2,000,000	2006-06-06	Sutter	P	55	452	724	62.4%	Pass
577	Grant Joint Union High School District (Now Twin Rivers USD)	\$230,000,000	2006-06-06	Sacramento/Placer	G	55	10,477	16,782	62.4%	Pass
578	Bassett Unified School District	\$30,000,000	2014-11-04	Los Angeles	V	55	1,616	2,589	62.4%	Pass
579	Mendocino-Lake Community College District	\$67,500,000	2006-11-07	Mendocino/Lake	W	55	18,417	29,506	62.4%	Pass
580	Marysville Joint Unified School District	\$47,000,000	2008-11-04	Yuba/Butte	P	55	10,081	16,160	62.4%	Pass
581	Greenfield Union School District	\$10,000,000	2014-11-04	Monterey	C	55	1,014	1,626	62.4%	Pass
582	Planada Elementary School District	\$1,500,000	2014-06-03	Merced	O	55	220	353	62.3%	Pass
583	Bellevue Union School District	\$12,000,000	2014-06-03	Sonoma	D	55	1,314	2,111	62.2%	Pass
584	Anaheim Union High School District	\$132,000,000	2002-03-05	Orange	Z	55	25,592	41,126	62.2%	Pass
585	Palm Springs Unified School District	\$516,000,000	2008-02-05	Riverside	E	55	20,923	33,647	62.2%	Pass
586	Eureka Unified School District SFID No. 1	\$10,585,000	2002-03-05	Humboldt	S	55	7,598	12,225	62.2%	Pass
587	Wright Elementary School District	\$14,000,000	2012-06-05	Sonoma	M	55	1,913	3,078	62.2%	Pass
588	Rio Linda Union School District (Now Twin Rivers USD)	\$38,000,000	2006-11-07	Sacramento	P	55	11,752	18,915	62.1%	Pass
589	Santa Maria-Bonita School District	\$45,000,000	2014-11-04	Santa Barbara/SLO	T	55	8,085	13,021	62.1%	Pass
590	Torrance Unified School District	\$50,000,000	2014-11-04	Los Angeles	U	55	19,877	32,015	62.1%	Pass
591	Bonita Unified School District	\$56,360,000	2004-03-02	Los Angeles	C	55	8,841	14,243	62.1%	Pass
592	Beaumont Unified School District	\$125,000,000	2008-11-04	Riverside/San Bernardino	Z	55	9,029	14,552	62.0%	Pass
593	Dublin Unified School District	\$99,000,000	2012-06-05	Alameda	E	55	4,205	6,780	62.0%	Pass
594	Alvord Unified School District	\$196,000,000	2007-11-06	Riverside	H	55	3,437	5,542	62.0%	Pass
595	Grossmont Union High School District	\$274,000,000	2004-03-02	San Diego	H	55	61,406	99,029	62.0%	Pass
596	Natomas Unified School District	\$145,500,000	2006-06-06	Sacramento	D	55	4,358	7,029	62.0%	Pass
597	College School District	\$9,370,000	2004-11-02	Santa Barbara	Z	55	2,028	3,271	62.0%	Pass
598	Big Pine Unified School District	\$4,100,000	2010-06-08	Inyo	A	55	360	581	62.0%	Pass
599	Vacaville Unified School District	\$194,000,000	2014-11-04	Solano	A	55	12,095	19,524	61.9%	Pass
600	San Mateo Union High School District	\$186,000,000	2010-11-02	San Mateo	O	55	43,251	69,854	61.9%	Pass
601	Val Verde Unified School District	\$178,000,000	2012-06-05	Riverside	L	55	2,758	4,455	61.9%	Pass
602	Mark Twain Union Elementary School District	\$9,600,000	2004-03-02	Calaveras	K	55	1,786	2,885	61.9%	Pass
603	Hawthorne Elementary School District	\$20,000,000	2008-06-03	Los Angeles	H	55	2,481	4,009	61.9%	Pass
604	Downey Unified School District	\$248,000,000	2014-11-04	Los Angeles	O	55	10,093	16,314	61.9%	Pass
605	Wheatland School District	\$9,000,000	2012-11-06	Yuba	U	55	2,250	3,637	61.9%	Pass
606	Chino Valley Unified School District	\$150,000,000	2002-03-05	San Bernardino	M	55	10,191	16,478	61.8%	Pass
607	Peninsula Union School District	\$600,000	2001-11-06	Humboldt	R	55	47	76	61.8%	Pass
608	Simi Valley Unified School District	\$145,000,000	2004-03-02	Ventura	C	55	16,118	26,079	61.8%	Pass
609	Woodlake Union High School District	\$4,500,000	2008-02-05	Tulare	C	55	1,592	2,576	61.8%	Pass
610	San Diego Unified School District	\$2,800,000,000	2012-11-06	San Diego	Z	55	218,242	353,169	61.8%	Pass
611	Burbank Unified School District	\$110,000,000	2013-03-05	Los Angeles	S	55	4,663	7,547	61.8%	Pass
612	Gateway Unified School District	\$22,000,000	2002-03-05	Shasta	B	55	3,623	5,864	61.8%	Pass

## All California Educational Bond Measures Approved With a 55 Percent Threshold Since November 2000 Enactment of Proposition 39 - Ranked by Percentage of Voter Approval

Rank	Educational District	Amount Proposed to Borrow	Year-Month-Day of Election	Counties	Measure	% Needed to Pass	Yes	Total	Passage	Outcome
613	Pollock Pines Elementary School District	\$9,000,000	2012-06-05	El Dorado	K	55	1,606	2,601	61.7%	Pass
614	Los Rios Community College District	\$265,000,000	2002-03-05	El Dorado/Placer/Sacramento/Yolo/Solano	A	55	132,952	215,359	61.7%	Pass
615	Buellton Union School District	\$6,500,000	2004-03-02	Santa Barbara	U	55	1,176	1,905	61.7%	Pass
616	Cutler-Orosi Joint Unified School District	\$6,400,000	2004-03-02	Fresno/Tulare	O	55	687	1,113	61.7%	Pass
617	Corona-Norco Unified School District	\$250,000,000	2006-11-07	Riverside	U	55	24,101	39,068	61.7%	Pass
618	Western Placer Unified School District	\$60,000,000	2014-11-04	Placer	A	55	10,742	17,418	61.7%	Pass
619	Atascadero Unified School District	Reauthorize \$58,000,000	2014-11-04	San Luis Obispo	B	55	7,102	11,517	61.7%	Pass
620	Solvang School District	\$11,650,000	2006-06-06	Santa Barbara	J	55	1,148	1,863	61.6%	Pass
621	Rim of the World Unified School District	\$23,340,000	2008-11-04	San Bernardino	W	55	8,116	13,171	61.6%	Pass
622	Cabrillo Community College District	\$118,500,000	2004-03-02	Santa Cruz/Monterey/San Benito	D	55	44,542	72,295	61.6%	Pass
623	Encinitas Union School District	\$44,200,000	2010-11-02	San Diego	P	55	17,989	29,200	61.6%	Pass
624	El Camino Community College District	\$394,516,464	2002-11-05	Los Angeles	E	55	68,095	110,541	61.6%	Pass
625	Novato Unified School District	\$107,000,000	2001-11-06	Marin	A	55	8,419	13,668	61.6%	Pass
626	Manhattan Beach Unified School District	\$67,480,000	2008-11-04	Los Angeles	BB	55	12,191	19,801	61.6%	Pass
627	Victor Valley Community College District	\$297,500,000	2008-11-04	San Bernardino/Los Angeles	JJ	55	63,581	103,301	61.5%	Pass
628	Fullerton School District	\$49,700,000	2002-03-05	Orange	CC	55	11,710	19,026	61.5%	Pass
629	San Ramon Valley Unified School District	\$260,000,000	2012-11-06	Contra Costa	D	55	37,380	60,774	61.5%	Pass
630	Los Olivos School District	\$4,650,000	2006-11-07	Santa Barbara	N	55	469	763	61.5%	Pass
631	Santa Monica Community College District	\$295,000,000	2008-11-04	Los Angeles	AA	55	30,232	49,185	61.5%	Pass
632	San Bernardino City Unified School District	\$140,000,000	2004-03-02	San Bernardino	T	55	14,282	23,247	61.4%	Pass
633	Nevada Joint Union High School District	\$15,000,000	2002-03-05	Nevada	A	55	16,397	26,704	61.4%	Pass
634	Center Joint Unified School District	\$500,000,000	2008-11-04	Sacramento/Placer	N	55	5,082	8,278	61.4%	Pass
635	Healdsburg Unified School District	\$35,000,000	2012-06-05	Sonoma	E	55	2,790	4,546	61.4%	Pass
636	Roseville City School District	\$29,117,071	2002-11-05	Placer	H	55	11,174	18,219	61.3%	Pass
637	Willits Unified School District	\$43,000,000	2010-06-08	Mendocino	B	55	2,211	3,606	61.3%	Pass
638	Merced River School District	\$1,800,000	2014-06-03	Merced	L	55	95	155	61.3%	Pass
639	Chatom Union Elementary School District	\$5,000,000	2006-11-07	Stanislaus	U	55	617	1,007	61.3%	Pass
640	Fortuna Union High School District	\$10,000,000	2012-11-06	Humboldt	D	55	5,072	8,278	61.3%	Pass
641	La Habra City School District	\$31,000,000	2012-11-06	Orange	O	55	8,874	14,489	61.2%	Pass
642	Walnut Valley Unified School District	\$64,600,000	2007-11-06	Los Angeles	S	55	4,159	6,797	61.2%	Pass
643	Golden Plains Unified School District	\$13,000,000	2014-11-04	Fresno	G	55	402	657	61.2%	Pass
644	Mesa Union Elementary School District	\$4,000,000	2008-06-03	Ventura	A	55	506	827	61.2%	Pass
645	Fremont Unified School District	\$650,000,000	2014-06-03	Alameda	E	55	15,971	26,106	61.2%	Pass
646	Cinnabar Elementary School District	\$2,500,000	2014-11-04	Sonoma	J	55	494	808	61.1%	Pass
647	Napa Valley Community College District	\$133,800,000	2002-11-05	Napa/Sonoma	N	55	21,699	35,502	61.1%	Pass
648	Bradley Union School District	\$1,100,000	2011-11-08	Monterey	T	55	33	54	61.1%	Pass
649	Sierra Sands Unified School District	\$50,500,000	2006-06-06	Kern/San Bernardino	A	55	4,710	7,710	61.1%	Pass
650	North Monterey County Unified School District	\$23,800,000	2013-11-05	Monterey	H	55	2,453	4,019	61.0%	Pass
651	Central Unified School District	\$40,000,000	2004-03-02	Fresno	K	55	5,174	8,494	60.9%	Pass
652	Mt. Diablo Unified School District	\$348,000,000	2010-06-08	Contra Costa	C	55	32,152	52,814	60.9%	Pass
653	Chualar Union Elementary School District	\$1,000,000	2004-03-02	Monterey	A	55	182	299	60.9%	Pass

## All California Educational Bond Measures Approved With a 55 Percent Threshold Since November 2000 Enactment of Proposition 39 - Ranked by Percentage of Voter Approval

Rank	Educational District	Amount Proposed to Borrow	Year-Month-Day of Election	Counties	Measure	% Needed to Pass	Yes	Total	Passage	Outcome
654	Yosemite Community College District	\$326,174,000	2004-11-02	Stanislaus/San Joaquin/Merced/Calaveras/	E	55	109,315	179,656	60.8%	Pass
655	Huntington Beach City Elementary School District	\$30,000,000	2002-03-05	Orange	DD	55	11,913	19,584	60.8%	Pass
656	Bonita Unified School District	\$83,560,000	2008-11-04	Los Angeles	AB	55	17,218	28,308	60.8%	Pass
657	Greenfield Union School District	\$10,000,000	2014-11-04	Monterey	D	55	987	1,623	60.8%	Pass
658	Jurupa Unified School District	\$144,000,000	2014-11-04	Riverside	EE	55	5,824	9,578	60.8%	Pass
659	St. Helena Unified School District	\$30,000,000	2010-11-02	Napa	B	55	2,545	4,186	60.8%	Pass
660	Lodi Unified School District	\$114,000,000	2006-11-07	San Joaquin	L	55	9,225	15,176	60.8%	Pass
661	Perris Union High School District	\$153,420,000	2012-11-06	Riverside	T	55	24,229	39,886	60.7%	Pass
662	Napa Valley Unified School District	\$183,000,000	2006-11-07	Napa	G	55	20,831	34,315	60.7%	Pass
663	William S. Hart Union High School District	\$300,000,000	2008-11-04	Los Angeles	SA	55	59,287	97,816	60.6%	Pass
664	Beardsley School District	\$10,300,000	2006-06-06	Kern	B	55	1,444	2,383	60.6%	Pass
665	Riverside Community College District	\$350,000,000	2004-03-02	Riverside	C	55	61,923	102,205	60.6%	Pass
666	Madera Unified School District	\$32,500,000	2006-11-07	Madera	U	55	6,812	11,244	60.6%	Pass
667	Salinas Union High School District	\$52,000,000	2002-03-05	Monterey	F	55	12,746	21,111	60.4%	Pass
668	Paso Robles Joint Unified School District	\$20,000,000	2006-11-07	San Luis Obispo/Monterey	T	55	8,753	14,498	60.4%	Pass
669	Julian Union High School District	\$2,100,000	2010-11-02	San Diego	L	55	1,259	2,086	60.4%	Pass
670	Lost Hills Union School District	\$6,200,000	2005-11-08	Kern	G	55	35	58	60.3%	Pass
671	Fullerton Joint Union High School District	\$67,940,000	2002-03-05	Orange/Los Angeles	AA	55	21,974	36,437	60.3%	Pass
672	Salinas Union High School District	\$128,000,000	2014-11-04	Monterey	B	55	14,730	24,428	60.3%	Pass
673	Grossmont-Cuyamaca Community College District	\$207,000,000	2002-11-05	San Diego	R	55	60,622	100,551	60.3%	Pass
674	Upland Unified School District	\$103,000,000	2008-02-05	San Bernardino	K	55	11,785	19,554	60.3%	Pass
675	San Lorenzo Valley Unified School District	\$18,900,000	2008-02-05	Santa Cruz	O	55	6,298	10,452	60.3%	Pass
676	Tahoe Truckee Unified School District SFID No. 2	\$62,000,000	2014-11-04	El Dorado/Placer	E	55	2,074	3,442	60.3%	Pass
677	San Juan Unified School District	\$350,000,000	2012-11-06	Sacramento	N	55	76,052	126,333	60.2%	Pass
678	Tustin Unified School District	\$135,000,000	2012-11-06	Orange	S	55	25,220	41,949	60.1%	Pass
679	Oak Park Unified School District	\$17,500,000	2006-06-06	Ventura	C	55	2,030	3,378	60.1%	Pass
680	Fairfield-Suisun Unified School District	\$100,000,000	2002-03-05	Solano	C	55	11,288	18,784	60.1%	Pass
681	West Valley-Mission Community College District	\$235,000,000	2004-11-02	Santa Clara/Santa Cruz	H	55	79,524	132,394	60.1%	Pass
682	Duarte Unified School District	\$62,000,000	2010-11-02	Los Angeles	E	55	4,198	7,008	59.9%	Pass
683	Bret Harte Union High School District	\$18,000,000	2008-11-04	Calaveras	K	55	6,167	10,295	59.9%	Pass
684	Roseville Joint Union High School District	\$79,000,000	2004-11-02	Placer/Sacramento	J	55	35,814	59,793	59.9%	Pass
685	Kings Canyon Unified School District	\$32,000,000	2006-11-07	Fresno/Tulare	K	55	5,029	8,400	59.9%	Pass
686	Calipatria Unified School District	\$9,000,000	2013-11-05	Imperial	T	55	422	705	59.9%	Pass
687	West Valley-Mission Community College District	\$350,000,000	2012-06-05	Santa Clara/Santa Cruz	C	55	42,498	71,020	59.8%	Pass
688	Oroville Union High School District	\$10,400,000	2002-11-05	Butte	J	55	6,809	11,379	59.8%	Pass
689	Pacific Grove Unified School District	\$18,000,000	2014-11-04	Monterey	A	55	4,020	6,724	59.8%	Pass
690	Tipton Elementary School District	\$3,300,000	2014-11-04	Tulare	C	55	211	353	59.8%	Pass
691	Cold Spring School District	\$2,440,000	2008-11-04	Santa Barbara	C	55	987	1,653	59.7%	Pass
692	Sierra Joint Community College District SFID No. 2	\$44,400,000	2004-11-02	Nevada	G	55	24,877	41,666	59.7%	Pass
693	Yuba City Unified School District	\$25,670,000	2004-11-02	Sutter	K	55	9,383	15,729	59.7%	Pass
694	Greenfield Union School District	\$8,300,000	2010-06-08	Monterey	L	55	761	1,276	59.6%	Pass

## All California Educational Bond Measures Approved With a 55 Percent Threshold Since November 2000 Enactment of Proposition 39 - Ranked by Percentage of Voter Approval

Rank	Educational District	Amount Proposed to Borrow	Year-Month-Day of Election	Counties	Measure	% Needed to Pass	Yes	Total	Passage	Outcome
695	St. Helena Unified School District	\$30,000,000	2012-11-06	Napa	C	55	2,782	4,669	59.6%	Pass
696	Lincoln Unified School District	\$48,500,000	2012-06-05	San Joaquin	M	55	5,653	9,488	59.6%	Pass
697	Turlock Unified School District SFID No. 1	\$9,900,000	2006-11-07	Stanislaus/Merced	Z	55	8,570	14,405	59.5%	Pass
698	Northern Humboldt Union High School District	\$25,800,000	2010-11-02	Humboldt	Q	55	10,386	17,465	59.5%	Pass
699	Elverta Elementary School District	\$10,500,000	2002-11-05	Sacramento/Placer	L	55	512	861	59.5%	Pass
700	Lake Tahoe Unified School District	\$64,500,000	2008-11-04	El Dorado	G	55	6,715	11,301	59.4%	Pass
701	Needles Unified School District	\$10,900,000	2008-06-03	San Bernardino	N	55	623	1,049	59.4%	Pass
702	Mark West Union School District	\$14,000,000	2010-06-08	Sonoma	C	55	2,372	3,994	59.4%	Pass
703	Savanna Elementary School District	\$28,750,000	2012-06-05	Orange	G	55	1,715	2,888	59.4%	Pass
704	College of the Sequoias	\$22,000,000	2006-11-07	Fresno/Kings/Tulare	C	55	8,279	13,948	59.4%	Pass
705	Napa Valley Unified School District	\$95,000,000	2002-11-05	Napa	M	55	17,183	28,953	59.3%	Pass
706	Menifee Union School District	\$14,500,000	2002-11-05	Riverside	J	55	6,853	11,568	59.2%	Pass
707	Manchester Union Elementary School District	\$1,310,000	2004-11-02	Mendocino	O	55	151	255	59.2%	Pass
708	El Centro Elementary School District	\$8,000,000	2003-11-04	Imperial	G	55	1,493	2,522	59.2%	Pass
709	Shasta-Tehama-Trinity Joint Community College District	\$34,000,000	2002-03-05	Humboldt/Lassen/Modoc/Shasta/Tehama/Trinity	A	55	33,076	55,884	59.2%	Pass
710	Oroville Union High School District	\$12,000,000	2008-11-04	Butte	G	55	10,978	18,552	59.2%	Pass
711	Saddleback Valley Unified School District	\$180,000,000	2004-03-02	Orange	B	55	31,024	52,448	59.2%	Pass
712	Hart-Ransom Union School District	\$3,900,000	2007-11-06	Stanislaus	G	55	388	656	59.1%	Pass
713	Anaheim Union High School District	\$249,000,000	2014-11-04	Orange	H	55	30,238	51,177	59.1%	Pass
714	Rohnerville School District (in Fortuna Elementary School District)	\$5,000,000	2010-06-08	Humboldt	M	55	934	1,581	59.1%	Pass
715	Kern Community College District	\$180,000,000	2002-11-05	Kern/San Bernardino	G	55	79,076	133,870	59.1%	Pass
716	Manteca Unified School District	\$159,000,000	2014-11-04	San Joaquin	G	55	11,535	19,535	59.0%	Pass
717	Escondido Union High School District	\$98,000,000	2008-11-04	San Diego	T	55	30,006	50,836	59.0%	Pass
718	Chabot-Las Positas Community College District	\$498,000,000	2004-03-02	Alameda/Contra Costa	B	55	65,195	110,593	59.0%	Pass
719	Springville Union Elementary School District	\$4,000,000	2014-06-03	Tulare	J	55	724	1,229	58.9%	Pass
720	Sulphur Springs Union Elementary School District	\$72,000,000	2012-06-05	Los Angeles	CK	55	4,394	7,465	58.9%	Pass
721	Mt. San Jacinto Community College District	\$295,000,000	2014-11-04	Riverside	AA	55	76,993	130,897	58.8%	Pass
722	West Hills Community College District SFID No. 3	Reauthorize \$12,655,000	2012-11-06	Fresno/Monterey/San Benito/Kings/Madera	L	55	5,946	10,109	58.8%	Pass
723	San Jose-Evergreen Community College District	\$268,000,000	2010-11-02	Santa Clara	G	55	111,032	188,791	58.8%	Pass
724	Santa Maria Joint Union High School District	\$79,000,000	2004-11-02	Santa Barbara	C	55	22,527	38,345	58.7%	Pass
725	Merced City Elementary School District	\$26,500,000	2003-11-04	Merced	S	55	4,710	8,021	58.7%	Pass
726	San Ramon Valley Unified School District	\$260,000,000	2002-11-05	Contra Costa	A	55	23,655	40,330	58.7%	Pass
727	Lindsay Unified School District	\$16,000,000	2012-11-06	Tulare	L	55	1,468	2,503	58.6%	Pass
728	Fullerton Joint Union High School District	\$175,000,000	2014-11-04	Orange/Los Angeles	I	55	25,415	43,353	58.6%	Pass
729	Apple Valley Unified School District	\$36,000,000	2004-03-02	San Bernardino	S	55	8,818	15,051	58.6%	Pass
730	Lakeside Union School District	Reauthorize \$31,000,000	2014-11-04	San Diego	L	55	5,640	9,630	58.6%	Pass
731	Tustin Unified School District	\$95,000,000	2008-11-04	Orange	L	55	18,304	31,266	58.5%	Pass
732	Santee Elementary School District	\$60,000,000	2006-11-07	San Diego	R	55	9,742	16,649	58.5%	Pass
733	Mt. San Antonio Community College District	\$221,000,000	2001-11-06	Los Angeles	R	55	20,315	34,727	58.5%	Pass
734	Eastern Sierra Unified School District	\$11,100,000	2004-11-02	Mono	E	55	1,067	1,824	58.5%	Pass
735	Millbrae School District	\$30,000,000	2011-11-08	San Mateo	N	55	2,550	4,361	58.5%	Pass

## All California Educational Bond Measures Approved With a 55 Percent Threshold Since November 2000 Enactment of Proposition 39 - Ranked by Percentage of Voter Approval

Rank	Educational District	Amount Proposed to Borrow	Year-Month-Day of Election	Counties	Measure	% Needed to Pass	Yes	Total	Passage	Outcome
736	Aromas-San Juan Unified School District	\$9,700,000	2010-11-02	Monterey/San Benito	Z	55	1,822	3,116	58.5%	Pass
737	Pierce Joint Unified School District	\$6,000,000	2002-03-05	Colusa	A	55	529	905	58.5%	Pass
738	Byron Union School District	\$19,700,000	2006-06-06	Contra Costa	C	55	1,617	2,767	58.4%	Pass
739	Santa Monica Community College District	\$135,000,000	2004-11-02	Los Angeles	S	55	27,472	47,028	58.4%	Pass
740	Dehesa School District	\$5,500,000	2010-11-02	San Diego	M	55	507	868	58.4%	Pass
741	Saugus Union School District	\$148,000,000	2014-11-04	Los Angeles	EE	55	11,878	20,351	58.4%	Pass
742	Madera Unified School District	\$70,000,000	2014-11-04	Madera	G	55	5,894	10,113	58.3%	Pass
743	Ripon Unified School District	\$10,000,000	2002-03-05	San Joaquin	J	55	2,060	3,535	58.3%	Pass
744	Kingsburg Joint Union High School District	\$9,900,000	2006-06-06	Fresno/Kings/Tulare	K	55	1,688	2,897	58.3%	Pass
745	Cajon Valley Union School District	<b>Reauthorize \$88,400,000</b>	2012-11-06	San Diego	C	55	29,048	49,882	58.2%	Pass
746	Hemet Unified School District	\$149,000,000	2006-11-07	Riverside	T	55	17,757	30,493	58.2%	Pass
747	Grossmont-Cuyamaca Community College District	\$398,000,000	2012-11-06	San Diego	V	55	95,802	164,554	58.2%	Pass
748	Campbell Union High School District	\$90,000,000	2006-11-07	Santa Clara	G	55	32,505	55,855	58.2%	Pass
749	Golden Valley Unified School District	\$70,000,000	2006-06-06	Madera	S	55	1,438	2,472	58.2%	Pass
750	Escondido Union School District	\$46,300,000	2002-03-05	San Diego	K	55	11,635	20,003	58.2%	Pass
751	Piedmont Unified School District	\$56,000,000	2006-03-07	Alameda	E	55	2,497	4,294	58.2%	Pass
752	Glendale Community College District	\$98,000,000	2002-03-05	Los Angeles	G	55	14,245	24,507	58.1%	Pass
753	West Kern Community College District	\$39,800,000	2004-03-02	Kern	A	55	2,039	3,509	58.1%	Pass
754	Kernville Union School District	\$6,000,000	2008-06-03	Kern	D	55	1,778	3,060	58.1%	Pass
755	Huntington Beach Union High School District	\$238,000,000	2004-03-02	Orange	C	55	42,469	73,151	58.1%	Pass
756	Buellton Union School District	\$3,200,000	2012-06-05	Santa Barbara	V	55	278	479	58.0%	Pass
757	Chaffey Community College	\$230,000,000	2002-03-05	San Bernardino	L	55	33,737	58,195	58.0%	Pass
758	Banning Unified School District	\$63,000,000	2006-11-07	Riverside	R	55	2,635	4,546	58.0%	Pass
759	Banning Unified School District	\$63,000,000	2006-06-06	Riverside	R	55	2,635	4,546	58.0%	Pass
760	Murietta Valley Unified School District	\$98,000,000	2014-11-04	Riverside	BB	55	11,278	19,462	57.9%	Pass
761	Los Rios Community College District	\$475,000,000	2008-11-04	El Dorado/Placer/ Sacramento/Solano/Yolo	M	55	328,240	566,450	57.9%	Pass
762	Palomar Community College	\$694,000,000	2006-11-07	San Diego	M	55	103,380	178,543	57.9%	Pass
763	Perris Union High School District	\$46,000,000	2004-11-02	Riverside	Z	55	18,871	32,594	57.9%	Pass
764	Templeton Unified School District	\$35,000,000	2012-11-06	San Luis Obispo	H	55	3,017	5,213	57.9%	Pass
765	Citrus Community College District	\$121,000,000	2004-03-02	Los Angeles	G	55	21,639	37,418	57.8%	Pass
766	Santa Rita Union Elementary School District	\$14,600,000	2006-11-07	Monterey	C	55	2,161	3,737	57.8%	Pass
767	West Hills Community College District	\$20,000,000	2014-11-04	Fresno/Monterey/San Benito/Kings/Madera	T	55	6,682	11,557	57.8%	Pass
768	Lake Tahoe Community College District	\$55,000,000	2014-11-04	El Dorado	F	55	3,784	6,547	57.8%	Pass
769	Fallbrook Union Elementary School District	\$32,000,000	2002-11-05	San Diego	X	55	6,199	10,731	57.8%	Pass
770	Cerritos Community College District	\$210,000,000	2004-03-02	Los Angeles	CC	55	29,336	50,836	57.7%	Pass
771	Gateway Unified School District	\$19,000,000	2008-11-04	Shasta	G	55	7,152	12,396	57.7%	Pass
772	Siskiyou Union High School District	\$12,500,000	2008-11-04	Siskiyou	Q	55	4,418	7,659	57.7%	Pass
773	Ripon Unified School District	\$25,236,190	2012-11-06	San Joaquin	G	55	4,264	7,395	57.7%	Pass
774	Tahoe Truckee Unified School District	\$30,450,000	2002-11-05	El Dorado/Placer	J	55	2,076	3,603	57.6%	Pass
775	Corning Union School District	\$2,700,000	2002-03-05	Tehama	B	55	1,358	2,357	57.6%	Pass
776	Del Norte County School District	\$24,987,000	2008-11-04	Del Norte	A	55	5,338	9,265	57.6%	Pass

## All California Educational Bond Measures Approved With a 55 Percent Threshold Since November 2000 Enactment of Proposition 39 - Ranked by Percentage of Voter Approval

Rank	Educational District	Amount Proposed to Borrow	Year-Month-Day of Election	Counties	Measure	% Needed to Pass	Yes	Total	Passage	Outcome
777	Contra Costa Community College District	\$450,000,000	2014-06-03	Contra Costa	E	55	82,464	143,168	57.6%	Pass
778	Allan Hancock Community College District	\$180,000,000	2006-06-06	Santa Barbara/Ventura	I	55	22,996	39,989	57.5%	Pass
779	Shasta Union High School District	\$31,000,000	2001-11-06	Shasta	B	55	8,590	14,949	57.5%	Pass
780	Poway Unified School District SFID 2002-1	\$198,000,000	2002-11-05	San Diego	U	55	21,958	38,252	57.4%	Pass
781	Los Altos School District	\$150,000,000	2014-11-04	Santa Clara	N	55	8,671	15,106	57.4%	Pass
782	Eureka Unified School District	\$49,750,000	2014-11-04	Humboldt	S	55	8,083	14,084	57.4%	Pass
783	Norwalk-La Mirada Unified School District	\$375,000,000	2014-11-04	Los Angeles	G	55	8,940	15,578	57.4%	Pass
784	Thermalito Union School District	\$2,050,000	2004-11-02	Butte	M	55	1,721	2,999	57.4%	Pass
785	Murieta Valley Unified School District	\$120,000,000	2006-06-06	Riverside	E	55	6,327	11,026	57.4%	Pass
786	Lynwood Unified School District	\$93,000,000	2012-11-06	Los Angeles	K	55	7,719	13,452	57.4%	Pass
787	Marysville Joint Unified School District	\$37,000,000	2006-06-06	Yuba/Butte	H	55	5,050	8,809	57.3%	Pass
788	Enterprise Elementary School District	\$34,000,000	2008-02-05	Shasta	A	55	4,340	7,574	57.3%	Pass
789	Merced City Elementary School District	\$60,000,000	2014-06-03	Merced	M	55	5,277	9,217	57.3%	Pass
790	Antelope Valley Joint Union High School District	\$103,600,000	2002-03-05	Los Angeles	V	55	19,029	33,254	57.2%	Pass
791	Contra Costa Community College District	\$286,500,000	2006-06-06	Contra Costa	A	55	99,077	173,269	57.2%	Pass
792	Coast Community College District	\$698,000,000	2012-11-06	Orange	M	55	134,619	235,462	57.2%	Pass
793	Anderson Union High School District	\$12,300,000	2012-11-06	Shasta	C	55	8,574	15,008	57.1%	Pass
794	Lodi Unified School District	\$109,300,000	2002-03-05	San Joaquin	K	55	15,881	27,827	57.1%	Pass
795	Southern Kern Unified School District	\$28,400,000	2014-11-04	Kern	D	55	1,874	3,284	57.1%	Pass
796	Southern Trinity Unified School District	\$2,300,000	2012-06-05	Humboldt/Trinity	V	55	170	298	57.0%	Pass
797	Corona-Norco Unified School District	\$396,000,000	2014-11-04	Riverside	GG	55	20,876	36,610	57.0%	Pass
798	Oak Park Unified School District	\$29,445,000	2008-11-04	Ventura	R	55	3,966	6,957	57.0%	Pass
799	Grant Joint Union High School District (Now Twin Rivers USD)	\$74,000,000	2002-03-05	Sacramento/Placer	E	55	10,435	18,312	57.0%	Pass
800	Chawanakee Unified School District	\$9,000,000	2005-11-08	Madera	Q	55	1,126	1,976	57.0%	Pass
801	Escondido Union School District	\$182,100,000	2014-11-04	San Diego	E	55	18,615	32,687	56.9%	Pass
802	Morongo Unified School District	\$48,150,000	2005-11-08	San Bernardino	O	55	6,563	11,527	56.9%	Pass
803	McCabe Union Elementary School District	\$7,000,000	2014-11-04	Imperial	G	55	780	1,370	56.9%	Pass
804	Placentia-Yorba Linda Unified School District	\$200,000,000	2008-02-05	Orange	A	55	25,764	45,272	56.9%	Pass
805	Sylvan Union School District	\$40,000,000	2006-11-07	Stanislaus	A	55	9,402	16,526	56.9%	Pass
806	Walnut Valley Unified School District	\$15,200,000	2007-11-06	Los Angeles	Y	55	3,859	6,784	56.9%	Pass
807	West Contra Costa Unified School District	\$400,000,000	2005-11-08	Contra Costa	J	55	30,013	52,789	56.9%	Pass
808	Barstow Unified School District	\$14,000,000	2001-11-06	San Bernardino	C	55	2,492	4,386	56.8%	Pass
809	Old Adobe Union School District	\$26,000,000	2012-06-05	Sonoma	G	55	3,391	5,969	56.8%	Pass
810	Menifee Union School District	\$31,460,000	2008-02-05	Riverside	B	55	9,561	16,850	56.7%	Pass
811	Grossmont Union High School District	\$417,000,000	2008-11-04	San Diego	U	55	97,337	171,811	56.7%	Pass
812	Columbia School District	\$8,600,000	2014-11-04	Shasta	E	55	1,984	3,502	56.7%	Pass
813	Yuba Community College District	\$190,000,000	2006-11-07	Yuba/Sutter	J	55	37,608	66,429	56.6%	Pass
814	Dry Creek Elementary School District	\$67,300,000	2008-02-05	Placer/Sacramento	E	55	8,282	14,634	56.6%	Pass
815	Cabrillo Unified School District	\$81,000,000	2012-06-05	San Mateo	S	55	3,803	6,730	56.5%	Pass
816	Sonora Union High School District	\$23,000,000	2012-11-06	Tuolumne	J	55	9,171	16,234	56.5%	Pass
817	State Center Community College District	\$161,000,000	2002-11-05	Fresno/Kings/Tulare/Madera	E	55	90,285	159,849	56.5%	Pass

## All California Educational Bond Measures Approved With a 55 Percent Threshold Since November 2000 Enactment of Proposition 39 - Ranked by Percentage of Voter Approval

Rank	Educational District	Amount Proposed to Borrow	Year-Month-Day of Election	Counties	Measure	% Needed to Pass	Yes	Total	Passage	Outcome
818	Orland Joint Unified School District	\$21,900,000	2008-02-05	Glenn	K	55	2,093	3,710	56.4%	Pass
819	Kings River Union Elementary School District	\$850,000	2006-06-06	Tulare	N	55	163	289	56.4%	Pass
820	Nuvview Union School District	\$39,600,000	2006-06-06	Riverside	D	55	622	1,103	56.4%	Pass
821	Owens Valley Unified School District	\$2,600,000	2005-11-08	Inyo	A	55	196	348	56.3%	Pass
822	Ohlone Community College District	\$150,000,000	2002-03-05	Alameda	A	55	16,111	28,617	56.3%	Pass
823	Fruitvale School District	\$29,800,000	2006-06-06	Kern	C	55	2,257	4,009	56.3%	Pass
824	San Benito High School District	\$42,500,000	2014-06-03	San Benito/Santa Clara	G	55	3,524	6,260	56.3%	Pass
825	Azusa Unified School District	\$92,000,000	2014-11-04	Los Angeles	K	55	3,983	7,082	56.2%	Pass
826	El Dorado Union High School District	\$66,300,000	2008-06-03	El Dorado	Q	55	19,536	34,740	56.2%	Pass
827	Columbia Union Elementary School District	\$3,700,000	2004-03-02	Tuolumne	H	55	1,351	2,404	56.2%	Pass
828	Newport-Mesa Unified School District	\$282,000,000	2005-11-08	Orange	F	55	26,123	46,524	56.1%	Pass
829	Manteca Unified School District	\$66,000,000	2004-03-02	San Joaquin	M	55	7,867	14,011	56.1%	Pass
830	Weaver Union School District	\$9,000,000	2012-11-06	Merced	G	55	1,422	2,534	56.1%	Pass
831	El Tejon Unified School District	\$7,120,000	2005-11-08	Kern/Ventura	E	55	1,257	2,240	56.1%	Pass
832	Gold Oak Union School District	\$8,950,000	2008-11-04	El Dorado	E	55	2,326	4,149	56.1%	Pass
833	Le Grand Union High School District	\$4,200,000	2014-06-03	Merced	N	55	401	717	55.9%	Pass
834	Dehesa School District	Reauthorize \$3,000,000	2012-11-06	San Diego	D	55	562	1,005	55.9%	Pass
835	Newark Unified School District	\$63,000,000	2011-11-08	Alameda	G	55	3,286	5,878	55.9%	Pass
836	Taft City School District	\$23,600,000	2012-06-05	Kern	C	55	1,132	2,027	55.8%	Pass
837	Kingsburg Joint Union High School District	\$13,000,000	2014-06-03	Fresno/Kings/Tulare	K	55	1,588	2,844	55.8%	Pass
838	Calaveras Unified School District	\$13,500,000	2006-11-07	Calaveras	A	55	5,241	9,396	55.8%	Pass
839	Linden Unified School District	\$11,500,000	2002-11-05	San Joaquin	S	55	2,099	3,764	55.8%	Pass
840	Acton-Agua Dulce Unified School District	\$13,000,000	2008-11-04	Los Angeles	CF	55	3,486	6,252	55.8%	Pass
841	Grant Elementary School District	\$1,700,000	2004-03-02	Shasta	A	55	671	1,204	55.7%	Pass
842	Merced Community College District SFID No. 1	\$53,500,000	2002-11-05	Merced/Madera	H	55	17,048	30,594	55.7%	Pass
843	Pacheco Union School District	\$11,000,000	2008-11-04	Shasta	B	55	2,534	4,548	55.7%	Pass
844	Cloverdale Unified School District	\$17,000,000	2010-11-02	Sonoma	G	55	2,096	3,769	55.6%	Pass
845	Tahoe Truckee Unified School District SFID No. 1	\$114,000,000	2014-11-04	Nevada/Placer	U	55	3,018	5,433	55.5%	Pass
846	San Dieguito Union High School District	\$449,000,000	2012-11-06	San Diego	AA	55	43,627	78,574	55.5%	Pass
847	McKinleyville Union School District	\$14,000,000	2008-06-03	Humboldt	C	55	1,723	3,108	55.4%	Pass
848	San Joaquin-Delta Community College District	\$250,000,000	2004-03-02	San Joaquin/Sacramento	L	55	62,465	112,744	55.4%	Pass
849	Turlock Unified School District	\$7,725,000	2006-11-07	Stanislaus	Y	55	8,942	16,143	55.4%	Pass
850	Piner-Olivet Union School District	\$20,000,000	2010-11-02	Sonoma	L	55	3,006	5,429	55.4%	Pass
851	Gridley Unified School District ESFID No. 1	\$2,500,000	2012-06-05	Butte	D	55	1,058	1,911	55.4%	Pass
852	Yreka Union High School District	\$8,000,000	2014-11-04	Siskiyou	H	55	2,942	5,316	55.3%	Pass
853	Palmdale Elementary School District	\$25,000,000	2001-11-06	Los Angeles	W	55	3,848	6,971	55.2%	Pass
854	North County Joint Union School District	\$3,350,000	2006-06-06	San Benito/Santa Clara	M	55	458	830	55.2%	Pass
855	Sonora Elementary School District	\$7,800,000	2010-11-02	Tuolumne	H	55	1,867	3,385	55.2%	Pass
856	Norris School District	\$149,000,000	2012-06-05	Kern	B	55	3,017	5,475	55.1%	Pass
857	North Orange County Community College District	\$574,000,000	2014-11-04	Orange/Los Angeles	J	55	84,780	154,118	55.0%	Pass
<b>TOTAL</b>		<b>\$105,001,578,737</b>	(\$105,594,443,737 if 15 reauthorizations included)				<b>17,457,026</b>	<b>26,646,048</b>	<b>65.5%</b>	

# Appendix F

## All California Educational Bond Measures Repurposed or Reauthorized Since November 2000 Enactment of Proposition 39 - Listed by Election Year

Rank	Educational District	Amount Proposed to Reauthorize	Year-Month-Day of Election	Counties	Measure	% Needed to Pass	Yes	Total	Passage	Outcome
1	San Mateo Union High School District	\$137,500,000	2002-11-05	San Mateo	C	66.7	40,674	55,573	73.2%	Pass
2	Stockton Unified School District	\$156,000,000	2012-11-06	San Joaquin	E	55	31,228	41,954	74.4%	Pass
3	Cajon Valley Union School District	\$88,400,000	2012-11-06	San Diego	C	55	29,048	49,882	58.2%	Pass
4	Alvord Unified School District	\$79,000,000	2012-11-06	Riverside	W	55	12,911	20,602	62.7%	Pass
5	Hemet Unified School District	\$49,000,000	2012-11-06	Riverside	U	55	25,661	37,287	68.8%	Pass
6	Jefferson Union High School District	\$41,900,000	2012-11-06	San Mateo	E	55	30,757	41,401	74.3%	Pass
7	South Bay Union School District	\$26,000,000	2012-11-06	San Diego	Y	55	14,123	18,600	75.9%	Pass
8	Westside Union School District	\$18,510,000	2012-11-06	Los Angeles	WR	55	16,153	25,849	62.5%	Pass
9	West Hills Community College District SFID No. 3	\$12,655,000	2012-11-06	Fresno/Monterey/San Benito/Kings/Madera	L	55	5,946	10,109	58.8%	Pass
10	Standard School District	\$11,200,000	2012-11-06	Kern	Q	55	4,179	5,923	70.6%	Pass
11	Ocean View School District	\$4,200,000	2012-11-06	Ventura	P	55	2,530	3,230	78.3%	Pass
12	Nuview Union School District	\$4,000,000	2012-11-06	Riverside	V	55	1,632	2,526	64.6%	Pass
13	Dehesa School District	\$3,000,000	2012-11-06	San Diego	D	55	562	1,005	55.9%	Pass
14	Atascadero Unified School District	\$58,000,000	2014-11-04	San Luis Obispo	B	55	7,102	11,517	61.7%	Pass
15	Lakeside Union School District	\$31,000,000	2014-11-04	San Diego	L	55	5,640	9,630	58.6%	Pass
16	Lemon Grove School District	\$10,000,000	2014-11-04	San Diego	R	55	4,323	6,016	71.9%	Pass
	<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>\$730,365,000</b>					<b>232,469</b>	<b>341,104</b>	<b>68.2%</b>	

# Appendix G

## All California Educational Bond Measures With 55% Threshold Approved by Voters Since November 2000 - Results if Proposition 39 Had Not Been Law

Rank	Educational District	Amount Proposed to Borrow	Year-Month-Day of Election	Counties	Measure	% Needed to Pass	Yes	Total	Passage	Two-Thirds Outcome
1	Inglewood Unified School District	\$90,000,000	2012-11-06	Los Angeles	GG	55	31,907	37,059	86.1%	Pass
2	Oakland Unified School District	\$475,000,000	2012-11-06	Alameda	J	55	122,695	145,382	84.4%	Pass
3	Reef-Sunset Unified School District	\$10,830,000	2012-06-05	Kings	M	55	376	451	83.4%	Pass
4	Compton Unified School District	\$80,000,000	2002-11-05	Los Angeles	I	55	14,150	17,036	83.1%	Pass
5	Bayshore Elementary School District	\$6,000,000	2014-06-03	San Mateo	C	55	325	396	82.1%	Pass
6	Mission Union School District	\$315,000	2004-11-02	Monterey	E	55	103	126	81.7%	Pass
7	Trinidad Union School District	\$2,200,000	2012-06-05	Humboldt	W	55	568	697	81.5%	Pass
8	Bassett Unified School District	\$23,000,000	2004-11-02	Los Angeles	E	55	4,852	5,995	80.9%	Pass
9	Albany Unified School District	\$13,000,000	2004-11-02	Alameda	A	55	5,879	7,304	80.5%	Pass
10	Mendota Unified School District	\$15,000,000	2014-11-04	Fresno	M	55	628	785	80.0%	Pass
11	Alum Rock Union Elementary School District	\$125,000,000	2012-11-06	Santa Clara	J	55	18,442	23,188	79.5%	Pass
12	Baldwin Park Unified School District	\$46,600,000	2002-11-05	Los Angeles	AA	55	6,321	7,952	79.5%	Pass
13	Arvin Union School District	\$15,000,000	2014-11-04	Kern	E	55	1,114	1,402	79.5%	Pass
14	Roseville Joint Union High School District	\$79,500,000	2002-03-05	Sacramento	D	55	2,114	2,664	79.4%	Pass
15	Earlimart School District	\$3,600,000	2012-11-06	Tulare	H	55	672	847	79.3%	Pass
16	Kelseyville Unified School District	\$2,930,000	2001-11-06	Lake	X	55	1,361	1,717	79.3%	Pass
17	Willows Unified School District	\$14,000,000	2014-06-03	Glenn	T	55	140	177	79.1%	Pass
18	Alum Rock Union Elementary School District	\$179,000,000	2008-06-03	Santa Clara	G	55	6,901	8,725	79.1%	Pass
19	Fowler Unified School District	\$21,000,000	2004-11-02	Fresno	L	55	1,757	2,224	79.0%	Pass
20	Hawthorne Elementary School District	\$24,000,000	2004-11-02	Los Angeles	H	55	11,314	14,331	78.9%	Pass
21	Moreno Valley Unified School District	\$50,000,000	2004-03-02	Riverside	G	55	140	178	78.7%	Pass
22	National School District	\$26,100,000	2014-11-04	San Diego	N	55	4,621	5,880	78.6%	Pass
23	Compton Community College District	\$100,000,000	2014-11-04	Los Angeles	C	55	20,209	25,779	78.4%	Pass
24	Ocean View School District	<b>Reauthorize \$4,200,000</b>	2012-11-06	Ventura	P	55	2,530	3,230	78.3%	Pass
25	Buttonwillow Union Elementary School District	\$6,500,000	2002-11-05	Kern	I	55	223	285	78.2%	Pass
26	Beverly Hills Unified School District	\$90,000,000	2002-03-05	Los Angeles	K	55	4,424	5,659	78.2%	Pass
27	Pacific Elementary School District	\$830,000	2012-11-06	Santa Cruz	M	55	292	374	78.1%	Pass
28	Pittsburg Unified School District	\$40,500,000	2004-11-02	Contra Costa	E	55	11,311	14,499	78.0%	Pass
29	South Pasadena Unified School District	\$29,000,000	2002-11-05	Los Angeles	M	55	5,754	7,377	78.0%	Pass
30	Oakland Unified School District	\$435,000,000	2006-06-06	Alameda	B	55	62,559	80,231	78.0%	Pass
31	McFarland Unified School District	\$8,300,000	2004-03-02	Kern	B	55	649	833	77.9%	Pass
32	Mountain View-Los Altos Union School District	\$41,300,000	2010-06-08	Santa Clara	A	55	19,480	25,067	77.7%	Pass
33	Palo Alto Unified School District	\$378,000,000	2008-06-03	Santa Clara	A	55	14,074	18,140	77.6%	Pass
34	South San Francisco Unified School District	\$162,000,000	2010-11-02	San Mateo	J	55	13,758	17,743	77.5%	Pass
35	South Whittier Elementary School District	\$7,500,000	2004-11-02	Los Angeles	I	55	4,577	5,910	77.4%	Pass
36	Lennox Elementary School District	\$10,700,000	2007-11-06	Los Angeles	L	55	682	881	77.4%	Pass
37	Hayward Unified School District	\$229,000,000	2014-11-04	Alameda	L	55	17,976	23,239	77.4%	Pass
38	Arvin Union School District	\$11,000,000	2004-03-02	Kern	C	55	854	1,105	77.3%	Pass

## All California Educational Bond Measures With 55% Threshold Approved by Voters Since November 2000 - Results if Proposition 39 Had Not Been Law

Rank	Educational District	Amount Proposed to Borrow	Year-Month-Day of Election	Counties	Measure	% Needed to Pass	Yes	Total	Passage	Two-Thirds Outcome
39	Berkeley Unified School District	\$210,000,000	2010-11-02	Alameda	I	55	31,723	41,113	77.2%	Pass
40	Los Nietos School District	\$15,000,000	2014-11-04	Los Angeles	E	55	1,300	1,686	77.1%	Pass
41	Los Nietos School District	\$13,000,000	2014-11-04	Los Angeles	N	55	1,285	1,668	77.0%	Pass
42	Holtville Unified School District	\$8,000,000	2002-11-05	Imperial	E	55	1,282	1,666	77.0%	Pass
43	Jefferson Elementary School District	\$67,500,000	2012-11-06	San Mateo	M	55	16,261	21,138	76.9%	Pass
44	Lakeside Union Elementary School District	\$22,500,000	2008-11-04	Kern	I	55	2,179	2,835	76.9%	Pass
45	Pixley Union School District	\$7,800,000	2014-06-03	Tulare	Z	55	229	298	76.8%	Pass
46	Little Lake City School District	\$18,000,000	2012-11-06	Los Angeles	EE	55	8,041	10,471	76.8%	Pass
47	Lynwood Unified School District	\$20,000,000	2002-11-05	Los Angeles	C	55	4,448	5,799	76.7%	Pass
48	South Bay Union School District	\$59,400,000	2008-11-04	San Diego	X	55	15,219	19,909	76.4%	Pass
49	Hueneme Elementary School District	\$19,600,000	2012-11-06	Ventura	T	55	8,408	11,011	76.4%	Pass
50	Compton Community College District	\$100,000,000	2002-11-05	Los Angeles	CC	55	23,005	30,141	76.3%	Pass
51	Culver City Unified School District	\$106,000,000	2014-06-03	Los Angeles	CC	55	4,706	6,169	76.3%	Pass
52	River Delta Unified School District SFID No. 2	\$9,000,000	2004-11-02	Yolo/Sacramento/Solano	V	55	1,165	1,529	76.2%	Pass
53	Graves Union School District	\$180,000	2004-11-02	Monterey	D	55	16	21	76.2%	Pass
54	El Monte City School District	\$50,000,000	2004-11-02	Los Angeles	J	55	11,855	15,576	76.1%	Pass
55	South Bay Union School District	<b>Reauthorize \$26,000,000</b>	2012-11-06	San Diego	Y	55	14,123	18,600	75.9%	Pass
56	Wiseburn Unified School District	\$87,000,000	2010-11-02	Los Angeles	A	55	3,285	4,338	75.7%	Pass
57	Fresno Unified School District	\$280,000,000	2010-11-02	Fresno	Q	55	51,125	67,522	75.7%	Pass
58	Peralta Community College District	\$390,000,000	2006-06-06	Alameda	A	55	97,685	129,106	75.7%	Pass
59	Sequoia Union High School District	\$165,000,000	2008-02-05	San Mateo	L	55	28,285	37,391	75.6%	Pass
60	Ross School District	\$6,750,000	2008-06-03	Marin	A	55	894	1,183	75.6%	Pass
61	Mount Pleasant School District	\$25,000,000	2012-11-06	Santa Clara	L	55	4,482	5,938	75.5%	Pass
62	Arcata Elementary School District	\$7,000,000	2012-11-06	Humboldt	F	55	4,667	6,195	75.3%	Pass
63	Menlo Park City School District	\$23,000,000	2013-11-05	San Mateo	W	55	4,222	5,605	75.3%	Pass
64	Calexico Unified School District	\$30,000,000	2004-03-02	Imperial	J	55	2,680	3,562	75.2%	Pass
65	El Segundo Unified School District	\$25,000,000	2001-11-06	Los Angeles	E	55	2,369	3,149	75.2%	Pass
66	West Sonoma County Union High School District	\$23,800,000	2010-11-02	Sonoma	I	55	15,375	20,443	75.2%	Pass
67	Elk Hills School District	\$7,905,000	2004-03-02	Kern	D	55	54	72	75.0%	Pass
68	Oak Grove School District	\$125,000,000	2008-11-04	Santa Clara	S	55	25,589	34,139	75.0%	Pass
69	Alhambra Unified School District ESID	\$50,000,000	2008-11-04	Los Angeles	MM	55	23,276	31,080	74.9%	Pass
70	Pomona Unified School District	\$235,000,000	2008-11-04	Los Angeles	PS	55	30,058	40,221	74.7%	Pass
71	Azusa Unified School District	\$75,000,000	2002-03-05	Los Angeles	I	55	4,200	5,624	74.7%	Pass
72	Ceres Unified School District	\$25,000,000	2001-11-06	Stanislaus	J	55	3,214	4,310	74.6%	Pass
73	Dixie School District	\$30,000,000	2014-11-04	Marin	C	55	6,083	8,158	74.6%	Pass
74	Pasadena Unified School District	\$350,000,000	2008-11-04	Los Angeles	TT	55	64,090	85,998	74.5%	Pass
75	San Lorenzo Unified School District	\$49,000,000	2004-11-02	Alameda	E	55	15,797	21,217	74.5%	Pass
76	San Lorenzo Unified School District	\$83,000,000	2008-11-04	Alameda	O	55	17,356	23,311	74.5%	Pass
77	Stockton Unified School District	<b>Reauthorize \$156,000,000</b>	2012-11-06	San Joaquin	E	55	31,228	41,954	74.4%	Pass
78	McFarland Unified School District	\$25,000,000	2012-11-06	Kern	M	55	1,238	1,664	74.4%	Pass
79	Torrance Unified School District	\$265,000,000	2008-11-04	Los Angeles	Y	55	44,075	59,267	74.4%	Pass

## All California Educational Bond Measures With 55% Threshold Approved by Voters Since November 2000 - Results if Proposition 39 Had Not Been Law

Rank	Educational District	Amount Proposed to Borrow	Year-Month-Day of Election	Counties	Measure	% Needed to Pass	Yes	Total	Passage	Two-Thirds Outcome
80	Jefferson Union High School District	<i>Reauthorize \$41,900,000</i>	2012-11-06	San Mateo	E	55	30,757	41,401	74.3%	Pass
81	El Monte Union High School District	\$148,000,000	2008-11-04	Los Angeles	D	55	24,851	33,510	74.2%	Pass
82	Paramount Unified School District	\$100,000,000	2006-11-07	Los Angeles	AA	55	6,845	9,233	74.1%	Pass
83	Pittsburg Unified School District	\$85,000,000	2006-11-07	Contra Costa	J	55	7,288	9,833	74.1%	Pass
84	Fairfax Elementary School District	\$24,800,000	2010-11-02	Kern	C	55	1,265	1,708	74.1%	Pass
85	Palmdale Elementary School District	\$220,000,000	2012-11-06	Los Angeles	DD	55	22,328	30,162	74.0%	Pass
86	El Rancho Unified School District	\$52,000,000	2010-11-02	Los Angeles	EE	55	9,549	12,903	74.0%	Pass
87	Hueneme Elementary School District	\$17,100,000	2004-11-02	Ventura	I	55	8,681	11,732	74.0%	Pass
88	Campbell Union School District	\$150,000,000	2010-06-08	Santa Clara	G	55	12,753	17,237	74.0%	Pass
89	Las Lomas School District	\$60,000,000	2013-11-05	San Mateo	S	55	2,305	3,117	73.9%	Pass
90	Mendota Unified School District	\$19,000,000	2012-11-06	Fresno	M	55	856	1,158	73.9%	Pass
91	Emery Unified School District	\$95,000,000	2010-11-02	Alameda	J	55	1,982	2,683	73.9%	Pass
92	Fortuna Elementary School District	\$3,900,000	2006-11-07	Humboldt	X	55	1,720	2,329	73.9%	Pass
93	San Francisco Unified School District	\$450,000,000	2006-11-07	San Francisco	A	55	171,236	231,876	73.8%	Pass
94	Long Beach Community College District	\$440,000,000	2008-02-05	Los Angeles	E	55	79,204	107,261	73.8%	Pass
95	John Swett Unified School District	\$20,000,000	2008-11-04	Contra Costa	A	55	3,950	5,350	73.8%	Pass
96	Cambrian School District	\$20,975,000	2002-11-05	Santa Clara	G	55	5,352	7,254	73.8%	Pass
97	Arena Union Elementary School District	\$3,700,000	2003-11-04	Mendocino	E	55	554	752	73.7%	Pass
98	Carmel Unified School District	\$21,500,000	2005-11-08	Monterey	A	55	7,744	10,512	73.7%	Pass
99	Norwalk-La Mirada Unified School District	\$165,000,000	2002-11-05	Los Angeles	S	55	14,949	20,313	73.6%	Pass
100	Sausalito Marin City School District	\$15,900,000	2004-11-02	Marin	I	55	4,346	5,911	73.5%	Pass
101	Colton Joint Unified School District	\$225,000,000	2008-11-04	San Bernardino/Riverside	G	55	19,641	26,735	73.5%	Pass
102	Portola Valley School District	\$6,000,000	2001-11-06	San Mateo	J	55	1,823	2,483	73.4%	Pass
103	Rosemead School District	\$30,000,000	2008-11-04	Los Angeles	O	55	4,325	5,893	73.4%	Pass
104	Bassett Unified School District	\$20,000,000	2006-11-07	Los Angeles	E	55	2,844	3,876	73.4%	Pass
105	Dublin Unified School District	\$184,000,000	2004-11-02	Alameda	C	55	9,062	12,380	73.2%	Pass
106	Garvey Elementary School District	\$30,000,000	2004-11-02	Los Angeles	Q	55	6,602	9,026	73.1%	Pass
107	Covina Valley Unified School District	\$129,000,000	2012-11-06	Los Angeles	CC	55	17,640	24,131	73.1%	Pass
108	Sunnyvale School District	\$120,000,000	2004-11-02	Santa Clara	P	55	16,283	22,313	73.0%	Pass
109	Folsom Cordova Unified School District SFID No. 1	\$49,000,000	2002-03-05	Sacramento	B	55	5,685	7,793	73.0%	Pass
110	Traver Joint Elementary School District	\$700,000	2004-03-02	Tulare/Kings	S	55	124	170	72.9%	Pass
111	Hilmar Unified School District	\$2,000,000	2002-11-05	Merced	E	55	1,483	2,034	72.9%	Pass
112	Live Oak School District	\$14,500,000	2004-03-02	Santa Cruz	E	55	4,446	6,100	72.9%	Pass
113	Round Valley Unified School District	\$4,000,000	2014-06-03	Mendocino	L	55	290	398	72.9%	Pass
114	Montebello Unified School District	\$98,000,000	2004-11-02	Los Angeles	M	55	25,476	34,968	72.9%	Pass
115	Lemon Grove School District	\$28,000,000	2008-11-04	San Diego	W	55	8,635	11,853	72.9%	Pass
116	Evergreen School District	\$150,000,000	2006-11-07	Santa Clara	I	55	15,494	21,278	72.8%	Pass
117	Washington Unified School District	\$22,000,000	2012-11-06	Yolo	W	55	2,757	3,787	72.8%	Pass
118	Bellflower Unified School District	\$79,000,000	2012-11-06	Los Angeles	BB	55	16,469	22,624	72.8%	Pass
119	Palm Springs Unified School District	\$122,000,000	2004-11-02	Riverside	T	55	32,476	44,662	72.7%	Pass
120	Franklin-McKinley School District	\$30,000,000	2004-11-02	Santa Clara	Q	55	11,366	15,632	72.7%	Pass

## All California Educational Bond Measures With 55% Threshold Approved by Voters Since November 2000 - Results if Proposition 39 Had Not Been Law

Rank	Educational District	Amount Proposed to Borrow	Year-Month-Day of Election	Counties	Measure	% Needed to Pass	Yes	Total	Passage	Two-Thirds Outcome
121	Robla School District	\$29,800,000	2014-11-04	Sacramento	K	55	1,694	2,331	72.7%	Pass
122	Ceres Unified School District	\$60,000,000	2008-11-04	Stanislaus	U	55	9,625	13,258	72.6%	Pass
123	Rancho Santiago Community College District	\$198,000,000	2012-11-06	Orange	Q	55	45,981	63,351	72.6%	Pass
124	El Monte City School District	\$75,000,000	2008-11-04	Los Angeles	KC	55	12,515	17,253	72.5%	Pass
125	Savanna Elementary School District	\$24,935,000	2008-11-04	Orange	N	55	5,728	7,900	72.5%	Pass
126	Cambrian School District	\$39,000,000	2014-06-03	Santa Clara	I	55	3,840	5,299	72.5%	Pass
127	Natomas Unified School District	\$45,880,000	2002-11-05	Sacramento	M	55	5,669	7,832	72.4%	Pass
128	Whittier City Unified School District	\$55,000,000	2012-11-06	Los Angeles	Z	55	14,205	19,629	72.4%	Pass
129	Scotts Valley Unified School District	\$35,000,000	2014-06-03	Santa Cruz	A	55	3,978	5,512	72.2%	Pass
130	Albany Unified School District	\$10,000,000	2008-02-05	Alameda	E	55	4,667	6,467	72.2%	Pass
131	Hayward Unified School District	\$205,000,000	2008-06-03	Alameda	I	55	11,546	16,000	72.2%	Pass
132	Long Beach Unified School District	\$1,200,000,000	2008-11-04	Los Angeles	K	55	123,253	170,892	72.1%	Pass
133	Natomas Unified School District	\$129,000,000	2014-11-04	Sacramento	J	55	9,091	12,606	72.1%	Pass
134	Whittier Union High School District	\$75,000,000	2008-11-04	Los Angeles	W	55	51,521	71,490	72.1%	Pass
135	Ocean View School District	\$13,200,000	2006-11-07	Ventura	J	55	1,571	2,180	72.1%	Pass
136	Baldwin Park Unified School District	\$75,500,000	2006-11-07	Los Angeles	K	55	6,727	9,335	72.1%	Pass
137	Santa Clara Unified School District	\$315,000,000	2004-11-02	Santa Clara	J	55	27,343	37,953	72.0%	Pass
138	Alameda Unified School District	\$63,000,000	2004-03-02	Alameda	C	55	13,809	19,205	71.9%	Pass
139	Parlier Unified School District	\$4,500,000	2004-11-02	Fresno	P	55	1,152	1,603	71.9%	Pass
140	Lemon Grove School District	<i>Reauthorize \$10,000,000</i>	2014-11-04	San Diego	R	55	4,323	6,016	71.9%	Pass
141	College of the Sequoias	\$60,000,000	2008-11-04	Kings/Tulare	J	55	16,395	22,818	71.9%	Pass
142	West Contra Costa Unified School District	\$300,000,000	2002-03-05	Contra Costa	D	55	24,391	33,958	71.8%	Pass
143	Linns Valley-Poso Flat Elementary School District	\$340,000	2002-11-05	Tulare/Kern	J	55	191	266	71.8%	Pass
144	San Luis Coastal Unified School District	\$177,000,000	2014-11-04	San Luis Obispo	D	55	18,400	25,636	71.8%	Pass
145	Los Nietos School District	\$31,140,000	2008-06-03	Los Angeles	M	55	738	1,029	71.7%	Pass
146	Copper Mountain Community College District	\$19,720,000	2004-11-02	San Bernardino	T	55	12,455	17,379	71.7%	Pass
147	Konocti Unified School District	\$18,500,000	2004-11-02	Lake	G	55	5,173	7,219	71.7%	Pass
148	Soquel Union Elementary School District	\$15,000,000	2002-03-05	Santa Cruz	A	55	5,118	7,145	71.6%	Pass
149	Santa Barbara Elementary School District	\$75,000,000	2010-11-02	Santa Barbara	R	55	18,701	26,108	71.6%	Pass
150	Rosemead School District	\$30,000,000	2014-11-04	Los Angeles	RS	55	1,635	2,283	71.6%	Pass
151	East Side Union High School District	\$120,000,000	2012-11-06	Santa Clara	M	55	102,531	143,231	71.6%	Pass
152	Monterey Peninsula Unified School District	\$110,000,000	2010-11-02	Monterey	P	55	15,558	21,745	71.5%	Pass
153	Coast Unified School District SFID No. 1	\$12,700,000	2002-03-05	San Luis Obispo	B	55	2,260	3,159	71.5%	Pass
154	Los Gatos Union School District	\$30,900,000	2010-06-08	Santa Clara	E	55	6,412	8,966	71.5%	Pass
155	Torrance Unified School District	\$90,000,000	2008-11-04	Los Angeles	Z	55	41,832	58,516	71.5%	Pass
156	Folsom Cordova Unified School District SFID No. 4	\$68,000,000	2012-11-06	Sacramento	P	55	11,677	16,337	71.5%	Pass
157	San Bernardino City Unified School District	\$250,000,000	2012-11-06	San Bernardino	N	55	34,902	48,873	71.4%	Pass
158	Southwestern Community College District	\$389,000,000	2008-11-04	San Diego	R	55	95,460	133,681	71.4%	Pass
159	East Side Union High School District	\$349,000,000	2008-02-05	Santa Clara	E	55	68,929	96,559	71.4%	Pass
160	San Jose Unified School District	\$290,000,000	2012-11-06	Santa Clara	H	55	63,447	88,944	71.3%	Pass
161	Winters Joint Unified School District	\$15,000,000	2014-06-03	Solano/Yolo	R	55	1,097	1,538	71.3%	Pass

## All California Educational Bond Measures With 55% Threshold Approved by Voters Since November 2000 - Results if Proposition 39 Had Not Been Law

Rank	Educational District	Amount Proposed to Borrow	Year-Month-Day of Election	Counties	Measure	% Needed to Pass	Yes	Total	Passage	Two-Thirds Outcome
162	Delhi Unified School District	\$8,000,000	2012-11-06	Merced	E	55	1,435	2,013	71.3%	Pass
163	Lincoln Unified School District	\$50,000,000	2004-11-02	San Joaquin	P	55	12,065	16,925	71.3%	Pass
164	Oceanside Unified School District	\$195,000,000	2008-06-03	San Diego	H	55	10,952	15,366	71.3%	Pass
165	Jefferson Union High School District	\$133,000,000	2014-11-04	San Mateo	J	55	17,090	24,018	71.2%	Pass
166	Central School District	\$31,000,000	2008-11-04	San Bernardino	A	55	9,072	12,753	71.1%	Pass
167	San Francisco Unified School District	\$531,000,000	2011-11-08	San Francisco	A	55	134,695	189,445	71.1%	Pass
168	Kerman Unified School District	\$11,000,000	2004-11-02	Fresno	K	55	2,407	3,387	71.1%	Pass
169	Rio School District	\$38,500,000	2014-11-04	Ventura	G	55	3,753	5,291	70.9%	Pass
170	Mendocino Unified School District	\$15,500,000	2006-11-07	Mendocino	AA	55	2,327	3,281	70.9%	Pass
171	Kentfield School District	\$30,000,000	2014-11-04	Marin	D	55	3,314	4,673	70.9%	Pass
172	Rancho Santa Fe Elementary School District	\$34,000,000	2008-02-05	San Diego	E	55	2,041	2,878	70.9%	Pass
173	Larkspur-Corte Madera School District	\$26,000,000	2011-11-08	Marin	A	55	3,243	4,574	70.9%	Pass
174	Palos Verdes Peninsula Unified School District	\$30,000,000	2005-11-08	Los Angeles	R	55	15,496	21,894	70.8%	Pass
175	William S. Hart Union High School District	\$158,000,000	2001-11-06	Los Angeles	V	55	18,100	25,584	70.7%	Pass
176	Orchard School District	\$40,000,000	2001-11-06	Santa Clara	E	55	391	553	70.7%	Pass
177	Alhambra Unified School District	\$85,000,000	2004-11-02	Los Angeles	C	55	26,048	36,862	70.7%	Pass
178	Tracy Unified School District SFID No. 3	\$43,100,000	2008-11-04	San Joaquin/Alameda	S	55	14,856	21,036	70.6%	Pass
179	Stanislaus Union School District	\$39,800,000	2008-11-04	Stanislaus	T	55	7,213	10,214	70.6%	Pass
180	Howell Mountain School District	\$2,900,000	2005-11-08	Napa	C	55	663	939	70.6%	Pass
181	San Francisco Unified School District	\$295,000,000	2003-11-04	San Francisco	A	55	139,814	198,068	70.6%	Pass
182	Menlo Park City School District	\$91,100,000	2006-06-06	San Mateo	U	55	4,936	6,993	70.6%	Pass
183	Standard School District	<b>Reauthorize \$11,200,000</b>	2012-11-06	Kern	Q	55	4,179	5,923	70.6%	Pass
184	Murieta Valley Unified School District	\$40,400,000	2002-11-05	Riverside	K	55	11,119	15,781	70.5%	Pass
185	Santa Barbara Community College District	\$77,242,012	2008-06-03	Santa Barbara	V	55	28,397	40,308	70.5%	Pass
186	Lindsay Unified School District	\$20,700,000	2008-02-05	Tulare	B	55	1,285	1,825	70.4%	Pass
187	Rowland Unified School District	\$118,000,000	2006-06-06	Los Angeles/Orange	R	55	4,790	6,804	70.4%	Pass
188	Santa Rosa Elementary School District	\$19,000,000	2002-03-05	Sonoma	C	55	9,204	13,074	70.4%	Pass
189	Santa Paula Union High School District	\$39,000,000	2008-11-04	Ventura	Q	55	5,871	8,340	70.4%	Pass
190	Kentfield School District	\$11,900,000	2004-03-02	Marin	E	55	3,259	4,630	70.4%	Pass
191	Sanger Unified School District	\$50,000,000	2012-11-06	Fresno	S	55	9,986	14,190	70.4%	Pass
192	Cerritos Community College District	\$350,000,000	2012-11-06	Los Angeles	G	55	83,524	118,758	70.3%	Pass
193	Lancaster Elementary School District	\$63,000,000	2012-11-06	Los Angeles	L	55	21,804	31,042	70.2%	Pass
194	Jefferson Union High School District	\$136,900,000	2006-11-07	San Mateo	N	55	22,025	31,358	70.2%	Pass
195	Mojave Unified School District SFID No. 2	\$14,000,000	2008-11-04	Kern	G	55	804	1,145	70.2%	Pass
196	Dinuba Unified School District	\$14,915,000	2002-11-05	Fresno/Tulare	K	55	2,266	3,228	70.2%	Pass
197	Reed Union School District	\$13,000,000	2005-11-08	Marin	B	55	3,907	5,566	70.2%	Pass
198	Redlands Unified School District	\$60,000,000	2002-11-05	San Bernardino	R	55	17,850	25,448	70.1%	Pass
199	La Honda-Pescadero Unified	\$15,000,000	2006-11-07	San Mateo	I	55	918	1,309	70.1%	Pass
200	Los Angeles Community College District	\$3,500,000,000	2008-11-04	Los Angeles	J	55	1,057,738	1,508,687	70.1%	Pass
201	Sacramento City Unified School District	\$346,000,000	2012-11-06	Sacramento	Q	55	74,681	106,528	70.1%	Pass
202	San Gabriel Unified School District	\$65,000,000	2008-02-05	Los Angeles	A	55	5,240	7,475	70.1%	Pass

## All California Educational Bond Measures With 55% Threshold Approved by Voters Since November 2000 - Results if Proposition 39 Had Not Been Law

Rank	Educational District	Amount Proposed to Borrow	Year-Month-Day of Election	Counties	Measure	% Needed to Pass	Yes	Total	Passage	Two-Thirds Outcome
203	Selma Unified School District	\$23,800,000	2006-11-07	Fresno	A	55	3,091	4,410	70.1%	Pass
204	San Gabriel Unified School District	\$47,150,000	2002-03-05	Los Angeles	S	55	3,411	4,867	70.1%	Pass
205	Sebastopol Union School District	\$9,000,000	2012-06-05	Sonoma	H	55	2,869	4,094	70.1%	Pass
206	Larkspur-Corte Madera School District	\$19,000,000	2014-06-03	Marin	D	55	2,725	3,889	70.1%	Pass
207	Santa Monica Community College District	\$160,000,000	2002-03-05	Los Angeles	U	55	13,540	19,347	70.0%	Pass
208	Kingsburg Joint Union Elementary School District	\$7,500,000	2004-03-02	Tulare/Kings/Fresno	R	55	2,221	3,175	70.0%	Pass
209	Mt. San Antonio Community College District	\$353,000,000	2008-11-04	Los Angeles/Orange	RR/CC	55	164,177	234,717	69.9%	Pass
210	Glendale Unified School District	\$270,000,000	2011-04-05	Los Angeles	S	55	13,637	19,501	69.9%	Pass
211	East Side Union High School District	\$298,000,000	2002-03-05	Santa Clara	G	55	33,345	47,687	69.9%	Pass
212	Modesto City Schools (Modesto City Elementary School District)	\$17,000,000	2001-11-06	Stanislaus	S	55	9,167	13,110	69.9%	Pass
213	Franklin-McKinley School District	\$50,000,000	2010-11-02	Santa Clara	J	55	10,860	15,534	69.9%	Pass
214	Greenfield Union School District	\$40,000,000	2006-11-07	Kern	H	55	3,241	4,636	69.9%	Pass
215	Pasadena City College	\$150,000,000	2002-03-05	Los Angeles	P	55	39,129	56,024	69.8%	Pass
216	Castro Valley Unified School District	\$16,800,000	2002-03-05	Alameda	C	55	6,861	9,836	69.8%	Pass
217	Rio Bravo-Greeley Union School District	\$10,900,000	2008-02-05	Kern	B	55	957	1,372	69.8%	Pass
218	Lindsay Unified School District	\$7,200,000	2002-03-05	Tulare	C	55	1,099	1,576	69.7%	Pass
219	Antelope Valley Community College	\$139,000,000	2004-11-02	Los Angeles/Kern	R	55	74,966	107,579	69.7%	Pass
220	Washington Colony Elementary School District	\$400,000	2004-03-02	Fresno	B	55	350	503	69.6%	Pass
221	Bishop Union Elementary School District	\$3,000,000	2008-11-04	Inyo	A	55	3,740	5,375	69.6%	Pass
222	Santa Barbara High School District	\$75,000,000	2010-11-02	Santa Barbara	Q	55	41,893	60,237	69.5%	Pass
223	La Mesa Spring Valley School District	\$44,000,000	2002-03-05	San Diego	M	55	16,326	23,478	69.5%	Pass
224	Cayucos Elementary School District	\$4,950,000	2004-11-02	San Luis Obispo	P	55	1,316	1,893	69.5%	Pass
225	Rialto Unified School District	\$98,000,000	2010-11-02	San Bernardino	Y	55	12,608	18,137	69.5%	Pass
226	Desert Sands Unified School District	\$225,000,000	2014-11-04	Riverside	KK	55	27,027	38,889	69.5%	Pass
227	Millbrae School District	\$30,000,000	2008-11-04	San Mateo	X	55	6,646	9,566	69.5%	Pass
228	Lakeport Unified School District	\$7,300,000	2001-11-06	Lake	W	55	1,246	1,794	69.5%	Pass
229	Stockton Unified School District	\$120,000,000	2005-11-08	San Joaquin	C	55	18,858	27,167	69.4%	Pass
230	River Delta Unified School District SFID No. 1	\$14,000,000	2004-11-02	Sacramento/Solano/Yolo	U	55	3,017	4,347	69.4%	Pass
231	Santa Clara Unified School District	\$419,000,000	2014-11-04	Santa Clara	H	55	18,958	27,320	69.4%	Pass
232	Imperial Unified School District	\$12,000,000	2004-03-02	Imperial	I	55	1,265	1,823	69.4%	Pass
233	Berryessa Union School District	\$77,000,000	2014-11-04	Santa Clara	L	55	10,622	15,320	69.3%	Pass
234	Sequoia Union High School District	\$70,000,000	2004-11-02	San Mateo	H	55	63,187	91,162	69.3%	Pass
235	San Jose Unified School District	\$429,000,000	2002-03-05	Santa Clara	F	55	23,248	33,549	69.3%	Pass
236	North Sacramento School District (Now Twin Rivers USD)	\$17,500,000	2006-06-06	Sacramento	C	55	2,523	3,641	69.3%	Pass
237	Moorpark Unified School District	\$33,000,000	2002-03-05	Ventura	R	55	4,153	5,996	69.3%	Pass
238	Cypress School District	\$53,600,000	2008-11-04	Orange	M	55	12,493	18,045	69.2%	Pass
239	Folsom Cordova Unified School District SFID No. 5	\$125,000,000	2006-11-07	Sacramento	N	55	8,199	11,845	69.2%	Pass
240	Traver Joint Elementary School District	\$700,000	2004-11-02	Tulare/Kings	A	55	146	211	69.2%	Pass
241	Fort Bragg Unified School District	\$16,000,000	2008-06-03	Mendocino	C	55	3,329	4,813	69.2%	Pass
242	Moreland School District	\$55,000,000	2010-11-02	Santa Clara	K	55	9,035	13,066	69.1%	Pass
243	Planada Elementary School District	\$2,900,000	2008-11-04	Merced	O	55	625	904	69.1%	Pass

## All California Educational Bond Measures With 55% Threshold Approved by Voters Since November 2000 - Results if Proposition 39 Had Not Been Law

Rank	Educational District	Amount Proposed to Borrow	Year-Month-Day of Election	Counties	Measure	% Needed to Pass	Yes	Total	Passage	Two-Thirds Outcome
244	Los Angeles Unified School District	\$7,000,000,000	2008-11-04	Los Angeles	Q	55	885,467	1,281,319	69.1%	Pass
245	Santa Rosa Elementary School District	\$54,000,000	2014-11-04	Sonoma	L	55	11,000	15,919	69.1%	Pass
246	Carlsbad Unified School District	\$198,000,000	2006-11-07	San Diego	P	55	16,250	23,522	69.1%	Pass
247	Val Verde Unified School District	\$43,440,000	2008-06-03	Riverside	J	55	2,323	3,363	69.1%	Pass
248	Hope Elementary School District	\$8,000,000	2010-06-08	Santa Barbara	L	55	3,278	4,746	69.1%	Pass
249	Oakley Union Elementary School District	\$16,500,000	2004-11-02	Contra Costa	H	55	5,988	8,670	69.1%	Pass
250	Exeter Union High School District	\$4,350,000	2001-03-06	Tulare	S	55	1,268	1,836	69.1%	Pass
251	Roseland School District	\$7,000,000	2012-11-06	Sonoma	N	55	1,612	2,335	69.0%	Pass
252	Klamath-Trinity Joint Unified School District	\$5,950,000	2008-11-04	Humboldt/Trinity	S	55	1,287	1,865	69.0%	Pass
253	Covina Valley Unified School District	\$66,000,000	2006-06-06	Los Angeles	C	55	5,432	7,872	69.0%	Pass
254	Lagunitas Elementary School District	\$5,000,000	2013-11-05	Marin	A	55	754	1,093	69.0%	Pass
255	Geyserville Unified School District	\$3,250,000	2008-02-05	Sonoma	A	55	498	722	69.0%	Pass
256	Stockton Unified School District	\$464,500,000	2008-02-05	San Joaquin	Q	55	20,331	29,495	68.9%	Pass
257	Pajaro Valley Unified School District	\$150,000,000	2012-11-06	Santa Cruz/Monterey	L	55	23,645	34,304	68.9%	Pass
258	Folsom Cordova Unified School District SFID No. 5	\$195,000,000	2014-11-04	Sacramento	G	55	13,332	19,350	68.9%	Pass
259	Turlock Unified School District (Turlock Elementary School District)	\$10,950,000	2002-11-05	Stanislaus/Merced	D	55	8,989	13,048	68.9%	Pass
260	Union School District	\$125,000,000	2014-06-03	Santa Clara	J	55	5,958	8,656	68.8%	Pass
261	Hemet Unified School District	<b>Reauthorize \$49,000,000</b>	2012-11-06	Riverside	U	55	25,661	37,287	68.8%	Pass
262	Chula Vista Elementary School District SFID No. 1	\$90,000,000	2012-11-06	San Diego	E	55	36,265	52,699	68.8%	Pass
263	Sierra Joint Community College District SFID No. 1	\$35,000,000	2004-11-02	Placer/Nevada/	H	55	8,856	12,872	68.8%	Pass
264	Washington Unified School District	\$52,000,000	2004-03-02	Yolo	Q	55	5,213	7,578	68.8%	Pass
265	El Rancho Unified School District	\$49,500,000	2003-11-04	Los Angeles	A	55	1,788	2,601	68.7%	Pass
266	San Diego Unified School District	\$2,100,000,000	2008-11-04	San Diego	S	55	261,672	380,808	68.7%	Pass
267	New Haven Unified School District	\$125,000,000	2014-11-04	Alameda	M	55	8,962	13,044	68.7%	Pass
268	Laytonville Unified School District	\$5,500,000	2014-11-04	Mendocino	Q	55	610	888	68.7%	Pass
269	Bellevue Union School District	\$19,000,000	2008-11-04	Sonoma	J	55	3,953	5,755	68.7%	Pass
270	Perris Elementary School District	\$25,000,000	2006-11-07	Riverside	S	55	2,823	4,111	68.7%	Pass
271	San Leandro Unified School District	\$109,000,000	2006-11-07	Alameda	B	55	11,398	16,600	68.7%	Pass
272	Spreckels Union School District	\$5,335,000	2002-11-05	Monterey	D	55	1,594	2,322	68.6%	Pass
273	San Diego Community College District	\$685,000,000	2002-11-05	San Diego	S	55	140,577	204,797	68.6%	Pass
274	Soulsbyville Elementary School District	\$1,500,000	2002-11-05	Tuolumne	B	55	1,057	1,541	68.6%	Pass
275	Folsom Cordova Unified School District SFID No. 2	\$53,000,000	2002-03-05	Sacramento	C	55	8,657	12,623	68.6%	Pass
276	Porterville Unified School District FID	\$23,000,000	2002-11-05	Tulare	A	55	3,681	5,368	68.6%	Pass
277	San Rafael Elementary School District (San Rafael City Schools)	\$49,300,000	2002-11-05	Marin	B	55	9,365	13,665	68.5%	Pass
278	Pittsburg Unified School District	\$100,000,000	2010-11-02	Contra Costa	L	55	7,391	10,787	68.5%	Pass
279	Pittsburg Unified School District	\$85,000,000	2014-11-04	Contra Costa	N	55	5,483	8,007	68.5%	Pass
280	Woodside Elementary School District	\$12,000,000	2005-11-08	San Mateo	D	55	1,042	1,522	68.5%	Pass
281	Sunnyvale School District	\$96,000,000	2013-11-05	Santa Clara	G	55	6,995	10,220	68.4%	Pass
282	Big Oak Flat-Groveland Unified School District	\$9,300,000	2005-11-08	Tuolumne	M	55	1,563	2,285	68.4%	Pass
283	North Monterey County Unified School District	\$20,750,000	2002-11-05	Monterey	E	55	4,188	6,123	68.4%	Pass
284	Petaluma Joint Union High School District	\$68,000,000	2014-06-03	Sonoma	E	55	4,652	6,813	68.3%	Pass

## All California Educational Bond Measures With 55% Threshold Approved by Voters Since November 2000 - Results if Proposition 39 Had Not Been Law

Rank	Educational District	Amount Proposed to Borrow	Year-Month-Day of Election	Counties	Measure	% Needed to Pass	Yes	Total	Passage	Two-Thirds Outcome
285	El Camino Community College District	\$350,000,000	2012-11-06	Los Angeles	E	55	129,939	190,356	68.3%	Pass
286	Ojai Unified School District	\$35,000,000	2014-11-04	Ventura	J	55	5,223	7,655	68.2%	Pass
287	Sequoia Union High School District	\$88,000,000	2001-11-06	San Mateo	G	55	23,927	35,083	68.2%	Pass
288	Exeter Union Elementary School District	\$6,400,000	2008-02-05	Tulare	D	55	2,066	3,031	68.2%	Pass
289	Helendale School District	\$20,000,000	2008-11-04	San Bernardino	X	55	1,707	2,507	68.1%	Pass
290	Los Angeles Unified School District	\$3,350,000,000	2002-11-05	Los Angeles	K	55	488,849	718,030	68.1%	Pass
291	Southern Kern Unified School District	\$24,000,000	2008-11-04	Kern	H	55	4,089	6,007	68.1%	Pass
292	Santa Clarita Community College District	\$82,110,000	2001-11-06	Los Angeles	C	55	16,370	24,050	68.1%	Pass
293	Santa Monica-Malibu Unified School District	\$385,000,000	2012-11-06	Los Angeles	ES	55	29,874	43,892	68.1%	Pass
294	Escalon Unified School District	\$7,500,000	2002-11-05	San Joaquin	T	55	2,402	3,531	68.0%	Pass
295	Mark West Union School District	\$11,000,000	2002-11-05	Sonoma	I	55	2,957	4,348	68.0%	Pass
296	San Carlos Unified School District	\$72,000,000	2012-11-06	San Mateo	H	55	9,534	14,023	68.0%	Pass
297	Redwood Shores SFID	\$25,000,000	2005-11-08	San Mateo	C	55	2,312	3,401	68.0%	Pass
298	Fillmore Unified School District	\$10,000,000	2004-11-02	Ventura	E	55	3,650	5,370	68.0%	Pass
299	Loleta Union School District	\$456,000	2004-11-02	Humboldt	K	55	422	621	68.0%	Pass
300	Tracy Unified School District	\$51,000,000	2006-06-06	San Joaquin/Alameda	E	55	7,230	10,644	67.9%	Pass
301	Banta Elementary School District	\$800,000	2002-11-05	San Joaquin	X	55	216	318	67.9%	Pass
302	East Side Union High School District	\$113,200,000	2014-11-04	Santa Clara	I	55	59,092	87,014	67.9%	Pass
303	Victor Valley Union High School District	\$500,000,000	2008-11-04	San Bernardino	V	55	24,037	35,400	67.9%	Pass
304	Big Creek Elementary School District	\$1,400,000	2004-11-02	Fresno	M	55	167	246	67.9%	Pass
305	Midway School District	\$7,800,000	2004-03-02	Kern	F	55	93	137	67.9%	Pass
306	Kernville Union School District	\$5,600,000	2004-03-02	Kern	E	55	2,559	3,770	67.9%	Pass
307	Salinas Union High School District	\$37,000,000	2002-11-05	Monterey	M	55	14,651	21,589	67.9%	Pass
308	Sacramento City Unified School District	\$68,000,000	2012-11-06	Sacramento	R	55	71,820	105,834	67.9%	Pass
309	Modesto City Schools (Modesto High School District)	\$65,000,000	2001-11-06	Stanislaus	T	55	20,783	30,639	67.8%	Pass
310	Briggs Elementary School District	\$4,500,000	2014-11-04	Ventura	K	55	398	587	67.8%	Pass
311	Banning Unified School District	\$12,000,000	2002-11-05	Riverside	L	55	2,620	3,865	67.8%	Pass
312	Dixon Unified School District	\$29,200,000	2002-11-05	Solano	J	55	3,313	4,896	67.7%	Pass
313	Merced Community College District SFID No. 2	\$11,930,000	2002-11-05	Merced/Madera	J	55	4,644	6,863	67.7%	Pass
314	Sonoma Valley Unified School District	\$40,000,000	2010-11-02	Sonoma	H	55	10,581	15,649	67.6%	Pass
315	San Rafael High School District (San Rafael City Schools)	\$49,500,000	2002-11-05	Marin	A	55	15,011	22,208	67.6%	Pass
316	Mountain View Whisman Unified School District	\$198,000,000	2012-06-05	Santa Clara	G	55	7,341	10,863	67.6%	Pass
317	Gilroy Unified School District	\$150,000,000	2008-11-04	Santa Clara	P	55	11,989	17,741	67.6%	Pass
318	Visalia Unified School District	\$60,100,000	2012-11-06	Tulare	E	55	26,898	39,806	67.6%	Pass
319	East Nicolaus Joint Union High School District	\$4,000,000	2014-11-04	Sutter/Placer	W	55	583	863	67.6%	Pass
320	Evergreen School District	\$100,000,000	2014-11-04	Santa Clara	M	55	14,002	20,740	67.5%	Pass
321	Santa Monica-Malibu Unified School District	\$268,000,000	2006-11-07	Los Angeles	BB	55	22,927	33,960	67.5%	Pass
322	Burlingame School District	\$56,000,000	2012-11-06	San Mateo	D	55	8,609	12,754	67.5%	Pass
323	Healdsburg Unified School District SFID No. 1	\$18,820,000	2002-11-05	Sonoma	H	55	3,519	5,214	67.5%	Pass
324	Rowland Unified School District	\$158,800,000	2012-11-06	Los Angeles/Orange	R	55	17,181	25,465	67.5%	Pass
325	Sweetwater Union High School District	\$644,000,000	2006-11-07	San Diego	O	55	48,916	72,502	67.5%	Pass

## All California Educational Bond Measures With 55% Threshold Approved by Voters Since November 2000 - Results if Proposition 39 Had Not Been Law

Rank	Educational District	Amount Proposed to Borrow	Year-Month-Day of Election	Counties	Measure	% Needed to Pass	Yes	Total	Passage	Two-Thirds Outcome
326	Stockton Unified School District	\$114,000,000	2014-11-04	San Joaquin	E	55	14,751	21,871	67.4%	Pass
327	Somis Union School District	\$9,000,000	2012-11-06	Ventura	S	55	955	1,416	67.4%	Pass
328	Washington Unified School District	\$49,800,000	2014-11-04	Yolo	V	55	6,118	9,072	67.4%	Pass
329	Dos Palos-Oro Loma Joint Unified School District	\$4,400,000	2002-11-05	Fresno/Merced	G	55	1,130	1,676	67.4%	Pass
330	Livingston Union School District	\$10,000,000	2005-11-08	Merced	B	55	1,002	1,487	67.4%	Pass
331	Terra Bella Union Elementary School District	\$3,300,000	2008-11-04	Tulare	K	55	763	1,133	67.3%	Pass
332	Acalanes Union High School District	\$44,000,000	2002-11-05	Contra Costa	B	55	30,163	44,797	67.3%	Pass
333	Victor Elementary School District	\$150,000,000	2008-11-04	San Bernardino	E	55	15,167	22,529	67.3%	Pass
334	Ontario-Montclair School District	\$59,655,000	2002-11-05	San Bernardino	T	55	11,472	17,042	67.3%	Pass
335	El Segundo Unified School District	\$14,000,000	2008-11-04	Los Angeles	M	55	5,602	8,326	67.3%	Pass
336	Jefferson School District	\$35,400,000	2010-11-02	San Joaquin	J	55	2,354	3,500	67.3%	Pass
337	Hughson Unified School District	\$14,300,000	2004-11-02	Stanislaus	G	55	2,788	4,146	67.2%	Pass
338	Sacramento City Unified School District	\$225,000,000	2002-11-05	Sacramento	I	55	49,115	73,041	67.2%	Pass
339	Vista Unified School District	\$140,000,000	2002-03-05	San Diego	O	55	17,506	26,037	67.2%	Pass
340	Tustin Unified School District	\$80,000,000	2002-11-05	Orange	G	55	12,792	19,054	67.1%	Pass
341	Monrovia Unified School District	\$45,000,000	2006-06-06	Los Angeles	M	55	4,301	6,409	67.1%	Pass
342	Central School District	\$35,000,000	2014-11-04	San Bernardino	N	55	4,141	6,171	67.1%	Pass
343	El Monte City School District	\$78,000,000	2014-11-04	Los Angeles	M	55	4,614	6,877	67.1%	Pass
344	Fremont Union High School District	\$198,000,000	2008-06-03	Santa Clara	B	55	22,100	32,949	67.1%	Pass
345	Centralia School District	\$17,500,000	2002-11-05	Orange	H	55	5,760	8,589	67.1%	Pass
346	Downey Unified School District	\$65,000,000	2002-11-05	Los Angeles	D	55	13,257	19,771	67.1%	Pass
347	Chowchilla Union High School District	\$8,100,000	2004-11-02	Madera	N	55	2,258	3,368	67.0%	Pass
348	Dinuba Unified School District	\$37,000,000	2006-11-07	Fresno/Tulare	T	55	2,205	3,290	67.0%	Pass
349	San Bernardino Community College District	\$500,000,000	2008-02-05	San Bernardino/Riverside	M	55	87,405	130,437	67.0%	Pass
350	San Jacinto Unified School District	\$150,000,000	2006-11-07	Riverside	V	55	4,153	6,198	67.0%	Pass
351	Palos Verdes Peninsula Unified School District	\$10,000,000	2005-11-08	Los Angeles	S	55	14,678	21,911	67.0%	Pass
352	Loma Prieta Schools	\$4,965,000	2002-03-05	Santa Clara/Santa Cruz	K	55	982	1,466	67.0%	Pass
353	Hanford Joint Union High School District	\$33,500,000	2004-11-02	Kings/Tulare	K	55	12,549	18,737	67.0%	Pass
354	Caruthers Unified School District	\$12,000,000	2012-11-06	Fresno	C	55	1,264	1,888	66.9%	Pass
355	Brawley Elementary School District	\$7,500,000	2012-11-06	Imperial	S	55	4,081	6,096	66.9%	Pass
356	Arcadia Unified School District	\$218,000,000	2006-11-07	Los Angeles	I	55	10,104	15,093	66.9%	Pass
357	Mill Valley School District	\$59,800,000	2009-11-03	Marin	C	55	5,530	8,261	66.9%	Pass
358	Oak View Union School District	\$3,700,000	2008-06-03	San Joaquin	R	55	380	568	66.9%	Pass
359	Cascade Union Elementary School District	\$12,400,000	2008-11-04	Shasta	C	55	3,211	4,800	66.9%	Pass
360	Buena Park Elementary School District	\$71,000,000	2014-06-03	Orange	B	55	2,229	3,333	66.9%	Pass
361	Tamalpais Union High School District	\$79,920,000	2006-06-06	Marin	A	55	23,420	35,020	66.9%	Pass
362	Placerville Union School District	\$5,300,000	2002-11-05	El Dorado	K	55	3,274	4,896	66.9%	Pass
363	Middletown Unified School District	\$15,300,000	2006-11-07	Lake	X	55	2,020	3,021	66.9%	Pass
364	West Hills Community College District SFID No. 1	\$11,800,000	2008-06-03	Fresno/Madera	Q	55	782	1,171	66.8%	Pass
365	Rincon Valley Union School District	\$35,000,000	2014-06-03	Sonoma	F	55	6,816	10,209	66.8%	Pass
366	Torrance Unified School District	\$144,300,000	2014-11-04	Los Angeles	T	55	21,424	32,113	66.7%	Pass

## All California Educational Bond Measures With 55% Threshold Approved by Voters Since November 2000 - Results if Proposition 39 Had Not Been Law

Rank	Educational District	Amount Proposed to Borrow	Year-Month-Day of Election	Counties	Measure	% Needed to Pass	Yes	Total	Passage	Two-Thirds Outcome
367	Newhall School District	\$60,000,000	2011-11-08	Los Angeles	E	55	3,706	5,556	66.7%	Pass
368	Kern High School District	\$219,000,000	2004-11-02	Kern	N	55	97,540	146,279	66.7%	Pass
369	Campbell Union School District	\$74,900,000	2002-03-05	Santa Clara	H	55	8,772	13,156	66.7%	Pass
1	Pajaro Valley Unified School District	\$58,250,000	2002-11-05	Santa Cruz/Monterey	J or L	55	14,352	21,530	66.7%	Fail
2	Chualar Union Elementary School District	\$1,900,000	2001-11-06	Monterey	K	55	157	236	66.5%	Fail
3	John Swett Unified School District	\$10,000,000	2002-03-05	Contra Costa	B	55	1,778	2,673	66.5%	Fail
4	San Mateo Union High School District	\$298,000,000	2006-11-07	San Mateo	M	55	42,296	63,640	66.5%	Fail
5	Susanville Elementary School District	\$9,000,000	2008-11-04	Lassen	S	55	3,025	4,552	66.5%	Fail
6	Hillsborough City School District	\$66,800,000	2002-11-05	San Mateo	B	55	2,838	4,271	66.4%	Fail
7	Redlands Unified School District	\$65,500,000	2008-02-05	San Bernardino	J	55	21,545	32,446	66.4%	Fail
8	Oxnard School District	\$90,000,000	2012-11-06	Ventura	R	55	19,968	30,078	66.4%	Fail
9	Perris Elementary School District	\$40,000,000	2014-06-03	Riverside	C	55	1,153	1,738	66.3%	Fail
10	Carpinteria Unified School District	\$90,000,000	2014-11-04	Santa Barbara	U	55	3,901	5,881	66.3%	Fail
11	Cupertino Union School District	\$220,000,000	2012-06-05	Santa Clara	H	55	17,510	26,432	66.2%	Fail
12	San Mateo County Community College District	\$388,000,000	2014-11-04	San Mateo	H	55	102,629	154,995	66.2%	Fail
13	Cottonwood Union Elementary School District	\$2,200,000	2003-11-04	Shasta	A	55	1,111	1,678	66.2%	Fail
14	Patterson Joint Unified School District	\$50,000,000	2008-11-04	Stanislaus/Santa Clara	V	55	3,982	6,015	66.2%	Fail
15	Columbia Union Elementary School District	\$3,600,000	2003-03-04	Tuolumne	G	55	937	1,417	66.1%	Fail
16	Forestville Union School District	\$5,100,000	2010-11-02	Sonoma	K	55	2,104	3,183	66.1%	Fail
17	Los Angeles Unified School District	\$3,985,000,000	2005-11-08	Los Angeles	Y	55	443,905	671,636	66.1%	Fail
18	College of the Sequoias	\$28,000,000	2008-11-04	Tulare	I	55	35,713	54,070	66.0%	Fail
19	Farmersville Unified School District	\$4,800,000	2014-11-04	Tulare	A	55	575	871	66.0%	Fail
20	Gravenstein Union School District	\$6,000,000	2012-11-06	Sonoma	M	55	1,971	2,986	66.0%	Fail
21	Placentia-Yorba Linda Unified School District	\$102,000,000	2002-03-05	Orange	Y	55	18,300	27,735	66.0%	Fail
22	Sutter Union High School District	\$12,600,000	2008-06-03	Sutter	T	55	1,194	1,810	66.0%	Fail
23	Parlier Unified School District	\$6,000,000	2014-06-03	Fresno	P	55	554	840	66.0%	Fail
24	Conejo Valley Unified School District	\$197,000,000	2014-11-04	Ventura	I	55	27,468	41,652	65.9%	Fail
25	Redondo Beach Unified School District	\$145,000,000	2008-02-05	Los Angeles	C	55	13,516	20,505	65.9%	Fail
26	Belmont-Redwood Shores School District	\$25,000,000	2010-11-02	San Mateo	I	55	9,509	14,431	65.9%	Fail
27	San Mateo-Foster City School District	\$175,000,000	2008-02-05	San Mateo	J	55	48,116	73,047	65.9%	Fail
28	Gustine Unified School District	\$14,000,000	2014-11-04	Merced	P	55	962	1,461	65.8%	Fail
29	Butte-Glenn Community College District	\$84,900,000	2002-03-05	Butte/Glenn	A	55	36,012	54,724	65.8%	Fail
30	Fort Bragg Unified School District	\$22,200,000	2003-11-04	Mendocino	D	55	1,946	2,958	65.8%	Fail
31	Hydesville Elementary School District	\$1,100,000	2014-06-03	Humboldt	M	55	244	371	65.8%	Fail
32	Hartnell Community College District	\$131,000,000	2002-11-05	Monterey/San Benito	H	55	29,768	45,263	65.8%	Fail
33	San Juan Unified School District	\$350,000,000	2002-11-05	Sacramento	J	55	63,010	95,831	65.8%	Fail
34	West Hills Community College District SFID No. 2	\$11,600,000	2008-11-04	Fresno/Monterey/San Benito	C	55	2,656	4,040	65.7%	Fail
35	Morgan Hill Unified School District	\$198,250,000	2012-11-06	Santa Clara	G	55	15,243	23,187	65.7%	Fail
36	Foothill-De Anza Community College District	\$490,800,000	2006-06-06	Santa Clara	C	55	44,924	68,392	65.7%	Fail
37	Magnolia School District	\$16,300,000	2010-11-02	Orange	I	55	6,366	9,693	65.7%	Fail
38	Kings River Union Elementary School District	\$850,000	2005-11-08	Tulare	E	55	300	457	65.6%	Fail

## All California Educational Bond Measures With 55% Threshold Approved by Voters Since November 2000 - Results if Proposition 39 Had Not Been Law

Rank	Educational District	Amount Proposed to Borrow	Year-Month-Day of Election	Counties	Measure	% Needed to Pass	Yes	Total	Passage	Two-Thirds Outcome
39	Sequoia Union High School District	\$265,000,000	2014-06-03	San Mateo	A	55	22,995	35,047	65.6%	Fail
40	San Mateo County Community College District	\$207,000,000	2001-11-06	San Mateo	C	55	58,530	89,208	65.6%	Fail
41	Bonsall Unified School District	\$17,000,000	2005-11-08	San Diego	C	55	2,767	4,218	65.6%	Fail
42	Pacific Grove Unified School District	\$42,000,000	2006-06-06	Monterey	D	55	3,411	5,200	65.6%	Fail
43	Ventura County Community College District	\$356,000,000	2002-03-05	Ventura	S	55	77,854	118,715	65.6%	Fail
44	Oak Grove School District	\$89,800,000	2014-11-04	Santa Clara	P	55	13,056	19,918	65.5%	Fail
45	Mt. Diablo Unified School District	\$250,000,000	2002-03-05	Contra Costa	C	55	31,530	48,112	65.5%	Fail
46	Martinez Unified School District	\$45,000,000	2010-11-02	Contra Costa	K	55	6,863	10,474	65.5%	Fail
47	Greenfield Union School District	\$5,000,000	2002-03-05	Kern	A	55	2,242	3,423	65.5%	Fail
48	Fontana Unified School District	\$275,000,000	2006-06-06	Orange	C	55	5,598	8,547	65.5%	Fail
49	Santa Rosa High School District	\$77,000,000	2002-03-05	Sonoma	B	55	29,276	44,707	65.5%	Fail
50	Rio Linda Union School District (Now Twin Rivers USD)	\$15,400,000	2002-11-05	Sacramento	N	55	9,946	15,195	65.5%	Fail
51	San Jose-Evergreen Community College District	\$185,000,000	2004-11-02	Santa Clara	G	55	141,070	215,731	65.4%	Fail
52	Moorpark Unified School District	\$39,500,000	2008-11-04	Ventura	S	55	9,560	14,620	65.4%	Fail
53	Los Alamitos Unified School District	\$126,000,000	2008-11-04	Orange	K	55	13,740	21,015	65.4%	Fail
54	Amador County Unified School District	\$11,000,000	2002-11-05	Amador	F	55	8,332	12,752	65.3%	Fail
55	Atascadero Unified School District	\$117,000,000	2010-11-02	San Luis Obispo	I	55	9,165	14,029	65.3%	Fail
56	Wheatland School District	\$5,700,000	2008-11-04	Yuba	R	55	1,465	2,243	65.3%	Fail
57	Riverbank Unified School District	\$15,200,000	2005-11-08	Stanislaus	P	55	1,584	2,426	65.3%	Fail
58	Mesa Union Elementary School District	\$3,900,000	2002-11-05	Ventura	H	55	786	1,204	65.3%	Fail
59	Wasco Union High School District	\$33,500,000	2008-06-03	Kern	C	55	1,070	1,640	65.2%	Fail
60	Pioneer Elementary School District	\$7,500,000	2005-11-08	Kings	P	55	1,524	2,336	65.2%	Fail
61	Calistoga Joint Unified School District	\$42,000,000	2010-11-02	Sonoma/Napa	A	55	1,572	2,411	65.2%	Fail
62	Clovis Unified School District	\$298,000,000	2012-06-05	Fresno	M	55	23,752	36,429	65.2%	Fail
63	Coast Community College District	\$370,000,000	2002-11-05	Orange	C	55	90,198	138,348	65.2%	Fail
64	Brisbane Elementary School District	\$11,000,000	2003-11-04	San Mateo	C	55	706	1,083	65.2%	Fail
65	Coachella Valley Unified School District	\$41,000,000	2012-11-06	Riverside/Imperial	X	55	7,191	11,032	65.2%	Fail
66	Hermosa Beach City School District	\$13,600,000	2002-11-05	Los Angeles	J	55	3,874	5,944	65.2%	Fail
67	Healdsburg Unified School District	\$3,990,000	2002-11-05	Sonoma	G	55	3,922	6,023	65.1%	Fail
68	Anderson Valley Unified School District	\$15,250,000	2010-06-08	Mendocino	A	55	551	847	65.1%	Fail
69	Tracy Unified School District SFID No. 4	\$82,000,000	2014-06-03	San Joaquin/Alameda	B	55	4,570	7,028	65.0%	Fail
70	Southern Humboldt Joint Unified School District	\$10,000,000	2014-11-04	Humboldt/Mendocino	X	55	1,632	2,510	65.0%	Fail
71	Panama-Buena Vista Union School District	\$147,000,000	2012-11-06	Kern	P	55	23,690	36,447	65.0%	Fail
72	Long Beach Community College District	\$176,000,000	2002-03-05	Los Angeles	E	55	34,580	53,225	65.0%	Fail
73	Chico Unified School District	\$78,000,000	2012-11-06	Butte	E	55	27,112	41,734	65.0%	Fail
74	Redwood City Elementary School District	\$22,000,000	2002-03-05	San Mateo	B	55	8,946	13,774	64.9%	Fail
75	Kings Canyon Unified School District	\$18,000,000	2002-03-05	Fresno/Tulare	K	55	4,257	6,556	64.9%	Fail
76	Walnut Creek School District	\$20,000,000	2002-11-05	Contra Costa	C	55	11,876	18,290	64.9%	Fail
77	Petaluma City School District	\$21,000,000	2014-06-03	Sonoma	C	55	9,760	15,033	64.9%	Fail
78	Beverly Hills Unified School District	\$334,000,000	2008-11-04	Los Angeles	E	55	9,484	14,616	64.9%	Fail
79	Contra Costa Community College District	\$120,000,000	2002-03-05	Contra Costa	A	55	112,062	172,726	64.9%	Fail

## All California Educational Bond Measures With 55% Threshold Approved by Voters Since November 2000 - Results if Proposition 39 Had Not Been Law

Rank	Educational District	Amount Proposed to Borrow	Year-Month-Day of Election	Counties	Measure	% Needed to Pass	Yes	Total	Passage	Two-Thirds Outcome
80	Centinela Valley Union High School District	\$98,000,000	2010-11-02	Los Angeles	CV	55	16,057	24,754	64.9%	Fail
81	Twin Hills Union School District	\$11,000,000	2010-11-02	Sonoma	M	55	1,975	3,046	64.8%	Fail
82	Fremont Union High School District	\$295,000,000	2014-11-04	Santa Clara	K	55	31,982	49,326	64.8%	Fail
83	Alisal Union School District	\$90,000,000	2006-11-07	Monterey	A	55	3,414	5,266	64.8%	Fail
84	Standard School District	\$28,000,000	2006-06-06	Kern	D	55	2,166	3,342	64.8%	Fail
85	Stone Corral Elementary School District	\$715,000	2008-06-03	Tulare	F	55	46	71	64.8%	Fail
86	Guerneville School District	\$6,000,000	2012-06-05	Sonoma	F	55	993	1,533	64.8%	Fail
87	Belmont-Redwood Shores School District	\$48,000,000	2014-11-04	San Mateo	I	55	7,017	10,837	64.8%	Fail
88	Imperial Valley College	\$80,000,000	2010-11-02	Imperial	J	55	16,325	25,220	64.7%	Fail
89	Sanger Unified School District	\$30,800,000	2006-06-06	Fresno	S	55	3,298	5,097	64.7%	Fail
90	Cayucos Elementary School District	\$2,900,000	2006-11-07	San Luis Obispo	Z	55	1,003	1,551	64.7%	Fail
91	Monterey Peninsula Community College District	\$145,000,000	2002-11-05	Monterey	I	55	23,711	36,677	64.6%	Fail
92	Castaic Union School District	\$51,000,000	2012-11-06	Los Angeles	QS	55	5,682	8,793	64.6%	Fail
93	Nuview Union School District	<i>Reauthorize \$4,000,000</i>	2012-11-06	Riverside	V	55	1,632	2,526	64.6%	Fail
94	Lakeside Union School District	\$79,550,000	2008-11-04	San Diego	I	55	10,695	16,561	64.6%	Fail
95	Oxnard School District	\$64,000,000	2006-11-07	Ventura	M	55	12,655	19,631	64.5%	Fail
96	Liberty School District	\$1,920,000	2004-11-02	Sonoma	L	55	618	959	64.4%	Fail
97	Santa Clara Unified School District	\$81,100,000	2010-11-02	Santa Clara	H	55	21,839	33,890	64.4%	Fail
98	West Contra Costa Unified School District	\$360,000,000	2012-11-06	Contra Costa	E	55	50,266	78,060	64.4%	Fail
99	Valley Home Joint School District	\$1,400,000	2004-03-02	Stanislaus/San Joaquin	S	55	264	410	64.4%	Fail
100	Temple City Unified School District	\$128,800,000	2012-11-06	Los Angeles	S	55	6,454	10,029	64.4%	Fail
101	Woodside Elementary School District	\$13,500,000	2014-06-03	San Mateo	D	55	713	1,108	64.4%	Fail
102	Kerman Unified School District	\$24,900,000	2008-06-03	Fresno	K	55	931	1,447	64.3%	Fail
103	Blue Lake Union Elementary School District	\$2,000,000	2010-06-08	Humboldt	K	55	528	821	64.3%	Fail
104	College of the Redwoods	\$40,320,000	2004-11-02	Del Norte/Humboldt/Mendocino	B/Q	55	52,249	81,284	64.3%	Fail
105	Redondo Beach Unified School District	\$63,000,000	2012-11-06	Los Angeles	Q	55	18,786	29,232	64.3%	Fail
106	Acalanes Union High School District	\$93,000,000	2008-11-04	Contra Costa	E	55	39,556	61,553	64.3%	Fail
107	Southern Humboldt Joint Unified School District	\$25,200,000	2010-06-08	Humboldt/Mendocino	L	55	1,528	2,379	64.2%	Fail
108	Eureka Unified School District	\$32,515,000	2002-03-05	Humboldt	T	55	5,059	7,881	64.2%	Fail
109	Armona Union Elementary School District	\$2,785,000	2004-03-02	Kings	S	55	534	832	64.2%	Fail
110	San Mateo County Community College District	\$468,000,000	2005-11-08	San Mateo	A	55	114,319	178,121	64.2%	Fail
111	Newman-Crows Landing Unified School District	\$25,000,000	2008-11-04	Stanislaus	R	55	1,998	3,114	64.2%	Fail
112	Lakeport Unified School District	\$17,000,000	2014-11-04	Lake	T	55	1,543	2,405	64.2%	Fail
113	Cajon Valley Union School District	\$156,500,000	2008-02-05	San Diego	D	55	23,696	36,946	64.1%	Fail
114	Las Virgenes Unified School District	\$128,000,000	2006-06-06	Los Angeles/Ventura	G	55	6,865	10,705	64.1%	Fail
115	Rancho Santiago Community College District	\$337,000,000	2002-11-05	Orange	E	55	51,789	80,771	64.1%	Fail
116	Escalon Unified School District	\$19,500,000	2012-11-06	San Joaquin	B	55	3,279	5,115	64.1%	Fail
117	Saratoga Union School District	\$19,900,000	2002-03-05	Santa Clara	L	55	3,903	6,091	64.1%	Fail
118	Moreno Valley Unified School District	\$398,000,000	2014-11-04	Riverside	M	55	12,348	19,276	64.1%	Fail
119	Milpitas Unified School District	\$95,000,000	2012-06-05	Santa Clara	E	55	5,412	8,449	64.1%	Fail
120	Maricopa Unified School District	\$6,000,000	2004-11-02	Kern	O	55	342	534	64.0%	Fail

## All California Educational Bond Measures With 55% Threshold Approved by Voters Since November 2000 - Results if Proposition 39 Had Not Been Law

Rank	Educational District	Amount Proposed to Borrow	Year-Month-Day of Election	Counties	Measure	% Needed to Pass	Yes	Total	Passage	Two-Thirds Outcome
121	Santa Rosa High School District	\$175,000,000	2014-11-04	Sonoma	I	55	34,086	53,235	64.0%	Fail
122	Wilmar Union School District	\$4,000,000	2012-11-06	Sonoma	P	55	1,075	1,679	64.0%	Fail
123	Belmont-Redwood Shores School District ESFID	\$35,000,000	2010-11-02	San Mateo	N	55	6,744	10,539	64.0%	Fail
124	Los Gatos-Saratoga Joint Union High School District	\$99,000,000	2014-06-03	Santa Clara/Santa Cruz	E	55	8,331	13,020	64.0%	Fail
125	Bear Valley Unified School District	\$25,000,000	2002-11-05	San Bernardino	Q	55	3,423	5,351	64.0%	Fail
126	Anaheim City Elementary School District	\$169,300,000	2010-11-02	Orange	G	55	19,550	30,580	63.9%	Fail
127	Poway Unified School District SFID 2007-1	\$179,000,000	2008-02-05	San Diego	C	55	28,323	44,318	63.9%	Fail
128	Salinas City Elementary School District	\$80,000,000	2008-06-03	Monterey	T	55	5,513	8,627	63.9%	Fail
129	Burlingame School District	\$48,300,000	2007-11-06	San Mateo	A	55	3,650	5,712	63.9%	Fail
130	City College of San Francisco	\$246,300,000	2005-11-08	San Francisco	A	55	134,999	211,319	63.9%	Fail
131	West Hills Community College District SFID No. 3	\$31,000,000	2008-11-04	Fresno/Kings	E	55	7,512	11,763	63.9%	Fail
132	Bakersfield City Elementary School District	\$100,000,000	2006-11-07	Kern	G	55	17,657	27,661	63.8%	Fail
133	Garden Grove Unified School District	\$250,000,000	2010-06-08	Orange	A	55	21,149	33,168	63.8%	Fail
134	Coalinga-Huron Joint Unified School District	\$16,100,000	2010-11-02	Fresno/Monterey/San Benito	E	55	1,813	2,844	63.7%	Fail
135	Los Angeles Unified School District	\$3,870,000,000	2004-03-02	Los Angeles	R	55	335,230	526,258	63.7%	Fail
136	Oakdale Joint Unified School District	\$20,000,000	2002-11-05	San Joaquin	C	55	28	44	63.6%	Fail
137	Imperial Valley College	\$58,600,000	2004-11-02	Imperial	L	55	20,176	31,716	63.6%	Fail
138	Shoreline Unified School District	\$9,290,000	2009-11-03	Marin/Sonoma	D	55	1,033	1,624	63.6%	Fail
139	Chaffey Joint Union School District	\$848,000,000	2012-11-06	San Bernardino	P	55	70,026	110,096	63.6%	Fail
140	Gold Trail Union School District	\$1,800,000	2002-11-05	El Dorado	G	55	1,639	2,577	63.6%	Fail
141	Oak Grove Union School District	\$6,000,000	2014-11-04	Sonoma	K	55	1,174	1,846	63.6%	Fail
142	Castro Valley Unified School District	\$44,000,000	2005-11-08	Alameda	B	55	10,602	16,674	63.6%	Fail
143	Victor Elementary School District	\$30,000,000	2001-11-06	San Bernardino	E	55	3,155	4,964	63.6%	Fail
144	La Canada Unified School District	\$25,000,000	2004-03-02	Los Angeles	B	55	4,408	6,939	63.5%	Fail
145	Fremont Unified School District	\$157,200,000	2002-03-05	Alameda	B	55	15,101	23,780	63.5%	Fail
146	Benicia Unified School District	\$49,600,000	2014-06-03	Solano	S	55	3,903	6,147	63.5%	Fail
147	Madera Unified School District	\$46,000,000	2002-11-05	Madera	B	55	6,812	10,729	63.5%	Fail
148	Solano Community College District	\$348,000,000	2012-11-06	Solano/Yolo	Q	55	89,634	141,189	63.5%	Fail
149	Solano Community College District	\$124,500,000	2002-11-05	Solano/Yolo	G	55	89,634	141,189	63.5%	Fail
150	Live Oak Unified School District	\$8,400,000	2004-03-02	Sutter	G	55	961	1,515	63.4%	Fail
151	Hollister School District	\$28,500,000	2014-11-04	San Benito	M	55	5,729	9,035	63.4%	Fail
152	Central Unified School District	\$152,000,000	2008-11-04	Fresno	E	55	12,062	19,023	63.4%	Fail
153	San Marcos Unified School District	\$287,000,000	2010-11-02	San Diego	K	55	20,082	31,682	63.4%	Fail
154	Temecula Valley Unified School District	\$165,000,000	2012-11-06	Riverside	Y	55	27,808	43,879	63.4%	Fail
155	Lucia Mar Unified School District	\$21,350,000	2004-03-02	San Luis Obispo	A	55	13,848	21,869	63.3%	Fail
156	Rancho Santa Fe Elementary School District	\$4,800,000	2004-03-02	San Diego	K	55	1,417	2,238	63.3%	Fail
157	Mojave Unified School District SFID No. 1	\$8,100,000	2014-11-04	Kern	C	55	1,551	2,450	63.3%	Fail
158	Rincon Valley Union School District	\$23,900,000	2004-03-02	Sonoma	D	55	10,302	16,288	63.2%	Fail
159	Exeter Union High School District	\$5,100,000	2006-11-07	Tulare	U	55	2,486	3,931	63.2%	Fail
160	Anaheim City Elementary School District	\$111,000,000	2002-03-05	Orange	BB	55	11,610	18,366	63.2%	Fail
161	Burton Elementary School District	\$2,455,000	2002-11-05	Tulare	B	55	1,471	2,327	63.2%	Fail

## All California Educational Bond Measures With 55% Threshold Approved by Voters Since November 2000 - Results if Proposition 39 Had Not Been Law

Rank	Educational District	Amount Proposed to Borrow	Year-Month-Day of Election	Counties	Measure	% Needed to Pass	Yes	Total	Passage	Two-Thirds Outcome
162	Paradise Elementary School District	\$660,000	2002-03-05	Stanislaus	X	55	151	239	63.2%	Fail
163	Happy Valley Union Elementary School District	\$2,495,000	2014-06-03	Shasta	C	55	724	1,146	63.2%	Fail
164	Sonoma County Community College District	\$410,000,000	2014-11-04	Sonoma/Marin/Mendocino	H	55	87,739	139,046	63.1%	Fail
165	Glendora Unified School District	\$41,310,000	2005-11-08	Los Angeles	G	55	6,588	10,442	63.1%	Fail
166	San Leandro Unified School District	\$50,100,000	2010-11-02	Alameda	M	55	10,638	16,864	63.1%	Fail
167	Cotati/ Rohnert Park Unified School District	\$80,000,000	2014-06-03	Sonoma	B	55	5,382	8,532	63.1%	Fail
168	Colusa Unified School District	\$5,900,000	2014-11-04	Colusa	A	55	1,049	1,663	63.1%	Fail
169	College of Marin	\$249,500,000	2004-11-02	Marin	C	55	77,102	122,301	63.0%	Fail
170	San Diego Community College District	\$870,000,000	2006-11-07	San Diego	N	55	149,399	237,005	63.0%	Fail
171	Oroville City Elementary School District	\$6,000,000	2002-11-05	Butte	F	55	4,742	7,525	63.0%	Fail
172	Ohlone Community College District	\$349,000,000	2010-11-02	Alameda	G	55	37,042	58,814	63.0%	Fail
173	Westminster School District	\$130,000,000	2008-11-04	Orange	O	55	15,947	25,321	63.0%	Fail
174	Princeton Unified School District	\$2,750,000	2014-06-03	Glenn/Colusa	S	55	165	262	63.0%	Fail
175	San Carlos Unified School District	\$38,000,000	2005-11-08	San Mateo	E	55	6,240	9,913	62.9%	Fail
176	Denair Unified School District	\$13,000,000	2007-11-06	Stanislaus	K	55	812	1,290	62.9%	Fail
177	Rio Hondo Community College District	\$245,000,000	2004-03-02	Los Angeles	A	55	27,447	43,642	62.9%	Fail
178	Bennett Valley Union School District	\$10,600,000	2010-11-02	Sonoma	J	55	2,976	4,732	62.9%	Fail
179	Bass Lake Joint Union Elementary School District	\$15,000,000	2006-06-06	Madera	R	55	2,213	3,520	62.9%	Fail
180	Westside Union School District	\$63,500,000	2008-11-04	Los Angeles	WS	55	16,127	25,660	62.8%	Fail
181	Antioch Unified School District SFID No. 1	\$56,500,000	2012-11-06	Contra Costa	B	55	12,264	19,515	62.8%	Fail
182	San Bernardino Community College District	\$190,000,000	2002-11-05	San Bernardino/Riverside	P	55	60,487	96,344	62.8%	Fail
183	Southern Kern Unified School District	\$12,000,000	2002-11-05	Kern	H	55	1,914	3,049	62.8%	Fail
184	Windsor Unified School District	\$50,000,000	2008-02-05	Sonoma	B	55	6,208	9,891	62.8%	Fail
185	Alameda Unified School District	\$179,500,000	2014-11-04	Alameda	I	55	13,111	20,894	62.8%	Fail
186	Pomona Unified School District	\$68,000,000	2002-03-05	Los Angeles	J	55	7,280	11,602	62.7%	Fail
187	Gilroy Unified School District	\$69,000,000	2002-11-05	Santa Clara	I	55	5,865	9,349	62.7%	Fail
188	Kings Canyon Unified School District	\$40,000,000	2012-11-06	Fresno/Tulare	K	55	6,806	10,851	62.7%	Fail
189	Charter Oak Unified School District	\$47,000,000	2012-06-05	Los Angeles	CO	55	3,035	4,839	62.7%	Fail
190	Weaver Union School District	\$9,800,000	2006-06-06	Merced	B	55	533	850	62.7%	Fail
191	Oxnard Union High School District	\$135,000,000	2004-11-02	Ventura	H	55	52,851	84,324	62.7%	Fail
192	Alvord Unified School District	<b>Reauthorize \$79,000,000</b>	2012-11-06	Riverside	W	55	12,911	20,602	62.7%	Fail
193	Santa Clarita Community College District	\$160,000,000	2006-11-07	Los Angeles	M	55	38,292	61,119	62.7%	Fail
194	West Contra Costa Unified School District	\$380,000,000	2010-06-08	Contra Costa	D	55	23,014	36,754	62.6%	Fail
195	Merced Union High School District	\$149,450,000	2008-11-04	Merced	M	55	25,266	40,377	62.6%	Fail
196	San Luis Obispo County Community College District (Cuesta)	\$275,000,000	2014-11-04	San Luis Obispo/ Monterey	L	55	51,740	82,706	62.6%	Fail
197	Happy Valley Union Elementary School District	\$3,800,000	2008-11-04	Shasta	E	55	1,743	2,787	62.5%	Fail
198	Aromas-San Juan Unified School District	\$11,200,000	2002-03-05	Monterey/San Benito	S	55	1,243	1,988	62.5%	Fail
199	Mojave Unified School District SFID No. 1	\$24,000,000	2008-02-05	Kern	A	55	1,746	2,793	62.5%	Fail
200	Spreckels Union School District	\$7,000,000	2012-11-06	Monterey	B	55	2,091	3,346	62.5%	Fail
201	Westside Union School District	<b>Reauthorize \$18,510,000</b>	2012-11-06	Los Angeles	WR	55	16,153	25,849	62.5%	Fail
202	Antioch Unified School District SFID No. 2	\$61,600,000	2008-06-03	Contra Costa	C	55	4,948	7,921	62.5%	Fail

## All California Educational Bond Measures With 55% Threshold Approved by Voters Since November 2000 - Results if Proposition 39 Had Not Been Law

Rank	Educational District	Amount Proposed to Borrow	Year-Month-Day of Election	Counties	Measure	% Needed to Pass	Yes	Total	Passage	Two-Thirds Outcome
203	Siskiyou Joint Community College District	\$31,400,000	2005-11-08	Siskiyou/Shasta	A	55	8,912	14,267	62.5%	Fail
204	Black Oak Mine Unified School District	\$6,900,000	2006-11-07	El Dorado	G	55	3,768	6,034	62.4%	Fail
205	Clovis Unified School District	\$168,000,000	2004-03-02	Fresno	A	55	24,836	39,778	62.4%	Fail
206	Buckeye Union School District	\$28,300,000	2006-11-07	El Dorado	K	55	7,910	12,670	62.4%	Fail
207	Franklin School District	\$2,000,000	2006-06-06	Sutter	P	55	452	724	62.4%	Fail
208	Grant Joint Union High School District (Now Twin Rivers USD)	\$230,000,000	2006-06-06	Sacramento/Placer	G	55	10,477	16,782	62.4%	Fail
209	Bassett Unified School District	\$30,000,000	2014-11-04	Los Angeles	V	55	1,616	2,589	62.4%	Fail
210	Mendocino-Lake Community College District	\$67,500,000	2006-11-07	Mendocino/Lake	W	55	18,417	29,506	62.4%	Fail
211	Marysville Joint Unified School District	\$47,000,000	2008-11-04	Yuba/Butte	P	55	10,081	16,160	62.4%	Fail
212	Greenfield Union School District	\$10,000,000	2014-11-04	Monterey	C	55	1,014	1,626	62.4%	Fail
213	Planada Elementary School District	\$1,500,000	2014-06-03	Merced	O	55	220	353	62.3%	Fail
214	Bellevue Union School District	\$12,000,000	2014-06-03	Sonoma	D	55	1,314	2,111	62.2%	Fail
215	Anaheim Union High School District	\$132,000,000	2002-03-05	Orange	Z	55	25,592	41,126	62.2%	Fail
216	Palm Springs Unified School District	\$516,000,000	2008-02-05	Riverside	E	55	20,923	33,647	62.2%	Fail
217	Eureka Unified School District SFID No. 1	\$10,585,000	2002-03-05	Humboldt	S	55	7,598	12,225	62.2%	Fail
218	Wright Elementary School District	\$14,000,000	2012-06-05	Sonoma	M	55	1,913	3,078	62.2%	Fail
219	Rio Linda Union School District (Now Twin Rivers USD)	\$38,000,000	2006-11-07	Sacramento	P	55	11,752	18,915	62.1%	Fail
220	Santa Maria-Bonita School District	\$45,000,000	2014-11-04	Santa Barbara/SLO	T	55	8,085	13,021	62.1%	Fail
221	Torrance Unified School District	\$50,000,000	2014-11-04	Los Angeles	U	55	19,877	32,015	62.1%	Fail
222	Bonita Unified School District	\$56,360,000	2004-03-02	Los Angeles	C	55	8,841	14,243	62.1%	Fail
223	Beaumont Unified School District	\$125,000,000	2008-11-04	Riverside/San Bernardino	Z	55	9,029	14,552	62.0%	Fail
224	Dublin Unified School District	\$99,000,000	2012-06-05	Alameda	E	55	4,205	6,780	62.0%	Fail
225	Alvord Unified School District	\$196,000,000	2007-11-06	Riverside	H	55	3,437	5,542	62.0%	Fail
226	Grossmont Union High School District	\$274,000,000	2004-03-02	San Diego	H	55	61,406	99,029	62.0%	Fail
227	Natomas Unified School District	\$145,500,000	2006-06-06	Sacramento	D	55	4,358	7,029	62.0%	Fail
228	College School District	\$9,370,000	2004-11-02	Santa Barbara	Z	55	2,028	3,271	62.0%	Fail
229	Big Pine Unified School District	\$4,100,000	2010-06-08	Inyo	A	55	360	581	62.0%	Fail
230	Vacaville Unified School District	\$194,000,000	2014-11-04	Solano	A	55	12,095	19,524	61.9%	Fail
231	San Mateo Union High School District	\$186,000,000	2010-11-02	San Mateo	O	55	43,251	69,854	61.9%	Fail
232	Val Verde Unified School District	\$178,000,000	2012-06-05	Riverside	L	55	2,758	4,455	61.9%	Fail
233	Mark Twain Union Elementary School District	\$9,600,000	2004-03-02	Calaveras	K	55	1,786	2,885	61.9%	Fail
234	Hawthorne Elementary School District	\$20,000,000	2008-06-03	Los Angeles	H	55	2,481	4,009	61.9%	Fail
235	Downey Unified School District	\$248,000,000	2014-11-04	Los Angeles	O	55	10,093	16,314	61.9%	Fail
236	Wheatland School District	\$9,000,000	2012-11-06	Yuba	U	55	2,250	3,637	61.9%	Fail
237	Chino Valley Unified School District	\$150,000,000	2002-03-05	San Bernardino	M	55	10,191	16,478	61.8%	Fail
238	Peninsula Union School District	\$600,000	2001-11-06	Humboldt	R	55	47	76	61.8%	Fail
239	Simi Valley Unified School District	\$145,000,000	2004-03-02	Ventura	C	55	16,118	26,079	61.8%	Fail
240	Woodlake Union High School District	\$4,500,000	2008-02-05	Tulare	C	55	1,592	2,576	61.8%	Fail
241	San Diego Unified School District	\$2,800,000,000	2012-11-06	San Diego	Z	55	218,242	353,169	61.8%	Fail
242	Burbank Unified School District	\$110,000,000	2013-03-05	Los Angeles	S	55	4,663	7,547	61.8%	Fail
243	Gateway Unified School District	\$22,000,000	2002-03-05	Shasta	B	55	3,623	5,864	61.8%	Fail

## All California Educational Bond Measures With 55% Threshold Approved by Voters Since November 2000 - Results if Proposition 39 Had Not Been Law

Rank	Educational District	Amount Proposed to Borrow	Year-Month-Day of Election	Counties	Measure	% Needed to Pass	Yes	Total	Passage	Two-Thirds Outcome
244	Pollock Pines Elementary School District	\$9,000,000	2012-06-05	El Dorado	K	55	1,606	2,601	61.7%	Fail
245	Los Rios Community College District	\$265,000,000	2002-03-05	El Dorado/Placer/Sacramento/Yolo/Solano	A	55	132,952	215,359	61.7%	Fail
246	Buellton Union School District	\$6,500,000	2004-03-02	Santa Barbara	U	55	1,176	1,905	61.7%	Fail
247	Cutler-Orosi Joint Unified School District	\$6,400,000	2004-03-02	Fresno/Tulare	O	55	687	1,113	61.7%	Fail
248	Corona-Norco Unified School District	\$250,000,000	2006-11-07	Riverside	U	55	24,101	39,068	61.7%	Fail
249	Western Placer Unified School District	\$60,000,000	2014-11-04	Placer	A	55	10,742	17,418	61.7%	Fail
250	Atascadero Unified School District	<b>Reauthorize \$58,000,000</b>	2014-11-04	San Luis Obispo	B	55	7,102	11,517	61.7%	Fail
251	Solvang School District	\$11,650,000	2006-06-06	Santa Barbara	J	55	1,148	1,863	61.6%	Fail
252	Rim of the World Unified School District	\$23,340,000	2008-11-04	San Bernardino	W	55	8,116	13,171	61.6%	Fail
253	Cabrillo Community College District	\$118,500,000	2004-03-02	Santa Cruz/Monterey/San Benito	D	55	44,542	72,295	61.6%	Fail
254	Encinitas Union School District	\$44,200,000	2010-11-02	San Diego	P	55	17,989	29,200	61.6%	Fail
255	El Camino Community College District	\$394,516,464	2002-11-05	Los Angeles	E	55	68,095	110,541	61.6%	Fail
256	Novato Unified School District	\$107,000,000	2001-11-06	Marin	A	55	8,419	13,668	61.6%	Fail
257	Manhattan Beach Unified School District	\$67,480,000	2008-11-04	Los Angeles	BB	55	12,191	19,801	61.6%	Fail
258	Victor Valley Community College District	\$297,500,000	2008-11-04	San Bernardino/Los Angeles	JJ	55	63,581	103,301	61.5%	Fail
259	Fullerton School District	\$49,700,000	2002-03-05	Orange	CC	55	11,710	19,026	61.5%	Fail
260	San Ramon Valley Unified School District	\$260,000,000	2012-11-06	Contra Costa	D	55	37,380	60,774	61.5%	Fail
261	Los Olivos School District	\$4,650,000	2006-11-07	Santa Barbara	N	55	469	763	61.5%	Fail
262	Santa Monica Community College District	\$295,000,000	2008-11-04	Los Angeles	AA	55	30,232	49,185	61.5%	Fail
263	San Bernardino City Unified School District	\$140,000,000	2004-03-02	San Bernardino	T	55	14,282	23,247	61.4%	Fail
264	Nevada Joint Union High School District	\$15,000,000	2002-03-05	Nevada	A	55	16,397	26,704	61.4%	Fail
265	Center Joint Unified School District	\$500,000,000	2008-11-04	Sacramento/Placer	N	55	5,082	8,278	61.4%	Fail
266	Healdsburg Unified School District	\$35,000,000	2012-06-05	Sonoma	E	55	2,790	4,546	61.4%	Fail
267	Roseville City School District	\$29,117,071	2002-11-05	Placer	H	55	11,174	18,219	61.3%	Fail
268	Willits Unified School District	\$43,000,000	2010-06-08	Mendocino	B	55	2,211	3,606	61.3%	Fail
269	Merced River School District	\$1,800,000	2014-06-03	Merced	L	55	95	155	61.3%	Fail
270	Chatom Union Elementary School District	\$5,000,000	2006-11-07	Stanislaus	U	55	617	1,007	61.3%	Fail
271	Fortuna Union High School District	\$10,000,000	2012-11-06	Humboldt	D	55	5,072	8,278	61.3%	Fail
272	La Habra City School District	\$31,000,000	2012-11-06	Orange	O	55	8,874	14,489	61.2%	Fail
273	Walnut Valley Unified School District	\$64,600,000	2007-11-06	Los Angeles	S	55	4,159	6,797	61.2%	Fail
274	Golden Plains Unified School District	\$13,000,000	2014-11-04	Fresno	G	55	402	657	61.2%	Fail
275	Mesa Union Elementary School District	\$4,000,000	2008-06-03	Ventura	A	55	506	827	61.2%	Fail
276	Fremont Unified School District	\$650,000,000	2014-06-03	Alameda	E	55	15,971	26,106	61.2%	Fail
277	Cinnabar Elementary School District	\$2,500,000	2014-11-04	Sonoma	J	55	494	808	61.1%	Fail
278	Napa Valley Community College District	\$133,800,000	2002-11-05	Napa/Sonoma	N	55	21,699	35,502	61.1%	Fail
279	Bradley Union School District	\$1,100,000	2011-11-08	Monterey	T	55	33	54	61.1%	Fail
280	Sierra Sands Unified School District	\$50,500,000	2006-06-06	Kern/San Bernardino	A	55	4,710	7,710	61.1%	Fail
281	North Monterey County Unified School District	\$23,800,000	2013-11-05	Monterey	H	55	2,453	4,019	61.0%	Fail
282	Central Unified School District	\$40,000,000	2004-03-02	Fresno	K	55	5,174	8,494	60.9%	Fail
283	Mt. Diablo Unified School District	\$348,000,000	2010-06-08	Contra Costa	C	55	32,152	52,814	60.9%	Fail
284	Chualar Union Elementary School District	\$1,000,000	2004-03-02	Monterey	A	55	182	299	60.9%	Fail

## All California Educational Bond Measures With 55% Threshold Approved by Voters Since November 2000 - Results if Proposition 39 Had Not Been Law

Rank	Educational District	Amount Proposed to Borrow	Year-Month-Day of Election	Counties	Measure	% Needed to Pass	Yes	Total	Passage	Two-Thirds Outcome
285	Yosemite Community College District	\$326,174,000	2004-11-02	Stanislaus/San Joaquin/Merced/Calaveras/	E	55	109,315	179,656	60.8%	Fail
286	Huntington Beach City Elementary School District	\$30,000,000	2002-03-05	Orange	DD	55	11,913	19,584	60.8%	Fail
287	Bonita Unified School District	\$83,560,000	2008-11-04	Los Angeles	AB	55	17,218	28,308	60.8%	Fail
288	Greenfield Union School District	\$10,000,000	2014-11-04	Monterey	D	55	987	1,623	60.8%	Fail
289	Jurupa Unified School District	\$144,000,000	2014-11-04	Riverside	EE	55	5,824	9,578	60.8%	Fail
290	St. Helena Unified School District	\$30,000,000	2010-11-02	Napa	B	55	2,545	4,186	60.8%	Fail
291	Lodi Unified School District	\$114,000,000	2006-11-07	San Joaquin	L	55	9,225	15,176	60.8%	Fail
292	Perris Union High School District	\$153,420,000	2012-11-06	Riverside	T	55	24,229	39,886	60.7%	Fail
293	Napa Valley Unified School District	\$183,000,000	2006-11-07	Napa	G	55	20,831	34,315	60.7%	Fail
294	William S. Hart Union High School District	\$300,000,000	2008-11-04	Los Angeles	SA	55	59,287	97,816	60.6%	Fail
295	Beardsley School District	\$10,300,000	2006-06-06	Kern	B	55	1,444	2,383	60.6%	Fail
296	Riverside Community College District	\$350,000,000	2004-03-02	Riverside	C	55	61,923	102,205	60.6%	Fail
297	Madera Unified School District	\$32,500,000	2006-11-07	Madera	U	55	6,812	11,244	60.6%	Fail
298	Salinas Union High School District	\$52,000,000	2002-03-05	Monterey	F	55	12,746	21,111	60.4%	Fail
299	Paso Robles Joint Unified School District	\$20,000,000	2006-11-07	San Luis Obispo/Monterey	T	55	8,753	14,498	60.4%	Fail
300	Julian Union High School District	\$2,100,000	2010-11-02	San Diego	L	55	1,259	2,086	60.4%	Fail
301	Lost Hills Union School District	\$6,200,000	2005-11-08	Kern	G	55	35	58	60.3%	Fail
302	Fullerton Joint Union High School District	\$67,940,000	2002-03-05	Orange/Los Angeles	AA	55	21,974	36,437	60.3%	Fail
303	Salinas Union High School District	\$128,000,000	2014-11-04	Monterey	B	55	14,730	24,428	60.3%	Fail
304	Grossmont-Cuyamaca Community College District	\$207,000,000	2002-11-05	San Diego	R	55	60,622	100,551	60.3%	Fail
305	Upland Unified School District	\$103,000,000	2008-02-05	San Bernardino	K	55	11,785	19,554	60.3%	Fail
306	San Lorenzo Valley Unified School District	\$18,900,000	2008-02-05	Santa Cruz	O	55	6,298	10,452	60.3%	Fail
307	Tahoe Truckee Unified School District SFID No. 2	\$62,000,000	2014-11-04	El Dorado/Placer	E	55	2,074	3,442	60.3%	Fail
308	San Juan Unified School District	\$350,000,000	2012-11-06	Sacramento	N	55	76,052	126,333	60.2%	Fail
309	Tustin Unified School District	\$135,000,000	2012-11-06	Orange	S	55	25,220	41,949	60.1%	Fail
310	Oak Park Unified School District	\$17,500,000	2006-06-06	Ventura	C	55	2,030	3,378	60.1%	Fail
311	Fairfield-Suisun Unified School District	\$100,000,000	2002-03-05	Solano	C	55	11,288	18,784	60.1%	Fail
312	West Valley-Mission Community College District	\$235,000,000	2004-11-02	Santa Clara/Santa Cruz	H	55	79,524	132,394	60.1%	Fail
313	Duarte Unified School District	\$62,000,000	2010-11-02	Los Angeles	E	55	4,198	7,008	59.9%	Fail
314	Bret Harte Union High School District	\$18,000,000	2008-11-04	Calaveras	K	55	6,167	10,295	59.9%	Fail
315	Roseville Joint Union High School District	\$79,000,000	2004-11-02	Placer/Sacramento	J	55	35,814	59,793	59.9%	Fail
316	Kings Canyon Unified School District	\$32,000,000	2006-11-07	Fresno/Tulare	K	55	5,029	8,400	59.9%	Fail
317	Calipatria Unified School District	\$9,000,000	2013-11-05	Imperial	T	55	422	705	59.9%	Fail
318	West Valley-Mission Community College District	\$350,000,000	2012-06-05	Santa Clara/Santa Cruz	C	55	42,498	71,020	59.8%	Fail
319	Oroville Union High School District	\$10,400,000	2002-11-05	Butte	J	55	6,809	11,379	59.8%	Fail
320	Pacific Grove Unified School District	\$18,000,000	2014-11-04	Monterey	A	55	4,020	6,724	59.8%	Fail
321	Tipton Elementary School District	\$3,300,000	2014-11-04	Tulare	C	55	211	353	59.8%	Fail
322	Cold Spring School District	\$2,440,000	2008-11-04	Santa Barbara	C	55	987	1,653	59.7%	Fail
323	Sierra Joint Community College District SFID No. 2	\$44,400,000	2004-11-02	Nevada	G	55	24,877	41,666	59.7%	Fail
324	Yuba City Unified School District	\$25,670,000	2004-11-02	Sutter	K	55	9,383	15,729	59.7%	Fail
325	Greenfield Union School District	\$8,300,000	2010-06-08	Monterey	L	55	761	1,276	59.6%	Fail

## All California Educational Bond Measures With 55% Threshold Approved by Voters Since November 2000 - Results if Proposition 39 Had Not Been Law

Rank	Educational District	Amount Proposed to Borrow	Year-Month-Day of Election	Counties	Measure	% Needed to Pass	Yes	Total	Passage	Two-Thirds Outcome
326	St. Helena Unified School District	\$30,000,000	2012-11-06	Napa	C	55	2,782	4,669	59.6%	Fail
327	Lincoln Unified School District	\$48,500,000	2012-06-05	San Joaquin	M	55	5,653	9,488	59.6%	Fail
328	Turlock Unified School District SFID No. 1	\$9,900,000	2006-11-07	Stanislaus/Merced	Z	55	8,570	14,405	59.5%	Fail
329	Northern Humboldt Union High School District	\$25,800,000	2010-11-02	Humboldt	Q	55	10,386	17,465	59.5%	Fail
330	Elverta Elementary School District	\$10,500,000	2002-11-05	Sacramento/Placer	L	55	512	861	59.5%	Fail
331	Lake Tahoe Unified School District	\$64,500,000	2008-11-04	El Dorado	G	55	6,715	11,301	59.4%	Fail
332	Needles Unified School District	\$10,900,000	2008-06-03	San Bernardino	N	55	623	1,049	59.4%	Fail
333	Mark West Union School District	\$14,000,000	2010-06-08	Sonoma	C	55	2,372	3,994	59.4%	Fail
334	Savanna Elementary School District	\$28,750,000	2012-06-05	Orange	G	55	1,715	2,888	59.4%	Fail
335	College of the Sequoias	\$22,000,000	2006-11-07	Fresno/Kings/Tulare	C	55	8,279	13,948	59.4%	Fail
336	Napa Valley Unified School District	\$95,000,000	2002-11-05	Napa	M	55	17,183	28,953	59.3%	Fail
337	Menifee Union School District	\$14,500,000	2002-11-05	Riverside	J	55	6,853	11,568	59.2%	Fail
338	Manchester Union Elementary School District	\$1,310,000	2004-11-02	Mendocino	O	55	151	255	59.2%	Fail
339	El Centro Elementary School District	\$8,000,000	2003-11-04	Imperial	G	55	1,493	2,522	59.2%	Fail
340	Shasta-Tehama-Trinity Joint Community College District	\$34,000,000	2002-03-05	Humboldt/Lassen/Modoc/Shasta/Tehama/Trinity	A	55	33,076	55,884	59.2%	Fail
341	Oroville Union High School District	\$12,000,000	2008-11-04	Butte	G	55	10,978	18,552	59.2%	Fail
342	Saddleback Valley Unified School District	\$180,000,000	2004-03-02	Orange	B	55	31,024	52,448	59.2%	Fail
343	Hart-Ransom Union School District	\$3,900,000	2007-11-06	Stanislaus	G	55	388	656	59.1%	Fail
344	Anaheim Union High School District	\$249,000,000	2014-11-04	Orange	H	55	30,238	51,177	59.1%	Fail
345	Rohnerville School District (in Fortuna Elementary School District)	\$5,000,000	2010-06-08	Humboldt	M	55	934	1,581	59.1%	Fail
346	Kern Community College District	\$180,000,000	2002-11-05	Kern/San Bernardino	G	55	79,076	133,870	59.1%	Fail
347	Manteca Unified School District	\$159,000,000	2014-11-04	San Joaquin	G	55	11,535	19,535	59.0%	Fail
348	Escondido Union High School District	\$98,000,000	2008-11-04	San Diego	T	55	30,006	50,836	59.0%	Fail
349	Chabot-Las Positas Community College District	\$498,000,000	2004-03-02	Alameda/Contra Costa	B	55	65,195	110,593	59.0%	Fail
350	Springville Union Elementary School District	\$4,000,000	2014-06-03	Tulare	J	55	724	1,229	58.9%	Fail
351	Sulphur Springs Union Elementary School District	\$72,000,000	2012-06-05	Los Angeles	CK	55	4,394	7,465	58.9%	Fail
352	Mt. San Jacinto Community College District	\$295,000,000	2014-11-04	Riverside	AA	55	76,993	130,897	58.8%	Fail
353	West Hills Community College District SFID No. 3	<b>Reauthorize \$12,655,000</b>	2012-11-06	Fresno/Monterey/San Benito/Kings/Madera	L	55	5,946	10,109	58.8%	Fail
354	San Jose-Evergreen Community College District	\$268,000,000	2010-11-02	Santa Clara	G	55	111,032	188,791	58.8%	Fail
355	Santa Maria Joint Union High School District	\$79,000,000	2004-11-02	Santa Barbara	C	55	22,527	38,345	58.7%	Fail
356	Merced City Elementary School District	\$26,500,000	2003-11-04	Merced	S	55	4,710	8,021	58.7%	Fail
357	San Ramon Valley Unified School District	\$260,000,000	2002-11-05	Contra Costa	A	55	23,655	40,330	58.7%	Fail
358	Lindsay Unified School District	\$16,000,000	2012-11-06	Tulare	L	55	1,468	2,503	58.6%	Fail
359	Fullerton Joint Union High School District	\$175,000,000	2014-11-04	Orange/Los Angeles	I	55	25,415	43,353	58.6%	Fail
360	Apple Valley Unified School District	\$36,000,000	2004-03-02	San Bernardino	S	55	8,818	15,051	58.6%	Fail
361	Lakeside Union School District	<b>Reauthorize \$31,000,000</b>	2014-11-04	San Diego	L	55	5,640	9,630	58.6%	Fail
362	Tustin Unified School District	\$95,000,000	2008-11-04	Orange	L	55	18,304	31,266	58.5%	Fail
363	Santee Elementary School District	\$60,000,000	2006-11-07	San Diego	R	55	9,742	16,649	58.5%	Fail
364	Mt. San Antonio Community College District	\$221,000,000	2001-11-06	Los Angeles	R	55	20,315	34,727	58.5%	Fail
365	Eastern Sierra Unified School District	\$11,100,000	2004-11-02	Mono	E	55	1,067	1,824	58.5%	Fail
366	Millbrae School District	\$30,000,000	2011-11-08	San Mateo	N	55	2,550	4,361	58.5%	Fail

## All California Educational Bond Measures With 55% Threshold Approved by Voters Since November 2000 - Results if Proposition 39 Had Not Been Law

Rank	Educational District	Amount Proposed to Borrow	Year-Month-Day of Election	Counties	Measure	% Needed to Pass	Yes	Total	Passage	Two-Thirds Outcome
367	Aromas-San Juan Unified School District	\$9,700,000	2010-11-02	Monterey/San Benito	Z	55	1,822	3,116	58.5%	Fail
368	Pierce Joint Unified School District	\$6,000,000	2002-03-05	Colusa	A	55	529	905	58.5%	Fail
369	Byron Union School District	\$19,700,000	2006-06-06	Contra Costa	C	55	1,617	2,767	58.4%	Fail
370	Santa Monica Community College District	\$135,000,000	2004-11-02	Los Angeles	S	55	27,472	47,028	58.4%	Fail
371	Dehesa School District	\$5,500,000	2010-11-02	San Diego	M	55	507	868	58.4%	Fail
372	Saugus Union School District	\$148,000,000	2014-11-04	Los Angeles	EE	55	11,878	20,351	58.4%	Fail
373	Madera Unified School District	\$70,000,000	2014-11-04	Madera	G	55	5,894	10,113	58.3%	Fail
374	Ripon Unified School District	\$10,000,000	2002-03-05	San Joaquin	J	55	2,060	3,535	58.3%	Fail
375	Kingsburg Joint Union High School District	\$9,900,000	2006-06-06	Fresno/Kings/Tulare	K	55	1,688	2,897	58.3%	Fail
376	Cajon Valley Union School District	<b>Reauthorize \$88,400,000</b>	2012-11-06	San Diego	C	55	29,048	49,882	58.2%	Fail
377	Hemet Unified School District	\$149,000,000	2006-11-07	Riverside	T	55	17,757	30,493	58.2%	Fail
378	Grossmont-Cuyamaca Community College District	\$398,000,000	2012-11-06	San Diego	V	55	95,802	164,554	58.2%	Fail
379	Campbell Union High School District	\$90,000,000	2006-11-07	Santa Clara	G	55	32,505	55,855	58.2%	Fail
380	Golden Valley Unified School District	\$70,000,000	2006-06-06	Madera	S	55	1,438	2,472	58.2%	Fail
381	Escondido Union School District	\$46,300,000	2002-03-05	San Diego	K	55	11,635	20,003	58.2%	Fail
382	Piedmont Unified School District	\$56,000,000	2006-03-07	Alameda	E	55	2,497	4,294	58.2%	Fail
383	Glendale Community College District	\$98,000,000	2002-03-05	Los Angeles	G	55	14,245	24,507	58.1%	Fail
384	West Kern Community College District	\$39,800,000	2004-03-02	Kern	A	55	2,039	3,509	58.1%	Fail
385	Kernville Union School District	\$6,000,000	2008-06-03	Kern	D	55	1,778	3,060	58.1%	Fail
386	Huntington Beach Union High School District	\$238,000,000	2004-03-02	Orange	C	55	42,469	73,151	58.1%	Fail
387	Buellton Union School District	\$3,200,000	2012-06-05	Santa Barbara	V	55	278	479	58.0%	Fail
388	Chaffey Community College	\$230,000,000	2002-03-05	San Bernardino	L	55	33,737	58,195	58.0%	Fail
389	Banning Unified School District	\$63,000,000	2006-11-07	Riverside	R	55	2,635	4,546	58.0%	Fail
390	Banning Unified School District	\$63,000,000	2006-06-06	Riverside	R	55	2,635	4,546	58.0%	Fail
391	Murieta Valley Unified School District	\$98,000,000	2014-11-04	Riverside	BB	55	11,278	19,462	57.9%	Fail
392	Los Rios Community College District	\$475,000,000	2008-11-04	El Dorado/Placer/ Sacramento/Solano/Yolo	M	55	328,240	566,450	57.9%	Fail
393	Palomar Community College	\$694,000,000	2006-11-07	San Diego	M	55	103,380	178,543	57.9%	Fail
394	Perris Union High School District	\$46,000,000	2004-11-02	Riverside	Z	55	18,871	32,594	57.9%	Fail
395	Templeton Unified School District	\$35,000,000	2012-11-06	San Luis Obispo	H	55	3,017	5,213	57.9%	Fail
396	Citrus Community College District	\$121,000,000	2004-03-02	Los Angeles	G	55	21,639	37,418	57.8%	Fail
397	Santa Rita Union Elementary School District	\$14,600,000	2006-11-07	Monterey	C	55	2,161	3,737	57.8%	Fail
398	West Hills Community College District	\$20,000,000	2014-11-04	Fresno/Monterey/San Benito/Kings/Madera	T	55	6,682	11,557	57.8%	Fail
399	Lake Tahoe Community College District	\$55,000,000	2014-11-04	El Dorado	F	55	3,784	6,547	57.8%	Fail
400	Fallbrook Union Elementary School District	\$32,000,000	2002-11-05	San Diego	X	55	6,199	10,731	57.8%	Fail
401	Cerritos Community College District	\$210,000,000	2004-03-02	Los Angeles	CC	55	29,336	50,836	57.7%	Fail
402	Gateway Unified School District	\$19,000,000	2008-11-04	Shasta	G	55	7,152	12,396	57.7%	Fail
403	Siskiyou Union High School District	\$12,500,000	2008-11-04	Siskiyou	Q	55	4,418	7,659	57.7%	Fail
404	Ripon Unified School District	\$25,236,190	2012-11-06	San Joaquin	G	55	4,264	7,395	57.7%	Fail
405	Tahoe Truckee Unified School District	\$30,450,000	2002-11-05	El Dorado/Placer	J	55	2,076	3,603	57.6%	Fail
406	Corning Union School District	\$2,700,000	2002-03-05	Tehama	B	55	1,358	2,357	57.6%	Fail
407	Del Norte County School District	\$24,987,000	2008-11-04	Del Norte	A	55	5,338	9,265	57.6%	Fail

## All California Educational Bond Measures With 55% Threshold Approved by Voters Since November 2000 - Results if Proposition 39 Had Not Been Law

Rank	Educational District	Amount Proposed to Borrow	Year-Month-Day of Election	Counties	Measure	% Needed to Pass	Yes	Total	Passage	Two-Thirds Outcome
408	Contra Costa Community College District	\$450,000,000	2014-06-03	Contra Costa	E	55	82,464	143,168	57.6%	Fail
409	Allan Hancock Community College District	\$180,000,000	2006-06-06	Santa Barbara/Ventura	I	55	22,996	39,989	57.5%	Fail
410	Shasta Union High School District	\$31,000,000	2001-11-06	Shasta	B	55	8,590	14,949	57.5%	Fail
411	Poway Unified School District SFID 2002-1	\$198,000,000	2002-11-05	San Diego	U	55	21,958	38,252	57.4%	Fail
412	Los Altos School District	\$150,000,000	2014-11-04	Santa Clara	N	55	8,671	15,106	57.4%	Fail
413	Eureka Unified School District	\$49,750,000	2014-11-04	Humboldt	S	55	8,083	14,084	57.4%	Fail
414	Norwalk-La Mirada Unified School District	\$375,000,000	2014-11-04	Los Angeles	G	55	8,940	15,578	57.4%	Fail
415	Thermalito Union School District	\$2,050,000	2004-11-02	Butte	M	55	1,721	2,999	57.4%	Fail
416	Murieta Valley Unified School District	\$120,000,000	2006-06-06	Riverside	E	55	6,327	11,026	57.4%	Fail
417	Lynwood Unified School District	\$93,000,000	2012-11-06	Los Angeles	K	55	7,719	13,452	57.4%	Fail
418	Marysville Joint Unified School District	\$37,000,000	2006-06-06	Yuba/Butte	H	55	5,050	8,809	57.3%	Fail
419	Enterprise Elementary School District	\$34,000,000	2008-02-05	Shasta	A	55	4,340	7,574	57.3%	Fail
420	Merced City Elementary School District	\$60,000,000	2014-06-03	Merced	M	55	5,277	9,217	57.3%	Fail
421	Antelope Valley Joint Union High School District	\$103,600,000	2002-03-05	Los Angeles	V	55	19,029	33,254	57.2%	Fail
422	Contra Costa Community College District	\$286,500,000	2006-06-06	Contra Costa	A	55	99,077	173,269	57.2%	Fail
423	Coast Community College District	\$698,000,000	2012-11-06	Orange	M	55	134,619	235,462	57.2%	Fail
424	Anderson Union High School District	\$12,300,000	2012-11-06	Shasta	C	55	8,574	15,008	57.1%	Fail
425	Lodi Unified School District	\$109,300,000	2002-03-05	San Joaquin	K	55	15,881	27,827	57.1%	Fail
426	Southern Kern Unified School District	\$28,400,000	2014-11-04	Kern	D	55	1,874	3,284	57.1%	Fail
427	Southern Trinity Unified School District	\$2,300,000	2012-06-05	Humboldt/Trinity	V	55	170	298	57.0%	Fail
428	Corona-Norco Unified School District	\$396,000,000	2014-11-04	Riverside	GG	55	20,876	36,610	57.0%	Fail
429	Oak Park Unified School District	\$29,445,000	2008-11-04	Ventura	R	55	3,966	6,957	57.0%	Fail
430	Grant Joint Union High School District (Now Twin Rivers USD)	\$74,000,000	2002-03-05	Sacramento/Placer	E	55	10,435	18,312	57.0%	Fail
431	Chawanakee Unified School District	\$9,000,000	2005-11-08	Madera	Q	55	1,126	1,976	57.0%	Fail
432	Escondido Union School District	\$182,100,000	2014-11-04	San Diego	E	55	18,615	32,687	56.9%	Fail
433	Morongo Unified School District	\$48,150,000	2005-11-08	San Bernardino	O	55	6,563	11,527	56.9%	Fail
434	McCabe Union Elementary School District	\$7,000,000	2014-11-04	Imperial	G	55	780	1,370	56.9%	Fail
435	Placentia-Yorba Linda Unified School District	\$200,000,000	2008-02-05	Orange	A	55	25,764	45,272	56.9%	Fail
436	Sylvan Union School District	\$40,000,000	2006-11-07	Stanislaus	A	55	9,402	16,526	56.9%	Fail
437	Walnut Valley Unified School District	\$15,200,000	2007-11-06	Los Angeles	Y	55	3,859	6,784	56.9%	Fail
438	West Contra Costa Unified School District	\$400,000,000	2005-11-08	Contra Costa	J	55	30,013	52,789	56.9%	Fail
439	Barstow Unified School District	\$14,000,000	2001-11-06	San Bernardino	C	55	2,492	4,386	56.8%	Fail
440	Old Adobe Union School District	\$26,000,000	2012-06-05	Sonoma	G	55	3,391	5,969	56.8%	Fail
441	Menifee Union School District	\$31,460,000	2008-02-05	Riverside	B	55	9,561	16,850	56.7%	Fail
442	Grossmont Union High School District	\$417,000,000	2008-11-04	San Diego	U	55	97,337	171,811	56.7%	Fail
443	Columbia School District	\$8,600,000	2014-11-04	Shasta	E	55	1,984	3,502	56.7%	Fail
444	Yuba Community College District	\$190,000,000	2006-11-07	Yuba/Sutter	J	55	37,608	66,429	56.6%	Fail
445	Dry Creek Elementary School District	\$67,300,000	2008-02-05	Placer/Sacramento	E	55	8,282	14,634	56.6%	Fail
446	Cabrillo Unified School District	\$81,000,000	2012-06-05	San Mateo	S	55	3,803	6,730	56.5%	Fail
447	Sonora Union High School District	\$23,000,000	2012-11-06	Tuolumne	J	55	9,171	16,234	56.5%	Fail
448	State Center Community College District	\$161,000,000	2002-11-05	Fresno/Kings/Tulare/Madera	E	55	90,285	159,849	56.5%	Fail

## All California Educational Bond Measures With 55% Threshold Approved by Voters Since November 2000 - Results if Proposition 39 Had Not Been Law

Rank	Educational District	Amount Proposed to Borrow	Year-Month-Day of Election	Counties	Measure	% Needed to Pass	Yes	Total	Passage	Two-Thirds Outcome
449	Orland Joint Unified School District	\$21,900,000	2008-02-05	Glenn	K	55	2,093	3,710	56.4%	Fail
450	Kings River Union Elementary School District	\$850,000	2006-06-06	Tulare	N	55	163	289	56.4%	Fail
451	Nuvview Union School District	\$39,600,000	2006-06-06	Riverside	D	55	622	1,103	56.4%	Fail
452	Owens Valley Unified School District	\$2,600,000	2005-11-08	Inyo	A	55	196	348	56.3%	Fail
453	Ohlone Community College District	\$150,000,000	2002-03-05	Alameda	A	55	16,111	28,617	56.3%	Fail
454	Fruitvale School District	\$29,800,000	2006-06-06	Kern	C	55	2,257	4,009	56.3%	Fail
455	San Benito High School District	\$42,500,000	2014-06-03	San Benito/Santa Clara	G	55	3,524	6,260	56.3%	Fail
456	Azusa Unified School District	\$92,000,000	2014-11-04	Los Angeles	K	55	3,983	7,082	56.2%	Fail
457	El Dorado Union High School District	\$66,300,000	2008-06-03	El Dorado	Q	55	19,536	34,740	56.2%	Fail
458	Columbia Union Elementary School District	\$3,700,000	2004-03-02	Tuolumne	H	55	1,351	2,404	56.2%	Fail
459	Newport-Mesa Unified School District	\$282,000,000	2005-11-08	Orange	F	55	26,123	46,524	56.1%	Fail
460	Manteca Unified School District	\$66,000,000	2004-03-02	San Joaquin	M	55	7,867	14,011	56.1%	Fail
461	Weaver Union School District	\$9,000,000	2012-11-06	Merced	G	55	1,422	2,534	56.1%	Fail
462	El Tejon Unified School District	\$7,120,000	2005-11-08	Kern/Ventura	E	55	1,257	2,240	56.1%	Fail
463	Gold Oak Union School District	\$8,950,000	2008-11-04	El Dorado	E	55	2,326	4,149	56.1%	Fail
464	Le Grand Union High School District	\$4,200,000	2014-06-03	Merced	N	55	401	717	55.9%	Fail
465	Dehesa School District	<b>Reauthorize \$3,000,000</b>	2012-11-06	San Diego	D	55	562	1,005	55.9%	Fail
466	Newark Unified School District	\$63,000,000	2011-11-08	Alameda	G	55	3,286	5,878	55.9%	Fail
467	Taft City School District	\$23,600,000	2012-06-05	Kern	C	55	1,132	2,027	55.8%	Fail
468	Kingsburg Joint Union High School District	\$13,000,000	2014-06-03	Fresno/Kings/Tulare	K	55	1,588	2,844	55.8%	Fail
469	Calaveras Unified School District	\$13,500,000	2006-11-07	Calaveras	A	55	5,241	9,396	55.8%	Fail
470	Linden Unified School District	\$11,500,000	2002-11-05	San Joaquin	S	55	2,099	3,764	55.8%	Fail
471	Acton-Agua Dulce Unified School District	\$13,000,000	2008-11-04	Los Angeles	CF	55	3,486	6,252	55.8%	Fail
472	Grant Elementary School District	\$1,700,000	2004-03-02	Shasta	A	55	671	1,204	55.7%	Fail
473	Merced Community College District SFID No. 1	\$53,500,000	2002-11-05	Merced/Madera	H	55	17,048	30,594	55.7%	Fail
474	Pacheco Union School District	\$11,000,000	2008-11-04	Shasta	B	55	2,534	4,548	55.7%	Fail
475	Cloverdale Unified School District	\$17,000,000	2010-11-02	Sonoma	G	55	2,096	3,769	55.6%	Fail
476	Tahoe Truckee Unified School District SFID No. 1	\$114,000,000	2014-11-04	Nevada/Placer	U	55	3,018	5,433	55.5%	Fail
477	San Dieguito Union High School District	\$449,000,000	2012-11-06	San Diego	AA	55	43,627	78,574	55.5%	Fail
478	McKinleyville Union School District	\$14,000,000	2008-06-03	Humboldt	C	55	1,723	3,108	55.4%	Fail
479	San Joaquin-Delta Community College District	\$250,000,000	2004-03-02	San Joaquin/Sacramento	L	55	62,465	112,744	55.4%	Fail
480	Turlock Unified School District	\$7,725,000	2006-11-07	Stanislaus	Y	55	8,942	16,143	55.4%	Fail
481	Piner-Olivet Union School District	\$20,000,000	2010-11-02	Sonoma	L	55	3,006	5,429	55.4%	Fail
482	Gridley Unified School District ESFID No. 1	\$2,500,000	2012-06-05	Butte	D	55	1,058	1,911	55.4%	Fail
483	Yreka Union High School District	\$8,000,000	2014-11-04	Siskiyou	H	55	2,942	5,316	55.3%	Fail
484	Palmdale Elementary School District	\$25,000,000	2001-11-06	Los Angeles	W	55	3,848	6,971	55.2%	Fail
485	North County Joint Union School District	\$3,350,000	2006-06-06	San Benito/Santa Clara	M	55	458	830	55.2%	Fail
486	Sonora Elementary School District	\$7,800,000	2010-11-02	Tuolumne	H	55	1,867	3,385	55.2%	Fail
487	Norris School District	\$149,000,000	2012-06-05	Kern	B	55	3,017	5,475	55.1%	Fail
488	North Orange County Community College District	\$574,000,000	2014-11-04	Orange/Los Angeles	J	55	84,780	154,118	55.0%	Fail
<b>TOTAL</b>		<b>\$105,001,578,737</b>	(\$105,594,443,737 if 15 reauthorizations included)				<b>17,457,026</b>	<b>26,646,048</b>	<b>65.5%</b>	

# Appendix H

## All California Educational Bond Measures With 55% Threshold Approved by Voters Since November 2000 - But Would Have Failed Under Two-Thirds Threshold

Rank	Educational District	Amount Proposed to Borrow	Year-Month-Day of Election	Counties	Measure	% Needed to Pass	Yes	Total	Passage	Two-Thirds Outcome
1	Pajaro Valley Unified School District	\$58,250,000	2002-11-05	Santa Cruz/Monterey	J or L	55	14,352	21,530	66.7%	Fail
2	Chualar Union Elementary School District	\$1,900,000	2001-11-06	Monterey	K	55	157	236	66.5%	Fail
3	John Swett Unified School District	\$10,000,000	2002-03-05	Contra Costa	B	55	1,778	2,673	66.5%	Fail
4	San Mateo Union High School District	\$298,000,000	2006-11-07	San Mateo	M	55	42,296	63,640	66.5%	Fail
5	Susanville Elementary School District	\$9,000,000	2008-11-04	Lassen	S	55	3,025	4,552	66.5%	Fail
6	Hillsborough City School District	\$66,800,000	2002-11-05	San Mateo	B	55	2,838	4,271	66.4%	Fail
7	Redlands Unified School District	\$65,500,000	2008-02-05	San Bernardino	J	55	21,545	32,446	66.4%	Fail
8	Oxnard School District	\$90,000,000	2012-11-06	Ventura	R	55	19,968	30,078	66.4%	Fail
9	Perris Elementary School District	\$40,000,000	2014-06-03	Riverside	C	55	1,153	1,738	66.3%	Fail
10	Carpinteria Unified School District	\$90,000,000	2014-11-04	Santa Barbara	U	55	3,901	5,881	66.3%	Fail
11	Cupertino Union School District	\$220,000,000	2012-06-05	Santa Clara	H	55	17,510	26,432	66.2%	Fail
12	San Mateo County Community College District	\$388,000,000	2014-11-04	San Mateo	H	55	102,629	154,995	66.2%	Fail
13	Cottonwood Union Elementary School District	\$2,200,000	2003-11-04	Shasta	A	55	1,111	1,678	66.2%	Fail
14	Patterson Joint Unified School District	\$50,000,000	2008-11-04	Stanislaus/Santa Clara	V	55	3,982	6,015	66.2%	Fail
15	Columbia Union Elementary School District	\$3,600,000	2003-03-04	Tuolumne	G	55	937	1,417	66.1%	Fail
16	Forestville Union School District	\$5,100,000	2010-11-02	Sonoma	K	55	2,104	3,183	66.1%	Fail
17	Los Angeles Unified School District	\$3,985,000,000	2005-11-08	Los Angeles	Y	55	443,905	671,636	66.1%	Fail
18	College of the Sequoias	\$28,000,000	2008-11-04	Tulare	I	55	35,713	54,070	66.0%	Fail
19	Farmersville Unified School District	\$4,800,000	2014-11-04	Tulare	A	55	575	871	66.0%	Fail
20	Gravenstein Union School District	\$6,000,000	2012-11-06	Sonoma	M	55	1,971	2,986	66.0%	Fail
21	Placentia-Yorba Linda Unified School District	\$102,000,000	2002-03-05	Orange	Y	55	18,300	27,735	66.0%	Fail
22	Sutter Union High School District	\$12,600,000	2008-06-03	Sutter	T	55	1,194	1,810	66.0%	Fail
23	Parlier Unified School District	\$6,000,000	2014-06-03	Fresno	P	55	554	840	66.0%	Fail
24	Conejo Valley Unified School District	\$197,000,000	2014-11-04	Ventura	I	55	27,468	41,652	65.9%	Fail
25	Redondo Beach Unified School District	\$145,000,000	2008-02-05	Los Angeles	C	55	13,516	20,505	65.9%	Fail
26	Belmont-Redwood Shores School District	\$25,000,000	2010-11-02	San Mateo	I	55	9,509	14,431	65.9%	Fail
27	San Mateo-Foster City School District	\$175,000,000	2008-02-05	San Mateo	J	55	48,116	73,047	65.9%	Fail
28	Gustine Unified School District	\$14,000,000	2014-11-04	Merced	P	55	962	1,461	65.8%	Fail
29	Butte-Glenn Community College District	\$84,900,000	2002-03-05	Butte/Glenn	A	55	36,012	54,724	65.8%	Fail
30	Fort Bragg Unified School District	\$22,200,000	2003-11-04	Mendocino	D	55	1,946	2,958	65.8%	Fail
31	Hydesville Elementary School District	\$1,100,000	2014-06-03	Humboldt	M	55	244	371	65.8%	Fail
32	Hartnell Community College District	\$131,000,000	2002-11-05	Monterey/San Benito	H	55	29,768	45,263	65.8%	Fail
33	San Juan Unified School District	\$350,000,000	2002-11-05	Sacramento	J	55	63,010	95,831	65.8%	Fail
34	West Hills Community College District SFID No. 2	\$11,600,000	2008-11-04	Fresno/Monterey/San Benito	C	55	2,656	4,040	65.7%	Fail
35	Morgan Hill Unified School District	\$198,250,000	2012-11-06	Santa Clara	G	55	15,243	23,187	65.7%	Fail
36	Foothill-De Anza Community College District	\$490,800,000	2006-06-06	Santa Clara	C	55	44,924	68,392	65.7%	Fail
37	Magnolia School District	\$16,300,000	2010-11-02	Orange	I	55	6,366	9,693	65.7%	Fail
38	Kings River Union Elementary School District	\$850,000	2005-11-08	Tulare	E	55	300	457	65.6%	Fail

## All California Educational Bond Measures With 55% Threshold Approved by Voters Since November 2000 - But Would Have Failed Under Two-Thirds Threshold

Rank	Educational District	Amount Proposed to Borrow	Year-Month-Day of Election	Counties	Measure	% Needed to Pass	Yes	Total	Passage	Two-Thirds Outcome
39	Sequoia Union High School District	\$265,000,000	2014-06-03	San Mateo	A	55	22,995	35,047	65.6%	Fail
40	San Mateo County Community College District	\$207,000,000	2001-11-06	San Mateo	C	55	58,530	89,208	65.6%	Fail
41	Bonsall Unified School District	\$17,000,000	2005-11-08	San Diego	C	55	2,767	4,218	65.6%	Fail
42	Pacific Grove Unified School District	\$42,000,000	2006-06-06	Monterey	D	55	3,411	5,200	65.6%	Fail
43	Ventura County Community College District	\$356,000,000	2002-03-05	Ventura	S	55	77,854	118,715	65.6%	Fail
44	Oak Grove School District	\$89,800,000	2014-11-04	Santa Clara	P	55	13,056	19,918	65.5%	Fail
45	Mt. Diablo Unified School District	\$250,000,000	2002-03-05	Contra Costa	C	55	31,530	48,112	65.5%	Fail
46	Martinez Unified School District	\$45,000,000	2010-11-02	Contra Costa	K	55	6,863	10,474	65.5%	Fail
47	Greenfield Union School District	\$5,000,000	2002-03-05	Kern	A	55	2,242	3,423	65.5%	Fail
48	Fontana Unified School District	\$275,000,000	2006-06-06	Orange	C	55	5,598	8,547	65.5%	Fail
49	Santa Rosa High School District	\$77,000,000	2002-03-05	Sonoma	B	55	29,276	44,707	65.5%	Fail
50	Rio Linda Union School District (Now Twin Rivers USD)	\$15,400,000	2002-11-05	Sacramento	N	55	9,946	15,195	65.5%	Fail
51	San Jose-Evergreen Community College District	\$185,000,000	2004-11-02	Santa Clara	G	55	141,070	215,731	65.4%	Fail
52	Moorpark Unified School District	\$39,500,000	2008-11-04	Ventura	S	55	9,560	14,620	65.4%	Fail
53	Los Alamitos Unified School District	\$126,000,000	2008-11-04	Orange	K	55	13,740	21,015	65.4%	Fail
54	Amador County Unified School District	\$11,000,000	2002-11-05	Amador	F	55	8,332	12,752	65.3%	Fail
55	Atascadero Unified School District	\$117,000,000	2010-11-02	San Luis Obispo	I	55	9,165	14,029	65.3%	Fail
56	Wheatland School District	\$5,700,000	2008-11-04	Yuba	R	55	1,465	2,243	65.3%	Fail
57	Riverbank Unified School District	\$15,200,000	2005-11-08	Stanislaus	P	55	1,584	2,426	65.3%	Fail
58	Mesa Union Elementary School District	\$3,900,000	2002-11-05	Ventura	H	55	786	1,204	65.3%	Fail
59	Wasco Union High School District	\$33,500,000	2008-06-03	Kern	C	55	1,070	1,640	65.2%	Fail
60	Pioneer Elementary School District	\$7,500,000	2005-11-08	Kings	P	55	1,524	2,336	65.2%	Fail
61	Calistoga Joint Unified School District	\$42,000,000	2010-11-02	Sonoma/Napa	A	55	1,572	2,411	65.2%	Fail
62	Clovis Unified School District	\$298,000,000	2012-06-05	Fresno	M	55	23,752	36,429	65.2%	Fail
63	Coast Community College District	\$370,000,000	2002-11-05	Orange	C	55	90,198	138,348	65.2%	Fail
64	Brisbane Elementary School District	\$11,000,000	2003-11-04	San Mateo	C	55	706	1,083	65.2%	Fail
65	Coachella Valley Unified School District	\$41,000,000	2012-11-06	Riverside/Imperial	X	55	7,191	11,032	65.2%	Fail
66	Hermosa Beach City School District	\$13,600,000	2002-11-05	Los Angeles	J	55	3,874	5,944	65.2%	Fail
67	Healdsburg Unified School District	\$3,990,000	2002-11-05	Sonoma	G	55	3,922	6,023	65.1%	Fail
68	Anderson Valley Unified School District	\$15,250,000	2010-06-08	Mendocino	A	55	551	847	65.1%	Fail
69	Tracy Unified School District SFID No. 4	\$82,000,000	2014-06-03	San Joaquin/Alameda	B	55	4,570	7,028	65.0%	Fail
70	Southern Humboldt Joint Unified School District	\$10,000,000	2014-11-04	Humboldt/Mendocino	X	55	1,632	2,510	65.0%	Fail
71	Panama-Buena Vista Union School District	\$147,000,000	2012-11-06	Kern	P	55	23,690	36,447	65.0%	Fail
72	Long Beach Community College District	\$176,000,000	2002-03-05	Los Angeles	E	55	34,580	53,225	65.0%	Fail
73	Chico Unified School District	\$78,000,000	2012-11-06	Butte	E	55	27,112	41,734	65.0%	Fail
74	Redwood City Elementary School District	\$22,000,000	2002-03-05	San Mateo	B	55	8,946	13,774	64.9%	Fail
75	Kings Canyon Unified School District	\$18,000,000	2002-03-05	Fresno/Tulare	K	55	4,257	6,556	64.9%	Fail
76	Walnut Creek School District	\$20,000,000	2002-11-05	Contra Costa	C	55	11,876	18,290	64.9%	Fail
77	Petaluma City School District	\$21,000,000	2014-06-03	Sonoma	C	55	9,760	15,033	64.9%	Fail
78	Beverly Hills Unified School District	\$334,000,000	2008-11-04	Los Angeles	E	55	9,484	14,616	64.9%	Fail
79	Contra Costa Community College District	\$120,000,000	2002-03-05	Contra Costa	A	55	112,062	172,726	64.9%	Fail

## All California Educational Bond Measures With 55% Threshold Approved by Voters Since November 2000 - But Would Have Failed Under Two-Thirds Threshold

Rank	Educational District	Amount Proposed to Borrow	Year-Month-Day of Election	Counties	Measure	% Needed to Pass	Yes	Total	Passage	Two-Thirds Outcome
80	Centinela Valley Union High School District	\$98,000,000	2010-11-02	Los Angeles	CV	55	16,057	24,754	64.9%	Fail
81	Twin Hills Union School District	\$11,000,000	2010-11-02	Sonoma	M	55	1,975	3,046	64.8%	Fail
82	Fremont Union High School District	\$295,000,000	2014-11-04	Santa Clara	K	55	31,982	49,326	64.8%	Fail
83	Alisal Union School District	\$90,000,000	2006-11-07	Monterey	A	55	3,414	5,266	64.8%	Fail
84	Standard School District	\$28,000,000	2006-06-06	Kern	D	55	2,166	3,342	64.8%	Fail
85	Stone Corral Elementary School District	\$715,000	2008-06-03	Tulare	F	55	46	71	64.8%	Fail
86	Guerneville School District	\$6,000,000	2012-06-05	Sonoma	F	55	993	1,533	64.8%	Fail
87	Belmont-Redwood Shores School District	\$48,000,000	2014-11-04	San Mateo	I	55	7,017	10,837	64.8%	Fail
88	Imperial Valley College	\$80,000,000	2010-11-02	Imperial	J	55	16,325	25,220	64.7%	Fail
89	Sanger Unified School District	\$30,800,000	2006-06-06	Fresno	S	55	3,298	5,097	64.7%	Fail
90	Cayucos Elementary School District	\$2,900,000	2006-11-07	San Luis Obispo	Z	55	1,003	1,551	64.7%	Fail
91	Monterey Peninsula Community College District	\$145,000,000	2002-11-05	Monterey	I	55	23,711	36,677	64.6%	Fail
92	Castaic Union School District	\$51,000,000	2012-11-06	Los Angeles	QS	55	5,682	8,793	64.6%	Fail
93	Nuview Union School District	<b>Reauthorize \$4,000,000</b>	2012-11-06	Riverside	V	55	1,632	2,526	64.6%	Fail
94	Lakeside Union School District	\$79,550,000	2008-11-04	San Diego	I	55	10,695	16,561	64.6%	Fail
95	Oxnard School District	\$64,000,000	2006-11-07	Ventura	M	55	12,655	19,631	64.5%	Fail
96	Liberty School District	\$1,920,000	2004-11-02	Sonoma	L	55	618	959	64.4%	Fail
97	Santa Clara Unified School District	\$81,100,000	2010-11-02	Santa Clara	H	55	21,839	33,890	64.4%	Fail
98	West Contra Costa Unified School District	\$360,000,000	2012-11-06	Contra Costa	E	55	50,266	78,060	64.4%	Fail
99	Valley Home Joint School District	\$1,400,000	2004-03-02	Stanislaus/San Joaquin	S	55	264	410	64.4%	Fail
100	Temple City Unified School District	\$128,800,000	2012-11-06	Los Angeles	S	55	6,454	10,029	64.4%	Fail
101	Woodside Elementary School District	\$13,500,000	2014-06-03	San Mateo	D	55	713	1,108	64.4%	Fail
102	Kerman Unified School District	\$24,900,000	2008-06-03	Fresno	K	55	931	1,447	64.3%	Fail
103	Blue Lake Union Elementary School District	\$2,000,000	2010-06-08	Humboldt	K	55	528	821	64.3%	Fail
104	College of the Redwoods	\$40,320,000	2004-11-02	Del Norte/Humboldt/ Mendocino	B/Q	55	52,249	81,284	64.3%	Fail
105	Redondo Beach Unified School District	\$63,000,000	2012-11-06	Los Angeles	Q	55	18,786	29,232	64.3%	Fail
106	Acalanes Union High School District	\$93,000,000	2008-11-04	Contra Costa	E	55	39,556	61,553	64.3%	Fail
107	Southern Humboldt Joint Unified School District	\$25,200,000	2010-06-08	Humboldt/Mendocino	L	55	1,528	2,379	64.2%	Fail
108	Eureka Unified School District	\$32,515,000	2002-03-05	Humboldt	T	55	5,059	7,881	64.2%	Fail
109	Armona Union Elementary School District	\$2,785,000	2004-03-02	Kings	S	55	534	832	64.2%	Fail
110	San Mateo County Community College District	\$468,000,000	2005-11-08	San Mateo	A	55	114,319	178,121	64.2%	Fail
111	Newman-Crows Landing Unified School District	\$25,000,000	2008-11-04	Stanislaus	R	55	1,998	3,114	64.2%	Fail
112	Lakeport Unified School District	\$17,000,000	2014-11-04	Lake	T	55	1,543	2,405	64.2%	Fail
113	Cajon Valley Union School District	\$156,500,000	2008-02-05	San Diego	D	55	23,696	36,946	64.1%	Fail
114	Las Virgenes Unified School District	\$128,000,000	2006-06-06	Los Angeles/Ventura	G	55	6,865	10,705	64.1%	Fail
115	Rancho Santiago Community College District	\$337,000,000	2002-11-05	Orange	E	55	51,789	80,771	64.1%	Fail
116	Escalon Unified School District	\$19,500,000	2012-11-06	San Joaquin	B	55	3,279	5,115	64.1%	Fail
117	Saratoga Union School District	\$19,900,000	2002-03-05	Santa Clara	L	55	3,903	6,091	64.1%	Fail
118	Moreno Valley Unified School District	\$398,000,000	2014-11-04	Riverside	M	55	12,348	19,276	64.1%	Fail
119	Milpitas Unified School District	\$95,000,000	2012-06-05	Santa Clara	E	55	5,412	8,449	64.1%	Fail
120	Maricopa Unified School District	\$6,000,000	2004-11-02	Kern	O	55	342	534	64.0%	Fail

## All California Educational Bond Measures With 55% Threshold Approved by Voters Since November 2000 - But Would Have Failed Under Two-Thirds Threshold

Rank	Educational District	Amount Proposed to Borrow	Year-Month-Day of Election	Counties	Measure	% Needed to Pass	Yes	Total	Passage	Two-Thirds Outcome
121	Santa Rosa High School District	\$175,000,000	2014-11-04	Sonoma	I	55	34,086	53,235	64.0%	Fail
122	Wilmar Union School District	\$4,000,000	2012-11-06	Sonoma	P	55	1,075	1,679	64.0%	Fail
123	Belmont-Redwood Shores School District ESFID	\$35,000,000	2010-11-02	San Mateo	N	55	6,744	10,539	64.0%	Fail
124	Los Gatos-Saratoga Joint Union High School District	\$99,000,000	2014-06-03	Santa Clara/Santa Cruz	E	55	8,331	13,020	64.0%	Fail
125	Bear Valley Unified School District	\$25,000,000	2002-11-05	San Bernardino	Q	55	3,423	5,351	64.0%	Fail
126	Anaheim City Elementary School District	\$169,300,000	2010-11-02	Orange	G	55	19,550	30,580	63.9%	Fail
127	Poway Unified School District	\$179,000,000	2008-02-05	San Diego	C	55	28,323	44,318	63.9%	Fail
128	Salinas City Elementary School District	\$80,000,000	2008-06-03	Monterey	T	55	5,513	8,627	63.9%	Fail
129	Burlingame School District	\$48,300,000	2007-11-06	San Mateo	A	55	3,650	5,712	63.9%	Fail
130	City College of San Francisco	\$246,300,000	2005-11-08	San Francisco	A	55	134,999	211,319	63.9%	Fail
131	West Hills Community College District SFID No. 3	\$31,000,000	2008-11-04	Fresno/Kings	E	55	7,512	11,763	63.9%	Fail
132	Bakersfield City Elementary School District	\$100,000,000	2006-11-07	Kern	G	55	17,657	27,661	63.8%	Fail
133	Garden Grove Unified School District	\$250,000,000	2010-06-08	Orange	A	55	21,149	33,168	63.8%	Fail
134	Coalinga-Huron Joint Unified School District	\$16,100,000	2010-11-02	Fresno/Monterey/San Benito	E	55	1,813	2,844	63.7%	Fail
135	Los Angeles Unified School District	\$3,870,000,000	2004-03-02	Los Angeles	R	55	335,230	526,258	63.7%	Fail
136	Oakdale Joint Unified School District	\$20,000,000	2002-11-05	San Joaquin	C	55	28	44	63.6%	Fail
137	Imperial Valley College	\$58,600,000	2004-11-02	Imperial	L	55	20,176	31,716	63.6%	Fail
138	Shoreline Unified School District	\$9,290,000	2009-11-03	Marin/Sonoma	D	55	1,033	1,624	63.6%	Fail
139	Chaffey Joint Union School District	\$848,000,000	2012-11-06	San Bernardino	P	55	70,026	110,096	63.6%	Fail
140	Gold Trail Union School District	\$1,800,000	2002-11-05	El Dorado	G	55	1,639	2,577	63.6%	Fail
141	Oak Grove Union School District	\$6,000,000	2014-11-04	Sonoma	K	55	1,174	1,846	63.6%	Fail
142	Castro Valley Unified School District	\$44,000,000	2005-11-08	Alameda	B	55	10,602	16,674	63.6%	Fail
143	Victor Elementary School District	\$30,000,000	2001-11-06	San Bernardino	E	55	3,155	4,964	63.6%	Fail
144	La Canada Unified School District	\$25,000,000	2004-03-02	Los Angeles	B	55	4,408	6,939	63.5%	Fail
145	Fremont Unified School District	\$157,200,000	2002-03-05	Alameda	B	55	15,101	23,780	63.5%	Fail
146	Benicia Unified School District	\$49,600,000	2014-06-03	Solano	S	55	3,903	6,147	63.5%	Fail
147	Madera Unified School District	\$46,000,000	2002-11-05	Madera	B	55	6,812	10,729	63.5%	Fail
148	Solano Community College District	\$348,000,000	2012-11-06	Solano/Yolo	Q	55	89,634	141,189	63.5%	Fail
149	Solano Community College District	\$124,500,000	2002-11-05	Solano/Yolo	G	55	89,634	141,189	63.5%	Fail
150	Live Oak Unified School District	\$8,400,000	2004-03-02	Sutter	G	55	961	1,515	63.4%	Fail
151	Hollister School District	\$28,500,000	2014-11-04	San Benito	M	55	5,729	9,035	63.4%	Fail
152	Central Unified School District	\$152,000,000	2008-11-04	Fresno	E	55	12,062	19,023	63.4%	Fail
153	San Marcos Unified School District	\$287,000,000	2010-11-02	San Diego	K	55	20,082	31,682	63.4%	Fail
154	Temecula Valley Unified School District	\$165,000,000	2012-11-06	Riverside	Y	55	27,808	43,879	63.4%	Fail
155	Lucia Mar Unified School District	\$21,350,000	2004-03-02	San Luis Obispo	A	55	13,848	21,869	63.3%	Fail
156	Rancho Santa Fe Elementary School District	\$4,800,000	2004-03-02	San Diego	K	55	1,417	2,238	63.3%	Fail
157	Mojave Unified School District SFID No. 1	\$8,100,000	2014-11-04	Kern	C	55	1,551	2,450	63.3%	Fail
158	Rincon Valley Union School District	\$23,900,000	2004-03-02	Sonoma	D	55	10,302	16,288	63.2%	Fail
159	Exeter Union High School District	\$5,100,000	2006-11-07	Tulare	U	55	2,486	3,931	63.2%	Fail
160	Anaheim City Elementary School District	\$111,000,000	2002-03-05	Orange	BB	55	11,610	18,366	63.2%	Fail
161	Burton Elementary School District	\$2,455,000	2002-11-05	Tulare	B	55	1,471	2,327	63.2%	Fail

## All California Educational Bond Measures With 55% Threshold Approved by Voters Since November 2000 - But Would Have Failed Under Two-Thirds Threshold

Rank	Educational District	Amount Proposed to Borrow	Year-Month-Day of Election	Counties	Measure	% Needed to Pass	Yes	Total	Passage	Two-Thirds Outcome
162	Paradise Elementary School District	\$660,000	2002-03-05	Stanislaus	X	55	151	239	63.2%	Fail
163	Happy Valley Union Elementary School District	\$2,495,000	2014-06-03	Shasta	C	55	724	1,146	63.2%	Fail
164	Sonoma County Community College District	\$410,000,000	2014-11-04	Sonoma/Marin/Mendocino	H	55	87,739	139,046	63.1%	Fail
165	Glendora Unified School District	\$41,310,000	2005-11-08	Los Angeles	G	55	6,588	10,442	63.1%	Fail
166	San Leandro Unified School District	\$50,100,000	2010-11-02	Alameda	M	55	10,638	16,864	63.1%	Fail
167	Cotati/ Rohnert Park Unified School District	\$80,000,000	2014-06-03	Sonoma	B	55	5,382	8,532	63.1%	Fail
168	Colusa Unified School District	\$5,900,000	2014-11-04	Colusa	A	55	1,049	1,663	63.1%	Fail
169	College of Marin	\$249,500,000	2004-11-02	Marin	C	55	77,102	122,301	63.0%	Fail
170	San Diego Community College District	\$870,000,000	2006-11-07	San Diego	N	55	149,399	237,005	63.0%	Fail
171	Oroville City Elementary School District	\$6,000,000	2002-11-05	Butte	F	55	4,742	7,525	63.0%	Fail
172	Ohlone Community College District	\$349,000,000	2010-11-02	Alameda	G	55	37,042	58,814	63.0%	Fail
173	Westminster School District	\$130,000,000	2008-11-04	Orange	O	55	15,947	25,321	63.0%	Fail
174	Princeton Unified School District	\$2,750,000	2014-06-03	Glenn/Colusa	S	55	165	262	63.0%	Fail
175	San Carlos Unified School District	\$38,000,000	2005-11-08	San Mateo	E	55	6,240	9,913	62.9%	Fail
176	Denair Unified School District	\$13,000,000	2007-11-06	Stanislaus	K	55	812	1,290	62.9%	Fail
177	Rio Hondo Community College District	\$245,000,000	2004-03-02	Los Angeles	A	55	27,447	43,642	62.9%	Fail
178	Bennett Valley Union School District	\$10,600,000	2010-11-02	Sonoma	J	55	2,976	4,732	62.9%	Fail
179	Bass Lake Joint Union Elementary School District	\$15,000,000	2006-06-06	Madera	R	55	2,213	3,520	62.9%	Fail
180	Westside Union School District	\$63,500,000	2008-11-04	Los Angeles	WS	55	16,127	25,660	62.8%	Fail
181	Antioch Unified School District SFID No. 1	\$56,500,000	2012-11-06	Contra Costa	B	55	12,264	19,515	62.8%	Fail
182	San Bernardino Community College District	\$190,000,000	2002-11-05	San Bernardino/Riverside	P	55	60,487	96,344	62.8%	Fail
183	Southern Kern Unified School District	\$12,000,000	2002-11-05	Kern	H	55	1,914	3,049	62.8%	Fail
184	Windsor Unified School District	\$50,000,000	2008-02-05	Sonoma	B	55	6,208	9,891	62.8%	Fail
185	Alameda Unified School District	\$179,500,000	2014-11-04	Alameda	I	55	13,111	20,894	62.8%	Fail
186	Pomona Unified School District	\$68,000,000	2002-03-05	Los Angeles	J	55	7,280	11,602	62.7%	Fail
187	Gilroy Unified School District	\$69,000,000	2002-11-05	Santa Clara	I	55	5,865	9,349	62.7%	Fail
188	Kings Canyon Unified School District	\$40,000,000	2012-11-06	Fresno/Tulare	K	55	6,806	10,851	62.7%	Fail
189	Charter Oak Unified School District	\$47,000,000	2012-06-05	Los Angeles	CO	55	3,035	4,839	62.7%	Fail
190	Weaver Union School District	\$9,800,000	2006-06-06	Merced	B	55	533	850	62.7%	Fail
191	Oxnard Union High School District	\$135,000,000	2004-11-02	Ventura	H	55	52,851	84,324	62.7%	Fail
192	Alvord Unified School District	<b>Reauthorize \$79,000,000</b>	2012-11-06	Riverside	W	55	12,911	20,602	62.7%	Fail
193	Santa Clarita Community College District	\$160,000,000	2006-11-07	Los Angeles	M	55	38,292	61,119	62.7%	Fail
194	West Contra Costa Unified School District	\$380,000,000	2010-06-08	Contra Costa	D	55	23,014	36,754	62.6%	Fail
195	Merced Union High School District	\$149,450,000	2008-11-04	Merced	M	55	25,266	40,377	62.6%	Fail
196	San Luis Obispo County Community College District (Cuesta)	\$275,000,000	2014-11-04	San Luis Obispo/ Monterey	L	55	51,740	82,706	62.6%	Fail
197	Happy Valley Union Elementary School District	\$3,800,000	2008-11-04	Shasta	E	55	1,743	2,787	62.5%	Fail
198	Aromas-San Juan Unified School District	\$11,200,000	2002-03-05	Monterey/San Benito	S	55	1,243	1,988	62.5%	Fail
199	Mojave Unified School District SFID No. 1	\$24,000,000	2008-02-05	Kern	A	55	1,746	2,793	62.5%	Fail
200	Spreckels Union School District	\$7,000,000	2012-11-06	Monterey	B	55	2,091	3,346	62.5%	Fail
201	Westside Union School District	<b>Reauthorize \$18,510,000</b>	2012-11-06	Los Angeles	WR	55	16,153	25,849	62.5%	Fail
202	Antioch Unified School District SFID No. 2	\$61,600,000	2008-06-03	Contra Costa	C	55	4,948	7,921	62.5%	Fail

## All California Educational Bond Measures With 55% Threshold Approved by Voters Since November 2000 - But Would Have Failed Under Two-Thirds Threshold

Rank	Educational District	Amount Proposed to Borrow	Year-Month-Day of Election	Counties	Measure	% Needed to Pass	Yes	Total	Passage	Two-Thirds Outcome
203	Siskiyou Joint Community College District	\$31,400,000	2005-11-08	Siskiyou/Shasta	A	55	8,912	14,267	62.5%	Fail
204	Black Oak Mine Unified School District	\$6,900,000	2006-11-07	El Dorado	G	55	3,768	6,034	62.4%	Fail
205	Clovis Unified School District	\$168,000,000	2004-03-02	Fresno	A	55	24,836	39,778	62.4%	Fail
206	Buckeye Union School District	\$28,300,000	2006-11-07	El Dorado	K	55	7,910	12,670	62.4%	Fail
207	Franklin School District	\$2,000,000	2006-06-06	Sutter	P	55	452	724	62.4%	Fail
208	Grant Joint Union High School District (Now Twin Rivers USD)	\$230,000,000	2006-06-06	Sacramento/Placer	G	55	10,477	16,782	62.4%	Fail
209	Bassett Unified School District	\$30,000,000	2014-11-04	Los Angeles	V	55	1,616	2,589	62.4%	Fail
210	Mendocino-Lake Community College District	\$67,500,000	2006-11-07	Mendocino/Lake	W	55	18,417	29,506	62.4%	Fail
211	Marysville Joint Unified School District	\$47,000,000	2008-11-04	Yuba/Butte	P	55	10,081	16,160	62.4%	Fail
212	Greenfield Union School District	\$10,000,000	2014-11-04	Monterey	C	55	1,014	1,626	62.4%	Fail
213	Planada Elementary School District	\$1,500,000	2014-06-03	Merced	O	55	220	353	62.3%	Fail
214	Bellevue Union School District	\$12,000,000	2014-06-03	Sonoma	D	55	1,314	2,111	62.2%	Fail
215	Anaheim Union High School District	\$132,000,000	2002-03-05	Orange	Z	55	25,592	41,126	62.2%	Fail
216	Palm Springs Unified School District	\$516,000,000	2008-02-05	Riverside	E	55	20,923	33,647	62.2%	Fail
217	Eureka Unified School District SFID No. 1	\$10,585,000	2002-03-05	Humboldt	S	55	7,598	12,225	62.2%	Fail
218	Wright Elementary School District	\$14,000,000	2012-06-05	Sonoma	M	55	1,913	3,078	62.2%	Fail
219	Rio Linda Union School District (Now Twin Rivers USD)	\$38,000,000	2006-11-07	Sacramento	P	55	11,752	18,915	62.1%	Fail
220	Santa Maria-Bonita School District	\$45,000,000	2014-11-04	Santa Barbara/SLO	T	55	8,085	13,021	62.1%	Fail
221	Torrance Unified School District	\$50,000,000	2014-11-04	Los Angeles	U	55	19,877	32,015	62.1%	Fail
222	Bonita Unified School District	\$56,360,000	2004-03-02	Los Angeles	C	55	8,841	14,243	62.1%	Fail
223	Beaumont Unified School District	\$125,000,000	2008-11-04	Riverside/San Bernardino	Z	55	9,029	14,552	62.0%	Fail
224	Dublin Unified School District	\$99,000,000	2012-06-05	Alameda	E	55	4,205	6,780	62.0%	Fail
225	Alvord Unified School District	\$196,000,000	2007-11-06	Riverside	H	55	3,437	5,542	62.0%	Fail
226	Grossmont Union High School District	\$274,000,000	2004-03-02	San Diego	H	55	61,406	99,029	62.0%	Fail
227	Natomas Unified School District	\$145,500,000	2006-06-06	Sacramento	D	55	4,358	7,029	62.0%	Fail
228	College School District	\$9,370,000	2004-11-02	Santa Barbara	Z	55	2,028	3,271	62.0%	Fail
229	Big Pine Unified School District	\$4,100,000	2010-06-08	Inyo	A	55	360	581	62.0%	Fail
230	Vacaville Unified School District	\$194,000,000	2014-11-04	Solano	A	55	12,095	19,524	61.9%	Fail
231	San Mateo Union High School District	\$186,000,000	2010-11-02	San Mateo	O	55	43,251	69,854	61.9%	Fail
232	Val Verde Unified School District	\$178,000,000	2012-06-05	Riverside	L	55	2,758	4,455	61.9%	Fail
233	Mark Twain Union Elementary School District	\$9,600,000	2004-03-02	Calaveras	K	55	1,786	2,885	61.9%	Fail
234	Hawthorne Elementary School District	\$20,000,000	2008-06-03	Los Angeles	H	55	2,481	4,009	61.9%	Fail
235	Downey Unified School District	\$248,000,000	2014-11-04	Los Angeles	O	55	10,093	16,314	61.9%	Fail
236	Wheatland School District	\$9,000,000	2012-11-06	Yuba	U	55	2,250	3,637	61.9%	Fail
237	Chino Valley Unified School District	\$150,000,000	2002-03-05	San Bernardino	M	55	10,191	16,478	61.8%	Fail
238	Peninsula Union School District	\$600,000	2001-11-06	Humboldt	R	55	47	76	61.8%	Fail
239	Simi Valley Unified School District	\$145,000,000	2004-03-02	Ventura	C	55	16,118	26,079	61.8%	Fail
240	Woodlake Union High School District	\$4,500,000	2008-02-05	Tulare	C	55	1,592	2,576	61.8%	Fail
241	San Diego Unified School District	\$2,800,000,000	2012-11-06	San Diego	Z	55	218,242	353,169	61.8%	Fail
242	Burbank Unified School District	\$110,000,000	2013-03-05	Los Angeles	S	55	4,663	7,547	61.8%	Fail
243	Gateway Unified School District	\$22,000,000	2002-03-05	Shasta	B	55	3,623	5,864	61.8%	Fail

## All California Educational Bond Measures With 55% Threshold Approved by Voters Since November 2000 - But Would Have Failed Under Two-Thirds Threshold

Rank	Educational District	Amount Proposed to Borrow	Year-Month-Day of Election	Counties	Measure	% Needed to Pass	Yes	Total	Passage	Two-Thirds Outcome
244	Pollock Pines Elementary School District	\$9,000,000	2012-06-05	El Dorado	K	55	1,606	2,601	61.7%	Fail
245	Los Rios Community College District	\$265,000,000	2002-03-05	El Dorado/Placer/Sacramento/Yolo/Solano	A	55	132,952	215,359	61.7%	Fail
246	Buellton Union School District	\$6,500,000	2004-03-02	Santa Barbara	U	55	1,176	1,905	61.7%	Fail
247	Cutler-Orosi Joint Unified School District	\$6,400,000	2004-03-02	Fresno/Tulare	O	55	687	1,113	61.7%	Fail
248	Corona-Norco Unified School District	\$250,000,000	2006-11-07	Riverside	U	55	24,101	39,068	61.7%	Fail
249	Western Placer Unified School District	\$60,000,000	2014-11-04	Placer	A	55	10,742	17,418	61.7%	Fail
250	Atascadero Unified School District	<b>Reauthorize \$58,000,000</b>	2014-11-04	San Luis Obispo	B	55	7,102	11,517	61.7%	Fail
251	Solvang School District	\$11,650,000	2006-06-06	Santa Barbara	J	55	1,148	1,863	61.6%	Fail
252	Rim of the World Unified School District	\$23,340,000	2008-11-04	San Bernardino	W	55	8,116	13,171	61.6%	Fail
253	Cabrillo Community College District	\$118,500,000	2004-03-02	Santa Cruz/Monterey/San Benito	D	55	44,542	72,295	61.6%	Fail
254	Encinitas Union School District	\$44,200,000	2010-11-02	San Diego	P	55	17,989	29,200	61.6%	Fail
255	El Camino Community College District	\$394,516,464	2002-11-05	Los Angeles	E	55	68,095	110,541	61.6%	Fail
256	Novato Unified School District	\$107,000,000	2001-11-06	Marin	A	55	8,419	13,668	61.6%	Fail
257	Manhattan Beach Unified School District	\$67,480,000	2008-11-04	Los Angeles	BB	55	12,191	19,801	61.6%	Fail
258	Victor Valley Community College District	\$297,500,000	2008-11-04	San Bernardino/Los Angeles	JJ	55	63,581	103,301	61.5%	Fail
259	Fullerton School District	\$49,700,000	2002-03-05	Orange	CC	55	11,710	19,026	61.5%	Fail
260	San Ramon Valley Unified School District	\$260,000,000	2012-11-06	Contra Costa	D	55	37,380	60,774	61.5%	Fail
261	Los Olivos School District	\$4,650,000	2006-11-07	Santa Barbara	N	55	469	763	61.5%	Fail
262	Santa Monica Community College District	\$295,000,000	2008-11-04	Los Angeles	AA	55	30,232	49,185	61.5%	Fail
263	San Bernardino City Unified School District	\$140,000,000	2004-03-02	San Bernardino	T	55	14,282	23,247	61.4%	Fail
264	Nevada Joint Union High School District	\$15,000,000	2002-03-05	Nevada	A	55	16,397	26,704	61.4%	Fail
265	Center Joint Unified School District	\$500,000,000	2008-11-04	Sacramento/Placer	N	55	5,082	8,278	61.4%	Fail
266	Healdsburg Unified School District	\$35,000,000	2012-06-05	Sonoma	E	55	2,790	4,546	61.4%	Fail
267	Roseville City School District	\$29,117,071	2002-11-05	Placer	H	55	11,174	18,219	61.3%	Fail
268	Willits Unified School District	\$43,000,000	2010-06-08	Mendocino	B	55	2,211	3,606	61.3%	Fail
269	Merced River School District	\$1,800,000	2014-06-03	Merced	L	55	95	155	61.3%	Fail
270	Chatom Union Elementary School District	\$5,000,000	2006-11-07	Stanislaus	U	55	617	1,007	61.3%	Fail
271	Fortuna Union High School District	\$10,000,000	2012-11-06	Humboldt	D	55	5,072	8,278	61.3%	Fail
272	La Habra City School District	\$31,000,000	2012-11-06	Orange	O	55	8,874	14,489	61.2%	Fail
273	Walnut Valley Unified School District	\$64,600,000	2007-11-06	Los Angeles	S	55	4,159	6,797	61.2%	Fail
274	Golden Plains Unified School District	\$13,000,000	2014-11-04	Fresno	G	55	402	657	61.2%	Fail
275	Mesa Union Elementary School District	\$4,000,000	2008-06-03	Ventura	A	55	506	827	61.2%	Fail
276	Fremont Unified School District	\$650,000,000	2014-06-03	Alameda	E	55	15,971	26,106	61.2%	Fail
277	Cinnabar Elementary School District	\$2,500,000	2014-11-04	Sonoma	J	55	494	808	61.1%	Fail
278	Napa Valley Community College District	\$133,800,000	2002-11-05	Napa/Sonoma	N	55	21,699	35,502	61.1%	Fail
279	Bradley Union School District	\$1,100,000	2011-11-08	Monterey	T	55	33	54	61.1%	Fail
280	Sierra Sands Unified School District	\$50,500,000	2006-06-06	Kern/San Bernardino	A	55	4,710	7,710	61.1%	Fail
281	North Monterey County Unified School District	\$23,800,000	2013-11-05	Monterey	H	55	2,453	4,019	61.0%	Fail
282	Central Unified School District	\$40,000,000	2004-03-02	Fresno	K	55	5,174	8,494	60.9%	Fail
283	Mt. Diablo Unified School District	\$348,000,000	2010-06-08	Contra Costa	C	55	32,152	52,814	60.9%	Fail
284	Chualar Union Elementary School District	\$1,000,000	2004-03-02	Monterey	A	55	182	299	60.9%	Fail

## All California Educational Bond Measures With 55% Threshold Approved by Voters Since November 2000 - But Would Have Failed Under Two-Thirds Threshold

Rank	Educational District	Amount Proposed to Borrow	Year-Month-Day of Election	Counties	Measure	% Needed to Pass	Yes	Total	Passage	Two-Thirds Outcome
285	Yosemite Community College District	\$326,174,000	2004-11-02	Stanislaus/San Joaquin/Merced/Calaveras/	E	55	109,315	179,656	60.8%	Fail
286	Huntington Beach City Elementary School District	\$30,000,000	2002-03-05	Orange	DD	55	11,913	19,584	60.8%	Fail
287	Bonita Unified School District	\$83,560,000	2008-11-04	Los Angeles	AB	55	17,218	28,308	60.8%	Fail
288	Greenfield Union School District	\$10,000,000	2014-11-04	Monterey	D	55	987	1,623	60.8%	Fail
289	Jurupa Unified School District	\$144,000,000	2014-11-04	Riverside	EE	55	5,824	9,578	60.8%	Fail
290	St. Helena Unified School District	\$30,000,000	2010-11-02	Napa	B	55	2,545	4,186	60.8%	Fail
291	Lodi Unified School District	\$114,000,000	2006-11-07	San Joaquin	L	55	9,225	15,176	60.8%	Fail
292	Perris Union High School District	\$153,420,000	2012-11-06	Riverside	T	55	24,229	39,886	60.7%	Fail
293	Napa Valley Unified School District	\$183,000,000	2006-11-07	Napa	G	55	20,831	34,315	60.7%	Fail
294	William S. Hart Union High School District	\$300,000,000	2008-11-04	Los Angeles	SA	55	59,287	97,816	60.6%	Fail
295	Beardsley School District	\$10,300,000	2006-06-06	Kern	B	55	1,444	2,383	60.6%	Fail
296	Riverside Community College District	\$350,000,000	2004-03-02	Riverside	C	55	61,923	102,205	60.6%	Fail
297	Madera Unified School District	\$32,500,000	2006-11-07	Madera	U	55	6,812	11,244	60.6%	Fail
298	Salinas Union High School District	\$52,000,000	2002-03-05	Monterey	F	55	12,746	21,111	60.4%	Fail
299	Paso Robles Joint Unified School District	\$20,000,000	2006-11-07	San Luis Obispo/Monterey	T	55	8,753	14,498	60.4%	Fail
300	Julian Union High School District	\$2,100,000	2010-11-02	San Diego	L	55	1,259	2,086	60.4%	Fail
301	Lost Hills Union School District	\$6,200,000	2005-11-08	Kern	G	55	35	58	60.3%	Fail
302	Fullerton Joint Union High School District	\$67,940,000	2002-03-05	Orange/Los Angeles	AA	55	21,974	36,437	60.3%	Fail
303	Salinas Union High School District	\$128,000,000	2014-11-04	Monterey	B	55	14,730	24,428	60.3%	Fail
304	Grossmont-Cuyamaca Community College District	\$207,000,000	2002-11-05	San Diego	R	55	60,622	100,551	60.3%	Fail
305	Upland Unified School District	\$103,000,000	2008-02-05	San Bernardino	K	55	11,785	19,554	60.3%	Fail
306	San Lorenzo Valley Unified School District	\$18,900,000	2008-02-05	Santa Cruz	O	55	6,298	10,452	60.3%	Fail
307	Tahoe Truckee Unified School District SFID No. 2	\$62,000,000	2014-11-04	El Dorado/Placer	E	55	2,074	3,442	60.3%	Fail
308	San Juan Unified School District	\$350,000,000	2012-11-06	Sacramento	N	55	76,052	126,333	60.2%	Fail
309	Tustin Unified School District	\$135,000,000	2012-11-06	Orange	S	55	25,220	41,949	60.1%	Fail
310	Oak Park Unified School District	\$17,500,000	2006-06-06	Ventura	C	55	2,030	3,378	60.1%	Fail
311	Fairfield-Suisun Unified School District	\$100,000,000	2002-03-05	Solano	C	55	11,288	18,784	60.1%	Fail
312	West Valley-Mission Community College District	\$235,000,000	2004-11-02	Santa Clara/Santa Cruz	H	55	79,524	132,394	60.1%	Fail
313	Duarte Unified School District	\$62,000,000	2010-11-02	Los Angeles	E	55	4,198	7,008	59.9%	Fail
314	Bret Harte Union High School District	\$18,000,000	2008-11-04	Calaveras	K	55	6,167	10,295	59.9%	Fail
315	Roseville Joint Union High School District	\$79,000,000	2004-11-02	Placer/Sacramento	J	55	35,814	59,793	59.9%	Fail
316	Kings Canyon Unified School District	\$32,000,000	2006-11-07	Fresno/Tulare	K	55	5,029	8,400	59.9%	Fail
317	Calipatria Unified School District	\$9,000,000	2013-11-05	Imperial	T	55	422	705	59.9%	Fail
318	West Valley-Mission Community College District	\$350,000,000	2012-06-05	Santa Clara/Santa Cruz	C	55	42,498	71,020	59.8%	Fail
319	Oroville Union High School District	\$10,400,000	2002-11-05	Butte	J	55	6,809	11,379	59.8%	Fail
320	Pacific Grove Unified School District	\$18,000,000	2014-11-04	Monterey	A	55	4,020	6,724	59.8%	Fail
321	Tipton Elementary School District	\$3,300,000	2014-11-04	Tulare	C	55	211	353	59.8%	Fail
322	Cold Spring School District	\$2,440,000	2008-11-04	Santa Barbara	C	55	987	1,653	59.7%	Fail
323	Sierra Joint Community College District SFID No. 2	\$44,400,000	2004-11-02	Nevada	G	55	24,877	41,666	59.7%	Fail
324	Yuba City Unified School District	\$25,670,000	2004-11-02	Sutter	K	55	9,383	15,729	59.7%	Fail
325	Greenfield Union School District	\$8,300,000	2010-06-08	Monterey	L	55	761	1,276	59.6%	Fail

## All California Educational Bond Measures With 55% Threshold Approved by Voters Since November 2000 - But Would Have Failed Under Two-Thirds Threshold

Rank	Educational District	Amount Proposed to Borrow	Year-Month-Day of Election	Counties	Measure	% Needed to Pass	Yes	Total	Passage	Two-Thirds Outcome
326	St. Helena Unified School District	\$30,000,000	2012-11-06	Napa	C	55	2,782	4,669	59.6%	Fail
327	Lincoln Unified School District	\$48,500,000	2012-06-05	San Joaquin	M	55	5,653	9,488	59.6%	Fail
328	Turlock Unified School District SFID No. 1	\$9,900,000	2006-11-07	Stanislaus/Merced	Z	55	8,570	14,405	59.5%	Fail
329	Northern Humboldt Union High School District	\$25,800,000	2010-11-02	Humboldt	Q	55	10,386	17,465	59.5%	Fail
330	Elverta Elementary School District	\$10,500,000	2002-11-05	Sacramento/Placer	L	55	512	861	59.5%	Fail
331	Lake Tahoe Unified School District	\$64,500,000	2008-11-04	El Dorado	G	55	6,715	11,301	59.4%	Fail
332	Needles Unified School District	\$10,900,000	2008-06-03	San Bernardino	N	55	623	1,049	59.4%	Fail
333	Mark West Union School District	\$14,000,000	2010-06-08	Sonoma	C	55	2,372	3,994	59.4%	Fail
334	Savanna Elementary School District	\$28,750,000	2012-06-05	Orange	G	55	1,715	2,888	59.4%	Fail
335	College of the Sequoias	\$22,000,000	2006-11-07	Fresno/Kings/Tulare	C	55	8,279	13,948	59.4%	Fail
336	Napa Valley Unified School District	\$95,000,000	2002-11-05	Napa	M	55	17,183	28,953	59.3%	Fail
337	Menifee Union School District	\$14,500,000	2002-11-05	Riverside	J	55	6,853	11,568	59.2%	Fail
338	Manchester Union Elementary School District	\$1,310,000	2004-11-02	Mendocino	O	55	151	255	59.2%	Fail
339	El Centro Elementary School District	\$8,000,000	2003-11-04	Imperial	G	55	1,493	2,522	59.2%	Fail
340	Shasta-Tehama-Trinity Joint Community College District	\$34,000,000	2002-03-05	Humboldt/Lassen/Modoc/Shasta/Tehama/Trinity	A	55	33,076	55,884	59.2%	Fail
341	Oroville Union High School District	\$12,000,000	2008-11-04	Butte	G	55	10,978	18,552	59.2%	Fail
342	Saddleback Valley Unified School District	\$180,000,000	2004-03-02	Orange	B	55	31,024	52,448	59.2%	Fail
343	Hart-Ransom Union School District	\$3,900,000	2007-11-06	Stanislaus	G	55	388	656	59.1%	Fail
344	Anaheim Union High School District	\$249,000,000	2014-11-04	Orange	H	55	30,238	51,177	59.1%	Fail
345	Rohnerville School District (in Fortuna Elementary School District)	\$5,000,000	2010-06-08	Humboldt	M	55	934	1,581	59.1%	Fail
346	Kern Community College District	\$180,000,000	2002-11-05	Kern/San Bernardino	G	55	79,076	133,870	59.1%	Fail
347	Manteca Unified School District	\$159,000,000	2014-11-04	San Joaquin	G	55	11,535	19,535	59.0%	Fail
348	Escondido Union High School District	\$98,000,000	2008-11-04	San Diego	T	55	30,006	50,836	59.0%	Fail
349	Chabot-Las Positas Community College District	\$498,000,000	2004-03-02	Alameda/Contra Costa	B	55	65,195	110,593	59.0%	Fail
350	Springville Union Elementary School District	\$4,000,000	2014-06-03	Tulare	J	55	724	1,229	58.9%	Fail
351	Sulphur Springs Union Elementary School District	\$72,000,000	2012-06-05	Los Angeles	CK	55	4,394	7,465	58.9%	Fail
352	Mt. San Jacinto Community College District	\$295,000,000	2014-11-04	Riverside	AA	55	76,993	130,897	58.8%	Fail
353	West Hills Community College District SFID No. 3	<b>Reauthorize \$12,655,000</b>	2012-11-06	Fresno/Monterey/San Benito/Kings/Madera	L	55	5,946	10,109	58.8%	Fail
354	San Jose-Evergreen Community College District	\$268,000,000	2010-11-02	Santa Clara	G	55	111,032	188,791	58.8%	Fail
355	Santa Maria Joint Union High School District	\$79,000,000	2004-11-02	Santa Barbara	C	55	22,527	38,345	58.7%	Fail
356	Merced City Elementary School District	\$26,500,000	2003-11-04	Merced	S	55	4,710	8,021	58.7%	Fail
357	San Ramon Valley Unified School District	\$260,000,000	2002-11-05	Contra Costa	A	55	23,655	40,330	58.7%	Fail
358	Lindsay Unified School District	\$16,000,000	2012-11-06	Tulare	L	55	1,468	2,503	58.6%	Fail
359	Fullerton Joint Union High School District	\$175,000,000	2014-11-04	Orange/Los Angeles	I	55	25,415	43,353	58.6%	Fail
360	Apple Valley Unified School District	\$36,000,000	2004-03-02	San Bernardino	S	55	8,818	15,051	58.6%	Fail
361	Lakeside Union School District	<b>Reauthorize \$31,000,000</b>	2014-11-04	San Diego	L	55	5,640	9,630	58.6%	Fail
362	Tustin Unified School District	\$95,000,000	2008-11-04	Orange	L	55	18,304	31,266	58.5%	Fail
363	Santee Elementary School District	\$60,000,000	2006-11-07	San Diego	R	55	9,742	16,649	58.5%	Fail
364	Mt. San Antonio Community College District	\$221,000,000	2001-11-06	Los Angeles	R	55	20,315	34,727	58.5%	Fail
365	Eastern Sierra Unified School District	\$11,100,000	2004-11-02	Mono	E	55	1,067	1,824	58.5%	Fail
366	Millbrae School District	\$30,000,000	2011-11-08	San Mateo	N	55	2,550	4,361	58.5%	Fail

## All California Educational Bond Measures With 55% Threshold Approved by Voters Since November 2000 - But Would Have Failed Under Two-Thirds Threshold

Rank	Educational District	Amount Proposed to Borrow	Year-Month-Day of Election	Counties	Measure	% Needed to Pass	Yes	Total	Passage	Two-Thirds Outcome
367	Aromas-San Juan Unified School District	\$9,700,000	2010-11-02	Monterey/San Benito	Z	55	1,822	3,116	58.5%	Fail
368	Pierce Joint Unified School District	\$6,000,000	2002-03-05	Colusa	A	55	529	905	58.5%	Fail
369	Byron Union School District	\$19,700,000	2006-06-06	Contra Costa	C	55	1,617	2,767	58.4%	Fail
370	Santa Monica Community College District	\$135,000,000	2004-11-02	Los Angeles	S	55	27,472	47,028	58.4%	Fail
371	Dehesa School District	\$5,500,000	2010-11-02	San Diego	M	55	507	868	58.4%	Fail
372	Saugus Union School District	\$148,000,000	2014-11-04	Los Angeles	EE	55	11,878	20,351	58.4%	Fail
373	Madera Unified School District	\$70,000,000	2014-11-04	Madera	G	55	5,894	10,113	58.3%	Fail
374	Ripon Unified School District	\$10,000,000	2002-03-05	San Joaquin	J	55	2,060	3,535	58.3%	Fail
375	Kingsburg Joint Union High School District	\$9,900,000	2006-06-06	Fresno/Kings/Tulare	K	55	1,688	2,897	58.3%	Fail
376	Cajon Valley Union School District	<b>Reauthorize \$88,400,000</b>	2012-11-06	San Diego	C	55	29,048	49,882	58.2%	Fail
377	Hemet Unified School District	\$149,000,000	2006-11-07	Riverside	T	55	17,757	30,493	58.2%	Fail
378	Grossmont-Cuyamaca Community College District	\$398,000,000	2012-11-06	San Diego	V	55	95,802	164,554	58.2%	Fail
379	Campbell Union High School District	\$90,000,000	2006-11-07	Santa Clara	G	55	32,505	55,855	58.2%	Fail
380	Golden Valley Unified School District	\$70,000,000	2006-06-06	Madera	S	55	1,438	2,472	58.2%	Fail
381	Escondido Union School District	\$46,300,000	2002-03-05	San Diego	K	55	11,635	20,003	58.2%	Fail
382	Piedmont Unified School District	\$56,000,000	2006-03-07	Alameda	E	55	2,497	4,294	58.2%	Fail
383	Glendale Community College District	\$98,000,000	2002-03-05	Los Angeles	G	55	14,245	24,507	58.1%	Fail
384	West Kern Community College District	\$39,800,000	2004-03-02	Kern	A	55	2,039	3,509	58.1%	Fail
385	Kernville Union School District	\$6,000,000	2008-06-03	Kern	D	55	1,778	3,060	58.1%	Fail
386	Huntington Beach Union High School District	\$238,000,000	2004-03-02	Orange	C	55	42,469	73,151	58.1%	Fail
387	Buellton Union School District	\$3,200,000	2012-06-05	Santa Barbara	V	55	278	479	58.0%	Fail
388	Chaffey Community College	\$230,000,000	2002-03-05	San Bernardino	L	55	33,737	58,195	58.0%	Fail
389	Banning Unified School District	\$63,000,000	2006-11-07	Riverside	R	55	2,635	4,546	58.0%	Fail
390	Banning Unified School District	\$63,000,000	2006-06-06	Riverside	R	55	2,635	4,546	58.0%	Fail
391	Murieta Valley Unified School District	\$98,000,000	2014-11-04	Riverside	BB	55	11,278	19,462	57.9%	Fail
392	Los Rios Community College District	\$475,000,000	2008-11-04	El Dorado/Placer/ Sacramento/Solano/Yolo	M	55	328,240	566,450	57.9%	Fail
393	Palomar Community College	\$694,000,000	2006-11-07	San Diego	M	55	103,380	178,543	57.9%	Fail
394	Perris Union High School District	\$46,000,000	2004-11-02	Riverside	Z	55	18,871	32,594	57.9%	Fail
395	Templeton Unified School District	\$35,000,000	2012-11-06	San Luis Obispo	H	55	3,017	5,213	57.9%	Fail
396	Citrus Community College District	\$121,000,000	2004-03-02	Los Angeles	G	55	21,639	37,418	57.8%	Fail
397	Santa Rita Union Elementary School District	\$14,600,000	2006-11-07	Monterey	C	55	2,161	3,737	57.8%	Fail
398	West Hills Community College District	\$20,000,000	2014-11-04	Fresno/Monterey/San Benito/Kings/Madera	T	55	6,682	11,557	57.8%	Fail
399	Lake Tahoe Community College District	\$55,000,000	2014-11-04	El Dorado	F	55	3,784	6,547	57.8%	Fail
400	Fallbrook Union Elementary School District	\$32,000,000	2002-11-05	San Diego	X	55	6,199	10,731	57.8%	Fail
401	Cerritos Community College District	\$210,000,000	2004-03-02	Los Angeles	CC	55	29,336	50,836	57.7%	Fail
402	Gateway Unified School District	\$19,000,000	2008-11-04	Shasta	G	55	7,152	12,396	57.7%	Fail
403	Siskiyou Union High School District	\$12,500,000	2008-11-04	Siskiyou	Q	55	4,418	7,659	57.7%	Fail
404	Ripon Unified School District	\$25,236,190	2012-11-06	San Joaquin	G	55	4,264	7,395	57.7%	Fail
405	Tahoe Truckee Unified School District	\$30,450,000	2002-11-05	El Dorado/Placer	J	55	2,076	3,603	57.6%	Fail
406	Corning Union School District	\$2,700,000	2002-03-05	Tehama	B	55	1,358	2,357	57.6%	Fail
407	Del Norte County School District	\$24,987,000	2008-11-04	Del Norte	A	55	5,338	9,265	57.6%	Fail

## All California Educational Bond Measures With 55% Threshold Approved by Voters Since November 2000 - But Would Have Failed Under Two-Thirds Threshold

Rank	Educational District	Amount Proposed to Borrow	Year-Month-Day of Election	Counties	Measure	% Needed to Pass	Yes	Total	Passage	Two-Thirds Outcome
408	Contra Costa Community College District	\$450,000,000	2014-06-03	Contra Costa	E	55	82,464	143,168	57.6%	Fail
409	Allan Hancock Community College District	\$180,000,000	2006-06-06	Santa Barbara/Ventura	I	55	22,996	39,989	57.5%	Fail
410	Shasta Union High School District	\$31,000,000	2001-11-06	Shasta	B	55	8,590	14,949	57.5%	Fail
411	Poway Unified School District	\$198,000,000	2002-11-05	San Diego	U	55	21,958	38,252	57.4%	Fail
412	Los Altos School District	\$150,000,000	2014-11-04	Santa Clara	N	55	8,671	15,106	57.4%	Fail
413	Eureka Unified School District	\$49,750,000	2014-11-04	Humboldt	S	55	8,083	14,084	57.4%	Fail
414	Norwalk-La Mirada Unified School District	\$375,000,000	2014-11-04	Los Angeles	G	55	8,940	15,578	57.4%	Fail
415	Thermalito Union School District	\$2,050,000	2004-11-02	Butte	M	55	1,721	2,999	57.4%	Fail
416	Murieta Valley Unified School District	\$120,000,000	2006-06-06	Riverside	E	55	6,327	11,026	57.4%	Fail
417	Lynwood Unified School District	\$93,000,000	2012-11-06	Los Angeles	K	55	7,719	13,452	57.4%	Fail
418	Marysville Joint Unified School District	\$37,000,000	2006-06-06	Yuba/Butte	H	55	5,050	8,809	57.3%	Fail
419	Enterprise Elementary School District	\$34,000,000	2008-02-05	Shasta	A	55	4,340	7,574	57.3%	Fail
420	Merced City Elementary School District	\$60,000,000	2014-06-03	Merced	M	55	5,277	9,217	57.3%	Fail
421	Antelope Valley Joint Union High School District	\$103,600,000	2002-03-05	Los Angeles	V	55	19,029	33,254	57.2%	Fail
422	Contra Costa Community College District	\$286,500,000	2006-06-06	Contra Costa	A	55	99,077	173,269	57.2%	Fail
423	Coast Community College District	\$698,000,000	2012-11-06	Orange	M	55	134,619	235,462	57.2%	Fail
424	Anderson Union High School District	\$12,300,000	2012-11-06	Shasta	C	55	8,574	15,008	57.1%	Fail
425	Lodi Unified School District	\$109,300,000	2002-03-05	San Joaquin	K	55	15,881	27,827	57.1%	Fail
426	Southern Kern Unified School District	\$28,400,000	2014-11-04	Kern	D	55	1,874	3,284	57.1%	Fail
427	Southern Trinity Unified School District	\$2,300,000	2012-06-05	Humboldt/Trinity	V	55	170	298	57.0%	Fail
428	Corona-Norco Unified School District	\$396,000,000	2014-11-04	Riverside	GG	55	20,876	36,610	57.0%	Fail
429	Oak Park Unified School District	\$29,445,000	2008-11-04	Ventura	R	55	3,966	6,957	57.0%	Fail
430	Grant Joint Union High School District (Now Twin Rivers USD)	\$74,000,000	2002-03-05	Sacramento/Placer	E	55	10,435	18,312	57.0%	Fail
431	Chawanakee Unified School District	\$9,000,000	2005-11-08	Madera	Q	55	1,126	1,976	57.0%	Fail
432	Escondido Union School District	\$182,100,000	2014-11-04	San Diego	E	55	18,615	32,687	56.9%	Fail
433	Morongo Unified School District	\$48,150,000	2005-11-08	San Bernardino	O	55	6,563	11,527	56.9%	Fail
434	McCabe Union Elementary School District	\$7,000,000	2014-11-04	Imperial	G	55	780	1,370	56.9%	Fail
435	Placentia-Yorba Linda Unified School District	\$200,000,000	2008-02-05	Orange	A	55	25,764	45,272	56.9%	Fail
436	Sylvan Union School District	\$40,000,000	2006-11-07	Stanislaus	A	55	9,402	16,526	56.9%	Fail
437	Walnut Valley Unified School District	\$15,200,000	2007-11-06	Los Angeles	Y	55	3,859	6,784	56.9%	Fail
438	West Contra Costa Unified School District	\$400,000,000	2005-11-08	Contra Costa	J	55	30,013	52,789	56.9%	Fail
439	Barstow Unified School District	\$14,000,000	2001-11-06	San Bernardino	C	55	2,492	4,386	56.8%	Fail
440	Old Adobe Union School District	\$26,000,000	2012-06-05	Sonoma	G	55	3,391	5,969	56.8%	Fail
441	Menifee Union School District	\$31,460,000	2008-02-05	Riverside	B	55	9,561	16,850	56.7%	Fail
442	Grossmont Union High School District	\$417,000,000	2008-11-04	San Diego	U	55	97,337	171,811	56.7%	Fail
443	Columbia School District	\$8,600,000	2014-11-04	Shasta	E	55	1,984	3,502	56.7%	Fail
444	Yuba Community College District	\$190,000,000	2006-11-07	Yuba/Sutter	J	55	37,608	66,429	56.6%	Fail
445	Dry Creek Elementary School District	\$67,300,000	2008-02-05	Placer/Sacramento	E	55	8,282	14,634	56.6%	Fail
446	Cabrillo Unified School District	\$81,000,000	2012-06-05	San Mateo	S	55	3,803	6,730	56.5%	Fail
447	Sonora Union High School District	\$23,000,000	2012-11-06	Tuolumne	J	55	9,171	16,234	56.5%	Fail
448	State Center Community College District	\$161,000,000	2002-11-05	Fresno/Kings/Tulare/Madera	E	55	90,285	159,849	56.5%	Fail

## All California Educational Bond Measures With 55% Threshold Approved by Voters Since November 2000 - But Would Have Failed Under Two-Thirds Threshold

Rank	Educational District	Amount Proposed to Borrow	Year-Month-Day of Election	Counties	Measure	% Needed to Pass	Yes	Total	Passage	Two-Thirds Outcome
449	Orland Joint Unified School District	\$21,900,000	2008-02-05	Glenn	K	55	2,093	3,710	56.4%	Fail
450	Kings River Union Elementary School District	\$850,000	2006-06-06	Tulare	N	55	163	289	56.4%	Fail
451	Nuview Union School District	\$39,600,000	2006-06-06	Riverside	D	55	622	1,103	56.4%	Fail
452	Owens Valley Unified School District	\$2,600,000	2005-11-08	Inyo	A	55	196	348	56.3%	Fail
453	Ohlone Community College District	\$150,000,000	2002-03-05	Alameda	A	55	16,111	28,617	56.3%	Fail
454	Fruitvale School District	\$29,800,000	2006-06-06	Kern	C	55	2,257	4,009	56.3%	Fail
455	San Benito High School District	\$42,500,000	2014-06-03	San Benito/Santa Clara	G	55	3,524	6,260	56.3%	Fail
456	Azusa Unified School District	\$92,000,000	2014-11-04	Los Angeles	K	55	3,983	7,082	56.2%	Fail
457	El Dorado Union High School District	\$66,300,000	2008-06-03	El Dorado	Q	55	19,536	34,740	56.2%	Fail
458	Columbia Union Elementary School District	\$3,700,000	2004-03-02	Tuolumne	H	55	1,351	2,404	56.2%	Fail
459	Newport-Mesa Unified School District	\$282,000,000	2005-11-08	Orange	F	55	26,123	46,524	56.1%	Fail
460	Manteca Unified School District	\$66,000,000	2004-03-02	San Joaquin	M	55	7,867	14,011	56.1%	Fail
461	Weaver Union School District	\$9,000,000	2012-11-06	Merced	G	55	1,422	2,534	56.1%	Fail
462	El Tejon Unified School District	\$7,120,000	2005-11-08	Kern/Ventura	E	55	1,257	2,240	56.1%	Fail
463	Gold Oak Union School District	\$8,950,000	2008-11-04	El Dorado	E	55	2,326	4,149	56.1%	Fail
464	Le Grand Union High School District	\$4,200,000	2014-06-03	Merced	N	55	401	717	55.9%	Fail
465	Dehesa School District	<b>Reauthorize \$3,000,000</b>	2012-11-06	San Diego	D	55	562	1,005	55.9%	Fail
466	Newark Unified School District	\$63,000,000	2011-11-08	Alameda	G	55	3,286	5,878	55.9%	Fail
467	Taft City School District	\$23,600,000	2012-06-05	Kern	C	55	1,132	2,027	55.8%	Fail
468	Kingsburg Joint Union High School District	\$13,000,000	2014-06-03	Fresno/Kings/Tulare	K	55	1,588	2,844	55.8%	Fail
469	Calaveras Unified School District	\$13,500,000	2006-11-07	Calaveras	A	55	5,241	9,396	55.8%	Fail
470	Linden Unified School District	\$11,500,000	2002-11-05	San Joaquin	S	55	2,099	3,764	55.8%	Fail
471	Acton-Agua Dulce Unified School District	\$13,000,000	2008-11-04	Los Angeles	CF	55	3,486	6,252	55.8%	Fail
472	Grant Elementary School District	\$1,700,000	2004-03-02	Shasta	A	55	671	1,204	55.7%	Fail
473	Merced Community College District SFID No. 1	\$53,500,000	2002-11-05	Merced/Madera	H	55	17,048	30,594	55.7%	Fail
474	Pacheco Union School District	\$11,000,000	2008-11-04	Shasta	B	55	2,534	4,548	55.7%	Fail
475	Cloverdale Unified School District	\$17,000,000	2010-11-02	Sonoma	G	55	2,096	3,769	55.6%	Fail
476	Tahoe Truckee Unified School District SFID No. 1	\$114,000,000	2014-11-04	Nevada/Placer	U	55	3,018	5,433	55.5%	Fail
477	San Dieguito Union High School District	\$449,000,000	2012-11-06	San Diego	AA	55	43,627	78,574	55.5%	Fail
478	McKinleyville Union School District	\$14,000,000	2008-06-03	Humboldt	C	55	1,723	3,108	55.4%	Fail
479	San Joaquin-Delta Community College District	\$250,000,000	2004-03-02	San Joaquin/Sacramento	L	55	62,465	112,744	55.4%	Fail
480	Turlock Unified School District	\$7,725,000	2006-11-07	Stanislaus	Y	55	8,942	16,143	55.4%	Fail
481	Piner-Olivet Union School District	\$20,000,000	2010-11-02	Sonoma	L	55	3,006	5,429	55.4%	Fail
482	Gridley Unified School District ESFID No. 1	\$2,500,000	2012-06-05	Butte	D	55	1,058	1,911	55.4%	Fail
483	Yreka Union High School District	\$8,000,000	2014-11-04	Siskiyou	H	55	2,942	5,316	55.3%	Fail
484	Palmdale Elementary School District	\$25,000,000	2001-11-06	Los Angeles	W	55	3,848	6,971	55.2%	Fail
485	North County Joint Union School District	\$3,350,000	2006-06-06	San Benito/Santa Clara	M	55	458	830	55.2%	Fail
486	Sonora Elementary School District	\$7,800,000	2010-11-02	Tuolumne	H	55	1,867	3,385	55.2%	Fail
487	Norris School District	\$149,000,000	2012-06-05	Kern	B	55	3,017	5,475	55.1%	Fail
488	North Orange County Community College District	\$574,000,000	2014-11-04	Orange/Los Angeles	J	55	84,780	154,118	55.0%	Fail
<b>TOTAL</b>		<b>\$57,628,510,725</b>					<b>9,174,889</b>	<b>14,922,966</b>	<b>61.5%</b>	

# Appendix I

## All California Educational Bond Measures Approved by Voters Since November 2000 Enactment of Proposition 39 - Ranked by Aggregate Debt Service

Rank	Aggregate Debt	Educational District	Amount Authorized to Borrow	Year-Month-Day of Election	Counties	Measure	% Needed to Pass	Yes	Total	Passage
S-3	\$20,191,061,654	Kindergarten-University Public Education Facilities Bond Act of 2006	\$10,416,000,000	2006-11-07	All of California	Prop 1D	50	4,754,868	8,356,923	56.9%
S-2	\$19,535,527,220	Kindergarten-University Public Education Facilities Bond Act of 2004	\$12,300,000,000	2004-03-02	All of California	Prop 55	50	3,239,706	6,370,627	50.9%
S-1	\$16,942,084,821	Kindergarten-University Public Education Facilities Bond Act of 2002	\$13,050,000,000	2002-11-05	All of California	Prop 47	50	4,222,946	7,148,169	59.1%
1	\$16,630,230,000	Los Angeles Unified School District	\$3,870,000,000	2004-03-02	Los Angeles	R	55	335,230	526,258	63.7%
			\$3,985,000,000	2005-11-08	Los Angeles	Y	55	443,905	671,636	66.1%
			\$3,350,000,000	2002-11-05	Los Angeles	K	55	488,849	718,030	68.1%
			\$7,000,000,000	2008-11-04	Los Angeles	Q	55	885,467	1,281,319	69.1%
2	\$7,677,847,839	Los Angeles Community College District	\$3,500,000,000	2008-11-04	Los Angeles	J	55	1,057,738	1,508,687	70.1%
3	\$5,386,598,170	San Diego Unified School District	\$2,800,000,000	2012-11-06	San Diego	Z	55	218,242	353,169	61.8%
			\$2,100,000,000	2008-11-04	San Diego	S	55	261,672	380,808	68.7%
4	\$2,590,426,525	San Diego Community College District	\$685,000,000	2002-11-05	San Diego	S	55	140,577	204,797	68.6%
			\$870,000,000	2006-11-07	San Diego	N	55	149,399	237,005	63.0%
5	\$1,823,863,360	West Contra Costa Unified School District	\$380,000,000	2010-06-08	Contra Costa	D	55	23,014	36,754	62.6%
			\$300,000,000	2002-03-05	Contra Costa	D	55	24,391	33,958	71.8%
			\$400,000,000	2005-11-08	Contra Costa	J	55	30,013	52,789	56.9%
			\$360,000,000	2012-11-06	Contra Costa	E	55	50,266	78,060	64.4%
6	\$1,352,414,905	Oakland Unified School District	\$435,000,000	2006-06-06	Alameda	B	55	62,559	80,231	78.0%
			\$475,000,000	2012-11-06	Alameda	J	55	122,695	145,382	84.4%
7	\$1,328,256,540	Foothill-De Anza Community College District	\$490,800,000	2006-06-06	Santa Clara	C	55	44,924	68,392	65.7%
8	\$1,316,013,363	Chabot-Las Positas Community College District	\$498,000,000	2004-03-02	Alameda/Contra Costa	B	55	65,195	110,593	59.0%
9	\$1,316,013,363	Grant Joint Union High School District (Now Twin Rivers USD)	\$230,000,000	2006-06-06	Sacramento/Placer	G	55	10,477	16,782	62.4%
10	\$1,275,122,944	San Mateo Union High School District	<b>Repurpose \$137,500,000</b>	2002-11-05	San Mateo	C	66.7	40,674	55,573	73.2%
			\$298,000,000	2006-11-07	San Mateo	M	55	42,296	63,640	66.5%
			\$186,000,000	2010-11-02	San Mateo	O	55	43,251	69,854	61.9%
11	\$1,242,287,328	Poway Unified School District SFID 2007-1	\$179,000,000	2008-02-05	San Diego	C	55	28,323	44,318	63.9%
12	\$1,225,944,294	San Bernardino Community College District	\$190,000,000	2002-11-05	San Bernardino/Riverside	P	55	60,487	96,344	62.8%
			\$500,000,000	2008-02-05	San Bernardino/Riverside	M	55	87,405	130,437	67.0%
13	\$1,224,857,399	San Mateo County Community College District	\$207,000,000	2001-11-06	San Mateo	C	55	58,530	89,208	65.6%
			\$388,000,000	2014-11-04	San Mateo	H	55	102,629	154,995	66.2%
			\$468,000,000	2005-11-08	San Mateo	A	55	114,319	178,121	64.2%
14	\$1,148,082,042	San Francisco Unified School District	\$531,000,000	2011-11-08	San Francisco	A	55	134,695	189,445	71.1%
			\$295,000,000	2003-11-04	San Francisco	A	55	139,814	198,068	70.6%
			\$450,000,000	2006-11-07	San Francisco	A	55	171,236	231,876	73.8%
15	\$1,037,173,698	Fresno Unified School District	\$280,000,000	2010-11-02	Fresno	Q	55	51,125	67,522	75.7%
			\$199,000,000	2001-03-06	Fresno	K	66.7	19,864	29,542	67.2%
16	\$1,011,902,207	East Side Union High School District	\$298,000,000	2002-03-05	Santa Clara	G	55	33,345	47,687	69.9%
			\$113,200,000	2014-11-04	Santa Clara	I	55	59,092	87,014	67.9%
			\$349,000,000	2008-02-05	Santa Clara	E	55	68,929	96,559	71.4%
			\$120,000,000	2012-11-06	Santa Clara	M	55	102,531	143,231	71.6%
17	\$970,657,248	Coast Community College District	\$370,000,000	2002-11-05	Orange	C	55	90,198	138,348	65.2%
			\$698,000,000	2012-11-06	Orange	M	55	134,619	235,462	57.2%

## All California Educational Bond Measures Approved by Voters Since November 2000 Enactment of Proposition 39 - Ranked by Aggregate Debt Service

Rank	Aggregate Debt	Educational District	Amount Authorized to Borrow	Year-Month-Day of Election	Counties	Measure	% Needed to Pass	Yes	Total	Passage
18	\$963,927,336	San Jose Unified School District	\$429,000,000	2002-03-05	Santa Clara	F	55	23,248	33,549	69.3%
			\$290,000,000	2012-11-06	Santa Clara	H	55	63,447	88,944	71.3%
19	\$926,189,450	Mt. San Antonio Community College District	\$221,000,000	2001-11-06	Los Angeles	R	55	20,315	34,727	58.5%
			\$353,000,000	2008-11-04	Los Angeles/Orange	RR/CC	55	164,177	234,717	69.9%
20	\$885,640,602	Grossmont Union High School District	\$274,000,000	2004-03-02	San Diego	H	55	61,406	99,029	62.0%
			\$417,000,000	2008-11-04	San Diego	U	55	97,337	171,811	56.7%
21	\$873,228,148	Palomar Community College	\$694,000,000	2006-11-07	San Diego	M	55	103,380	178,543	57.9%
22	\$871,952,518	Long Beach Community College District	\$176,000,000	2002-03-05	Los Angeles	E	55	34,580	53,225	65.0%
			\$440,000,000	2008-02-05	Los Angeles	E	55	79,204	107,261	73.8%
23	\$868,696,587	Stockton Unified School District	\$114,000,000	2014-11-04	San Joaquin	E	55	14,751	21,871	67.4%
			\$120,000,000	2005-11-08	San Joaquin	C	55	18,858	27,167	69.4%
			\$464,500,000	2008-02-05	San Joaquin	Q	55	20,331	29,495	68.9%
			<b>Reauthorize \$156,000,000</b>	2012-11-06	San Joaquin	E	55	31,228	41,954	74.4%
24	\$861,374,033	San Marcos Unified School District	\$287,000,000	2010-11-02	San Diego	K	55	20,082	31,682	63.4%
25	\$838,454,461	Long Beach Unified School District	\$1,200,000,000	2008-11-04	Los Angeles	K	55	123,253	170,892	72.1%
26	\$835,866,713	Desert Community College District	\$346,500,000	2004-03-02	Riverside/Imperial	B	66.7	36,698	53,375	68.8%
27	\$826,873,570	Yosemite Community College District	\$326,174,000	2004-11-02	Stanislaus/San Joaquin/Merced/Calaveras/	E	55	109,315	179,656	60.8%
28	\$820,128,328	Newport-Mesa Unified School District	\$282,000,000	2005-11-08	Orange	F	55	26,123	46,524	56.1%
29	\$810,958,445	William S. Hart Union High School District	\$158,000,000	2001-11-06	Los Angeles	V	55	18,100	25,584	70.7%
			\$300,000,000	2008-11-04	Los Angeles	SA	55	59,287	97,816	60.6%
30	\$798,489,809	Santa Monica Community College District	\$160,000,000	2002-03-05	Los Angeles	U	55	13,540	19,347	70.0%
			\$135,000,000	2004-11-02	Los Angeles	S	55	27,472	47,028	58.4%
			\$295,000,000	2008-11-04	Los Angeles	AA	55	30,232	49,185	61.5%
31	\$796,617,519	Placentia-Yorba Linda Unified School District	\$102,000,000	2002-03-05	Orange	Y	55	18,300	27,735	66.0%
			\$200,000,000	2008-02-05	Orange	A	55	25,764	45,272	56.9%
32	\$777,874,017	Contra Costa Community College District	\$450,000,000	2014-06-03	Contra Costa	E	55	82,464	143,168	57.6%
			\$286,500,000	2006-06-06	Contra Costa	A	55	99,077	173,269	57.2%
			\$120,000,000	2002-03-05	Contra Costa	A	55	112,062	172,726	64.9%
33	\$775,792,331	Santa Ana Unified School District	\$200,000,000	2008-06-03	Orange	G	66.7	8,426	12,244	68.8%
34	\$763,039,971	Escondido Union School District	\$46,300,000	2002-03-05	San Diego	K	55	11,635	20,003	58.2%
			\$182,100,000	2014-11-04	San Diego	E	55	18,615	32,687	56.9%
35	\$738,912,720	San Jose-Evergreen Community College District	\$268,000,000	2010-11-02	Santa Clara	G	55	111,032	188,791	58.8%
			\$185,000,000	2004-11-02	Santa Clara	G	55	141,070	215,731	65.4%
36	\$734,847,585	Mt. Diablo Unified School District	\$250,000,000	2002-03-05	Contra Costa	C	55	31,530	48,112	65.5%
			\$348,000,000	2010-06-08	Contra Costa	C	55	32,152	52,814	60.9%
37	\$693,368,612	Oceanside Unified School District	\$195,000,000	2008-06-03	San Diego	H	55	10,952	15,366	71.3%
38	\$686,174,023	Clovis Unified School District	\$298,000,000	2012-06-05	Fresno	M	55	23,752	36,429	65.2%
			\$168,000,000	2004-03-02	Fresno	A	55	24,836	39,778	62.4%
39	\$679,613,798	Alvord Unified School District	\$196,000,000	2007-11-06	Riverside	H	55	3,437	5,542	62.0%
			<b>Reauthorize \$79,000,000</b>	2012-11-06	Riverside	W	55	12,911	20,602	62.7%
40	\$679,484,100	Sequoia Union High School District	\$88,000,000	2001-11-06	San Mateo	G	55	23,927	35,083	68.2%
			\$265,000,000	2014-06-03	San Mateo	A	55	22,995	35,047	65.6%
			\$165,000,000	2008-02-05	San Mateo	L	55	28,285	37,391	75.6%
			\$70,000,000	2004-11-02	San Mateo	H	55	63,187	91,162	69.3%

## All California Educational Bond Measures Approved by Voters Since November 2000 Enactment of Proposition 39 - Ranked by Aggregate Debt Service

Rank	Aggregate Debt	Educational District	Amount Authorized to Borrow	Year-Month-Day of Election	Counties	Measure	% Needed to Pass	Yes	Total	Passage
41	\$642,787,515	Santa Clara Unified School District	\$419,000,000	2014-11-04	Santa Clara	H	55	18,958	27,320	69.4%
			\$81,100,000	2010-11-02	Santa Clara	H	55	21,839	33,890	64.4%
			\$315,000,000	2004-11-02	Santa Clara	J	55	27,343	37,953	72.0%
42	\$638,935,579	El Camino Community College District	\$394,516,464	2002-11-05	Los Angeles	E	55	68,095	110,541	61.6%
			\$350,000,000	2012-11-06	Los Angeles	E	55	129,939	190,356	68.3%
43	\$631,314,231	Napa Valley Unified School District	\$95,000,000	2002-11-05	Napa	M	55	17,183	28,953	59.3%
			\$183,000,000	2006-11-07	Napa	G	55	20,831	34,315	60.7%
44	\$629,269,235	Sweetwater Union High School District	\$644,000,000	2006-11-07	San Diego	O	55	48,916	72,502	67.5%
45	\$610,206,907	Corona-Norco Unified School District	\$396,000,000	2014-11-04	Riverside	GG	55	20,876	36,610	57.0%
			\$250,000,000	2006-11-07	Riverside	U	55	24,101	39,068	61.7%
46	\$609,746,527	Peralta Community College District	\$390,000,000	2006-06-06	Alameda	A	55	97,685	129,106	75.7%
47	\$586,462,596	Escondido Union High School District	\$98,000,000	2008-11-04	San Diego	T	55	30,006	50,836	59.0%
48	\$585,151,849	Hartnell Community College District	\$131,000,000	2002-11-05	Monterey/San Benito	H	55	29,768	45,263	65.8%
49	\$581,980,406	Los Rios Community College District	\$265,000,000	2002-03-05	El Dorado/Placer/Sacramento/Yolo/Solano	A	55	132,952	215,359	61.7%
			\$475,000,000	2008-11-04	El Dorado/Placer/Sacramento/Solano/Yolo	M	55	328,240	566,450	57.9%
50	\$565,108,064	Santa Monica-Malibu Unified School District	\$268,000,000	2006-11-07	Los Angeles	BB	55	22,927	33,960	67.5%
			\$385,000,000	2012-11-06	Los Angeles	ES	55	29,874	43,892	68.1%
51	\$561,977,772	Sacramento City Unified School District	\$225,000,000	2002-11-05	Sacramento	I	55	49,115	73,041	67.2%
			\$68,000,000	2012-11-06	Sacramento	R	55	71,820	105,834	67.9%
			\$346,000,000	2012-11-06	Sacramento	Q	55	74,681	106,528	70.1%
52	\$557,569,963	Ventura County Community College District	\$356,000,000	2002-03-05	Ventura	S	55	77,854	118,715	65.6%
53	\$552,217,504	Centinela Valley Union High School District	\$98,000,000	2010-11-02	Los Angeles	CV	55	16,057	24,754	64.9%
			\$98,000,000	2008-11-04	Los Angeles	CV	66.7	27,255	38,508	70.8%
54	\$548,041,186	Palm Springs Unified School District	\$516,000,000	2008-02-05	Riverside	E	55	20,923	33,647	62.2%
			\$122,000,000	2004-11-02	Riverside	T	55	32,476	44,662	72.7%
55	\$542,600,838	Hayward Unified School District	\$205,000,000	2008-06-03	Alameda	I	55	11,546	16,000	72.2%
			\$229,000,000	2014-11-04	Alameda	L	55	17,976	23,239	77.4%
56	\$540,090,941	Southwestern Community College District	\$389,000,000	2008-11-04	San Diego	R	55	95,460	133,681	71.4%
57	\$531,607,001	Arcadia Unified School District	\$218,000,000	2006-11-07	Los Angeles	I	55	10,104	15,093	66.9%
58	\$528,196,190	Cerritos Community College District	\$210,000,000	2004-03-02	Los Angeles	CC	55	29,336	50,836	57.7%
			\$350,000,000	2012-11-06	Los Angeles	G	55	83,524	118,758	70.3%
59	\$520,758,579	Palo Alto Unified School District	\$378,000,000	2008-06-03	Santa Clara	A	55	14,074	18,140	77.6%
60	\$519,746,489	Pasadena Unified School District	\$350,000,000	2008-11-04	Los Angeles	TT	55	64,090	85,998	74.5%
61	\$513,972,730	Fremont Union High School District	\$198,000,000	2008-06-03	Santa Clara	B	55	22,100	32,949	67.1%
			\$295,000,000	2014-11-04	Santa Clara	K	55	31,982	49,326	64.8%
62	\$513,226,364	Garden Grove Unified School District	\$250,000,000	2010-06-08	Orange	A	55	21,149	33,168	63.8%
63	\$501,714,176	West Valley-Mission Community College District	\$350,000,000	2012-06-05	Santa Clara/Santa Cruz	C	55	42,498	71,020	59.8%
			\$235,000,000	2004-11-02	Santa Clara/Santa Cruz	H	55	79,524	132,394	60.1%
64	\$491,203,694	Fontana Unified School District	\$275,000,000	2006-06-06	Orange	C	55	5,598	8,547	65.5%
65	\$485,440,600	Rio Hondo Community College District	\$245,000,000	2004-03-02	Los Angeles	A	55	27,447	43,642	62.9%
66	\$481,068,235	Beverly Hills Unified School District	\$90,000,000	2002-03-05	Los Angeles	K	55	4,424	5,659	78.2%
			\$334,000,000	2008-11-04	Los Angeles	E	55	9,484	14,616	64.9%
67	\$476,899,876	Fremont Unified School District	\$157,200,000	2002-03-05	Alameda	B	55	15,101	23,780	63.5%
			\$650,000,000	2014-06-03	Alameda	E	55	15,971	26,106	61.2%

## All California Educational Bond Measures Approved by Voters Since November 2000 Enactment of Proposition 39 - Ranked by Aggregate Debt Service

Rank	Aggregate Debt	Educational District	Amount Authorized to Borrow	Year-Month-Day of Election	Counties	Measure	% Needed to Pass	Yes	Total	Passage
68	\$476,750,696	City College of San Francisco	\$195,000,000	2001-11-06	San Francisco	A	66.7	91,643	127,035	72.1%
			\$246,300,000	2005-11-08	San Francisco	A	55	134,999	211,319	63.9%
69	\$475,216,369	Dublin Unified School District	\$99,000,000	2012-06-05	Alameda	E	55	4,205	6,780	62.0%
			\$184,000,000	2004-11-02	Alameda	C	55	9,062	12,380	73.2%
70	\$474,302,513	Rancho Santiago Community College District	\$198,000,000	2012-11-06	Orange	Q	55	45,981	63,351	72.6%
			\$337,000,000	2002-11-05	Orange	E	55	51,789	80,771	64.1%
71	\$474,237,505	Victor Valley Community College District	\$297,500,000	2008-11-04	San Bernardino/Los Angeles	JJ	55	63,581	103,301	61.5%
72	\$471,718,925	San Ramon Valley Unified School District	\$260,000,000	2002-11-05	Contra Costa	A	55	23,655	40,330	58.7%
			\$260,000,000	2012-11-06	Contra Costa	D	55	37,380	60,774	61.5%
73	\$469,493,080	Colton Joint Unified School District	\$102,000,000	2001-09-25	San Bernardino	B	66.7	3,884	5,181	75.0%
			\$225,000,000	2008-11-04	San Bernardino/Riverside	G	55	19,641	26,735	73.5%
74	\$466,687,533	Pittsburg Unified School District	\$85,000,000	2014-11-04	Contra Costa	N	55	5,483	8,007	68.5%
			\$85,000,000	2006-11-07	Contra Costa	J	55	7,288	9,833	74.1%
			\$100,000,000	2010-11-02	Contra Costa	L	55	7,391	10,787	68.5%
			\$40,500,000	2004-11-02	Contra Costa	E	55	11,311	14,499	78.0%
75	\$466,526,948	Ohlone Community College District	\$150,000,000	2002-03-05	Alameda	A	55	16,111	28,617	56.3%
			\$349,000,000	2010-11-02	Alameda	G	55	37,042	58,814	63.0%
76	\$464,756,000	Grossmont-Cuyamaca Community College District	\$207,000,000	2002-11-05	San Diego	R	55	60,622	100,551	60.3%
			\$398,000,000	2012-11-06	San Diego	V	55	95,802	164,554	58.2%
77	\$460,509,508	Glendale Unified School District	\$270,000,000	2011-04-05	Los Angeles	S	55	13,637	19,501	69.9%
78	\$458,785,266	Acalanes Union High School District	\$44,000,000	2002-11-05	Contra Costa	B	55	30,163	44,797	67.3%
			\$93,000,000	2008-11-04	Contra Costa	E	55	39,556	61,553	64.3%
79	\$457,536,854	Riverside Community College District	\$350,000,000	2004-03-02	Riverside	C	55	61,923	102,205	60.6%
80	\$439,500,784	San Bernardino City Unified School District	\$140,000,000	2004-03-02	San Bernardino	T	55	14,282	23,247	61.4%
			\$250,000,000	2012-11-06	San Bernardino	N	55	34,902	48,873	71.4%
81	\$436,676,161	San Mateo-Foster City School District	\$175,000,000	2008-02-05	San Mateo	J	55	48,116	73,047	65.9%
82	\$434,377,291	Solano Community College District	\$348,000,000	2012-11-06	Solano/Yolo	Q	55	89,634	141,189	63.5%
			\$124,500,000	2002-11-05	Solano/Yolo	G	55	89,634	141,189	63.5%
83	\$428,856,070	Desert Sands Unified School District	\$450,000,000	2001-11-06	Riverside	K	66.7	12,110	15,006	80.7%
			\$225,000,000	2014-11-04	Riverside	KK	55	27,027	38,889	69.5%
84	\$426,651,231	Santa Barbara Elementary School District	\$75,000,000	2010-11-02	Santa Barbara	R	55	18,701	26,108	71.6%
		Santa Barbara High School District	\$75,000,000	2010-11-02	Santa Barbara	Q	55	41,893	60,237	69.5%
85	\$426,359,902	Coachella Valley Unified School District	\$250,000,000	2005-06-07	Riverside/Imperial	D	66.7	1,747	2,322	75.2%
			\$41,000,000	2012-11-06	Riverside/Imperial	X	55	7,191	11,032	65.2%
86	\$426,006,465	New Haven Unified School District	\$120,000,000	2003-11-04	Alameda	A	66.7	3,817	5,581	68.4%
			\$125,000,000	2014-11-04	Alameda	M	55	8,962	13,044	68.7%
87	\$413,747,880	Rowland Unified School District	\$118,000,000	2006-06-06	Los Angeles/Orange	R	55	4,790	6,804	70.4%
			\$158,800,000	2012-11-06	Los Angeles/Orange	R	55	17,181	25,465	67.5%
88	\$410,528,679	Paramount Unified School District	\$100,000,000	2006-11-07	Los Angeles	AA	55	6,845	9,233	74.1%
89	\$409,106,363	Carlsbad Unified School District	\$198,000,000	2006-11-07	San Diego	P	55	16,250	23,522	69.1%
90	\$405,029,140	Cupertino Union School District	\$80,000,000	2001-06-05	Santa Clara	C	66.7	11,117	14,860	74.8%
			\$220,000,000	2012-06-05	Santa Clara	H	55	17,510	26,432	66.2%
91	\$401,238,363	Redondo Beach Unified School District	\$145,000,000	2008-02-05	Los Angeles	C	55	13,516	20,505	65.9%
			\$63,000,000	2012-11-06	Los Angeles	Q	55	18,786	29,232	64.3%

## All California Educational Bond Measures Approved by Voters Since November 2000 Enactment of Proposition 39 - Ranked by Aggregate Debt Service

Rank	Aggregate Debt	Educational District	Amount Authorized to Borrow	Year-Month-Day of Election	Counties	Measure	% Needed to Pass	Yes	Total	Passage
92	\$401,112,281	Santa Clarita Community College District	\$82,110,000	2001-11-06	Los Angeles	C	55	16,370	24,050	68.1%
			\$160,000,000	2006-11-07	Los Angeles	M	55	38,292	61,119	62.7%
93	\$387,075,741	Gilroy Unified School District	\$69,000,000	2002-11-05	Santa Clara	I	55	5,865	9,349	62.7%
			\$150,000,000	2008-11-04	Santa Clara	P	55	11,989	17,741	67.6%
94	\$378,982,038	Victor Valley Union High School District	\$500,000,000	2008-11-04	San Bernardino	V	55	24,037	35,400	67.9%
95	\$377,532,823	San Leandro Unified School District	\$50,100,000	2010-11-02	Alameda	M	55	10,638	16,864	63.1%
			\$109,000,000	2006-11-07	Alameda	B	55	11,398	16,600	68.7%
96	\$376,258,036	Upland Unified School District	\$103,000,000	2008-02-05	San Bernardino	K	55	11,785	19,554	60.3%
97	\$369,752,801	Pomona Unified School District	\$68,000,000	2002-03-05	Los Angeles	J	55	7,280	11,602	62.7%
			\$235,000,000	2008-11-04	Los Angeles	PS	55	30,058	40,221	74.7%
98	\$368,903,700	Yuba Community College District	\$190,000,000	2006-11-07	Yuba/Sutter	J	55	37,608	66,429	56.6%
99	\$365,249,350	Huntington Beach Union High School District	\$238,000,000	2004-03-02	Orange	C	55	42,469	73,151	58.1%
100	\$363,296,988	College of Marin	\$249,500,000	2004-11-02	Marin	C	55	77,102	122,301	63.0%
101	\$361,621,401	Oak Grove School District	\$89,800,000	2014-11-04	Santa Clara	P	55	13,056	19,918	65.5%
			\$125,000,000	2008-11-04	Santa Clara	S	55	25,589	34,139	75.0%
102	\$359,622,882	Natomas Unified School District	\$145,500,000	2006-06-06	Sacramento	D	55	4,358	7,029	62.0%
			\$45,880,000	2002-11-05	Sacramento	M	55	5,669	7,832	72.4%
			\$129,000,000	2014-11-04	Sacramento	J	55	9,091	12,606	72.1%
103	\$352,029,370	Wiseburn Unified School District	\$32,600,000	2007-06-12	Los Angeles	A	66.7	1,477	1,778	83.1%
			\$87,000,000	2010-11-02	Los Angeles	A	55	3,285	4,338	75.7%
104	\$351,972,145	Norwalk-La Mirada Unified School District	\$375,000,000	2014-11-04	Los Angeles	G	55	8,940	15,578	57.4%
			\$165,000,000	2002-11-05	Los Angeles	S	55	14,949	20,313	73.6%
105	\$348,039,949	Berkeley Unified School District	\$210,000,000	2010-11-02	Alameda	I	55	31,723	41,113	77.2%
106	\$345,445,867	Azusa Unified School District	\$92,000,000	2014-11-04	Los Angeles	K	55	3,983	7,082	56.2%
			\$75,000,000	2002-03-05	Los Angeles	I	55	4,200	5,624	74.7%
107	\$345,344,733	San Juan Unified School District	\$350,000,000	2002-11-05	Sacramento	J	55	63,010	95,831	65.8%
			\$350,000,000	2012-11-06	Sacramento	N	55	76,052	126,333	60.2%
108	\$344,571,351	Allan Hancock Community College District	\$180,000,000	2006-06-06	Santa Barbara/Ventura	I	55	22,996	39,989	57.5%
109	\$341,061,295	San Joaquin-Delta Community College District	\$250,000,000	2004-03-02	San Joaquin/Sacramento	L	55	62,465	112,744	55.4%
110	\$336,935,436	Merced Union High School District	\$149,450,000	2008-11-04	Merced	M	55	25,266	40,377	62.6%
111	\$315,595,619	Sunnyvale School District	\$96,000,000	2013-11-05	Santa Clara	G	55	6,995	10,220	68.4%
			\$120,000,000	2004-11-02	Santa Clara	P	55	16,283	22,313	73.0%
112	\$315,201,893	Las Virgenes Unified School District	\$128,000,000	2006-06-06	Los Angeles/Ventura	G	55	6,865	10,705	64.1%
113	\$308,326,405	Menlo Park City School District	\$23,000,000	2013-11-05	San Mateo	W	55	4,222	5,605	75.3%
			\$91,100,000	2006-06-06	San Mateo	U	55	4,936	6,993	70.6%
114	\$305,620,800	El Monte Union High School District	\$90,000,000	2002-11-05	Los Angeles	Y	66.7	13,584	17,815	76.3%
			\$148,000,000	2008-11-04	Los Angeles	D	55	24,851	33,510	74.2%
115	\$304,897,627	Westminster School District	\$130,000,000	2008-11-04	Orange	O	55	15,947	25,321	63.0%
116	\$292,476,368	Cabrillo Community College District	\$118,500,000	2004-03-02	Santa Cruz/Monterey/San Benito	D	55	44,542	72,295	61.6%
117	\$285,832,993	Murieta Valley Unified School District	\$120,000,000	2006-06-06	Riverside	E	55	6,327	11,026	57.4%
			\$40,400,000	2002-11-05	Riverside	K	55	11,119	15,781	70.5%
			\$98,000,000	2014-11-04	Riverside	BB	55	11,278	19,462	57.9%
118	\$283,268,176	Mendocino-Lake Community College District	\$67,500,000	2006-11-07	Mendocino/Lake	W	55	18,417	29,506	62.4%
119	\$282,079,314	Kern Community College District	\$180,000,000	2002-11-05	Kern/San Bernardino	G	55	79,076	133,870	59.1%

## All California Educational Bond Measures Approved by Voters Since November 2000 Enactment of Proposition 39 - Ranked by Aggregate Debt Service

Rank	Aggregate Debt	Educational District	Amount Authorized to Borrow	Year-Month-Day of Election	Counties	Measure	% Needed to Pass	Yes	Total	Passage
120	\$276,762,860	North Orange County Community College District	\$574,000,000	2014-11-04	Orange/Los Angeles	J	55	84,780	154,118	55.0%
121	\$274,588,497	Antelope Valley Community College	\$139,000,000	2004-11-02	Los Angeles/Kern	R	55	74,966	107,579	69.7%
122	\$271,550,903	Jefferson Union High School District	\$133,000,000	2014-11-04	San Mateo	J	55	17,090	24,018	71.2%
			\$136,900,000	2006-11-07	San Mateo	N	55	22,025	31,358	70.2%
			<b>Reauthorize \$41,900,000</b>	2012-11-06	San Mateo	E	55	30,757	41,401	74.3%
123	\$268,611,647	Chaffey Joint Union School District	\$848,000,000	2012-11-06	San Bernardino	P	55	70,026	110,096	63.6%
124	\$267,706,089	Campbell Union School District	\$74,900,000	2002-03-05	Santa Clara	H	55	8,772	13,156	66.7%
			\$150,000,000	2010-06-08	Santa Clara	G	55	12,753	17,237	74.0%
125	\$264,291,465	Walnut Valley Unified School District	\$15,200,000	2007-11-06	Los Angeles	Y	55	3,859	6,784	56.9%
			\$64,600,000	2007-11-06	Los Angeles	S	55	4,159	6,797	61.2%
126	\$255,319,850	San Dieguito Union High School District	\$449,000,000	2012-11-06	San Diego	AA	55	43,627	78,574	55.5%
127	\$253,502,040	Alhambra Unified School District	\$85,000,000	2004-11-02	Los Angeles	C	55	26,048	36,862	70.7%
128	\$253,445,891	Simi Valley Unified School District	\$145,000,000	2004-03-02	Ventura	C	55	16,118	26,079	61.8%
129	\$252,129,977	Manhattan Beach Unified School District	\$67,480,000	2008-11-04	Los Angeles	BB	55	12,191	19,801	61.6%
130	\$249,286,850	Pajaro Valley Unified School District	\$58,250,000	2002-11-05	Santa Cruz/Monterey	J or L	55	14,352	21,530	66.7%
			\$150,000,000	2012-11-06	Santa Cruz/Monterey	L	55	23,645	34,304	68.9%
131	\$249,159,550	Sonoma County Community College District	\$251,700,000	2002-03-05	Sonoma/Marin/Mendocino	E	66.7	77,248	113,889	67.8%
			\$410,000,000	2014-11-04	Sonoma/Marin/Mendocino	H	55	87,739	139,046	63.1%
132	\$248,810,654	Whittier Union High School District	\$75,000,000	2008-11-04	Los Angeles	W	55	51,521	71,490	72.1%
133	\$247,051,143	Kern High School District	\$219,000,000	2004-11-02	Kern	N	55	97,540	146,279	66.7%
134	\$247,049,592	Poway Unified School District SFID 2002-1	\$198,000,000	2002-11-05	San Diego	U	55	21,958	38,252	57.4%
135	\$244,996,820	Chaffey Community College	\$230,000,000	2002-03-05	San Bernardino	L	55	33,737	58,195	58.0%
136	\$239,000,672	Montebello Unified School District	\$98,000,000	2004-11-02	Los Angeles	M	55	25,476	34,968	72.9%
137	\$237,199,439	Cajon Valley Union School District	\$156,500,000	2008-02-05	San Diego	D	55	23,696	36,946	64.1%
			<b>Reauthorize \$88,400,000</b>	2012-11-06	San Diego	C	55	29,048	49,882	58.2%
138	\$235,481,421	Union School District	\$125,000,000	2014-06-03	Santa Clara	J	55	5,958	8,656	68.8%
139	\$231,561,564	Lake Tahoe Unified School District	\$64,500,000	2008-11-04	El Dorado	G	55	6,715	11,301	59.4%
140	\$231,449,078	Riverside Unified School District	\$175,000,000	2001-11-06	Riverside	B	66.7	16,466	24,104	68.3%
141	\$229,842,020	Los Alamitos Unified School District	\$126,000,000	2008-11-04	Orange	K	55	13,740	21,015	65.4%
142	\$229,146,220	Bonita Unified School District	\$56,360,000	2004-03-02	Los Angeles	C	55	8,841	14,243	62.1%
			\$83,560,000	2008-11-04	Los Angeles	AB	55	17,218	28,308	60.8%
143	\$228,361,629	Oxnard School District	\$64,000,000	2006-11-07	Ventura	M	55	12,655	19,631	64.5%
			\$90,000,000	2012-11-06	Ventura	R	55	19,968	30,078	66.4%
144	\$227,991,116	Monterey Peninsula Community College District	\$145,000,000	2002-11-05	Monterey	I	55	23,711	36,677	64.6%
145	\$226,270,582	Campbell Union High School District	\$90,000,000	2006-11-07	Santa Clara	G	55	32,505	55,855	58.2%
146	\$225,507,517	Rialto Unified School District	\$98,000,000	2010-11-02	San Bernardino	Y	55	12,608	18,137	69.5%
147	\$224,977,020	Westside Union School District	\$63,500,000	2008-11-04	Los Angeles	WS	55	16,127	25,660	62.8%
			<b>Reauthorize \$18,510,000</b>	2012-11-06	Los Angeles	WR	55	16,153	25,849	62.5%
148	\$222,521,372	Oxnard Union High School District	\$135,000,000	2004-11-02	Ventura	H	55	52,851	84,324	62.7%
149	\$221,921,615	Napa Valley Community College District	\$133,800,000	2002-11-05	Napa/Sonoma	N	55	21,699	35,502	61.1%
150	\$221,444,499	Anaheim City Elementary School District	\$111,000,000	2002-03-05	Orange	BB	55	11,610	18,366	63.2%
			\$169,300,000	2010-11-02	Orange	G	55	19,550	30,580	63.9%
151	\$217,991,505	Chino Valley Unified School District	\$150,000,000	2002-03-05	San Bernardino	M	55	10,191	16,478	61.8%
152	\$212,931,866	Val Verde Unified School District	\$43,440,000	2008-06-03	Riverside	J	55	2,323	3,363	69.1%

## All California Educational Bond Measures Approved by Voters Since November 2000 Enactment of Proposition 39 - Ranked by Aggregate Debt Service

Rank	Aggregate Debt	Educational District	Amount Authorized to Borrow	Year-Month-Day of Election	Counties	Measure	% Needed to Pass	Yes	Total	Passage
			\$178,000,000	2012-06-05	Riverside	L	55	2,758	4,455	61.9%
153	\$208,152,688	Hillsborough City School District	\$66,800,000	2002-11-05	San Mateo	B	55	2,838	4,271	66.4%
154	\$206,164,296	Cypress School District	\$53,600,000	2008-11-04	Orange	M	55	12,493	18,045	69.2%
155	\$203,692,946	Ceres Unified School District	\$25,000,000	2001-11-06	Stanislaus	J	55	3,214	4,310	74.6%
			\$60,000,000	2008-11-04	Stanislaus	U	55	9,625	13,258	72.6%
156	\$203,011,800	College of the Sequoias	\$22,000,000	2006-11-07	Fresno/Kings/Tulare	C	55	8,279	13,948	59.4%
			\$60,000,000	2008-11-04	Kings/Tulare	J	55	16,395	22,818	71.9%
			\$28,000,000	2008-11-04	Tulare	I	55	35,713	54,070	66.0%
157	\$199,921,338	Burbank Unified School District	\$110,000,000	2013-03-05	Los Angeles	S	55	4,663	7,547	61.8%
158	\$198,521,688	Torrance Unified School District	\$50,000,000	2014-11-04	Los Angeles	U	55	19,877	32,015	62.1%
			\$144,300,000	2014-11-04	Los Angeles	T	55	21,424	32,113	66.7%
			\$90,000,000	2008-11-04	Los Angeles	Z	55	41,832	58,516	71.5%
			\$265,000,000	2008-11-04	Los Angeles	Y	55	44,075	59,267	74.4%
159	\$197,310,278	San Lorenzo Unified School District	\$49,000,000	2004-11-02	Alameda	E	55	15,797	21,217	74.5%
			\$83,000,000	2008-11-04	Alameda	O	55	17,356	23,311	74.5%
160	\$196,222,983	San Gabriel Unified School District	\$47,150,000	2002-03-05	Los Angeles	S	55	3,411	4,867	70.1%
			\$65,000,000	2008-02-05	Los Angeles	A	55	5,240	7,475	70.1%
161	\$194,331,532	Rocklin Unified School District	\$52,000,000	2002-03-05	Placer	B	66.7	7,101	10,500	67.6%
162	\$194,308,052	Central Unified School District	\$40,000,000	2004-03-02	Fresno	K	55	5,174	8,494	60.9%
			\$152,000,000	2008-11-04	Fresno	E	55	12,062	19,023	63.4%
163	\$193,454,220	Hemet Unified School District	\$149,000,000	2006-11-07	Riverside	T	55	17,757	30,493	58.2%
			<i>Reauthorize \$49,000,000</i>	2012-11-06	Riverside	U	55	25,661	37,287	68.8%
164	\$189,891,898	Imperial Valley College	\$80,000,000	2010-11-02	Imperial	J	55	16,325	25,220	64.7%
			\$58,600,000	2004-11-02	Imperial	L	55	20,176	31,716	63.6%
165	\$188,443,331	Tamapais Union High School District	\$121,000,000	2001-03-06	Marin	A	66.7	14,527	21,319	68.1%
			\$79,920,000	2006-06-06	Marin	A	55	23,420	35,020	66.9%
166	\$186,507,025	Inglewood Unified School District	\$90,000,000	2012-11-06	Los Angeles	GG	55	31,907	37,059	86.1%
167	\$182,830,440	Franklin-McKinley School District	\$18,000,000	2001-06-05	Santa Clara	A	66.7	1,640	1,996	82.2%
			\$50,000,000	2010-11-02	Santa Clara	J	55	10,860	15,534	69.9%
			\$30,000,000	2004-11-02	Santa Clara	Q	55	11,366	15,632	72.7%
168	\$179,014,870	Milpitas Unified School District	\$95,000,000	2012-06-05	Santa Clara	E	55	5,412	8,449	64.1%
169	\$178,970,150	Covina Valley Unified School District	\$50,000,000	2001-06-05	Los Angeles	K	66.7	4,746	6,551	72.4%
			\$66,000,000	2006-06-06	Los Angeles	C	55	5,432	7,872	69.0%
			\$129,000,000	2012-11-06	Los Angeles	CC	55	17,640	24,131	73.1%
170	\$178,786,794	Saddleback Valley Unified School District	\$180,000,000	2004-03-02	Orange	B	55	31,024	52,448	59.2%
171	\$175,455,083	Alum Rock Union Elementary School District	\$179,000,000	2008-06-03	Santa Clara	G	55	6,901	8,725	79.1%
			\$125,000,000	2012-11-06	Santa Clara	J	55	18,442	23,188	79.5%
172	\$174,482,212	Moorpark Unified School District	\$33,000,000	2002-03-05	Ventura	R	55	4,153	5,996	69.3%
			\$39,500,000	2008-11-04	Ventura	S	55	9,560	14,620	65.4%
173	\$173,764,517	Santee Elementary School District	\$60,000,000	2006-11-07	San Diego	R	55	9,742	16,649	58.5%
174	\$171,989,560	Beaumont Unified School District	\$125,000,000	2008-11-04	Riverside/San Bernardino	Z	55	9,029	14,552	62.0%
175	\$171,736,938	Vista Unified School District	\$140,000,000	2002-03-05	San Diego	O	55	17,506	26,037	67.2%
176	\$171,031,543	Monrovia Unified School District	\$45,000,000	2006-06-06	Los Angeles	M	55	4,301	6,409	67.1%
177	\$169,856,485	Newark Unified School District	\$63,000,000	2011-11-08	Alameda	G	55	3,286	5,878	55.9%

## All California Educational Bond Measures Approved by Voters Since November 2000 Enactment of Proposition 39 - Ranked by Aggregate Debt Service

Rank	Aggregate Debt	Educational District	Amount Authorized to Borrow	Year-Month-Day of Election	Counties	Measure	% Needed to Pass	Yes	Total	Passage
178	\$169,413,890	El Monte City School District	\$78,000,000	2014-11-04	Los Angeles	M	55	4,614	6,877	67.1%
			\$50,000,000	2004-11-02	Los Angeles	J	55	11,855	15,576	76.1%
			\$75,000,000	2008-11-04	Los Angeles	KC	55	12,515	17,253	72.5%
179	\$168,861,989	Compton Community College District	\$100,000,000	2014-11-04	Los Angeles	C	55	20,209	25,779	78.4%
			\$100,000,000	2002-11-05	Los Angeles	CC	55	23,005	30,141	76.3%
180	\$168,689,108	Compton Unified School District	\$80,000,000	2002-11-05	Los Angeles	I	55	14,150	17,036	83.1%
181	\$168,683,036	Alameda Unified School District	\$179,500,000	2014-11-04	Alameda	I	55	13,111	20,894	62.8%
			\$63,000,000	2004-03-02	Alameda	C	55	13,809	19,205	71.9%
182	\$168,238,543	Citrus Community College District	\$121,000,000	2004-03-02	Los Angeles	G	55	21,639	37,418	57.8%
183	\$168,131,451	Baldwin Park Unified School District	\$46,600,000	2002-11-05	Los Angeles	AA	55	6,321	7,952	79.5%
			\$75,500,000	2006-11-07	Los Angeles	K	55	6,727	9,335	72.1%
184	\$168,078,687	Savanna Elementary School District	\$28,750,000	2012-06-05	Orange	G	55	1,715	2,888	59.4%
			\$24,935,000	2008-11-04	Orange	N	55	5,728	7,900	72.5%
185	\$165,034,070	Kings Canyon Unified School District	\$18,000,000	2002-03-05	Fresno/Tulare	K	55	4,257	6,556	64.9%
			\$32,000,000	2006-11-07	Fresno/Tulare	K	55	5,029	8,400	59.9%
			\$40,000,000	2012-11-06	Fresno/Tulare	K	55	6,806	10,851	62.7%
186	\$164,012,478	Folsom Cordova Unified School District SFID No. 4	\$68,000,000	2012-11-06	Sacramento	P	55	11,677	16,337	71.5%
		Folsom Cordova Unified School District SFID No. 5	\$125,000,000	2006-11-07	Sacramento	N	55	8,199	11,845	69.2%
187	\$160,298,725	State Center Community College District	\$161,000,000	2002-11-05	Fresno/Kings/Tulare/Madera	E	55	90,285	159,849	56.5%
188	\$158,527,364	Bakersfield City Elementary School District	\$100,000,000	2006-11-07	Kern	G	55	17,657	27,661	63.8%
189	\$157,991,399	Piedmont Unified School District	\$56,000,000	2006-03-07	Alameda	E	55	2,497	4,294	58.2%
190	\$156,932,935	Santa Maria Joint Union High School District	\$79,000,000	2004-11-02	Santa Barbara	C	55	22,527	38,345	58.7%
191	\$155,512,675	Evergreen School District	\$100,000,000	2014-11-04	Santa Clara	M	55	14,002	20,740	67.5%
			\$150,000,000	2006-11-07	Santa Clara	I	55	15,494	21,278	72.8%
192	\$155,203,888	Mountain View Whisman Unified School District	\$198,000,000	2012-06-05	Santa Clara	G	55	7,341	10,863	67.6%
193	\$152,477,011	Palos Verdes Peninsula Unified School District	\$10,000,000	2005-11-08	Los Angeles	S	55	14,678	21,911	67.0%
			\$30,000,000	2005-11-08	Los Angeles	R	55	15,496	21,894	70.8%
194	\$151,743,721	San Carlos Unified School District	\$38,000,000	2005-11-08	San Mateo	E	55	6,240	9,913	62.9%
			\$72,000,000	2012-11-06	San Mateo	H	55	9,534	14,023	68.0%
195	\$151,141,871	Perris Union High School District	\$46,000,000	2004-11-02	Riverside	Z	55	18,871	32,594	57.9%
			\$153,420,000	2012-11-06	Riverside	T	55	24,229	39,886	60.7%
196	\$149,389,899	Redlands Unified School District	\$60,000,000	2002-11-05	San Bernardino	R	55	17,850	25,448	70.1%
			\$65,500,000	2008-02-05	San Bernardino	J	55	21,545	32,446	66.4%
197	\$148,973,295	Pasadena City College	\$150,000,000	2002-03-05	Los Angeles	P	55	39,129	56,024	69.8%
198	\$147,827,850	Emery Unified School District	\$95,000,000	2010-11-02	Alameda	J	55	1,982	2,683	73.9%
199	\$145,814,481	Morgan Hill Unified School District	\$198,250,000	2012-11-06	Santa Clara	G	55	15,243	23,187	65.7%
200	\$145,044,155	Burlingame School District	\$48,300,000	2007-11-06	San Mateo	A	55	3,650	5,712	63.9%
			\$56,000,000	2012-11-06	San Mateo	D	55	8,609	12,754	67.5%
201	\$144,864,388	Ukiah Unified School District	\$43,000,000	2005-11-08	Mendocino	U	66.7	6,675	9,822	68.0%
202	\$141,300,905	Anaheim Union High School District	\$132,000,000	2002-03-05	Orange	Z	55	25,592	41,126	62.2%
			\$249,000,000	2014-11-04	Orange	H	55	30,238	51,177	59.1%
203	\$141,176,115	El Dorado Union High School District	\$66,300,000	2008-06-03	El Dorado	Q	55	19,536	34,740	56.2%
204	\$138,184,718	Los Gatos Union School District	\$91,000,000	2001-06-05	Santa Clara	B	66.7	4,615	5,443	84.8%
			\$30,900,000	2010-06-08	Santa Clara	E	55	6,412	8,966	71.5%

## All California Educational Bond Measures Approved by Voters Since November 2000 Enactment of Proposition 39 - Ranked by Aggregate Debt Service

Rank	Aggregate Debt	Educational District	Amount Authorized to Borrow	Year-Month-Day of Election	Counties	Measure	% Needed to Pass	Yes	Total	Passage
205	\$137,433,988	Roseville Joint Union High School District	\$79,500,000	2002-03-05	Sacramento	D	55	2,114	2,664	79.4%
			\$79,000,000	2004-11-02	Placer/Sacramento	J	55	35,814	59,793	59.9%
206	\$136,933,975	Alhambra Unified School District ESID	\$50,000,000	2008-11-04	Los Angeles	MM	55	23,276	31,080	74.9%
207	\$136,452,673	Golden Valley Unified School District	\$70,000,000	2006-06-06	Madera	S	55	1,438	2,472	58.2%
208	\$135,544,814	Windsor Unified School District	\$50,000,000	2008-02-05	Sonoma	B	55	6,208	9,891	62.8%
209	\$134,444,863	Dry Creek Elementary School District	\$67,300,000	2008-02-05	Placer/Sacramento	E	55	8,282	14,634	56.6%
210	\$133,249,463	Hawthorne Elementary School District	\$20,000,000	2008-06-03	Los Angeles	H	55	2,481	4,009	61.9%
			\$24,000,000	2004-11-02	Los Angeles	H	55	11,314	14,331	78.9%
211	\$131,223,713	Lakeside Union School District	<i>Reauthorize \$31,000,000</i>	2014-11-04	San Diego	L	55	5,640	9,630	58.6%
			\$79,550,000	2008-11-04	San Diego	I	55	10,695	16,561	64.6%
212	\$130,917,495	Temple City Unified School District	\$128,800,000	2012-11-06	Los Angeles	S	55	6,454	10,029	64.4%
213	\$129,149,014	Glendora Unified School District	\$41,310,000	2005-11-08	Los Angeles	G	55	6,588	10,442	63.1%
214	\$127,645,000	Modesto City Schools (Modesto High School District)	\$65,000,000	2001-11-06	Stanislaus	T	55	20,783	30,639	67.8%
215	\$125,271,506	Lincoln Unified School District	\$48,500,000	2012-06-05	San Joaquin	M	55	5,653	9,488	59.6%
			\$50,000,000	2004-11-02	San Joaquin	P	55	12,065	16,925	71.3%
216	\$124,835,444	Sanger Unified School District	\$30,800,000	2006-06-06	Fresno	S	55	3,298	5,097	64.7%
			\$50,000,000	2012-11-06	Fresno	S	55	9,986	14,190	70.4%
217	\$123,896,004	Vacaville Unified School District	\$194,000,000	2014-11-04	Solano	A	55	12,095	19,524	61.9%
			\$101,300,000	2001-11-06	Solano	V	66.7	8,606	12,737	67.6%
218	\$123,701,248	El Segundo Unified School District	\$25,000,000	2001-11-06	Los Angeles	E	55	2,369	3,149	75.2%
			\$14,000,000	2008-11-04	Los Angeles	M	55	5,602	8,326	67.3%
219	\$123,613,423	Santa Rosa High School District	\$77,000,000	2002-03-05	Sonoma	B	55	29,276	44,707	65.5%
			\$175,000,000	2014-11-04	Sonoma	I	55	34,086	53,235	64.0%
220	\$123,327,878	Jefferson School District	\$35,400,000	2010-11-02	San Joaquin	J	55	2,354	3,500	67.3%
221	\$123,259,554	Lodi Unified School District	\$114,000,000	2006-11-07	San Joaquin	L	55	9,225	15,176	60.8%
			\$109,300,000	2002-03-05	San Joaquin	K	55	15,881	27,827	57.1%
222	\$122,091,187	Grant Joint Union High School District (Now Twin Rivers USD)	\$74,000,000	2002-03-05	Sacramento/Placer	E	55	10,435	18,312	57.0%
		North Sacramento School District (Now Twin Rivers USD)	\$17,500,000	2006-06-06	Sacramento	C	55	2,523	3,641	69.3%
		Rio Linda Union School District (Now Twin Rivers USD)	\$15,400,000	2002-11-05	Sacramento	N	55	9,946	15,195	65.5%
			\$38,000,000	2006-11-07	Sacramento	P	55	11,752	18,915	62.1%
223	\$121,230,944	Sylvan Union School District	\$40,000,000	2006-11-07	Stanislaus	A	55	9,402	16,526	56.9%
224	\$120,861,024	Los Gatos-Saratoga Joint Union High School District	\$99,000,000	2014-06-03	Santa Clara/Santa Cruz	E	55	8,331	13,020	64.0%
225	\$120,613,873	Jefferson Elementary School District	\$52,000,000	2001-11-06	San Mateo	H	66.7	3,829	5,597	68.4%
			\$67,500,000	2012-11-06	San Mateo	M	55	16,261	21,138	76.9%
226	\$119,620,000	Patterson Joint Unified School District	\$19,500,000	2001-05-22	Stanislaus	G	66.7	1,341	1,871	71.7%
			\$50,000,000	2008-11-04	Stanislaus/Santa Clara	V	55	3,982	6,015	66.2%
227	\$119,051,154	Berryessa Union School District	\$77,000,000	2014-11-04	Santa Clara	L	55	10,622	15,320	69.3%
228	\$118,951,681	Mountain View-Los Altos Union School District	\$41,300,000	2010-06-08	Santa Clara	A	55	19,480	25,067	77.7%
229	\$118,842,831	San Luis Obispo County Community College District (Cuesta)	\$275,000,000	2014-11-04	San Luis Obispo/Monterey	L	55	51,740	82,706	62.6%
230	\$118,171,144	Chula Vista Elementary School District SFID No. 1	\$90,000,000	2012-11-06	San Diego	E	55	36,265	52,699	68.8%
231	\$117,331,941	Manteca Unified School District	\$66,000,000	2004-03-02	San Joaquin	M	55	7,867	14,011	56.1%
			\$159,000,000	2014-11-04	San Joaquin	G	55	11,535	19,535	59.0%
232	\$117,305,896	Marysville Joint Unified School District	\$37,000,000	2006-06-06	Yuba/Butte	H	55	5,050	8,809	57.3%
			\$47,000,000	2008-11-04	Yuba/Butte	P	55	10,081	16,160	62.4%

## All California Educational Bond Measures Approved by Voters Since November 2000 Enactment of Proposition 39 - Ranked by Aggregate Debt Service

Rank	Aggregate Debt	Educational District	Amount Authorized to Borrow	Year-Month-Day of Election	Counties	Measure	% Needed to Pass	Yes	Total	Passage
233	\$115,550,326	McKinleyville Union School District	\$14,000,000	2008-06-03	Humboldt	C	55	1,723	3,108	55.4%
234	\$114,303,836	St. Helena Unified School District	\$30,000,000	2010-11-02	Napa	B	55	2,545	4,186	60.8%
			\$30,000,000	2012-11-06	Napa	C	55	2,782	4,669	59.6%
235	\$114,214,046	Millbrae School District	\$30,000,000	2011-11-08	San Mateo	N	55	2,550	4,361	58.5%
			\$30,000,000	2008-11-04	San Mateo	X	55	6,646	9,566	69.5%
236	\$113,613,862	Antioch Unified School District SFID No. 1	\$61,600,000	2008-06-03	Contra Costa	C	55	4,948	7,921	62.5%
			\$56,500,000	2012-11-06	Contra Costa	B	55	12,264	19,515	62.8%
237	\$113,254,239	Palmdale Elementary School District	\$25,000,000	2001-11-06	Los Angeles	W	55	3,848	6,971	55.2%
			\$220,000,000	2012-11-06	Los Angeles	DD	55	22,328	30,162	74.0%
238	\$112,523,746	El Rancho Unified School District	\$49,500,000	2003-11-04	Los Angeles	A	55	1,788	2,601	68.7%
			\$52,000,000	2010-11-02	Los Angeles	EE	55	9,549	12,903	74.0%
239	\$110,730,850	Butte-Glenn Community College District	\$84,900,000	2002-03-05	Butte/Glenn	A	55	36,012	54,724	65.8%
240	\$110,653,662	Larkspur-Corte Madera School District	\$19,000,000	2014-06-03	Marin	D	55	2,725	3,889	70.1%
			\$26,000,000	2011-11-08	Marin	A	55	3,243	4,574	70.9%
241	\$110,438,238	Antelope Valley Joint Union High School District	\$103,600,000	2002-03-05	Los Angeles	V	55	19,029	33,254	57.2%
242	\$109,707,525	Victor Elementary School District	\$30,000,000	2001-11-06	San Bernardino	E	55	3,155	4,964	63.6%
			\$150,000,000	2008-11-04	San Bernardino	E	55	15,167	22,529	67.3%
243	\$109,599,624	Novato Unified School District	\$107,000,000	2001-11-06	Marin	A	55	8,419	13,668	61.6%
244	\$107,708,706	Washington Unified School District	\$22,000,000	2012-11-06	Yolo	W	55	2,757	3,787	72.8%
			\$52,000,000	2004-03-02	Yolo	Q	55	5,213	7,578	68.8%
			\$49,800,000	2014-11-04	Yolo	V	55	6,118	9,072	67.4%
245	\$107,648,944	Morongo Unified School District	\$48,150,000	2005-11-08	San Bernardino	O	55	6,563	11,527	56.9%
246	\$105,738,163	Mill Valley School District	\$59,800,000	2009-11-03	Marin	C	55	5,530	8,261	66.9%
247	\$105,544,550	Cotati/ Rohnert Park Unified School District	\$80,000,000	2014-06-03	Sonoma	B	55	5,382	8,532	63.1%
248	\$105,494,869	Orchard School District	\$40,000,000	2001-11-06	Santa Clara	E	55	391	553	70.7%
249	\$104,688,140	Whittier City Unified School District	\$55,000,000	2012-11-06	Los Angeles	Z	55	14,205	19,629	72.4%
250	\$104,451,970	Benicia Unified School District	\$49,600,000	2014-06-03	Solano	S	55	3,903	6,147	63.5%
251	\$104,283,183	Castro Valley Unified School District	\$16,800,000	2002-03-05	Alameda	C	55	6,861	9,836	69.8%
			\$44,000,000	2005-11-08	Alameda	B	55	10,602	16,674	63.6%
252	\$104,093,442	Madera Unified School District	\$70,000,000	2014-11-04	Madera	G	55	5,894	10,113	58.3%
			\$46,000,000	2002-11-05	Madera	B	55	6,812	10,729	63.5%
			\$32,500,000	2006-11-07	Madera	U	55	6,812	11,244	60.6%
253	\$103,959,338	Atascadero Unified School District	<b>Reauthorize \$58,000,000</b>	2014-11-04	San Luis Obispo	B	55	7,102	11,517	61.7%
			\$117,000,000	2010-11-02	San Luis Obispo	I	55	9,165	14,029	65.3%
254	\$102,617,363	Saratoga Union School District	\$19,900,000	2002-03-05	Santa Clara	L	55	3,903	6,091	64.1%
255	\$102,547,544	Fort Bragg Unified School District	\$22,200,000	2003-11-04	Mendocino	D	55	1,946	2,958	65.8%
			\$16,000,000	2008-06-03	Mendocino	C	55	3,329	4,813	69.2%
256	\$102,305,752	Moreland School District	\$35,000,000	2002-03-05	Santa Clara	J	66.7	5,597	7,722	72.5%
			\$55,000,000	2010-11-02	Santa Clara	K	55	9,035	13,066	69.1%
257	\$102,173,755	Oak Park Unified School District	\$17,500,000	2006-06-06	Ventura	C	55	2,030	3,378	60.1%
			\$29,445,000	2008-11-04	Ventura	R	55	3,966	6,957	57.0%
258	\$100,636,381	Folsom Cordova Unified School District SFID No. 3	\$750,000,000	2007-03-27	Sacramento	M	66.7	60	74	81.1%
259	\$99,544,387	Cambrian School District	\$39,000,000	2014-06-03	Santa Clara	I	55	3,840	5,299	72.5%
			\$20,975,000	2002-11-05	Santa Clara	G	55	5,352	7,254	73.8%

## All California Educational Bond Measures Approved by Voters Since November 2000 Enactment of Proposition 39 - Ranked by Aggregate Debt Service

Rank	Aggregate Debt	Educational District	Amount Authorized to Borrow	Year-Month-Day of Election	Counties	Measure	% Needed to Pass	Yes	Total	Passage
260	\$99,521,902	Ontario-Montclair School District	\$59,655,000	2002-11-05	San Bernardino	T	55	11,472	17,042	67.3%
261	\$98,885,055	Lancaster Elementary School District	\$63,000,000	2012-11-06	Los Angeles	L	55	21,804	31,042	70.2%
262	\$98,475,600	Fairfield-Suisun Unified School District	\$100,000,000	2002-03-05	Solano	C	55	11,288	18,784	60.1%
263	\$97,992,075	Glendale Community College District	\$98,000,000	2002-03-05	Los Angeles	G	55	14,245	24,507	58.1%
264	\$97,622,274	Menifee Union School District	\$14,500,000	2002-11-05	Riverside	J	55	6,853	11,568	59.2%
			\$31,460,000	2008-02-05	Riverside	B	55	9,561	16,850	56.7%
265	\$94,442,330	Chico Unified School District	\$78,000,000	2012-11-06	Butte	E	55	27,112	41,734	65.0%
266	\$94,009,388	Santa Barbara Community College District	\$77,242,012	2008-06-03	Santa Barbara	V	55	28,397	40,308	70.5%
267	\$93,843,193	Tustin Unified School District	\$80,000,000	2002-11-05	Orange	G	55	12,792	19,054	67.1%
			\$95,000,000	2008-11-04	Orange	L	55	18,304	31,266	58.5%
			\$135,000,000	2012-11-06	Orange	S	55	25,220	41,949	60.1%
268	\$91,457,354	Encinitas Union School District	\$44,200,000	2010-11-02	San Diego	P	55	17,989	29,200	61.6%
269	\$89,440,827	Lemon Grove School District	<b>Reauthorize \$10,000,000</b>	2014-11-04	San Diego	R	55	4,323	6,016	71.9%
			\$28,000,000	2008-11-04	San Diego	W	55	8,635	11,853	72.9%
270	\$89,342,209	Garvey Elementary School District	\$30,000,000	2004-11-02	Los Angeles	Q	55	6,602	9,026	73.1%
271	\$88,155,898	Southern Kern Unified School District	\$28,400,000	2014-11-04	Kern	D	55	1,874	3,284	57.1%
			\$12,000,000	2002-11-05	Kern	H	55	1,914	3,049	62.8%
			\$24,000,000	2008-11-04	Kern	H	55	4,089	6,007	68.1%
272	\$87,615,619	Martinez Unified School District	\$45,000,000	2010-11-02	Contra Costa	K	55	6,863	10,474	65.5%
273	\$87,439,867	Alisal Union School District	\$90,000,000	2006-11-07	Monterey	A	55	3,414	5,266	64.8%
274	\$86,961,792	Rincon Valley Union School District	\$35,000,000	2014-06-03	Sonoma	F	55	6,816	10,209	66.8%
			\$23,900,000	2004-03-02	Sonoma	D	55	10,302	16,288	63.2%
275	\$86,813,248	Fowler Unified School District	\$21,000,000	2004-11-02	Fresno	L	55	1,757	2,224	79.0%
276	\$85,947,218	Downey Unified School District	\$248,000,000	2014-11-04	Los Angeles	O	55	10,093	16,314	61.9%
			\$65,000,000	2002-11-05	Los Angeles	D	55	13,257	19,771	67.1%
277	\$85,844,841	Bassett Unified School District	\$30,000,000	2014-11-04	Los Angeles	V	55	1,616	2,589	62.4%
			\$20,000,000	2006-11-07	Los Angeles	E	55	2,844	3,876	73.4%
			\$23,000,000	2004-11-02	Los Angeles	E	55	4,852	5,995	80.9%
278	\$84,074,751	San Rafael High School District (San Rafael City Schools)	\$49,500,000	2002-11-05	Marin	A	55	15,011	22,208	67.6%
		San Rafael Elementary School District (San Rafael City Schools)	\$49,300,000	2002-11-05	Marin	B	55	9,365	13,665	68.5%
279	\$83,978,250	Tulare Joint Union High School District	\$45,000,000	2004-03-02	Tulare/Kings	Q	66.7	6,308	9,112	69.2%
280	\$82,530,000	Monterey Peninsula Unified School District	\$110,000,000	2010-11-02	Monterey	P	55	15,558	21,745	71.5%
281	\$81,933,830	South Pasadena Unified School District	\$29,000,000	2002-11-05	Los Angeles	M	55	5,754	7,377	78.0%
282	\$80,824,788	Hanford Joint Union High School District	\$33,500,000	2004-11-02	Kings/Tulare	K	55	12,549	18,737	67.0%
283	\$79,714,962	Rosemead School District	\$30,000,000	2014-11-04	Los Angeles	RS	55	1,635	2,283	71.6%
			\$30,000,000	2008-11-04	Los Angeles	O	55	4,325	5,893	73.4%
284	\$79,228,914	Delano Joint Union High School District	\$55,000,000	2005-11-08	Kern/Tulare	C	66.7	3,321	4,552	73.0%
285	\$79,060,319	Richland School District	\$23,000,000	2002-06-04	Kern	F	66.7	1,006	1,283	78.4%
286	\$78,660,142	Banning Unified School District	\$12,000,000	2002-11-05	Riverside	L	55	2,620	3,865	67.8%
			\$63,000,000	2006-11-07	Riverside	R	55	2,635	4,546	58.0%
			\$63,000,000	2006-06-06	Riverside	R	55	2,635	4,546	58.0%
287	\$78,645,500	Cabrillo Unified School District	\$81,000,000	2012-06-05	San Mateo	S	55	3,803	6,730	56.5%
288	\$76,953,201	Tahoe Truckee Unified School District SFID No. 2	\$62,000,000	2014-11-04	El Dorado/Placer	E	55	2,074	3,442	60.3%
289	\$75,590,361	Belmont Redwood Shores School District	\$48,000,000	2014-11-04	San Mateo	I	55	7,017	10,837	64.8%

## All California Educational Bond Measures Approved by Voters Since November 2000 Enactment of Proposition 39 - Ranked by Aggregate Debt Service

Rank	Aggregate Debt	Educational District	Amount Authorized to Borrow	Year-Month-Day of Election	Counties	Measure	% Needed to Pass	Yes	Total	Passage
		Belmont-Redwood Shores School District	\$25,000,000	2010-11-02	San Mateo	I	55	9,509	14,431	65.9%
		Belmont-Redwood Shores School District ESFID	\$35,000,000	2010-11-02	San Mateo	N	55	6,744	10,539	64.0%
		Redwood Shores SFID	\$25,000,000	2005-11-08	San Mateo	C	55	2,312	3,401	68.0%
290	\$74,797,914	Temecula Valley Unified School District	\$165,000,000	2012-11-06	Riverside	Y	55	27,808	43,879	63.4%
291	\$73,884,379	Ross Valley Unified School District	\$41,000,000	2010-11-02	Marin	A	66.7	8,565	12,055	71.0%
292	\$73,237,463	Gateway Unified School District	\$22,000,000	2002-03-05	Shasta	B	55	3,623	5,864	61.8%
			\$19,000,000	2008-11-04	Shasta	G	55	7,152	12,396	57.7%
293	\$72,905,206	Pacific Grove Unified School District	\$42,000,000	2006-06-06	Monterey	D	55	3,411	5,200	65.6%
			\$18,000,000	2014-11-04	Monterey	A	55	4,020	6,724	59.8%
294	\$72,469,625	Visalia Unified School District	\$60,100,000	2012-11-06	Tulare	E	55	26,898	39,806	67.6%
295	\$71,546,202	Tracy Unified School District SFID No. 3	\$82,000,000	2014-06-03	San Joaquin/Alameda	B	55	4,570	7,028	65.0%
			\$43,100,000	2008-11-04	San Joaquin/Alameda	S	55	14,856	21,036	70.6%
296	\$71,471,900	Siskiyou Joint Community College District	\$31,400,000	2005-11-08	Siskiyou/Shasta	A	55	8,912	14,267	62.5%
297	\$71,423,429	Petaluma Joint Union High School District	\$68,000,000	2014-06-03	Sonoma	E	55	4,652	6,813	68.3%
298	\$71,376,435	Central School District	\$35,000,000	2014-11-04	San Bernardino	N	55	4,141	6,171	67.1%
			\$31,000,000	2008-11-04	San Bernardino	A	55	9,072	12,753	71.1%
299	\$70,713,038	Jurupa Unified School District	\$144,000,000	2014-11-04	Riverside	EE	55	5,824	9,578	60.8%
300	\$70,282,313	Fullerton Joint Union High School District	\$67,940,000	2002-03-05	Orange/Los Angeles	AA	55	21,974	36,437	60.3%
			\$175,000,000	2014-11-04	Orange/Los Angeles	I	55	25,415	43,353	58.6%
301	\$69,358,175	Lynwood Unified School District	\$20,000,000	2002-11-05	Los Angeles	C	55	4,448	5,799	76.7%
			\$93,000,000	2012-11-06	Los Angeles	K	55	7,719	13,452	57.4%
302	\$68,968,432	San Jacinto Unified School District	\$150,000,000	2006-11-07	Riverside	V	55	4,153	6,198	67.0%
303	\$68,898,915	Sonoma Valley Unified School District	\$40,000,000	2010-11-02	Sonoma	H	55	10,581	15,649	67.6%
304	\$68,551,513	Bellflower Unified School District	\$79,000,000	2012-11-06	Los Angeles	BB	55	16,469	22,624	72.8%
305	\$68,250,300	Sierra Joint Community College District SFID No. 2	\$44,400,000	2004-11-02	Nevada	G	55	24,877	41,666	59.7%
306	\$68,034,116	Tracy Unified School District	\$51,000,000	2006-06-06	San Joaquin/Alameda	E	55	7,230	10,644	67.9%
307	\$67,402,863	Liberty Union High School District	\$40,000,000	2001-03-06	Contra Costa	A	66.7	5,100	7,060	72.2%
308	\$67,060,931	Redwood City Elementary School District	\$22,000,000	2002-03-05	San Mateo	B	55	8,946	13,774	64.9%
309	\$66,940,500	Moreno Valley Unified School District	\$50,000,000	2004-03-02	Riverside	G	55	140	178	78.7%
			\$398,000,000	2014-11-04	Riverside	M	55	12,348	19,276	64.1%
310	\$66,360,725	San Lorenzo Valley Unified School District	\$18,900,000	2008-02-05	Santa Cruz	O	55	6,298	10,452	60.3%
311	\$66,151,623	Robla School District	\$29,800,000	2014-11-04	Sacramento	K	55	1,694	2,331	72.7%
312	\$63,151,833	Calexico Unified School District	\$30,000,000	2004-03-02	Imperial	J	55	2,680	3,562	75.2%
313	\$62,777,350	Folsom Cordova Unified School District SFID No. 1	\$49,000,000	2002-03-05	Sacramento	B	55	5,685	7,793	73.0%
314	\$62,601,356	Dixon Unified School District	\$29,200,000	2002-11-05	Solano	J	55	3,313	4,896	67.7%
315	\$62,171,725	South Bay Union School District	Reauthorize \$26,000,000	2012-11-06	San Diego	Y	55	14,123	18,600	75.9%
			\$59,400,000	2008-11-04	San Diego	X	55	15,219	19,909	76.4%
316	\$61,512,101	West Kern Community College District	\$39,800,000	2004-03-02	Kern	A	55	2,039	3,509	58.1%
317	\$60,761,004	Merced Community College District SFID No. 1	\$53,500,000	2002-11-05	Merced/Madera	H	55	17,048	30,594	55.7%
		Merced Community College District SFID No. 2	\$11,930,000	2002-11-05	Merced/Madera	J	55	4,644	6,863	67.7%
318	\$60,704,806	Taft City School District	\$20,000,000	2001-06-05	Kern	E	66.7	1,488	1,844	80.7%
			\$23,600,000	2012-06-05	Kern	C	55	1,132	2,027	55.8%
319	\$60,682,514	Norris School District	\$149,000,000	2012-06-05	Kern	B	55	3,017	5,475	55.1%
320	\$60,603,790	Saugus Union School District	\$48,000,000	2002-06-04	Los Angeles	E	66.7	4,462	6,043	73.8%

## All California Educational Bond Measures Approved by Voters Since November 2000 Enactment of Proposition 39 - Ranked by Aggregate Debt Service

Rank	Aggregate Debt	Educational District	Amount Authorized to Borrow	Year-Month-Day of Election	Counties	Measure	% Needed to Pass	Yes	Total	Passage
			\$148,000,000	2014-11-04	Los Angeles	EE	55	11,878	20,351	58.4%
321	\$60,589,376	Enterprise Elementary School District	\$34,000,000	2008-02-05	Shasta	A	55	4,340	7,574	57.3%
322	\$60,088,440	Rancho Santa Fe Elementary School District	\$4,800,000	2004-03-02	San Diego	K	55	1,417	2,238	63.3%
			\$34,000,000	2008-02-05	San Diego	E	55	2,041	2,878	70.9%
323	\$59,998,281	Merced City Elementary School District	\$26,500,000	2003-11-04	Merced	S	55	4,710	8,021	58.7%
			\$60,000,000	2014-06-03	Merced	M	55	5,277	9,217	57.3%
324	\$59,618,596	Tahoe Truckee Unified School District SFID No. 1	\$114,000,000	2014-11-04	Nevada/Placer	U	55	3,018	5,433	55.5%
325	\$59,501,108	Kerman Unified School District	\$24,900,000	2008-06-03	Fresno	K	55	931	1,447	64.3%
			\$11,000,000	2004-11-02	Fresno	K	55	2,407	3,387	71.1%
326	\$59,431,331	Hueneme Elementary School District	\$19,600,000	2012-11-06	Ventura	T	55	8,408	11,011	76.4%
			\$17,100,000	2004-11-02	Ventura	I	55	8,681	11,732	74.0%
327	\$59,093,712	Brentwood Union Elementary School District	\$35,000,000	2003-03-04	Contra Costa	B	66.7	2,968	4,385	67.7%
328	\$58,925,675	Bass Lake Joint Union Elementary School District	\$15,000,000	2006-06-06	Madera	R	55	2,213	3,520	62.9%
329	\$58,871,980	La Mesa Spring Valley School District	\$44,000,000	2002-03-05	San Diego	M	55	16,326	23,478	69.5%
330	\$56,495,440	Soledad Unified School District	\$40,000,000	2012-11-06	Monterey	C	66.7	2,332	3,131	74.5%
331	\$56,240,040	Calaveras Unified School District	\$13,500,000	2006-11-07	Calaveras	A	55	5,241	9,396	55.8%
332	\$55,727,788	Paso Robles Joint Unified School District	\$20,000,000	2006-11-07	San Luis Obispo/ Monterey	T	55	8,753	14,498	60.4%
333	\$55,269,800	Folsom Cordova Unified School District SFID No. 2	\$53,000,000	2002-03-05	Sacramento	C	55	8,657	12,623	68.6%
334	\$55,197,476	Buena Park Elementary School District	\$71,000,000	2014-06-03	Orange	B	55	2,229	3,333	66.9%
335	\$55,144,245	Sutter Union High School District	\$12,600,000	2008-06-03	Sutter	T	55	1,194	1,810	66.0%
336	\$55,029,529	Carmel Unified School District	\$21,500,000	2005-11-08	Monterey	A	55	7,744	10,512	73.7%
337	\$54,801,575	Rim of the World Unified School District	\$23,340,000	2008-11-04	San Bernardino	W	55	8,116	13,171	61.6%
338	\$53,195,241	Aromas-San Juan Unified School District	\$11,200,000	2002-03-05	Monterey/San Benito	S	55	1,243	1,988	62.5%
			\$9,700,000	2010-11-02	Monterey/San Benito	Z	55	1,822	3,116	58.5%
339	\$52,740,241	McFarland Unified School District	\$8,300,000	2004-03-02	Kern	B	55	649	833	77.9%
			\$25,000,000	2012-11-06	Kern	M	55	1,238	1,664	74.4%
340	\$52,309,823	Mojave Unified School District SFID No. 1	\$16,000,000	2002-03-05	Kern	C	66.7	1,365	1,917	71.2%
			\$8,100,000	2014-11-04	Kern	C	55	1,551	2,450	63.3%
			\$24,000,000	2008-02-05	Kern	A	55	1,746	2,793	62.5%
341	\$52,102,538	Orland Joint Unified School District	\$21,900,000	2008-02-05	Glenn	K	55	2,093	3,710	56.4%
342	\$51,806,500	Bellevue Union School District	\$12,000,000	2014-06-03	Sonoma	D	55	1,314	2,111	62.2%
			\$19,000,000	2008-11-04	Sonoma	J	55	3,953	5,755	68.7%
343	\$51,751,767	Fullerton School District	\$49,700,000	2002-03-05	Orange	CC	55	11,710	19,026	61.5%
344	\$51,455,235	Sierra Joint Community College District SFID No. 1	\$35,000,000	2004-11-02	Placer/Nevada/	H	55	8,856	12,872	68.8%
345	\$49,556,118	North Monterey County Unified School District	\$20,750,000	2002-11-05	Monterey	E	55	4,188	6,123	68.4%
			\$23,800,000	2013-11-05	Monterey	H	55	2,453	4,019	61.0%
346	\$48,915,401	Lindsay Unified School District	\$7,200,000	2002-03-05	Tulare	C	55	1,099	1,576	69.7%
			\$20,700,000	2008-02-05	Tulare	B	55	1,285	1,825	70.4%
			\$16,000,000	2012-11-06	Tulare	L	55	1,468	2,503	58.6%
347	\$48,520,213	Eureka Unified School District	\$49,750,000	2014-11-04	Humboldt	S	55	8,083	14,084	57.4%
		Eureka Unified School District SFID No. 1	\$10,585,000	2002-03-05	Humboldt	S	55	7,598	12,225	62.2%
348	\$47,901,154	Turlock Unified School District	\$7,725,000	2006-11-07	Stanislaus	Y	55	8,942	16,143	55.4%
349	\$47,721,312	Perris Elementary School District	\$40,000,000	2014-06-03	Riverside	C	55	1,153	1,738	66.3%
			\$25,000,000	2006-11-07	Riverside	S	55	2,823	4,111	68.7%

## All California Educational Bond Measures Approved by Voters Since November 2000 Enactment of Proposition 39 - Ranked by Aggregate Debt Service

Rank	Aggregate Debt	Educational District	Amount Authorized to Borrow	Year-Month-Day of Election	Counties	Measure	% Needed to Pass	Yes	Total	Passage
350	\$47,446,233	Dinuba Unified School District	\$37,000,000	2006-11-07	Fresno/Tulare	T	55	2,205	3,290	67.0%
			\$14,915,000	2002-11-05	Fresno/Tulare	K	55	2,266	3,228	70.2%
351	\$47,279,562	Healdsburg Unified School District	\$35,000,000	2012-06-05	Sonoma	E	55	2,790	4,546	61.4%
			\$3,990,000	2002-11-05	Sonoma	G	55	3,922	6,023	65.1%
352	\$47,218,516	West Hills Community College District SFID No. 3	<b>Reauthorize \$12,655,000</b>	2012-11-06	Fresno/Monterey/San Benito/Kings/Madera	L	55	5,946	10,109	58.8%
			\$31,000,000	2008-11-04	Fresno/Kings	E	55	7,512	11,763	63.9%
353	\$47,055,127	Woodside Elementary School District	\$13,500,000	2014-06-03	San Mateo	D	55	713	1,108	64.4%
			\$12,000,000	2005-11-08	San Mateo	D	55	1,042	1,522	68.5%
354	\$46,940,456	Apple Valley Unified School District	\$36,000,000	2004-03-02	San Bernardino	S	55	8,818	15,051	58.6%
355	\$46,856,812	College of the Redwoods	\$40,320,000	2004-11-02	Del Norte/Humboldt/Mendocino	B/Q	55	52,249	81,284	64.3%
356	\$46,776,885	Albany Unified School District	\$10,000,000	2008-02-05	Alameda	E	55	4,667	6,467	72.2%
			\$13,000,000	2004-11-02	Alameda	A	55	5,879	7,304	80.5%
357	\$46,437,025	Sierra Sands Unified School District	\$50,500,000	2006-06-06	Kern/San Bernardino	A	55	4,710	7,710	61.1%
358	\$45,814,338	La Canada Unified School District	\$25,000,000	2004-03-02	Los Angeles	B	55	4,408	6,939	63.5%
359	\$45,609,187	Reed Union School District	\$38,000,000	2001-11-06	Marin	C	66.7	2,886	3,864	74.7%
			\$13,000,000	2005-11-08	Marin	B	55	3,907	5,566	70.2%
360	\$45,388,443	Fallbrook Union Elementary School District	\$32,000,000	2002-11-05	San Diego	X	55	6,199	10,731	57.8%
361	\$45,377,535	Santa Rita Union Elementary School District	\$14,600,000	2006-11-07	Monterey	C	55	2,161	3,737	57.8%
362	\$45,011,145	Ripon Unified School District	\$10,000,000	2002-03-05	San Joaquin	J	55	2,060	3,535	58.3%
			\$25,236,190	2012-11-06	San Joaquin	G	55	4,264	7,395	57.7%
363	\$44,996,950	Conejo Valley Unified School District	\$197,000,000	2014-11-04	Ventura	I	55	27,468	41,652	65.9%
364	\$44,957,074	Denair Unified School District	\$8,200,000	2001-11-06	Stanislaus	P	66.7	959	1,181	81.2%
			\$13,000,000	2007-11-06	Stanislaus	K	55	812	1,290	62.9%
365	\$44,887,431	Calipatria Unified School District	\$9,000,000	2013-11-05	Imperial	T	55	422	705	59.9%
366	\$43,282,519	Coalinga-Huron Joint Unified School District	\$16,100,000	2010-11-02	Fresno/Monterey/San Benito	E	55	1,813	2,844	63.7%
367	\$42,771,345	Lakeside Union Elementary School District	\$22,500,000	2008-11-04	Kern	I	55	2,179	2,835	76.9%
368	\$42,466,491	Scotts Valley Unified School District	\$35,000,000	2014-06-03	Santa Cruz	A	55	3,978	5,512	72.2%
369	\$41,967,824	Castaic Union School District	\$51,000,000	2012-11-06	Los Angeles	QS	55	5,682	8,793	64.6%
370	\$41,925,257	Magnolia School District	\$16,300,000	2010-11-02	Orange	I	55	6,366	9,693	65.7%
371	\$41,826,550	Salinas Union High School District	\$52,000,000	2002-03-05	Monterey	F	55	12,746	21,111	60.4%
			\$37,000,000	2002-11-05	Monterey	M	55	14,651	21,589	67.9%
			\$128,000,000	2014-11-04	Monterey	B	55	14,730	24,428	60.3%
372	\$41,592,091	Fairfax Elementary School District	\$24,800,000	2010-11-02	Kern	C	55	1,265	1,708	74.1%
373	\$41,498,667	Culver City Unified School District	\$106,000,000	2014-06-03	Los Angeles	CC	55	4,706	6,169	76.3%
374	\$41,420,029	South San Francisco Unified School District	\$162,000,000	2010-11-02	San Mateo	J	55	13,758	17,743	77.5%
375	\$41,330,576	Oakley Union Elementary School District	\$16,500,000	2004-11-02	Contra Costa	H	55	5,988	8,670	69.1%
376	\$40,559,623	Selma Unified School District	\$23,800,000	2006-11-07	Fresno	A	55	3,091	4,410	70.1%
377	\$40,235,788	Lompoc Unified School District	\$38,000,000	2002-11-05	Santa Barbara	N	66.7	9,049	12,993	69.6%
378	\$39,469,491	Los Nietos School District	\$31,140,000	2008-06-03	Los Angeles	M	55	738	1,029	71.7%
			\$13,000,000	2014-11-04	Los Angeles	N	55	1,285	1,668	77.0%
			\$15,000,000	2014-11-04	Los Angeles	E	55	1,300	1,686	77.1%
379	\$39,162,300	Shasta Union High School District	\$31,000,000	2001-11-06	Shasta	B	55	8,590	14,949	57.5%
380	\$38,326,622	John Swett Unified School District	\$10,000,000	2002-03-05	Contra Costa	B	55	1,778	2,673	66.5%
			\$20,000,000	2008-11-04	Contra Costa	A	55	3,950	5,350	73.8%

## All California Educational Bond Measures Approved by Voters Since November 2000 Enactment of Proposition 39 - Ranked by Aggregate Debt Service

Rank	Aggregate Debt	Educational District	Amount Authorized to Borrow	Year-Month-Day of Election	Counties	Measure	% Needed to Pass	Yes	Total	Passage
381	\$38,068,824	Buckeye Union School District	\$28,300,000	2006-11-07	El Dorado	K	55	7,910	12,670	62.4%
382	\$37,968,495	Mount Pleasant School District	\$25,000,000	2012-11-06	Santa Clara	L	55	4,482	5,938	75.5%
383	\$37,565,462	Stanislaus Union School District	\$39,800,000	2008-11-04	Stanislaus	T	55	7,213	10,214	70.6%
384	\$37,475,529	Shasta-Tehama-Trinity Joint Community College District	\$34,000,000	2002-03-05	Humboldt/Lassen/Modoc/Shasta/Tehama/Trinity	A	55	33,076	55,884	59.2%
385	\$37,249,566	Galt Joint Union High School District	\$9,240,000	2001-10-23	Sacramento/San Joaquin	W	66.7	2,136	3,027	70.6%
			\$29,200,000	2005-11-08	Sacramento/San Joaquin	B	66.7	6,569	9,251	71.0%
386	\$36,933,823	Standard School District	\$28,000,000	2006-06-06	Kern	D	55	2,166	3,342	64.8%
			<b>Reauthorize \$11,200,000</b>	2012-11-06	Kern	Q	55	4,179	5,923	70.6%
387	\$36,607,995	Walnut Creek School District	\$20,000,000	2002-11-05	Contra Costa	C	55	11,876	18,290	64.9%
388	\$36,580,000	Newman-Crows Landing Unified School District	\$25,000,000	2008-11-04	Stanislaus	R	55	1,998	3,114	64.2%
389	\$36,549,483	Calistoga Joint Unified School District	\$42,000,000	2010-11-02	Sonoma/Napa	A	55	1,572	2,411	65.2%
390	\$36,395,821	Cloverdale Unified School District	\$17,000,000	2010-11-02	Sonoma	G	55	2,096	3,769	55.6%
391	\$36,357,425	Salinas City Elementary School District	\$80,000,000	2008-06-03	Monterey	T	55	5,513	8,627	63.9%
392	\$35,236,360	Huntington Beach City Elementary School District	\$30,000,000	2002-03-05	Orange	DD	55	11,913	19,584	60.8%
393	\$35,173,563	Roseville City School District	\$29,117,071	2002-11-05	Placer	H	55	11,174	18,219	61.3%
394	\$34,417,506	Old Adobe Union School District	\$26,000,000	2012-06-05	Sonoma	G	55	3,391	5,969	56.8%
395	\$34,033,591	Oroville Union High School District	\$10,400,000	2002-11-05	Butte	J	55	6,809	11,379	59.8%
			\$12,000,000	2008-11-04	Butte	G	55	10,978	18,552	59.2%
396	\$34,007,022	Fruitvale School District	\$29,800,000	2006-06-06	Kern	C	55	2,257	4,009	56.3%
397	\$33,996,696	Bonsall Unified School District	\$17,000,000	2005-11-08	San Diego	C	55	2,767	4,218	65.6%
398	\$33,757,831	Southern Humboldt Joint Unified School District	\$25,200,000	2010-06-08	Humboldt/Mendocino	L	55	1,528	2,379	64.2%
			\$10,000,000	2014-11-04	Humboldt/Mendocino	X	55	1,632	2,510	65.0%
399	\$33,642,388	Mendota Unified School District	\$15,000,000	2014-11-04	Fresno	M	55	628	785	80.0%
			\$19,000,000	2012-11-06	Fresno	M	55	856	1,158	73.9%
400	\$33,606,175	Mark West Union School District	\$14,000,000	2010-06-08	Sonoma	C	55	2,372	3,994	59.4%
			\$11,000,000	2002-11-05	Sonoma	I	55	2,957	4,348	68.0%
401	\$33,530,956	Arvin Union School District	\$11,000,000	2004-03-02	Kern	C	55	854	1,105	77.3%
			\$15,000,000	2014-11-04	Kern	E	55	1,114	1,402	79.5%
402	\$32,971,096	Escalon Unified School District	\$7,500,000	2002-11-05	San Joaquin	T	55	2,402	3,531	68.0%
			\$19,500,000	2012-11-06	San Joaquin	B	55	3,279	5,115	64.1%
403	\$32,609,613	Piner-Olivet Union School District	\$20,000,000	2010-11-02	Sonoma	L	55	3,006	5,429	55.4%
404	\$32,476,035	Willits Unified School District	\$43,000,000	2010-06-08	Mendocino	B	55	2,211	3,606	61.3%
405	\$32,374,479	Kingsburg Joint Union High School District	\$13,000,000	2014-06-03	Fresno/Kings/Tulare	K	55	1,588	2,844	55.8%
			\$9,900,000	2006-06-06	Fresno/Kings/Tulare	K	55	1,688	2,897	58.3%
406	\$32,182,726	Yuba City Unified School District	\$25,670,000	2004-11-02	Sutter	K	55	9,383	15,729	59.7%
407	\$32,155,996	Santa Paula Union High School District	\$39,000,000	2008-11-04	Ventura	Q	55	5,871	8,340	70.4%
408	\$32,138,350	Wasco Union High School District	\$6,600,000	2001-06-05	Kern	F	66.7	1,229	1,642	74.8%
			\$33,500,000	2008-06-03	Kern	C	55	1,070	1,640	65.2%
409	\$31,995,000	Modesto City Schools (Modesto)	\$17,000,000	2001-11-06	Stanislaus	S	55	9,167	13,110	69.9%
410	\$31,891,738	Fillmore Unified School District	\$10,000,000	2004-11-02	Ventura	E	55	3,650	5,370	68.0%
411	\$31,887,627	Coast Unified School District SFID No. 1	\$12,700,000	2002-03-05	San Luis Obispo	B	55	2,260	3,159	71.5%
412	\$31,337,650	Los Altos School District	\$150,000,000	2014-11-04	Santa Clara	N	55	8,671	15,106	57.4%
413	\$31,264,371	Sausalito Marin City School District	\$15,900,000	2004-11-02	Marin	I	55	4,346	5,911	73.5%
414	\$31,121,459	Wright Elementary School District	\$14,000,000	2012-06-05	Sonoma	M	55	1,913	3,078	62.2%

## All California Educational Bond Measures Approved by Voters Since November 2000 Enactment of Proposition 39 - Ranked by Aggregate Debt Service

Rank	Aggregate Debt	Educational District	Amount Authorized to Borrow	Year-Month-Day of Election	Counties	Measure	% Needed to Pass	Yes	Total	Passage
415	\$31,006,600	Panama-Buena Vista Union School District	\$147,000,000	2012-11-06	Kern	P	55	23,690	36,447	65.0%
416	\$30,723,628	Weaver Union School District	\$9,800,000	2006-06-06	Merced	B	55	533	850	62.7%
			\$9,000,000	2012-11-06	Merced	G	55	1,422	2,534	56.1%
417	\$30,034,362	Tahoe Truckee Unified School District	\$30,450,000	2002-11-05	El Dorado/Placer	J	55	2,076	3,603	57.6%
418	\$29,001,414	River Delta Unified School District SFID No. 2	\$9,000,000	2004-11-02	Yolo/Sacramento/Solano	V	55	1,165	1,529	76.2%
419	\$28,983,448	Siskiyou Union High School District	\$12,500,000	2008-11-04	Siskiyou	Q	55	4,418	7,659	57.7%
420	\$28,262,085	West Sonoma County Union High School District	\$23,800,000	2010-11-02	Sonoma	I	55	15,375	20,443	75.2%
421	\$28,249,499	Rio Bravo-Greeley Union School District	\$10,900,000	2008-02-05	Kern	B	55	957	1,372	69.8%
422	\$28,085,352	Petaluma City School District	\$21,000,000	2014-06-03	Sonoma	C	55	9,760	15,033	64.9%
423	\$27,937,231	Ocean View School District	\$13,200,000	2006-11-07	Ventura	J	55	1,571	2,180	72.1%
			<b>Reauthorize \$4,200,000</b>	2012-11-06	Ventura	P	55	2,530	3,230	78.3%
424	\$27,498,888	Bennett Valley Union School District	\$10,600,000	2010-11-02	Sonoma	J	55	2,976	4,732	62.9%
425	\$27,380,115	Hughson Unified School District	\$14,300,000	2004-11-02	Stanislaus	G	55	2,788	4,146	67.2%
426	\$27,047,904	Susanville Elementary School District	\$9,000,000	2008-11-04	Lassen	S	55	3,025	4,552	66.5%
427	\$26,785,689	Copper Mountain Community College District	\$19,720,000	2004-11-02	San Bernardino	T	55	12,455	17,379	71.7%
428	\$26,274,556	Mendocino Unified School District	\$15,500,000	2006-11-07	Mendocino	AA	55	2,327	3,281	70.9%
429	\$26,258,138	Charter Oak Unified School District	\$47,000,000	2012-06-05	Los Angeles	CO	55	3,035	4,839	62.7%
430	\$26,234,545	Lennox Elementary School District	\$10,700,000	2007-11-06	Los Angeles	L	55	682	881	77.4%
431	\$25,303,438	Northern Humboldt Union High School District	\$25,800,000	2010-11-02	Humboldt	Q	55	10,386	17,465	59.5%
432	\$25,118,319	Santa Rosa Elementary School District	\$19,000,000	2002-03-05	Sonoma	C	55	9,204	13,074	70.4%
			\$54,000,000	2014-11-04	Sonoma	L	55	11,000	15,919	69.1%
433	\$24,913,992	Templeton Unified School District	\$35,000,000	2012-11-06	San Luis Obispo	H	55	3,017	5,213	57.9%
434	\$24,654,722	Middletown Unified School District	\$15,300,000	2006-11-07	Lake	X	55	2,020	3,021	66.9%
435	\$24,651,613	Centralia School District	\$17,500,000	2002-11-05	Orange	H	55	5,760	8,589	67.1%
436	\$24,085,823	Del Norte County School District	\$24,987,000	2008-11-04	Del Norte	A	55	5,338	9,265	57.6%
437	\$24,062,865	Caruthers Unified School District	\$12,000,000	2012-11-06	Fresno	C	55	1,264	1,888	66.9%
438	\$23,627,819	Beardsley School District	\$10,300,000	2006-06-06	Kern	B	55	1,444	2,383	60.6%
439	\$23,309,171	Kingsburg Joint Union Elementary School District	\$7,500,000	2004-03-02	Tulare/Kings/Fresno	R	55	2,221	3,175	70.0%
440	\$23,034,031	Reef-Sunset Unified School District	\$10,830,000	2012-06-05	Kings	M	55	376	451	83.4%
441	\$22,997,866	Brisbane Elementary School District	\$11,000,000	2003-11-04	San Mateo	C	55	706	1,083	65.2%
442	\$22,781,800	Newhall School District	\$60,000,000	2011-11-08	Los Angeles	E	55	3,706	5,556	66.7%
443	\$22,755,350	Bear Valley Unified School District	\$25,000,000	2002-11-05	San Bernardino	Q	55	3,423	5,351	64.0%
444	\$22,720,617	La Habra City School District	\$31,000,000	2012-11-06	Orange	O	55	8,874	14,489	61.2%
445	\$22,704,644	Portola Valley School District	\$6,000,000	2001-11-06	San Mateo	J	55	1,823	2,483	73.4%
446	\$22,563,738	Mountain View School District SFID No. 1	\$17,000,000	2001-05-08	San Bernardino	V	66.7	284	372	76.3%
447	\$21,944,768	Fortuna Elementary School District	\$3,900,000	2006-11-07	Humboldt	X	55	1,720	2,329	73.9%
448	\$21,905,900	Byron Union School District	\$19,700,000	2006-06-06	Contra Costa	C	55	1,617	2,767	58.4%
449	\$21,878,079	Live Oak School District	\$14,500,000	2004-03-02	Santa Cruz	E	55	4,446	6,100	72.9%
450	\$21,800,623	Oakdale Joint Unified School District	\$20,000,000	2002-11-05	San Joaquin	C	55	28	44	63.6%
451	\$21,327,913	Washington Union School District	\$13,000,000	2001-03-20	Monterey	A	66.7	1,253	1,704	73.5%
452	\$21,249,655	West Hills Community College District SFID No. 1	\$11,800,000	2008-06-03	Fresno/Madera	Q	55	782	1,171	66.8%
		West Hills Community College District SFID No. 2	\$11,600,000	2008-11-04	Fresno/Monterey/San Benito	C	55	2,656	4,040	65.7%
453	\$21,102,065	Imperial Unified School District	\$12,000,000	2004-03-02	Imperial	I	55	1,265	1,823	69.4%
454	\$20,847,276	Konocti Unified School District	\$18,500,000	2004-11-02	Lake	G	55	5,173	7,219	71.7%

## All California Educational Bond Measures Approved by Voters Since November 2000 Enactment of Proposition 39 - Ranked by Aggregate Debt Service

Rank	Aggregate Debt	Educational District	Amount Authorized to Borrow	Year-Month-Day of Election	Counties	Measure	% Needed to Pass	Yes	Total	Passage
455	\$20,722,992	Needles Unified School District	\$10,900,000	2008-06-03	San Bernardino	N	55	623	1,049	59.4%
456	\$20,596,854	Kernville Union School District	\$6,000,000	2008-06-03	Kern	D	55	1,778	3,060	58.1%
			\$5,600,000	2004-03-02	Kern	E	55	2,559	3,770	67.9%
457	\$20,475,550	Acton-Agua Dulce Unified School District	\$13,000,000	2008-11-04	Los Angeles	CF	55	3,486	6,252	55.8%
458	\$20,395,744	College School District	\$9,370,000	2004-11-02	Santa Barbara	Z	55	2,028	3,271	62.0%
459	\$20,024,620	Healdsburg Unified School District SFID No. 1	\$18,820,000	2002-11-05	Sonoma	H	55	3,519	5,214	67.5%
460	\$19,903,225	Porterville Unified School District FID	\$23,000,000	2002-11-05	Tulare	A	55	3,681	5,368	68.6%
461	\$19,239,800	Hermosa Beach City School District	\$13,600,000	2002-11-05	Los Angeles	J	55	3,874	5,944	65.2%
462	\$19,223,227	Kentfield School District	\$11,900,000	2004-03-02	Marin	E	55	3,259	4,630	70.4%
			\$30,000,000	2014-11-04	Marin	D	55	3,314	4,673	70.9%
463	\$18,718,110	Spreckels Union School District	\$5,335,000	2002-11-05	Monterey	D	55	1,594	2,322	68.6%
			\$7,000,000	2012-11-06	Monterey	B	55	2,091	3,346	62.5%
464	\$18,654,055	Greenfield Union School District	\$8,300,000	2010-06-08	Monterey	L	55	761	1,276	59.6%
			\$10,000,000	2014-11-04	Monterey	D	55	987	1,623	60.8%
			\$10,000,000	2014-11-04	Monterey	C	55	1,014	1,626	62.4%
465	\$18,601,934	Shoreline Unified School District	\$9,290,000	2009-11-03	Marin/Sonoma	D	55	1,033	1,624	63.6%
466	\$18,494,313	Lucia Mar Unified School District	\$21,350,000	2004-03-02	San Luis Obispo	A	55	13,848	21,869	63.3%
467	\$18,271,034	Planada Elementary School District	\$1,500,000	2014-06-03	Merced	O	55	220	353	62.3%
			\$2,900,000	2008-11-04	Merced	O	55	625	904	69.1%
468	\$18,024,797	Nevada Joint Union High School District	\$15,000,000	2002-03-05	Nevada	A	55	16,397	26,704	61.4%
469	\$17,895,206	Forestville Union School District	\$5,100,000	2001-11-06	Sonoma	E	66.7	1,041	1,464	71.1%
			\$5,100,000	2010-11-02	Sonoma	K	55	2,104	3,183	66.1%
470	\$17,688,768	Buellton Union School District	\$3,200,000	2012-06-05	Santa Barbara	V	55	278	479	58.0%
			\$6,500,000	2004-03-02	Santa Barbara	U	55	1,176	1,905	61.7%
471	\$17,559,250	Sulphur Springs Union Elementary School District	\$72,000,000	2012-06-05	Los Angeles	CK	55	4,394	7,465	58.9%
472	\$17,394,000	Plumas Unified School District	\$15,000,000	2002-11-05	Plumas	A	66.7	5,206	7,735	67.3%
473	\$17,246,650	Brawley Elementary School District	\$7,500,000	2012-11-06	Imperial	S	55	4,081	6,096	66.9%
474	\$17,076,024	Sebastopol Union School District	\$3,200,000	2001-06-05	Sonoma	C	66.7	2,642	3,398	77.8%
			\$9,000,000	2012-06-05	Sonoma	H	55	2,869	4,094	70.1%
475	\$16,876,900	Duarte Unified School District	\$62,000,000	2010-11-02	Los Angeles	E	55	4,198	7,008	59.9%
476	\$16,535,819	Linden Unified School District	\$11,500,000	2002-11-05	San Joaquin	S	55	2,099	3,764	55.8%
477	\$16,358,925	Big Oak Flat-Groveland Unified School District	\$9,300,000	2005-11-08	Tuolumne	M	55	1,563	2,285	68.4%
478	\$15,957,188	Bret Harte Union High School District	\$18,000,000	2008-11-04	Calaveras	K	55	6,167	10,295	59.9%
479	\$15,844,260	Cayucos Elementary School District	\$2,900,000	2006-11-07	San Luis Obispo	Z	55	1,003	1,551	64.7%
			\$4,950,000	2004-11-02	San Luis Obispo	P	55	1,316	1,893	69.5%
480	\$15,829,245	Chatom Union Elementary School District	\$5,000,000	2006-11-07	Stanislaus	U	55	617	1,007	61.3%
481	\$15,648,487	Hart-Ransom Union School District	\$3,900,000	2007-11-06	Stanislaus	G	55	388	656	59.1%
482	\$15,636,113	Exeter Union Elementary School District	\$6,400,000	2008-02-05	Tulare	D	55	2,066	3,031	68.2%
483	\$15,152,514	Live Oak Unified School District	\$8,400,000	2004-03-02	Sutter	G	55	961	1,515	63.4%
484	\$15,054,650	Mark Twain Union Elementary School District	\$9,600,000	2004-03-02	Calaveras	K	55	1,786	2,885	61.9%
485	\$14,840,821	Arcata Elementary School District	\$7,000,000	2012-11-06	Humboldt	F	55	4,667	6,195	75.3%
486	\$14,809,324	Soquel Union Elementary School District	\$15,000,000	2002-03-05	Santa Cruz	A	55	5,118	7,145	71.6%
487	\$14,687,950	Carpinteria Unified School District	\$90,000,000	2014-11-04	Santa Barbara	U	55	3,901	5,881	66.3%
488	\$14,348,660	Holtville Unified School District	\$8,000,000	2002-11-05	Imperial	E	55	1,282	1,666	77.0%

## All California Educational Bond Measures Approved by Voters Since November 2000 Enactment of Proposition 39 - Ranked by Aggregate Debt Service

Rank	Aggregate Debt	Educational District	Amount Authorized to Borrow	Year-Month-Day of Election	Counties	Measure	% Needed to Pass	Yes	Total	Passage
489	\$14,176,406	River Delta Unified School District SFID No. 1	\$14,000,000	2004-11-02	Sacramento/Solano/Yolo	U	55	3,017	4,347	69.4%
490	\$13,920,663	Ojai Unified School District	\$35,000,000	2014-11-04	Ventura	J	55	5,223	7,655	68.2%
491	\$13,753,319	Sonora Union High School District	\$23,000,000	2012-11-06	Tuolumne	J	55	9,171	16,234	56.5%
492	\$13,664,150	Las Lomitas School District	\$60,000,000	2013-11-05	San Mateo	S	55	2,305	3,117	73.9%
493	\$13,604,205	Ross School District	\$6,750,000	2008-06-03	Marin	A	55	894	1,183	75.6%
			\$15,000,000	2006-06-06	Marin	B	66.7	976	1,237	78.9%
494	\$13,426,468	Nuview Union School District	\$39,600,000	2006-06-06	Riverside	D	55	622	1,103	56.4%
			<b>Reauthorize \$4,000,000</b>	2012-11-06	Riverside	V	55	1,632	2,526	64.6%
495	\$13,282,548	Mojave Unified School District SFID No. 2	\$14,000,000	2008-11-04	Kern	G	55	804	1,145	70.2%
496	\$13,164,366	Parlier Unified School District	\$6,000,000	2014-06-03	Fresno	P	55	554	840	66.0%
			\$4,500,000	2004-11-02	Fresno	P	55	1,152	1,603	71.9%
497	\$13,138,803	Cascade Union Elementary School District	\$12,400,000	2008-11-04	Shasta	C	55	3,211	4,800	66.9%
498	\$13,018,100	Turlock Unified School District SFID No. 1	\$9,900,000	2006-11-07	Stanislaus/Merced	Z	55	8,570	14,405	59.5%
499	\$12,545,726	Amador County Unified School District	\$11,000,000	2002-11-05	Amador	F	55	8,332	12,752	65.3%
500	\$12,441,182	Twin Hills Union School District	\$11,000,000	2010-11-02	Sonoma	M	55	1,975	3,046	64.8%
501	\$12,243,611	Winters Joint Unified School District	\$15,000,000	2014-06-03	Solano/Yolo	R	55	1,097	1,538	71.3%
502	\$11,986,238	Chowchilla Union High School District	\$8,100,000	2004-11-02	Madera	N	55	2,258	3,368	67.0%
503	\$11,845,946	Pixley Union School District	\$7,800,000	2014-06-03	Tulare	Z	55	229	298	76.8%
504	\$11,645,964	Oak View Union School District	\$3,700,000	2008-06-03	San Joaquin	R	55	380	568	66.9%
505	\$11,594,841	Lost Hills Union School District	\$6,200,000	2005-11-08	Kern	G	55	35	58	60.3%
506	\$11,377,659	Eureka Unified School District	\$32,515,000	2002-03-05	Humboldt	T	55	5,059	7,881	64.2%
507	\$11,369,251	Chawanakee Unified School District	\$9,000,000	2005-11-08	Madera	Q	55	1,126	1,976	57.0%
508	\$11,298,828	Loma Prieta Schools	\$4,965,000	2002-03-05	Santa Clara/Santa Cruz	K	55	982	1,466	67.0%
509	\$11,076,024	Roseland School District	\$7,000,000	2012-11-06	Sonoma	N	55	1,612	2,335	69.0%
510	\$11,020,248	Elk Hills School District	\$7,905,000	2004-03-02	Kern	D	55	54	72	75.0%
511	\$11,016,630	El Centro Elementary School District	\$8,000,000	2003-11-04	Imperial	G	55	1,493	2,522	59.2%
512	\$11,015,631	La Honda-Pescadero Unified	\$15,000,000	2006-11-07	San Mateo	I	55	918	1,309	70.1%
513	\$10,997,538	McCabe Union Elementary School District	\$7,000,000	2014-11-04	Imperial	G	55	780	1,370	56.9%
514	\$10,852,101	Anderson Valley Unified School District	\$15,250,000	2010-06-08	Mendocino	A	55	551	847	65.1%
515	\$10,799,124	Mesa Union Elementary School District	\$4,000,000	2008-06-03	Ventura	A	55	506	827	61.2%
			\$3,900,000	2002-11-05	Ventura	H	55	786	1,204	65.3%
516	\$10,778,781	Yreka Union High School District	\$8,000,000	2014-11-04	Siskiyou	H	55	2,942	5,316	55.3%
517	\$10,667,745	Wheatland School District	\$5,700,000	2008-11-04	Yuba	R	55	1,465	2,243	65.3%
			\$9,000,000	2012-11-06	Yuba	U	55	2,250	3,637	61.9%
518	\$10,626,544	Little Lake City School District	\$18,000,000	2012-11-06	Los Angeles	EE	55	8,041	10,471	76.8%
519	\$10,584,415	Keyes Union High School District	\$5,000,000	2005-03-08	Stanislaus	L	66.7	392	532	73.7%
520	\$10,370,863	Midway School District	\$7,800,000	2004-03-02	Kern	F	55	93	137	67.9%
521	\$10,143,022	Rohnerville School District (in Fortuna Elementary School District)	\$5,000,000	2010-06-08	Humboldt	M	55	934	1,581	59.1%
522	\$10,135,688	Helendale School District	\$20,000,000	2008-11-04	San Bernardino	X	55	1,707	2,507	68.1%
523	\$10,106,868	Dehesa School District	\$5,500,000	2010-11-02	San Diego	M	55	507	868	58.4%
			<b>Reauthorize \$3,000,000</b>	2012-11-06	San Diego	D	55	562	1,005	55.9%
524	\$10,055,696	Woodlake Union High School District	\$4,500,000	2008-02-05	Tulare	C	55	1,592	2,576	61.8%
525	\$10,022,294	Turlock Unified School District (Turlock Elementary School District)	\$10,950,000	2002-11-05	Stanislaus/Merced	D	55	8,989	13,048	68.9%
526	\$9,928,928	Bishop Union Elementary School District	\$3,000,000	2008-11-04	Inyo	A	55	3,740	5,375	69.6%

## All California Educational Bond Measures Approved by Voters Since November 2000 Enactment of Proposition 39 - Ranked by Aggregate Debt Service

Rank	Aggregate Debt	Educational District	Amount Authorized to Borrow	Year-Month-Day of Election	Counties	Measure	% Needed to Pass	Yes	Total	Passage
527	\$9,775,229	Black Oak Mine Unified School District	\$6,900,000	2006-11-07	El Dorado	G	55	3,768	6,034	62.4%
528	\$9,373,300	Pacheco Union School District	\$11,000,000	2008-11-04	Shasta	B	55	2,534	4,548	55.7%
529	\$9,333,359	Happy Valley Union Elementary School District	\$2,495,000	2014-06-03	Shasta	C	55	724	1,146	63.2%
			\$3,800,000	2008-11-04	Shasta	E	55	1,743	2,787	62.5%
530	\$9,325,466	Delhi Unified School District	\$8,000,000	2012-11-06	Merced	E	55	1,435	2,013	71.3%
531	\$9,295,888	San Benito High School District	\$42,500,000	2014-06-03	San Benito/Santa Clara	G	55	3,524	6,260	56.3%
532	\$9,104,756	Guerneville School District	\$6,000,000	2012-06-05	Sonoma	F	55	993	1,533	64.8%
533	\$9,090,600	Dixie School District	\$30,000,000	2014-11-04	Marin	C	55	6,083	8,158	74.6%
534	\$9,080,919	Palo Verde Community College District	\$6,000,000	2005-06-07	San Bernardino	K	66.7	608	912	66.7%
535	\$8,881,981	Arena Union Elementary School District	\$3,700,000	2003-11-04	Mendocino	E	55	554	752	73.7%
536	\$8,728,475	Lakeport Unified School District	\$7,300,000	2001-11-06	Lake	W	55	1,246	1,794	69.5%
			\$17,000,000	2014-11-04	Lake	T	55	1,543	2,405	64.2%
537	\$8,708,880	Earlmar School District	\$3,600,000	2012-11-06	Tulare	H	55	672	847	79.3%
538	\$8,593,856	Solvang School District	\$11,650,000	2006-06-06	Santa Barbara	J	55	1,148	1,863	61.6%
539	\$8,513,574	Wilmar Union School District	\$4,000,000	2012-11-06	Sonoma	P	55	1,075	1,679	64.0%
540	\$8,478,225	Somis Union School District	\$9,000,000	2012-11-06	Ventura	S	55	955	1,416	67.4%
541	\$8,214,325	Hope Elementary School District	\$8,000,000	2010-06-08	Santa Barbara	L	55	3,278	4,746	69.1%
542	\$8,126,141	El Tejon Unified School District	\$7,120,000	2005-11-08	Kern/Ventura	E	55	1,257	2,240	56.1%
543	\$7,938,729	Cold Spring School District	\$2,440,000	2008-11-04	Santa Barbara	C	55	987	1,653	59.7%
544	\$7,679,990	East Nicolaus Joint Union High School District	\$4,000,000	2014-11-04	Sutter/Placer	W	55	583	863	67.6%
545	\$7,652,500	Pioneer Elementary School District	\$7,500,000	2005-11-08	Kings	P	55	1,524	2,336	65.2%
546	\$7,624,319	Lagunitas Elementary School District	\$5,000,000	2013-11-05	Marin	A	55	754	1,093	69.0%
547	\$7,620,450	Anderson Union High School District	\$12,300,000	2012-11-06	Shasta	C	55	8,574	15,008	57.1%
548	\$7,373,975	Sonora Elementary School District	\$7,800,000	2010-11-02	Tuolumne	H	55	1,867	3,385	55.2%
549	\$7,319,390	Pierce Joint Unified School District	\$6,000,000	2002-03-05	Colusa	A	55	529	905	58.5%
550	\$7,275,600	Cutler-Orosi Joint Unified School District	\$6,400,000	2004-03-02	Fresno/Tulare	O	55	687	1,113	61.7%
551	\$7,253,700	Oroville City Elementary School District	\$6,000,000	2002-11-05	Butte	F	55	4,742	7,525	63.0%
552	\$7,027,655	Placerville Union School District	\$5,300,000	2002-11-05	El Dorado	K	55	3,274	4,896	66.9%
553	\$6,912,423	Big Pine Unified School District	\$4,100,000	2010-06-08	Inyo	A	55	360	581	62.0%
			\$2,200,000	2005-03-08	Inyo	B	66.7	410	600	68.3%
554	\$6,910,014	Terra Bella Union Elementary School District	\$3,300,000	2008-11-04	Tulare	K	55	763	1,133	67.3%
555	\$6,813,929	Dos Palos-Oro Loma Joint Unified School District	\$4,400,000	2002-11-05	Fresno/Merced	G	55	1,130	1,676	67.4%
556	\$6,577,469	Chualar Union Elementary School District	\$1,900,000	2001-11-06	Monterey	K	55	157	236	66.5%
			\$1,000,000	2004-03-02	Monterey	A	55	182	299	60.9%
557	\$6,488,708	Maricopa Unified School District	\$6,000,000	2004-11-02	Kern	O	55	342	534	64.0%
558	\$6,454,855	Los Olivos School District	\$4,650,000	2006-11-07	Santa Barbara	N	55	469	763	61.5%
559	\$6,432,156	Le Grand Union High School District	\$4,200,000	2014-06-03	Merced	N	55	401	717	55.9%
560	\$6,414,363	Fortuna Union High School District	\$10,000,000	2012-11-06	Humboldt	D	55	5,072	8,278	61.3%
561	\$6,393,614	Oak Grove Union School District	\$6,000,000	2014-11-04	Sonoma	K	55	1,174	1,846	63.6%
562	\$6,273,946	Gravenstein Union School District	\$6,000,000	2012-11-06	Sonoma	M	55	1,971	2,986	66.0%
563	\$6,094,425	Julian Union High School District	\$2,100,000	2010-11-02	San Diego	L	55	1,259	2,086	60.4%
564	\$6,070,000	Buttonwillow Union Elementary School District	\$6,500,000	2002-11-05	Kern	I	55	223	285	78.2%
565	\$6,006,313	Livingston Union School District	\$10,000,000	2005-11-08	Merced	B	55	1,002	1,487	67.4%
566	\$5,918,225	Blue Lake Union Elementary School District	\$2,000,000	2010-06-08	Humboldt	K	55	528	821	64.3%

## All California Educational Bond Measures Approved by Voters Since November 2000 Enactment of Proposition 39 - Ranked by Aggregate Debt Service

Rank	Aggregate Debt	Educational District	Amount Authorized to Borrow	Year-Month-Day of Election	Counties	Measure	% Needed to Pass	Yes	Total	Passage
567	\$5,892,230	Bayshore Elementary School District	\$6,000,000	2014-06-03	San Mateo	C	55	325	396	82.1%
568	\$5,878,839	Gold Oak Union School District	\$8,950,000	2008-11-04	El Dorado	E	55	2,326	4,149	56.1%
569	\$5,642,166	Owens Valley Unified School District	\$2,600,000	2005-11-08	Inyo	A	55	196	348	56.3%
570	\$5,477,575	Greenfield Union School District	\$5,000,000	2002-03-05	Kern	A	55	2,242	3,423	65.5%
			\$40,000,000	2006-11-07	Kern	H	55	3,241	4,636	69.9%
571	\$5,474,475	Howell Mountain School District	\$2,900,000	2005-11-08	Napa	C	55	663	939	70.6%
572	\$5,400,673	Trinidad Union School District	\$2,200,000	2012-06-05	Humboldt	W	55	568	697	81.5%
573	\$5,291,673	Princeton Unified School District	\$2,750,000	2014-06-03	Glenn/Colusa	S	55	165	262	63.0%
574	\$5,143,406	Bradley Union School District	\$1,100,000	2011-11-08	Monterey	T	55	33	54	61.1%
575	\$5,060,303	Geyserville Unified School District	\$3,250,000	2008-02-05	Sonoma	A	55	498	722	69.0%
576	\$4,952,028	North County Joint Union School District	\$3,350,000	2006-06-06	San Benito/Santa Clara	M	55	458	830	55.2%
577	\$4,765,503	Vineland School District	\$4,000,000	2007-05-22	Kern	C	66.7	188	227	82.8%
578	\$4,659,865	Valley Home Joint School District	\$1,400,000	2004-03-02	Stanislaus/San Joaquin	S	55	264	410	64.4%
579	\$4,570,763	Southern Trinity Unified School District	\$2,300,000	2012-06-05	Humboldt/Trinity	V	55	170	298	57.0%
580	\$4,537,969	Springville Union Elementary School District	\$4,000,000	2014-06-03	Tulare	J	55	724	1,229	58.9%
581	\$4,460,838	Franklin School District	\$2,000,000	2006-06-06	Sutter	P	55	452	724	62.4%
582	\$4,439,700	Gridley Unified School District ESFID No. 1	\$2,500,000	2012-06-05	Butte	D	55	1,058	1,911	55.4%
583	\$4,289,339	Elverta Elementary School District	\$10,500,000	2002-11-05	Sacramento/Placer	L	55	512	861	59.5%
584	\$3,961,942	Round Valley Unified School District	\$4,000,000	2014-06-03	Mendocino	L	55	290	398	72.9%
585	\$3,798,669	Pollock Pines Elementary School District	\$9,000,000	2012-06-05	El Dorado	K	55	1,606	2,601	61.7%
586	\$3,588,520	Merced River School District	\$1,800,000	2014-06-03	Merced	L	55	95	155	61.3%
587	\$3,513,780	Strathmore Union High School District	\$3,500,000	2002-02-05	Tulare	A	66.7	463	624	74.2%
588	\$3,242,413	Armona Union Elementary School District	\$2,785,000	2004-03-02	Kings	S	55	534	832	64.2%
589	\$3,175,110	Corning Union School District	\$2,700,000	2002-03-05	Tehama	B	55	1,358	2,357	57.6%
590	\$3,116,800	Klamath-Trinity Joint Unified School District	\$5,950,000	2008-11-04	Humboldt/Trinity	S	55	1,287	1,865	69.0%
591	\$3,104,700	Burton Elementary School District	\$2,455,000	2002-11-05	Tulare	B	55	1,471	2,327	63.2%
592	\$3,103,617	Pacific Elementary School District	\$830,000	2012-11-06	Santa Cruz	M	55	292	374	78.1%
593	\$2,871,725	Big Creek Elementary School District	\$1,400,000	2004-11-02	Fresno	M	55	167	246	67.9%
594	\$2,860,975	Cottonwood Union Elementary School District	\$2,200,000	2003-11-04	Shasta	A	55	1,111	1,678	66.2%
595	\$2,710,000	Kings River Union Elementary School District	\$850,000	2006-06-06	Tulare	N	55	163	289	56.4%
			\$850,000	2005-11-08	Tulare	E	55	300	457	65.6%
596	\$2,580,800	Hilmar Unified School District	\$2,000,000	2002-11-05	Merced	E	55	1,483	2,034	72.9%
597	\$2,396,250	Liberty School District	\$1,920,000	2004-11-02	Sonoma	L	55	618	959	64.4%
598	\$2,356,651	Grant Elementary School District	\$1,700,000	2004-03-02	Shasta	A	55	671	1,204	55.7%
599	\$2,328,810	Thermalito Union School District	\$2,050,000	2004-11-02	Butte	M	55	1,721	2,999	57.4%
600	\$2,325,000	Traver Joint Elementary School District	\$700,000	2004-03-02	Tulare/Kings	S	55	124	170	72.9%
			\$700,000	2004-11-02	Tulare/Kings	A	55	146	211	69.2%
601	\$2,295,740	Hydesville Elementary School District	\$1,100,000	2014-06-03	Humboldt	M	55	244	371	65.8%
602	\$1,998,263	Soulsbyville Elementary School District	\$1,500,000	2002-11-05	Tuolumne	B	55	1,057	1,541	68.6%
603	\$1,227,403	Stone Corral Elementary School District	\$715,000	2008-06-03	Tulare	F	55	46	71	64.8%
604	\$858,933	Banta Elementary School District	\$800,000	2002-11-05	San Joaquin	X	55	216	318	67.9%
605	\$610,000	Linns Valley-Poso Flat Elementary School District	\$340,000	2002-11-05	Tulare/Kern	J	55	191	266	71.8%
606	\$593,650	Paradise Elementary School District	\$660,000	2002-03-05	Stanislaus	X	55	151	239	63.2%
607	\$573,563	Loleta Union School District	\$456,000	2004-11-02	Humboldt	K	55	422	621	68.0%

## All California Educational Bond Measures Approved by Voters Since November 2000 Enactment of Proposition 39 - Ranked by Aggregate Debt Service

Rank	Aggregate Debt	Educational District	Amount Authorized to Borrow	Year-Month-Day of Election	Counties	Measure	% Needed to Pass	Yes	Total	Passage
608	\$540,938	Peninsula Union School District	\$600,000	2001-11-06	Humboldt	R	55	47	76	61.8%
609	\$438,095	Washington Colony Elementary School District	\$400,000	2004-03-02	Fresno	B	55	350	503	69.6%
610	\$399,925	Mission Union School District	\$315,000	2004-11-02	Monterey	E	55	103	126	81.7%
611	\$239,656	Graves Union School District	\$180,000	2004-11-02	Monterey	D	55	16	21	76.2%
N/A	Not issued yet	Briggs Elementary School District	\$4,500,000	2014-11-04	Ventura	K	55	398	587	67.8%
N/A	Not issued yet	Center Joint Unified School District	\$500,000,000	2008-11-04	Sacramento/Placer	N	55	5,082	8,278	61.4%
N/A	Not issued yet	Cinnabar Elementary School District	\$2,500,000	2014-11-04	Sonoma	J	55	494	808	61.1%
N/A	Not issued yet	Columbia School District	\$8,600,000	2014-11-04	Shasta	E	55	1,984	3,502	56.7%
N/A	Not issued yet	Colusa Unified School District	\$5,900,000	2014-11-04	Colusa	A	55	1,049	1,663	63.1%
N/A	Not issued yet	Farmersville Unified School District	\$4,800,000	2014-11-04	Tulare	A	55	575	871	66.0%
N/A	Not issued yet	Folsom Cordova Unified School District SFID No. 5	\$195,000,000	2014-11-04	Sacramento	G	55	13,332	19,350	68.9%
N/A	Not issued yet	Golden Plains Unified School District	\$13,000,000	2014-11-04	Fresno	G	55	402	657	61.2%
N/A	Not issued yet	Gustine Unified School District	\$14,000,000	2014-11-04	Merced	P	55	962	1,461	65.8%
N/A	Not issued yet	Hollister School District	\$28,500,000	2014-11-04	San Benito	M	55	5,729	9,035	63.4%
N/A	Not issued yet	Lake Tahoe Community College District	\$55,000,000	2014-11-04	El Dorado	F	55	3,784	6,547	57.8%
N/A	Not issued yet	Laytonville Unified School District	\$5,500,000	2014-11-04	Mendocino	Q	55	610	888	68.7%
N/A	Not issued yet	Manchester Union Elementary School District	\$1,310,000	2004-11-02	Mendocino	O	55	151	255	59.2%
N/A	Not issued yet	Mt. San Jacinto Community College District	\$295,000,000	2014-11-04	Riverside	AA	55	76,993	130,897	58.8%
N/A	Not issued yet	National School District	\$26,100,000	2014-11-04	San Diego	N	55	4,621	5,880	78.6%
N/A	Not issued yet	Rio School District	\$38,500,000	2014-11-04	Ventura	G	55	3,753	5,291	70.9%
N/A	Not issued yet	Roseville Joint Union High School District SFID No. 1	\$115,000,000	2007-04-24	Placer	A	66.7	11	12	91.7%
N/A	Not issued yet	San Luis Coastal Unified School District	\$177,000,000	2014-11-04	San Luis Obispo	D	55	18,400	25,636	71.8%
N/A	Not issued yet	Santa Maria-Bonita School District	\$45,000,000	2014-11-04	Santa Barbara/SLO	T	55	8,085	13,021	62.1%
N/A	Not issued yet	Tipton Elementary School District	\$3,300,000	2014-11-04	Tulare	C	55	211	353	59.8%
N/A	Not issued yet	West Hills Community College District	\$20,000,000	2014-11-04	Fresno/Monterey/San Benito/Kings/Madera	T	55	6,682	11,557	57.8%
N/A	Not issued yet	Western Placer Unified School District	\$60,000,000	2014-11-04	Placer	A	55	10,742	17,418	61.7%
N/A	Not issued yet	Willows Unified School District	\$14,000,000	2014-06-03	Glenn	T	55	140	177	79.1%
N/A	No statement for last 3 REF	Eastern Sierra Unified School District	\$11,100,000	2004-11-02	Mono	E	55	1,067	1,824	58.5%
N/A	No statement for 2014 REF	Gold Trail Union School District	\$1,800,000	2002-11-05	El Dorado	G	55	1,639	2,577	63.6%
N/A	No statement for 2012 REF	Columbia Union Elementary School District	\$3,600,000	2003-03-04	Tuolumne	G	55	937	1,417	66.1%
			\$3,700,000	2004-03-02	Tuolumne	H	55	1,351	2,404	56.2%
N/A	No statement for 2012 REF	Exeter Union High School District	\$4,350,000	2001-03-06	Tulare	S	55	1,268	1,836	69.1%
			\$5,100,000	2006-11-07	Tulare	U	55	2,486	3,931	63.2%
N/A	No statement for 2011 REF	South Whittier Elementary School District	\$7,500,000	2004-11-02	Los Angeles	I	55	4,577	5,910	77.4%
N/A	No statement for 2011 REF	Barstow Unified School District	\$14,000,000	2001-11-06	San Bernardino	C	55	2,492	4,386	56.8%
N/A	No statement for 2011 REF	Kelseyville Unified School District	\$2,930,000	2001-11-06	Lake	X	55	1,361	1,717	79.3%
N/A	No statement for 2008 Series A, Series B	Riverbank Unified School District	\$15,200,000	2005-11-08	Stanislaus	P	55	1,584	2,426	65.3%
	\$193,536,130,619		\$145,386,418,737	(Does not include the 16 reauthorizations.)				30,172,510	49,224,369	61.3%

# Appendix J

## All Educational Districts in Which Voters Authorized Borrowing Via Bond Sales Since November 2000 Enactment of Proposition 39 - Ratio of Current Debt Service to Amount Authorized Since Proposition 39

Rank	Aggregate Debt Service	Educational District	Amount Authorized to Borrow*	Ratio of Debt Service to Amount Authorized to Borrow
1	\$115,550,326	McKinleyville Union School District	\$14,000,000	8.254
2	\$1,242,287,328	Poway Unified School District SFID 2007-1	\$179,000,000	6.940
3	\$47,901,154	Turlock Unified School District	\$7,725,000	6.201
4	\$586,462,596	Escondido Union High School District	\$98,000,000	5.984
5	\$1,316,013,363	Grant Joint Union High School District (Now Twin Rivers USD)	\$230,000,000	5.722
6	\$21,944,768	Fortuna Elementary School District	\$3,900,000	5.627
7	\$102,617,363	Saratoga Union School District	\$19,900,000	5.157
8	\$44,887,431	Calipatria Unified School District	\$9,000,000	4.987
9	\$5,143,406	Bradley Union School District	\$1,100,000	4.676
10	\$585,151,849	Hartnell Community College District	\$131,000,000	4.467
11	\$55,144,245	Sutter Union High School District	\$12,600,000	4.377
12	\$283,268,176	Mendocino-Lake Community College District	\$67,500,000	4.197
13	\$56,240,040	Calaveras Unified School District	\$13,500,000	4.166
14	\$18,271,034	Planada Elementary School District	\$4,400,000	4.153
15	\$86,813,248	Fowler Unified School District	\$21,000,000	4.134
16	\$410,528,679	Paramount Unified School District	\$100,000,000	4.105
17	\$15,648,487	Hart-Ransom Union School District	\$3,900,000	4.012
18	\$58,925,675	Bass Lake Joint Union Elementary School District	\$15,000,000	3.928
19	\$775,792,331	Santa Ana Unified School District	\$200,000,000	3.879
20	\$206,164,296	Cypress School District	\$53,600,000	3.846
21	\$152,477,011	Palos Verdes Peninsula Unified School District	\$40,000,000	3.812
22	\$171,031,543	Monrovia Unified School District	\$45,000,000	3.801
23	\$22,704,644	Portola Valley School District	\$6,000,000	3.784
24	\$3,103,617	Pacific Elementary School District	\$830,000	3.739
25	\$194,331,532	Rocklin Unified School District	\$52,000,000	3.737
26	\$252,129,977	Manhattan Beach Unified School District	\$67,480,000	3.736
27	\$376,258,036	Upland Unified School District	\$103,000,000	3.653
28	\$231,561,564	Lake Tahoe Unified School District	\$64,500,000	3.590
29	\$693,368,612	Oceanside Unified School District	\$195,000,000	3.556
30	\$224,977,020	Westside Union School District	\$63,500,000	3.543
31	\$66,360,725	San Lorenzo Valley Unified School District	\$18,900,000	3.511
32	\$123,327,878	Jefferson School District	\$35,400,000	3.484

## All Educational Districts in Which Voters Authorized Borrowing Via Bond Sales Since November 2000 Enactment of Proposition 39 - Ratio of Current Debt Service to Amount Authorized Since Proposition 39

Rank	Aggregate Debt Service	Educational District	Amount Authorized to Borrow*	Ratio of Debt Service to Amount Authorized to Borrow
33	\$679,613,798	Alvord Unified School District	\$196,000,000	3.467
34	\$79,060,319	Richland School District	\$23,000,000	3.437
35	\$144,864,388	Ukiah Unified School District	\$43,000,000	3.369
36	\$458,785,266	Acalanes Union High School District	\$137,000,000	3.349
37	\$763,039,971	Escondido Union School District	\$228,400,000	3.341
38	\$4,659,865	Valley Home Joint School District	\$1,400,000	3.328
39	\$248,810,654	Whittier Union High School District	\$75,000,000	3.317
40	\$264,291,465	Walnut Valley Unified School District	\$79,800,000	3.312
41	\$9,928,928	Bishop Union Elementary School District	\$3,000,000	3.310
42	\$7,938,729	Cold Spring School District	\$2,440,000	3.254
43	\$29,001,414	River Delta Unified School District SFID No. 2	\$9,000,000	3.222
44	\$89,440,827	Lemon Grove School District	\$28,000,000	3.194
45	\$31,891,738	Fillmore Unified School District	\$10,000,000	3.189
46	\$123,701,248	El Segundo Unified School District	\$39,000,000	3.172
47	\$15,829,245	Chatom Union Elementary School District	\$5,000,000	3.166
48	\$11,645,964	Oak View Union School District	\$3,700,000	3.148
49	\$168,078,687	Savanna Elementary School District	\$53,685,000	3.131
50	\$129,149,014	Glendora Unified School District	\$41,310,000	3.126
51	\$208,152,688	Hillsborough City School District	\$66,800,000	3.116
52	\$45,377,535	Santa Rita Union Elementary School District	\$14,600,000	3.108
53	\$23,309,171	Kingsburg Joint Union Elementary School District	\$7,500,000	3.108
54	\$67,060,931	Redwood City Elementary School District	\$22,000,000	3.048
55	\$121,230,944	Sylvan Union School District	\$40,000,000	3.031
56	\$133,249,463	Hawthorne Elementary School District	<b>\$44,000,000</b>	3.028
57	\$27,047,904	Susanville Elementary School District	\$9,000,000	3.005
58	\$861,374,033	San Marcos Unified School District	\$287,000,000	3.001
59	\$253,502,040	Alhambra Unified School District	\$85,000,000	2.982
60	\$89,342,209	Garvey Elementary School District	\$30,000,000	2.978
61	\$5,918,225	Blue Lake Union Elementary School District	\$2,000,000	2.959
62	\$352,029,370	Wiseburn Unified School District	\$119,600,000	2.943
63	\$820,128,328	Newport-Mesa Unified School District	\$282,000,000	2.908
64	\$6,094,425	Julian Union High School District	\$2,100,000	2.902
65	\$173,764,517	Santee Elementary School District	\$60,000,000	2.896
66	\$118,951,681	Mountain View-Los Altos Union School District	\$41,300,000	2.880
67	\$426,651,231	Santa Barbara Elementary School District and Santa Barbara High School District	\$150,000,000	2.844
68	\$81,933,830	South Pasadena Unified School District	\$29,000,000	2.825
69	\$157,991,399	Piedmont Unified School District	\$56,000,000	2.821

# All Educational Districts in Which Voters Authorized Borrowing Via Bond Sales Since November 2000 Enactment of Proposition 39 - Ratio of Current Debt Service to Amount Authorized Since Proposition 39

Rank	Aggregate Debt Service	Educational District	Amount Authorized to Borrow*	Ratio of Debt Service to Amount Authorized to Borrow
70	\$552,217,504	Centinela Valley Union High School District	\$196,000,000	2.817
71	\$55,727,788	Paso Robles Joint Unified School District	\$20,000,000	2.786
72	\$136,933,975	Alhambra Unified School District ESID	\$50,000,000	2.739
73	\$135,544,814	Windsor Unified School District	\$50,000,000	2.711
74	\$1,328,256,540	Foothill-De Anza Community College District	\$490,800,000	2.706
75	\$308,326,405	Menlo Park City School District	\$114,100,000	2.702
76	\$169,856,485	Newark Unified School District	\$63,000,000	2.696
77	\$43,282,519	Coalinga-Huron Joint Unified School District	\$16,100,000	2.688
78	\$102,547,544	Fort Bragg Unified School District	\$38,200,000	2.684
79	\$1,316,013,363	Chabot-Las Positas Community College District	\$498,000,000	2.643
80	\$796,617,519	Placentia-Yorba Linda Unified School District	\$302,000,000	2.638
81	\$105,494,869	Orchard School District	\$40,000,000	2.637
82	\$1,275,122,944	San Mateo Union High School District	\$484,000,000	2.635
83	\$27,498,888	Bennett Valley Union School District	\$10,600,000	2.594
84	\$28,249,499	Rio Bravo-Greeley Union School District	\$10,900,000	2.592
85	\$41,925,257	Magnolia School District	\$16,300,000	2.572
86	\$55,029,529	Carmel Unified School District	\$21,500,000	2.560
87	\$53,195,241	Aromas-San Juan Unified School District	\$20,900,000	2.545
88	\$826,873,570	Yosemite Community College District	\$326,174,000	2.535
89	\$226,270,582	Campbell Union High School District	\$90,000,000	2.514
90	\$31,887,627	Coast Unified School District SFID No. 1	\$12,700,000	2.511
91	\$41,330,576	Oakley Union Elementary School District	\$16,500,000	2.505
92	\$436,676,161	San Mateo-Foster City School District	\$175,000,000	2.495
93	\$292,476,368	Cabrillo Community College District	\$118,500,000	2.468
94	\$315,201,893	Las Virgenes Unified School District	\$128,000,000	2.463
95	\$110,653,662	Larkspur-Corte Madera School District	\$45,000,000	2.459
96	\$5,400,673	Trinidad Union School District	\$2,200,000	2.455
97	\$26,234,545	Lennox Elementary School District	\$10,700,000	2.452
98	\$15,636,113	Exeter Union Elementary School District	\$6,400,000	2.443
99	\$239,000,672	Montebello Unified School District	\$98,000,000	2.439
100	\$531,607,001	Arcadia Unified School District	\$218,000,000	2.439
101	\$8,708,880	Earlimart School District	\$3,600,000	2.419
102	\$80,824,788	Hanford Joint Union High School District	\$33,500,000	2.413
103	\$835,866,713	Desert Community College District	\$346,500,000	2.412
104	\$174,482,212	Moorpark Unified School District	\$72,500,000	2.407
105	\$8,881,981	Arena Union Elementary School District	\$3,700,000	2.401
106	\$203,692,946	Ceres Unified School District	\$85,000,000	2.396

# All Educational Districts in Which Voters Authorized Borrowing Via Bond Sales Since November 2000 Enactment of Proposition 39 - Ratio of Current Debt Service to Amount Authorized Since Proposition 39

Rank	Aggregate Debt Service	Educational District	Amount Authorized to Borrow*	Ratio of Debt Service to Amount Authorized to Borrow
107	\$52,102,538	Orland Joint Unified School District	\$21,900,000	2.379
108	\$377,532,823	San Leandro Unified School District	\$159,100,000	2.373
109	\$54,801,575	Rim of the World Unified School District	\$23,340,000	2.348
110	\$304,897,627	Westminster School District	\$130,000,000	2.345
111	\$28,983,448	Siskiyou Union High School District	\$12,500,000	2.319
112	\$225,507,517	Rialto Unified School District	\$98,000,000	2.301
113	\$17,246,650	Brawley Elementary School District	\$7,500,000	2.300
114	\$23,627,819	Beardsley School District	\$10,300,000	2.294
115	\$71,471,900	Siskiyou Joint Community College District	\$31,400,000	2.276
116	\$11,298,828	Loma Prieta Schools	\$4,965,000	2.276
117	\$631,314,231	Napa Valley Unified School District	\$278,000,000	2.271
118	\$6,577,469	Chualar Union Elementary School District	\$2,900,000	2.268
119	\$336,935,436	Merced Union High School District	\$149,450,000	2.255
120	\$107,648,944	Morongo Unified School District	\$48,150,000	2.236
121	\$10,055,696	Woodlake Union High School District	\$4,500,000	2.235
122	\$4,460,838	Franklin School District	\$2,000,000	2.230
123	\$31,121,459	Wright Elementary School District	\$14,000,000	2.223
124	\$66,151,623	Robla School District	\$29,800,000	2.220
125	\$7,677,847,839	Los Angeles Community College District	\$3,500,000,000	2.194
126	\$20,395,744	College School District	\$9,370,000	2.177
127	\$102,173,755	Oak Park Unified School District	\$46,945,000	2.176
128	\$5,642,166	Owens Valley Unified School District	\$2,600,000	2.170
129	\$1,037,173,698	Fresno Unified School District	\$479,000,000	2.165
130	\$62,601,356	Dixon Unified School District	\$29,200,000	2.144
131	\$36,395,821	Cloverdale Unified School District	\$17,000,000	2.141
132	\$141,176,115	El Dorado Union High School District	\$66,300,000	2.129
133	\$8,513,574	Wilmar Union School District	\$4,000,000	2.128
134	\$23,034,031	Reef-Sunset Unified School District	\$10,830,000	2.127
135	\$97,622,274	Menifee Union School District	\$45,960,000	2.124
136	\$44,957,074	Denair Unified School District	\$21,200,000	2.121
137	\$14,840,821	Arcata Elementary School District	\$7,000,000	2.120
138	\$10,584,415	Keyes Union High School District	\$5,000,000	2.117
139	\$27,937,231	Ocean View School District	\$13,200,000	2.116
140	\$168,689,108	Compton Unified School District	\$80,000,000	2.109
141	\$104,451,970	Benicia Unified School District	\$49,600,000	2.106
142	\$63,151,833	Calexico Unified School District	\$30,000,000	2.105
143	\$6,910,014	Terra Bella Union Elementary School District	\$3,300,000	2.094

# All Educational Districts in Which Voters Authorized Borrowing Via Bond Sales Since November 2000 Enactment of Proposition 39 - Ratio of Current Debt Service to Amount Authorized Since Proposition 39

Rank	Aggregate Debt Service	Educational District	Amount Authorized to Borrow*	Ratio of Debt Service to Amount Authorized to Borrow
144	\$22,997,866	Brisbane Elementary School District	\$11,000,000	2.091
145	\$2,295,740	Hydesville Elementary School District	\$1,100,000	2.087
146	\$186,507,025	Inglewood Unified School District	\$90,000,000	2.072
147	\$91,457,354	Encinitas Union School District	\$44,200,000	2.069
148	\$345,445,867	Azusa Unified School District	\$167,000,000	2.069
149	\$409,106,363	Carlsbad Unified School District	\$198,000,000	2.066
150	\$513,226,364	Garden Grove Unified School District	\$250,000,000	2.053
151	\$2,871,725	Big Creek Elementary School District	\$1,400,000	2.051
152	\$46,776,885	Albany Unified School District	\$23,000,000	2.034
153	\$10,143,022	Rohnerville School District (in Fortuna Elementary School District)	\$5,000,000	2.029
154	\$15,844,260	Cayucos Elementary School District	\$7,850,000	2.018
155	\$24,062,865	Caruthers Unified School District	\$12,000,000	2.005
156	\$18,601,934	Shoreline Unified School District	\$9,290,000	2.002
157	\$33,996,696	Bonsall Unified School District	\$17,000,000	2.000
158	\$134,444,863	Dry Creek Elementary School District	\$67,300,000	1.998
159	\$3,588,520	Merced River School District	\$1,800,000	1.994
160	\$4,570,763	Southern Trinity Unified School District	\$2,300,000	1.987
161	\$156,932,935	Santa Maria Joint Union High School District	\$79,000,000	1.986
162	\$485,440,600	Rio Hondo Community College District	\$245,000,000	1.981
163	\$274,588,497	Antelope Valley Community College	\$139,000,000	1.975
164	\$31,264,371	Sausalito Marin City School District	\$15,900,000	1.966
165	\$127,645,000	Modesto City Schools (Modesto High School District)	\$65,000,000	1.964
166	\$136,452,673	Golden Valley Unified School District	\$70,000,000	1.949
167	\$87,615,619	Martinez Unified School District	\$45,000,000	1.947
168	\$368,903,700	Yuba Community College District	\$190,000,000	1.942
S-3	\$20,191,061,654	Kindergarten-University Public Education Facilities Bond Act of 2006	\$10,416,000,000	1.938
169	\$401,238,363	Redondo Beach Unified School District	\$208,000,000	1.929
170	\$5,291,673	Princeton Unified School District	\$2,750,000	1.924
171	\$7,679,990	East Nicolaus Joint Union High School District	\$4,000,000	1.920
172	\$27,380,115	Hughson Unified School District	\$14,300,000	1.915
173	\$344,571,351	Allan Hancock Community College District	\$180,000,000	1.914
174	\$114,303,836	St. Helena Unified School District	\$60,000,000	1.905
175	\$114,214,046	Millbrae School District	\$60,000,000	1.904
176	\$104,688,140	Whittier City Unified School District	\$55,000,000	1.903
177	\$20,722,992	Needles Unified School District	\$10,900,000	1.901
178	\$42,771,345	Lakeside Union Elementary School District	\$22,500,000	1.901
179	\$5,474,475	Howell Mountain School District	\$2,900,000	1.888

# All Educational Districts in Which Voters Authorized Borrowing Via Bond Sales Since November 2000 Enactment of Proposition 39 - Ratio of Current Debt Service to Amount Authorized Since Proposition 39

Rank	Aggregate Debt Service	Educational District	Amount Authorized to Borrow*	Ratio of Debt Service to Amount Authorized to Borrow
180	\$179,014,870	Milpitas Unified School District	\$95,000,000	1.884
181	\$235,481,421	Union School District	\$125,000,000	1.884
182	\$31,995,000	Modesto City Schools (Modesto	\$17,000,000	1.882
183	\$11,594,841	Lost Hills Union School District	\$6,200,000	1.870
184	\$83,978,250	Tulare Joint Union High School District	\$45,000,000	1.866
185	\$182,830,440	Franklin-McKinley School District	\$98,000,000	1.866
186	\$203,011,800	College of the Sequoias	\$110,000,000	1.846
187	\$47,055,127	Woodside Elementary School District	\$25,500,000	1.845
188	\$10,106,868	Dehesa School District	\$5,500,000	1.838
189	\$165,034,070	Kings Canyon Unified School District	\$90,000,000	1.834
190	\$45,814,338	La Canada Unified School District	\$25,000,000	1.833
191	\$36,607,995	Walnut Creek School District	\$20,000,000	1.830
192	\$229,842,020	Los Alamitos Unified School District	\$126,000,000	1.824
193	\$17,688,768	Buellton Union School District	\$9,700,000	1.824
194	\$199,921,338	Burbank Unified School District	\$110,000,000	1.817
195	\$15,152,514	Live Oak Unified School District	\$8,400,000	1.804
196	\$73,884,379	Ross Valley Unified School District	\$41,000,000	1.802
197	\$610,000	Linns Valley-Poso Flat Elementary School District	\$340,000	1.794
198	\$14,348,660	Holtville Unified School District	\$8,000,000	1.794
199	\$73,237,463	Gateway Unified School District	\$41,000,000	1.786
200	\$491,203,694	Fontana Unified School District	\$275,000,000	1.786
201	\$60,589,376	Enterprise Elementary School District	\$34,000,000	1.782
202	\$1,225,944,294	San Bernardino Community College District	\$690,000,000	1.777
203	\$4,439,700	Gridley Unified School District ESFID No. 1	\$2,500,000	1.776
204	\$20,596,854	Kernville Union School District	\$11,600,000	1.776
205	\$810,958,445	William S. Hart Union High School District	\$458,000,000	1.771
206	\$105,738,163	Mill Valley School District	\$59,800,000	1.768
207	\$387,075,741	Gilroy Unified School District	\$219,000,000	1.767
208	\$16,358,925	Big Oak Flat-Groveland Unified School District	\$9,300,000	1.759
209	\$21,102,065	Imperial Unified School District	\$12,000,000	1.759
210	\$17,895,206	Forestville Union School District	\$10,200,000	1.754
211	\$196,222,983	San Gabriel Unified School District	\$112,150,000	1.750
212	\$253,445,891	Simi Valley Unified School District	\$145,000,000	1.748
213	\$426,006,465	New Haven Unified School District	\$245,000,000	1.739
214	\$68,898,915	Sonoma Valley Unified School District	\$40,000,000	1.722
215	\$119,620,000	Patterson Joint Unified School District	\$69,500,000	1.721
216	\$1,227,403	Stone Corral Elementary School District	\$715,000	1.717

# All Educational Districts in Which Voters Authorized Borrowing Via Bond Sales Since November 2000 Enactment of Proposition 39 - Ratio of Current Debt Service to Amount Authorized Since Proposition 39

Rank	Aggregate Debt Service	Educational District	Amount Authorized to Borrow*	Ratio of Debt Service to Amount Authorized to Borrow
217	\$104,283,183	Castro Valley Unified School District	\$60,800,000	1.715
218	\$460,509,508	Glendale Unified School District	\$270,000,000	1.706
219	\$40,559,623	Selma Unified School District	\$23,800,000	1.704
220	\$26,274,556	Mendocino Unified School District	\$15,500,000	1.695
221	\$59,093,712	Brentwood Union Elementary School District	\$35,000,000	1.688
222	\$67,402,863	Liberty Union High School District	\$40,000,000	1.685
223	\$361,621,401	Oak Grove School District	\$214,800,000	1.684
224	\$475,216,369	Dublin Unified School District	\$283,000,000	1.679
225	\$41,592,091	Fairfax Elementary School District	\$24,800,000	1.677
226	\$51,806,500	Bellevue Union School District	\$31,000,000	1.671
227	\$99,521,902	Ontario-Montclair School District	\$59,655,000	1.668
228	\$2,590,426,525	San Diego Community College District	\$1,555,000,000	1.666
229	\$2,325,000	Traver Joint Elementary School District	\$1,400,000	1.661
230	\$99,544,387	Cambrian School District	\$59,975,000	1.660
231	\$221,921,615	Napa Valley Community College District	\$133,800,000	1.659
232	\$59,501,108	Kerman Unified School District	\$35,900,000	1.657
233	\$348,039,949	Berkeley Unified School District	\$210,000,000	1.657
234	\$401,112,281	Santa Clarita Community College District	\$242,110,000	1.657
235	\$131,223,713	Lakeside Union School District	\$79,550,000	1.650
236	\$222,521,372	Oxnard Union High School District	\$135,000,000	1.648
237	\$21,327,913	Washington Union School District	\$13,000,000	1.641
238	\$229,146,220	Bonita Unified School District	\$139,920,000	1.638
239	\$30,723,628	Weaver Union School District	\$18,800,000	1.634
240	\$738,912,720	San Jose-Evergreen Community College District	\$453,000,000	1.631
241	\$32,609,613	Piner-Olivet Union School District	\$20,000,000	1.630
242	\$59,431,331	Hueneme Elementary School District	\$36,700,000	1.619
243	\$926,189,450	Mt. San Antonio Community College District	\$574,000,000	1.614
244	\$24,654,722	Middletown Unified School District	\$15,300,000	1.611
245	\$2,710,000	Kings River Union Elementary School District	\$1,700,000	1.594
246	\$474,237,505	Victor Valley Community College District	\$297,500,000	1.594
S-2	\$19,535,527,220	Kindergarten-University Public Education Facilities Bond Act of 2004	\$12,300,000,000	1.588
247	\$158,527,364	Bakersfield City Elementary School District	\$100,000,000	1.585
248	\$52,740,241	McFarland Unified School District	\$33,300,000	1.584
249	\$11,076,024	Roseland School District	\$7,000,000	1.582
250	\$20,475,550	Acton-Agua Dulce Unified School District	\$13,000,000	1.575
251	\$227,991,116	Monterey Peninsula Community College District	\$145,000,000	1.572
252	\$10,997,538	McCabe Union Elementary School District	\$7,000,000	1.571

# All Educational Districts in Which Voters Authorized Borrowing Via Bond Sales Since November 2000 Enactment of Proposition 39 - Ratio of Current Debt Service to Amount Authorized Since Proposition 39

Rank	Aggregate Debt Service	Educational District	Amount Authorized to Borrow*	Ratio of Debt Service to Amount Authorized to Borrow
253	\$98,885,055	Lancaster Elementary School District	\$63,000,000	1.570
254	\$15,054,650	Mark Twain Union Elementary School District	\$9,600,000	1.568
255	\$282,079,314	Kern Community College District	\$180,000,000	1.567
256	\$557,569,963	Ventura County Community College District	\$356,000,000	1.566
257	\$609,746,527	Peralta Community College District	\$390,000,000	1.563
258	\$5,060,303	Geyserville Unified School District	\$3,250,000	1.557
259	\$147,827,850	Emery Unified School District	\$95,000,000	1.556
260	\$60,088,440	Rancho Santa Fe Elementary School District	\$38,800,000	1.549
261	\$6,813,929	Dos Palos-Oro Loma Joint Unified School District	\$4,400,000	1.549
262	\$119,051,154	Berryessa Union School District	\$77,000,000	1.546
263	\$61,512,101	West Kern Community College District	\$39,800,000	1.546
264	\$124,835,444	Sanger Unified School District	\$80,800,000	1.545
265	\$68,250,300	Sierra Joint Community College District SFID No. 2	\$44,400,000	1.537
266	\$365,249,350	Huntington Beach Union High School District	\$238,000,000	1.535
267	\$6,432,156	Le Grand Union High School District	\$4,200,000	1.531
268	\$7,624,319	Lagunitas Elementary School District	\$5,000,000	1.525
269	\$47,218,516	West Hills Community College District SFID No. 3	\$31,000,000	1.523
270	\$34,033,591	Oroville Union High School District	\$22,400,000	1.519
271	\$37,968,495	Mount Pleasant School District	\$25,000,000	1.519
272	\$11,845,946	Pixley Union School District	\$7,800,000	1.519
273	\$18,718,110	Spreckels Union School District	\$12,335,000	1.517
274	\$9,104,756	Guerneville School District	\$6,000,000	1.517
275	\$237,199,439	Cajon Valley Union School District	\$156,500,000	1.516
276	\$9,080,919	Palo Verde Community College District	\$6,000,000	1.513
277	\$21,878,079	Live Oak School District	\$14,500,000	1.509
278	\$466,687,533	Pittsburg Unified School District	\$310,500,000	1.503
279	\$197,310,278	San Lorenzo Unified School District	\$132,000,000	1.495
280	\$413,747,880	Rowland Unified School District	\$276,800,000	1.495
281	\$1,352,414,905	Oakland Unified School District	\$910,000,000	1.486
282	\$519,746,489	Pasadena Unified School District	\$350,000,000	1.485
283	\$228,361,629	Oxnard School District	\$154,000,000	1.483
284	\$9,333,359	Happy Valley Union Elementary School District	\$6,295,000	1.483
285	\$11,986,238	Chowchilla Union High School District	\$8,100,000	1.480
286	\$4,952,028	North County Joint Union School District	\$3,350,000	1.478
287	\$86,961,792	Rincon Valley Union School District	\$58,900,000	1.476
288	\$686,174,023	Clovis Unified School District	\$466,000,000	1.472
289	\$51,455,235	Sierra Joint Community College District SFID No. 1	\$35,000,000	1.470

# All Educational Districts in Which Voters Authorized Borrowing Via Bond Sales Since November 2000 Enactment of Proposition 39 - Ratio of Current Debt Service to Amount Authorized Since Proposition 39

Rank	Aggregate Debt Service	Educational District	Amount Authorized to Borrow*	Ratio of Debt Service to Amount Authorized to Borrow
290	\$426,359,902	Coachella Valley Unified School District	\$291,000,000	1.465
291	\$36,580,000	Newman-Crows Landing Unified School District	\$25,000,000	1.463
292	\$315,595,619	Sunnyvale School District	\$216,000,000	1.461
293	\$363,296,988	College of Marin	\$249,500,000	1.456
294	\$217,991,505	Chino Valley Unified School District	\$150,000,000	1.453
295	\$79,228,914	Delano Joint Union High School District	\$55,000,000	1.441
296	\$16,535,819	Linden Unified School District	\$11,500,000	1.438
297	\$469,493,080	Colton Joint Unified School District	\$327,000,000	1.436
298	\$45,388,443	Fallbrook Union Elementary School District	\$32,000,000	1.418
299	\$9,775,229	Black Oak Mine Unified School District	\$6,900,000	1.417
300	\$871,952,518	Long Beach Community College District	\$616,000,000	1.416
301	\$19,239,800	Hermosa Beach City School District	\$13,600,000	1.415
302	\$32,374,479	Kingsburg Joint Union High School District	\$22,900,000	1.414
303	\$56,495,440	Soledad Unified School District	\$40,000,000	1.412
304	\$24,651,613	Centralia School District	\$17,500,000	1.409
305	\$17,076,024	Sebastopol Union School District	\$12,200,000	1.400
306	\$117,305,896	Marysville Joint Unified School District	\$84,000,000	1.396
307	\$11,020,248	Elk Hills School District	\$7,905,000	1.394
308	\$60,704,806	Taft City School District	\$43,600,000	1.392
309	\$145,044,155	Burlingame School District	\$104,300,000	1.391
310	\$168,238,543	Citrus Community College District	\$121,000,000	1.390
311	\$540,090,941	Southwestern Community College District	\$389,000,000	1.388
312	\$6,454,855	Los Olivos School District	\$4,650,000	1.388
313	\$2,356,651	Grant Elementary School District	\$1,700,000	1.386
314	\$151,743,721	San Carlos Unified School District	\$110,000,000	1.379
315	\$520,758,579	Palo Alto Unified School District	\$378,000,000	1.378
316	\$11,016,630	El Centro Elementary School District	\$8,000,000	1.377
317	\$168,131,451	Baldwin Park Unified School District	\$122,100,000	1.377
318	\$171,989,560	Beaumont Unified School District	\$125,000,000	1.376
319	\$189,891,898	Imperial Valley College	\$138,600,000	1.370
320	\$88,155,898	Southern Kern Unified School District	\$64,400,000	1.369
321	\$10,799,124	Mesa Union Elementary School District	\$7,900,000	1.367
322	\$341,061,295	San Joaquin-Delta Community College District	\$250,000,000	1.364
323	\$26,785,689	Copper Mountain Community College District	\$19,720,000	1.358
324	\$798,489,809	Santa Monica Community College District	\$590,000,000	1.353
325	\$405,029,140	Cupertino Union School District	\$300,000,000	1.350
326	\$10,778,781	Yreka Union High School District	\$8,000,000	1.347

# All Educational Districts in Which Voters Authorized Borrowing Via Bond Sales Since November 2000 Enactment of Proposition 39 - Ratio of Current Debt Service to Amount Authorized Since Proposition 39

Rank	Aggregate Debt Service	Educational District	Amount Authorized to Borrow*	Ratio of Debt Service to Amount Authorized to Borrow
327	\$38,068,824	Buckeye Union School District	\$28,300,000	1.345
328	\$33,606,175	Mark West Union School District	\$25,000,000	1.344
329	\$963,927,336	San Jose Unified School District	\$719,000,000	1.341
330	\$58,871,980	La Mesa Spring Valley School District	\$44,000,000	1.338
331	\$28,085,352	Petaluma City School District	\$21,000,000	1.337
332	\$68,034,116	Tracy Unified School District	\$51,000,000	1.334
333	\$1,998,263	Soulsbyville Elementary School District	\$1,500,000	1.332
334	\$239,656	Graves Union School District	\$180,000	1.331
335	\$10,370,863	Midway School District	\$7,800,000	1.330
336	\$79,714,962	Rosemead School District	\$60,000,000	1.329
337	\$22,563,738	Mountain View School District SFID No. 1	\$17,000,000	1.327
338	\$7,027,655	Placerville Union School District	\$5,300,000	1.326
339	\$34,417,506	Old Adobe Union School District	\$26,000,000	1.324
340	\$231,449,078	Riverside Unified School District	\$175,000,000	1.323
341	\$105,544,550	Cotati/ Rohnert Park Unified School District	\$80,000,000	1.319
342	\$36,933,823	Standard School District	\$28,000,000	1.319
343	\$13,018,100	Turlock Unified School District SFID No. 1	\$9,900,000	1.315
344	\$118,171,144	Chula Vista Elementary School District SFID No. 1	\$90,000,000	1.313
345	\$457,536,854	Riverside Community College District	\$350,000,000	1.307
346	\$110,730,850	Butte-Glenn Community College District	\$84,900,000	1.304
347	\$46,940,456	Apple Valley Unified School District	\$36,000,000	1.304
348	\$2,860,975	Cottonwood Union Elementary School District	\$2,200,000	1.300
349	\$193,454,220	Hemet Unified School District	\$149,000,000	1.298
S-1	\$16,942,084,821	Kindergarten-University Public Education Facilities Bond Act of 2002	\$13,050,000,000	1.298
350	\$2,580,800	Hilmar Unified School District	\$2,000,000	1.290
351	\$33,530,956	Arvin Union School District	\$26,000,000	1.290
352	\$305,620,800	El Monte Union High School District	\$238,000,000	1.284
353	\$885,640,602	Grossmont Union High School District	\$691,000,000	1.282
354	\$62,777,350	Folsom Cordova Unified School District SFID No. 1	\$49,000,000	1.281
355	\$38,326,622	John Swett Unified School District	\$30,000,000	1.278
356	\$45,011,145	Ripon Unified School District	\$35,236,190	1.277
357	\$125,271,506	Lincoln Unified School District	\$98,500,000	1.272
358	\$399,925	Mission Union School District	\$315,000	1.270
359	\$1,823,863,360	West Contra Costa Unified School District	\$1,440,000,000	1.267
360	\$3,104,700	Burton Elementary School District	\$2,455,000	1.265
361	\$39,162,300	Shasta Union High School District	\$31,000,000	1.263
362	\$11,369,251	Chawanakee Unified School District	\$9,000,000	1.263

# All Educational Districts in Which Voters Authorized Borrowing Via Bond Sales Since November 2000 Enactment of Proposition 39 - Ratio of Current Debt Service to Amount Authorized Since Proposition 39

Rank	Aggregate Debt Service	Educational District	Amount Authorized to Borrow*	Ratio of Debt Service to Amount Authorized to Borrow
363	\$873,228,148	Palomar Community College	\$694,000,000	1.258
364	\$573,563	Loleta Union School District	\$456,000	1.258
365	\$13,164,366	Parlier Unified School District	\$10,500,000	1.254
366	\$32,182,726	Yuba City Unified School District	\$25,670,000	1.254
367	\$542,600,838	Hayward Unified School District	\$434,000,000	1.250
368	\$2,396,250	Liberty School District	\$1,920,000	1.248
369	\$247,049,592	Poway Unified School District SFID 2002-1	\$198,000,000	1.248
370	\$868,696,587	Stockton Unified School District	\$698,500,000	1.244
371	\$76,953,201	Tahoe Truckee Unified School District SFID No. 2	\$62,000,000	1.241
372	\$734,847,585	Mt. Diablo Unified School District	\$598,000,000	1.229
373	\$171,736,938	Vista Unified School District	\$140,000,000	1.227
374	\$32,971,096	Escalon Unified School District	\$27,000,000	1.221
375	\$120,861,024	Los Gatos-Saratoga Joint Union High School District	\$99,000,000	1.221
376	\$369,752,801	Pomona Unified School District	\$303,000,000	1.220
377	\$7,319,390	Pierce Joint Unified School District	\$6,000,000	1.220
378	\$94,009,388	Santa Barbara Community College District	\$77,242,012	1.217
379	\$72,905,206	Pacific Grove Unified School District	\$60,000,000	1.215
380	\$42,466,491	Scotts Valley Unified School District	\$35,000,000	1.213
381	\$47,279,562	Healdsburg Unified School District	\$38,990,000	1.213
382	\$94,442,330	Chico Unified School District	\$78,000,000	1.211
383	\$7,253,700	Oroville City Elementary School District	\$6,000,000	1.209
384	\$35,173,563	Roseville City School District	\$29,117,071	1.208
385	\$72,469,625	Visalia Unified School District	\$60,100,000	1.206
386	\$18,024,797	Nevada Joint Union High School District	\$15,000,000	1.202
387	\$249,286,850	Pajaro Valley Unified School District	\$208,250,000	1.197
388	\$4,765,503	Vineland School District	\$4,000,000	1.191
389	\$149,389,899	Redlands Unified School District	\$125,500,000	1.190
390	\$267,706,089	Campbell Union School District	\$224,900,000	1.190
391	\$28,262,085	West Sonoma County Union High School District	\$23,800,000	1.187
392	\$3,175,110	Corning Union School District	\$2,700,000	1.176
393	\$85,844,841	Bassett Unified School District	\$73,000,000	1.176
394	\$35,236,360	Huntington Beach City Elementary School District	\$30,000,000	1.175
395	\$9,325,466	Delhi Unified School District	\$8,000,000	1.166
396	\$3,242,413	Armona Union Elementary School District	\$2,785,000	1.164
397	\$46,856,812	College of the Redwoods	\$40,320,000	1.162
398	\$17,394,000	Plumas Unified School District	\$15,000,000	1.160
399	\$679,484,100	Sequoia Union High School District	\$588,000,000	1.156

# All Educational Districts in Which Voters Authorized Borrowing Via Bond Sales Since November 2000 Enactment of Proposition 39 - Ratio of Current Debt Service to Amount Authorized Since Proposition 39

Rank	Aggregate Debt Service	Educational District	Amount Authorized to Borrow*	Ratio of Debt Service to Amount Authorized to Borrow
400	\$1,224,857,399	San Mateo County Community College District	\$1,063,000,000	1.152
401	\$1,011,902,207	East Side Union High School District	\$880,200,000	1.150
402	\$8,126,141	El Tejon Unified School District	\$7,120,000	1.141
403	\$34,007,022	Fruitvale School District	\$29,800,000	1.141
404	\$12,545,726	Amador County Unified School District	\$11,000,000	1.141
405	\$7,275,600	Cutler-Orosi Joint Unified School District	\$6,400,000	1.137
406	\$102,305,752	Moreland School District	\$90,000,000	1.137
407	\$2,328,810	Thermalito Union School District	\$2,050,000	1.136
408	\$481,068,235	Beverly Hills Unified School District	\$424,000,000	1.135
409	\$4,537,969	Springville Union Elementary School District	\$4,000,000	1.134
410	\$138,184,718	Los Gatos Union School District	\$121,900,000	1.134
411	\$12,441,182	Twin Hills Union School District	\$11,000,000	1.131
412	\$247,051,143	Kern High School District	\$219,000,000	1.128
413	\$439,500,784	San Bernardino City Unified School District	\$390,000,000	1.127
414	\$20,847,276	Konocti Unified School District	\$18,500,000	1.127
415	\$359,622,882	Natomas Unified School District	\$320,380,000	1.122
416	\$48,915,401	Lindsay Unified School District	\$43,900,000	1.114
417	\$49,556,118	North Monterey County Unified School District	\$44,550,000	1.112
418	\$21,905,900	Byron Union School District	\$19,700,000	1.112
419	\$112,523,746	El Rancho Unified School District	\$101,500,000	1.109
420	\$285,832,993	Murietta Valley Unified School District	\$258,400,000	1.106
421	\$37,475,529	Shasta-Tehama-Trinity Joint Community College District	\$34,000,000	1.102
422	\$5,386,598,170	San Diego Unified School District	\$4,900,000,000	1.099
423	\$6,912,423	Big Pine Unified School District	\$6,300,000	1.097
424	\$438,095	Washington Colony Elementary School District	\$400,000	1.095
425	\$21,800,623	Oakdale Joint Unified School District	\$20,000,000	1.090
426	\$52,309,823	Mojave Unified School District SFID No. 1	\$48,100,000	1.088
427	\$71,376,435	Central School District	\$66,000,000	1.081
428	\$6,488,708	Maricopa Unified School District	\$6,000,000	1.081
429	\$476,750,696	City College of San Francisco	\$441,300,000	1.080
430	\$858,933	Banta Elementary School District	\$800,000	1.074
431	\$110,438,238	Antelope Valley Joint Union High School District	\$103,600,000	1.066
432	\$6,393,614	Oak Grove Union School District (Sonoma County)	\$6,000,000	1.066
433	\$244,996,820	Chaffey Community College	\$230,000,000	1.065
434	\$20,024,620	Healdsburg Unified School District SFID No. 1	\$18,820,000	1.064
435	\$13,138,803	Cascade Union Elementary School District	\$12,400,000	1.060
436	\$40,235,788	Lompoc Unified School District	\$38,000,000	1.059

# All Educational Districts in Which Voters Authorized Borrowing Via Bond Sales Since November 2000 Enactment of Proposition 39 - Ratio of Current Debt Service to Amount Authorized Since Proposition 39

Rank	Aggregate Debt Service	Educational District	Amount Authorized to Borrow*	Ratio of Debt Service to Amount Authorized to Borrow
437	\$71,423,429	Petaluma Joint Union High School District	\$68,000,000	1.050
438	\$62,171,725	South Bay Union School District	\$59,400,000	1.047
439	\$6,273,946	Gravenstein Union School District	\$6,000,000	1.046
440	\$55,269,800	Folsom Cordova Unified School District SFID No. 2	\$53,000,000	1.043
441	\$513,972,730	Fremont Union High School District	\$493,000,000	1.043
442	\$51,751,767	Fullerton School District	\$49,700,000	1.041
443	\$8,214,325	Hope Elementary School District	\$8,000,000	1.027
444	\$109,599,624	Novato Unified School District	\$107,000,000	1.024
445	\$7,652,500	Pioneer Elementary School District	\$7,500,000	1.020
446	\$130,917,495	Temple City Unified School District	\$128,800,000	1.016
447	\$14,176,406	River Delta Unified School District SFID No. 1	\$14,000,000	1.013
448	\$194,308,052	Central Unified School District	\$192,000,000	1.012
449	\$120,613,873	Jefferson Elementary School District	\$119,500,000	1.009
450	\$271,550,903	Jefferson Union High School District	\$269,900,000	1.006
451	\$3,513,780	Strathmore Union High School District	\$3,500,000	1.004
452	\$97,992,075	Glendale Community College District	\$98,000,000	1.000
453	\$160,298,725	State Center Community College District	\$161,000,000	0.996
454	\$178,786,794	Saddleback Valley Unified School District	\$180,000,000	0.993
455	\$148,973,295	Pasadena City College	\$150,000,000	0.993
456	\$3,961,942	Round Valley Unified School District	\$4,000,000	0.990
457	\$33,642,388	Mendota Unified School District	\$34,000,000	0.989
458	\$14,809,324	Soquel Union Elementary School District	\$15,000,000	0.987
459	\$30,034,362	Tahoe Truckee Unified School District	\$30,450,000	0.986
460	\$98,475,600	Fairfield-Suisun Unified School District	\$100,000,000	0.985
461	\$5,892,230	Bayshore Elementary School District	\$6,000,000	0.982
462	\$25,303,438	Northern Humboldt Union High School District	\$25,800,000	0.981
463	\$629,269,235	Sweetwater Union High School District	\$644,000,000	0.977
464	\$87,439,867	Alisal Union School District	\$90,000,000	0.972
465	\$78,645,500	Cabrillo Unified School District	\$81,000,000	0.971
466	\$37,249,566	Galt Joint Union High School District	\$38,440,000	0.969
467	\$24,085,823	Del Norte County School District	\$24,987,000	0.964
468	\$113,613,862	Antioch Unified School District SFID No. 1	\$118,100,000	0.962
469	\$212,931,866	Val Verde Unified School District	\$221,440,000	0.962
470	\$33,757,831	Southern Humboldt Joint Unified School District	\$35,200,000	0.959
471	\$13,282,548	Mojave Unified School District SFID No. 2	\$14,000,000	0.949
472	\$7,373,975	Sonora Elementary School District	\$7,800,000	0.945
473	\$610,206,907	Corona-Norco Unified School District	\$646,000,000	0.945

# All Educational Districts in Which Voters Authorized Borrowing Via Bond Sales Since November 2000 Enactment of Proposition 39 - Ratio of Current Debt Service to Amount Authorized Since Proposition 39

Rank	Aggregate Debt Service	Educational District	Amount Authorized to Borrow*	Ratio of Debt Service to Amount Authorized to Borrow
474	\$37,565,462	Stanislaus Union School District	\$39,800,000	0.944
475	\$528,196,190	Cerritos Community College District	\$560,000,000	0.943
476	\$8,478,225	Somis Union School District	\$9,000,000	0.942
477	\$188,443,331	Tamalpais Union High School District	\$200,920,000	0.938
478	\$466,526,948	Ohlone Community College District	\$499,000,000	0.935
479	\$6,070,000	Buttonwillow Union Elementary School District	\$6,500,000	0.934
480	\$60,761,004	Merced Community College District SFID No. 1 and SFID No. 2	\$65,430,000	0.929
481	\$46,437,025	Sierra Sands Unified School District	\$50,500,000	0.920
482	\$434,377,291	Solano Community College District	\$472,500,000	0.919
483	\$10,022,294	Turlock Unified School District (Turlock Elementary School District)	\$10,950,000	0.915
484	\$47,446,233	Dinuba Unified School District	\$51,915,000	0.914
485	\$16,630,230,000	Los Angeles Unified School District	\$18,205,000,000	0.913
486	\$22,755,350	Bear Valley Unified School District	\$25,000,000	0.910
487	\$970,657,248	Coast Community College District	\$1,068,000,000	0.909
488	\$777,874,017	Contra Costa Community College District	\$856,500,000	0.908
489	\$21,249,655	West Hills Community College District SFID No. 1 and SFID No. 2	\$23,400,000	0.908
490	\$471,718,925	San Ramon Valley Unified School District	\$520,000,000	0.907
491	\$540,938	Peninsula Union School District	\$600,000	0.902
492	\$1,148,082,042	San Francisco Unified School District	\$1,276,000,000	0.900
493	\$593,650	Paradise Elementary School District	\$660,000	0.899
494	\$45,609,187	Reed Union School District	\$51,000,000	0.894
495	\$103,959,338	Atascadero Unified School District	\$117,000,000	0.889
496	\$474,302,513	Rancho Santiago Community College District	\$535,000,000	0.887
497	\$15,957,188	Bret Harte Union High School District	\$18,000,000	0.887
498	\$561,977,772	Sacramento City Unified School District	\$639,000,000	0.879
499	\$36,549,483	Calistoga Joint Unified School District	\$42,000,000	0.870
500	\$107,708,706	Washington Unified School District	\$123,800,000	0.870
501	\$68,551,513	Bellflower Unified School District	\$79,000,000	0.868
502	\$137,433,988	Roseville Joint Union High School District	\$158,500,000	0.867
503	\$18,494,313	Lucia Mar Unified School District	\$21,350,000	0.866
504	\$565,108,064	Santa Monica-Malibu Unified School District	\$653,000,000	0.865
505	\$19,903,225	Porterville Unified School District FID	\$23,000,000	0.865
506	\$548,041,186	Palm Springs Unified School District	\$638,000,000	0.859
507	\$638,935,579	El Camino Community College District	\$744,516,464	0.858
508	\$501,714,176	West Valley-Mission Community College District	\$585,000,000	0.858
509	\$9,373,300	Pacheco Union School District	\$11,000,000	0.852

## All Educational Districts in Which Voters Authorized Borrowing Via Bond Sales Since November 2000 Enactment of Proposition 39 - Ratio of Current Debt Service to Amount Authorized Since Proposition 39

Rank	Aggregate Debt Service	Educational District	Amount Authorized to Borrow*	Ratio of Debt Service to Amount Authorized to Borrow
510	\$84,074,751	San Rafael High School District and San Rafael Elementary School District (San Rafael City Schools)	\$98,800,000	0.851
511	\$164,012,478	Folsom Cordova Unified School District SFID No. 4 and SFID No. 5	\$193,000,000	0.850
512	\$168,861,989	Compton Community College District	\$200,000,000	0.844
513	\$122,091,187	Grant Joint Union High School District, North Sacramento School District, and Rio Linda Union School District (Now Twin Rivers USD)	\$144,900,000	0.843
514	\$169,413,890	El Monte City School District	\$203,000,000	0.835
515	\$32,155,996	Santa Paula Union High School District	\$39,000,000	0.825
516	\$41,967,824	Castaic Union School District	\$51,000,000	0.823
517	\$12,243,611	Winters Joint Unified School District	\$15,000,000	0.816
518	\$48,520,213	Eureka Unified School District and Eureka Unified School District SFID No. 1	\$60,335,000	0.804
519	\$32,138,350	Wasco Union High School District	\$40,100,000	0.801
520	\$221,444,499	Anaheim City Elementary School District	\$280,300,000	0.790
521	\$642,787,515	Santa Clara Unified School District	\$815,100,000	0.789
522	\$581,980,406	Los Rios Community College District	\$740,000,000	0.786
523	\$155,203,888	Mountain View Whisman Unified School District	\$198,000,000	0.784
524	\$55,197,476	Buena Park Elementary School District	\$71,000,000	0.777
525	\$464,756,000	Grossmont-Cuyamaca Community College District	\$605,000,000	0.768
526	\$378,982,038	Victor Valley Union High School District	\$500,000,000	0.758
527	\$151,141,871	Perris Union High School District	\$199,420,000	0.758
528	\$32,476,035	Willits Unified School District	\$43,000,000	0.755
529	\$82,530,000	Monterey Peninsula Unified School District	\$110,000,000	0.750
530	\$8,593,856	Solvang School District	\$11,650,000	0.738
531	\$145,814,481	Morgan Hill Unified School District	\$198,250,000	0.736
532	\$11,015,631	La Honda-Pescadero Unified	\$15,000,000	0.734
533	\$47,721,312	Perris Elementary School District	\$65,000,000	0.734
534	\$22,720,617	La Habra City School District	\$31,000,000	0.733
535	\$178,970,150	Covina Valley Unified School District	\$245,000,000	0.730
536	\$10,667,745	Wheatland School District	\$14,700,000	0.726
537	\$24,913,992	Templeton Unified School District	\$35,000,000	0.712
538	\$10,852,101	Anderson Valley Unified School District	\$15,250,000	0.712
539	\$104,093,442	Madera Unified School District	<b>\$148,500,000</b>	0.701
540	\$838,454,461	Long Beach Unified School District	\$1,200,000,000	0.699
541	\$168,683,036	Alameda Unified School District	\$242,500,000	0.696
542	\$59,998,281	Merced City Elementary School District	\$86,500,000	0.694
543	\$39,469,491	Los Nietos School District	\$59,140,000	0.667
544	\$18,654,055	Greenfield Union School District (Monterey County)	\$28,300,000	0.659

# All Educational Districts in Which Voters Authorized Borrowing Via Bond Sales Since November 2000 Enactment of Proposition 39 - Ratio of Current Debt Service to Amount Authorized Since Proposition 39

Rank	Aggregate Debt Service	Educational District	Amount Authorized to Borrow*	Ratio of Debt Service to Amount Authorized to Borrow
545	\$5,878,839	Gold Oak Union School District	\$8,950,000	0.657
546	\$351,972,145	Norwalk-La Mirada Unified School District	\$540,000,000	0.652
547	\$6,414,363	Fortuna Union High School District	\$10,000,000	0.641
548	\$428,856,070	Desert Sands Unified School District	\$675,000,000	0.635
549	\$13,604,205	Ross School District	\$21,750,000	0.625
550	\$155,512,675	Evergreen School District	\$250,000,000	0.622
551	\$7,620,450	Anderson Union High School District	\$12,300,000	0.620
552	\$69,358,175	Lynwood Unified School District	\$113,000,000	0.614
553	\$109,707,525	Victor Elementary School District	\$180,000,000	0.609
554	\$6,006,313	Livingston Union School District	\$10,000,000	0.601
555	\$13,753,319	Sonora Union High School District	\$23,000,000	0.598
556	\$476,899,876	Fremont Unified School District	\$807,200,000	0.591
557	\$10,626,544	Little Lake City School District	\$18,000,000	0.590
558	\$175,455,083	Alum Rock Union Elementary School District	\$304,000,000	0.577
559	\$71,546,202	Tracy Unified School District SFID No. 3	\$125,100,000	0.572
560	\$78,660,142	Banning Unified School District	\$138,000,000	0.570
561	\$255,319,850	San Dieguito Union High School District	\$449,000,000	0.569
562	\$75,590,361	Belmont-Redwood Shores School District, Belmont-Redwood Shores School District ESFID, and Redwood Shores SFID	\$133,000,000	0.568
563	\$26,258,138	Charter Oak Unified School District	\$47,000,000	0.559
564	\$123,259,554	Lodi Unified School District	\$223,300,000	0.552
565	\$3,116,800	Klamath-Trinity Joint Unified School District	\$5,950,000	0.524
566	\$59,618,596	Tahoe Truckee Unified School District SFID No. 1	\$114,000,000	0.523
567	\$117,331,941	Manteca Unified School District	\$225,000,000	0.521
568	\$10,135,688	Helendale School District	\$20,000,000	0.507
569	\$345,344,733	San Juan Unified School District	\$700,000,000	0.493
570	\$70,713,038	Jurupa Unified School District	\$144,000,000	0.491
571	\$123,613,423	Santa Rosa High School District	\$252,000,000	0.491
572	\$276,762,860	North Orange County Community College District	\$574,000,000	0.482
573	\$113,254,239	Palmdale Elementary School District	\$245,000,000	0.462
574	\$68,968,432	San Jacinto Unified School District	\$150,000,000	0.460
575	\$19,223,227	Kentfield School District	\$41,900,000	0.459
576	\$36,357,425	Salinas City Elementary School District	\$80,000,000	0.454
577	\$74,797,914	Temecula Valley Unified School District	\$165,000,000	0.453
578	\$118,842,831	San Luis Obispo County Community College District (Cuesta)	\$275,000,000	0.432
579	\$3,798,669	Pollock Pines Elementary School District	\$9,000,000	0.422
580	\$123,896,004	Vacaville Unified School District	\$295,300,000	0.420
581	\$4,289,339	Elverta Elementary School District	\$10,500,000	0.409

## All Educational Districts in Which Voters Authorized Borrowing Via Bond Sales Since November 2000 Enactment of Proposition 39 - Ratio of Current Debt Service to Amount Authorized Since Proposition 39

Rank	Aggregate Debt Service	Educational District	Amount Authorized to Borrow*	Ratio of Debt Service to Amount Authorized to Borrow
582	\$60,682,514	Norris School District	\$149,000,000	0.407
583	\$13,920,663	Ojai Unified School District	\$35,000,000	0.398
584	\$41,498,667	Culver City Unified School District	\$106,000,000	0.391
585	\$22,781,800	Newhall School District	\$60,000,000	0.380
586	\$249,159,550	Sonoma County Community College District	\$661,700,000	0.377
587	\$141,300,905	Anaheim Union High School District	\$381,000,000	0.371
588	\$198,521,688	Torrance Unified School District	\$549,300,000	0.361
589	\$8,728,475	Lakeport Unified School District	\$24,300,000	0.359
590	\$11,377,659	Eureka Unified School District	\$32,515,000	0.350
591	\$25,118,319	Santa Rosa Elementary School District	\$73,000,000	0.344
592	\$13,426,468	Nuvview Union School District	\$39,600,000	0.339
593	\$268,611,647	Chaffey Joint Union School District	\$848,000,000	0.317
594	\$60,603,790	Saugus Union School District	\$196,000,000	0.309
595	\$9,090,600	Dixie School District	\$30,000,000	0.303
596	\$93,843,193	Tustin Unified School District	\$310,000,000	0.303
597	\$70,282,313	Fullerton Joint Union High School District	\$242,940,000	0.289
598	\$85,947,218	Downey Unified School District	\$313,000,000	0.275
599	\$16,876,900	Duarte Unified School District	\$62,000,000	0.272
600	\$41,420,029	South San Francisco Unified School District	\$162,000,000	0.256
601	\$17,559,250	Sulphur Springs Union Elementary School District	\$72,000,000	0.244
602	\$44,996,950	Conejo Valley Unified School District	\$197,000,000	0.228
603	\$13,664,150	Las Lomas School District	\$60,000,000	0.228
604	\$9,295,888	San Benito High School District	\$42,500,000	0.219
605	\$31,006,600	Panama-Buena Vista Union School District	\$147,000,000	0.211
606	\$31,337,650	Los Altos School District	\$150,000,000	0.209
607	\$41,826,550	Salinas Union High School District	\$217,000,000	0.193
608	\$14,687,950	Carpinteria Unified School District	\$90,000,000	0.163
609	\$66,940,500	Moreno Valley Unified School District	\$448,000,000	0.149
610	\$100,636,381	Folsom Cordova Unified School District SFID No. 3	\$750,000,000	0.134
611	\$5,477,575	Greenfield Union School District (Kern County)	\$45,000,000	0.122
N/A	Not issued yet	Briggs Elementary School District	\$4,500,000	N/A
N/A	Not issued yet	Center Joint Unified School District	\$500,000,000	N/A
N/A	Not issued yet	Cinnabar Elementary School District	\$2,500,000	N/A
N/A	Not issued yet	Columbia School District	\$8,600,000	N/A
N/A	Not issued yet	Colusa Unified School District	\$5,900,000	N/A
N/A	Not issued yet	Farmersville Unified School District	\$4,800,000	N/A
N/A	Not issued yet	Folsom Cordova Unified School District SFID No. 5	\$195,000,000	N/A

## All Educational Districts in Which Voters Authorized Borrowing Via Bond Sales Since November 2000 Enactment of Proposition 39 - Ratio of Current Debt Service to Amount Authorized Since Proposition 39

Rank	Aggregate Debt Service	Educational District	Amount Authorized to Borrow*	Ratio of Debt Service to Amount Authorized to Borrow
N/A	Not issued yet	Golden Plains Unified School District	\$13,000,000	N/A
N/A	Not issued yet	Gustine Unified School District	\$14,000,000	N/A
N/A	Not issued yet	Hollister School District	\$28,500,000	N/A
N/A	Not issued yet	Lake Tahoe Community College District	\$55,000,000	N/A
N/A	Not issued yet	Laytonville Unified School District	\$5,500,000	N/A
N/A	Not issued yet	Manchester Union Elementary School District	\$1,310,000	N/A
N/A	Not issued yet	Mt. San Jacinto Community College District	\$295,000,000	N/A
N/A	Not issued yet	National School District	\$26,100,000	N/A
N/A	Not issued yet	Rio School District	\$38,500,000	N/A
N/A	Not issued yet	Roseville Joint Union High School District SFID No. 1	\$115,000,000	N/A
N/A	Not issued yet	San Luis Coastal Unified School District	\$177,000,000	N/A
N/A	Not issued yet	Santa Maria-Bonita School District	\$45,000,000	N/A
N/A	Not issued yet	Tipton Elementary School District	\$3,300,000	N/A
N/A	Not issued yet	West Hills Community College District	\$20,000,000	N/A
N/A	Not issued yet	Western Placer Unified School District	\$60,000,000	N/A
N/A	Not issued yet	Willows Unified School District	\$14,000,000	N/A
N/A	No statement for 2011 REF	Barstow Unified School District	\$14,000,000	N/A
N/A	No statement for 2012 REF	Columbia Union Elementary School District	\$7,300,000	N/A
N/A	No statement for last 3 REF	Eastern Sierra Unified School District	\$11,100,000	N/A
N/A	No statement for 2012 REF	Exeter Union High School District	\$9,450,000	N/A
N/A	No statement for 2014 REF	Gold Trail Union School District	\$1,800,000	N/A
N/A	No statement for 2011 REF	Kelseyville Unified School District	\$2,930,000	N/A
N/A	No statement for 2008 Series A, Series B, CABs	Riverbank Unified School District	\$15,200,000	N/A
N/A	No statement for 2011 REF	South Whittier Elementary School District	\$7,500,000	N/A
	<b>\$193,536,130,619</b>	<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>\$145,386,418,737</b>	<b>1.331</b>

\* Does not include the sixteen (16) reauthorizations approved by voters since November 7, 2000.

# Appendix K

## All Educational Districts in Which Voters Authorized Borrowing Via Bond Sales Since November 2000 Enactment of Proposition 39 - Ratio of Current Debt Service to Total Number of “Yes” Votes

Rank	Aggregate Debt Service	Educational District	Total Amount Authorized to Borrow*	Total Number of “Yes” Votes to Borrow*	Debt Service Per “Yes” Vote to Borrow
1	\$100,636,381	Folsom Cordova Unified School District SFID No. 3	\$750,000,000	60	\$1,677,273
2	\$21,800,623	Oakdale Joint Unified School District	\$20,000,000	28	\$778,594
3	\$11,594,841	Lost Hills Union School District	\$6,200,000	35	\$331,281
4	\$105,494,869	Orchard School District	\$40,000,000	391	\$269,808
5	\$11,020,248	Elk Hills School District	\$7,905,000	54	\$204,079
6	\$679,613,798	Alvord Unified School District	\$196,000,000	3,437	\$197,735
7	\$5,143,406	Bradley Union School District	\$1,100,000	33	\$155,861
8	\$1,316,013,363	Grant Joint Union High School District (Now Twin Rivers USD)	\$230,000,000	10,477	\$125,610
9	\$10,370,863	Midway School District	\$7,800,000	93	\$111,515
10	\$44,887,431	Calipatria Unified School District	\$9,000,000	422	\$106,368
11	\$136,452,673	Golden Valley Unified School District	\$70,000,000	1,438	\$94,891
12	\$775,792,331	Santa Ana Unified School District	\$200,000,000	8,426	\$92,071
13	\$491,203,694	Fontana Unified School District	\$275,000,000	5,598	\$87,746
14	\$22,563,738	Mountain View School District SFID No. 1	\$17,000,000	284	\$79,450
15	\$79,060,319	Richland School District	\$23,000,000	1,006	\$78,589
16	\$147,827,850	Emery Unified School District	\$95,000,000	1,982	\$74,585
17	\$352,029,370	Wiseburn Unified School District	\$119,600,000	4,762	\$73,925
18	\$208,152,688	Hillsborough City School District	\$66,800,000	2,838	\$73,345
19	\$115,550,326	McKinleyville Union School District	\$14,000,000	1,723	\$67,063
20	\$693,368,612	Oceanside Unified School District	\$195,000,000	10,952	\$63,310
21	\$157,991,399	Piedmont Unified School District	\$56,000,000	2,497	\$63,272
22	\$23,034,031	Reef-Sunset Unified School District	\$10,830,000	376	\$61,261
23	\$410,528,679	Paramount Unified School District	\$100,000,000	6,845	\$59,975
24	\$531,607,001	Arcadia Unified School District	\$218,000,000	10,104	\$52,614
25	\$123,327,878	Jefferson School District	\$35,400,000	2,354	\$52,391
26	\$11,845,946	Pixley Union School District	\$7,800,000	229	\$51,729
27	\$169,856,485	Newark Unified School District	\$63,000,000	3,286	\$51,691
28	\$86,813,248	Fowler Unified School District	\$21,000,000	1,757	\$49,410
29	\$426,359,902	Coachella Valley Unified School District	\$291,000,000	8,938	\$47,702
30	\$55,144,245	Sutter Union High School District	\$12,600,000	1,194	\$46,184
31	\$315,201,893	Las Virgenes Unified School District	\$128,000,000	6,865	\$45,914
32	\$1,242,287,328	Poway Unified School District SFID 2007-1	\$179,000,000	28,323	\$43,861
33	\$861,374,033	San Marcos Unified School District	\$287,000,000	20,082	\$42,893
34	\$199,921,338	Burbank Unified School District	\$110,000,000	4,663	\$42,874
35	\$345,445,867	Azusa Unified School District	\$167,000,000	8,183	\$42,215
36	\$212,931,866	Val Verde Unified School District	\$221,440,000	5,081	\$41,907
37	\$15,648,487	Hart-Ransom Union School District	\$3,900,000	388	\$40,331
38	\$171,031,543	Monrovia Unified School District	\$45,000,000	4,301	\$39,766
39	\$235,481,421	Union School District	\$125,000,000	5,958	\$39,524
40	\$66,151,623	Robla School District	\$29,800,000	1,694	\$39,051

## All Educational Districts in Which Voters Authorized Borrowing Via Bond Sales Since November 2000 Enactment of Proposition 39 - Ratio of Current Debt Service to Total Number of “Yes” Votes

Rank	Aggregate Debt Service	Educational District	Total Amount Authorized to Borrow*	Total Number of “Yes” Votes to Borrow*	Debt Service Per “Yes” Vote to Borrow
41	\$26,234,545	Lennox Elementary School District	\$10,700,000	682	\$38,467
42	\$3,588,520	Merced River School District	\$1,800,000	95	\$37,774
43	\$76,953,201	Tahoe Truckee Unified School District SFID No. 2	\$62,000,000	2,074	\$37,104
44	\$520,758,579	Palo Alto Unified School District	\$378,000,000	14,074	\$37,001
45	\$475,216,369	Dublin Unified School District	\$283,000,000	13,267	\$35,819
46	\$481,068,235	Beverly Hills Unified School District	\$424,000,000	13,908	\$34,589
47	\$231,561,564	Lake Tahoe Unified School District	\$64,500,000	6,715	\$34,484
48	\$460,509,508	Glendale Unified School District	\$270,000,000	13,637	\$33,769
49	\$308,326,405	Menlo Park City School District	\$114,100,000	9,158	\$33,667
50	\$426,006,465	New Haven Unified School District	\$245,000,000	12,779	\$33,336
51	\$20,722,992	Needles Unified School District	\$10,900,000	623	\$33,263
52	\$179,014,870	Milpitas Unified School District	\$95,000,000	5,412	\$33,077
53	\$264,291,465	Walnut Valley Unified School District	\$79,800,000	8,018	\$32,962
54	\$41,592,091	Fairfax Elementary School District	\$24,800,000	1,265	\$32,879
55	\$22,997,866	Brisbane Elementary School District	\$11,000,000	706	\$32,575
56	\$5,291,673	Princeton Unified School District	\$2,750,000	165	\$32,071
57	\$376,258,036	Upland Unified School District	\$103,000,000	11,785	\$31,927
58	\$820,128,328	Newport-Mesa Unified School District	\$282,000,000	26,123	\$31,395
59	\$11,645,964	Oak View Union School District	\$3,700,000	380	\$30,647
60	\$61,512,101	West Kern Community College District	\$39,800,000	2,039	\$30,168
61	\$1,328,256,540	Foothill-De Anza Community College District	\$490,800,000	44,924	\$29,567
62	\$28,249,499	Rio Bravo-Greeley Union School District	\$10,900,000	957	\$29,519
63	\$5,642,166	Owens Valley Unified School District	\$2,600,000	196	\$28,787
64	\$52,740,241	McFarland Unified School District	\$33,300,000	1,887	\$27,949
65	\$194,331,532	Rocklin Unified School District	\$52,000,000	7,101	\$27,367
66	\$6,070,000	Buttonwillow Union Elementary School District	\$6,500,000	223	\$27,220
67	\$10,584,415	Keyes Union High School District	\$5,000,000	392	\$27,001
68	\$4,570,763	Southern Trinity Unified School District	\$2,300,000	170	\$26,887
69	\$47,055,127	Woodside Elementary School District	\$25,500,000	1,755	\$26,812
70	\$104,451,970	Benicia Unified School District	\$49,600,000	3,903	\$26,762
71	\$1,227,403	Stone Corral Elementary School District	\$715,000	46	\$26,683
72	\$58,925,675	Bass Lake Joint Union Elementary School District	\$15,000,000	2,213	\$26,627
73	\$102,617,363	Saratoga Union School District	\$19,900,000	3,903	\$26,292
74	\$15,829,245	Chatom Union Elementary School District	\$5,000,000	617	\$25,655
75	\$87,439,867	Alisal Union School District	\$90,000,000	3,414	\$25,612
76	\$44,957,074	Denair Unified School District	\$21,200,000	1,771	\$25,385
77	\$4,765,503	Vineland School District	\$4,000,000	188	\$25,348
78	\$763,039,971	Escondido Union School District	\$228,400,000	30,250	\$25,224
79	\$409,106,363	Carlsbad Unified School District	\$198,000,000	16,250	\$25,176
80	\$29,001,414	River Delta Unified School District SFID No. 2	\$9,000,000	1,165	\$24,894
81	\$52,102,538	Orland Joint Unified School District	\$21,900,000	2,093	\$24,894
82	\$55,197,476	Buena Park Elementary School District	\$71,000,000	2,229	\$24,763
83	\$513,226,364	Garden Grove Unified School District	\$250,000,000	21,149	\$24,267
84	\$56,495,440	Soledad Unified School District	\$40,000,000	2,332	\$24,226
85	\$43,282,519	Coalinga-Huron Joint Unified School District	\$16,100,000	1,813	\$23,873

## All Educational Districts in Which Voters Authorized Borrowing Via Bond Sales Since November 2000 Enactment of Proposition 39 - Ratio of Current Debt Service to Total Number of “Yes” Votes

Rank	Aggregate Debt Service	Educational District	Total Amount Authorized to Borrow*	Total Number of “Yes” Votes to Borrow*	Debt Service Per “Yes” Vote to Borrow
86	\$79,228,914	Delano Joint Union High School District	\$55,000,000	3,321	\$23,857
87	\$63,151,833	Calexico Unified School District	\$30,000,000	2,680	\$23,564
88	\$36,549,483	Calistoga Joint Unified School District	\$42,000,000	1,572	\$23,250
89	\$60,704,806	Taft City School District	\$43,600,000	2,620	\$23,170
90	\$835,866,713	Desert Community College District	\$346,500,000	36,698	\$22,777
91	\$196,222,983	San Gabriel Unified School District	\$112,150,000	8,651	\$22,682
92	\$33,642,388	Mendota Unified School District	\$34,000,000	1,484	\$22,670
93	\$168,078,687	Savanna Elementary School District	\$53,685,000	7,443	\$22,582
94	\$119,620,000	Patterson Joint Unified School District	\$69,500,000	5,323	\$22,472
95	\$135,544,814	Windsor Unified School District	\$50,000,000	6,208	\$21,834
96	\$144,864,388	Ukiah Unified School District	\$43,000,000	6,675	\$21,703
97	\$387,075,741	Gilroy Unified School District	\$219,000,000	17,854	\$21,680
98	\$18,271,034	Planada Elementary School District	\$4,400,000	845	\$21,623
99	\$13,426,468	Nuvview Union School District	\$39,600,000	622	\$21,586
100	\$114,303,836	St. Helena Unified School District	\$60,000,000	5,327	\$21,457
101	\$217,991,505	Chino Valley Unified School District	\$150,000,000	10,191	\$21,391
102	\$155,203,888	Mountain View Whisman Unified School District	\$198,000,000	7,341	\$21,142
103	\$45,377,535	Santa Rita Union Elementary School District	\$14,600,000	2,161	\$20,998
104	\$252,129,977	Manhattan Beach Unified School District	\$67,480,000	12,191	\$20,682
105	\$78,645,500	Cabrillo Unified School District	\$81,000,000	3,803	\$20,680
106	\$130,917,495	Temple City Unified School District	\$128,800,000	6,454	\$20,285
107	\$1,316,013,363	Chabot-Las Positas Community College District	\$498,000,000	65,195	\$20,186
108	\$60,682,514	Norris School District	\$149,000,000	3,017	\$20,114
109	\$469,493,080	Colton Joint Unified School District	\$327,000,000	23,525	\$19,957
110	\$10,106,868	Dehesa School District	\$5,500,000	507	\$19,935
111	\$59,093,712	Brentwood Union Elementary School District	\$35,000,000	2,968	\$19,910
112	\$59,618,596	Tahoe Truckee Unified School District SFID No. 1	\$114,000,000	3,018	\$19,754
113	\$10,852,101	Anderson Valley Unified School District	\$15,250,000	551	\$19,695
114	\$585,151,849	Hartnell Community College District	\$131,000,000	29,768	\$19,657
115	\$42,771,345	Lakeside Union Elementary School District	\$22,500,000	2,179	\$19,629
116	\$105,544,550	Cotati/ Rohnert Park Unified School District	\$80,000,000	5,382	\$19,611
117	\$129,149,014	Glendora Unified School District	\$41,310,000	6,588	\$19,604
118	\$586,462,596	Escondido Union High School District	\$98,000,000	30,006	\$19,545
119	\$102,547,544	Fort Bragg Unified School District	\$38,200,000	5,275	\$19,440
120	\$6,577,469	Chualar Union Elementary School District	\$2,900,000	339	\$19,403
121	\$105,738,163	Mill Valley School District	\$59,800,000	5,530	\$19,121
122	\$304,897,627	Westminster School District	\$130,000,000	15,947	\$19,119
123	\$171,989,560	Beaumont Unified School District	\$125,000,000	9,029	\$19,049
124	\$24,062,865	Caruthers Unified School District	\$12,000,000	1,264	\$19,037
125	\$6,488,708	Maricopa Unified School District	\$6,000,000	342	\$18,973
126	\$62,601,356	Dixon Unified School District	\$29,200,000	3,313	\$18,896
127	\$413,747,880	Rowland Unified School District	\$276,800,000	21,971	\$18,832
128	\$359,622,882	Natomas Unified School District	\$320,380,000	19,118	\$18,811
129	\$110,653,662	Larkspur-Corte Madera School District	\$45,000,000	5,968	\$18,541
130	\$542,600,838	Hayward Unified School District	\$434,000,000	29,522	\$18,380

## All Educational Districts in Which Voters Authorized Borrowing Via Bond Sales Since November 2000 Enactment of Proposition 39 - Ratio of Current Debt Service to Total Number of “Yes” Votes

Rank	Aggregate Debt Service	Educational District	Total Amount Authorized to Borrow*	Total Number of “Yes” Votes to Borrow*	Debt Service Per “Yes” Vote to Borrow
131	\$36,580,000	Newman-Crows Landing Unified School District	\$25,000,000	1,998	\$18,308
132	\$5,892,230	Bayshore Elementary School District	\$6,000,000	325	\$18,130
133	\$796,617,519	Placentia-Yorba Linda Unified School District	\$302,000,000	44,064	\$18,079
134	\$18,601,934	Shoreline Unified School District	\$9,290,000	1,033	\$18,008
135	\$225,507,517	Rialto Unified School District	\$98,000,000	12,608	\$17,886
136	\$173,764,517	Santee Elementary School District	\$60,000,000	9,742	\$17,837
137	\$59,501,108	Kerman Unified School District	\$35,900,000	3,338	\$17,825
138	\$27,937,231	Ocean View School District	\$13,200,000	1,571	\$17,783
139	\$485,440,600	Rio Hondo Community College District	\$245,000,000	27,447	\$17,686
140	\$4,659,865	Valley Home Joint School District	\$1,400,000	264	\$17,651
141	\$60,088,440	Rancho Santa Fe Elementary School District	\$38,800,000	3,458	\$17,377
142	\$36,395,821	Cloverdale Unified School District	\$17,000,000	2,096	\$17,364
143	\$53,195,241	Aromas-San Juan Unified School District	\$20,900,000	3,065	\$17,356
144	\$2,871,725	Big Creek Elementary School District	\$1,400,000	167	\$17,196
145	\$377,532,823	San Leandro Unified School District	\$159,100,000	22,036	\$17,133
146	\$36,933,823	Standard School District	\$28,000,000	2,166	\$17,052
147	\$102,173,755	Oak Park Unified School District	\$46,945,000	5,996	\$17,040
148	\$33,530,956	Arvin Union School District	\$26,000,000	1,968	\$17,038
149	\$21,327,913	Washington Union School District	\$13,000,000	1,253	\$17,021
150	\$229,842,020	Los Alamitos Unified School District	\$126,000,000	13,740	\$16,728
151	\$21,102,065	Imperial Unified School District	\$12,000,000	1,265	\$16,681
152	\$631,314,231	Napa Valley Unified School District	\$278,000,000	38,014	\$16,607
153	\$68,968,432	San Jacinto Unified School District	\$150,000,000	4,153	\$16,607
154	\$13,282,548	Mojave Unified School District SFID No. 2	\$14,000,000	804	\$16,521
155	\$206,164,296	Cypress School District	\$53,600,000	12,493	\$16,502
156	\$107,648,944	Morongo Unified School District	\$48,150,000	6,563	\$16,402
157	\$23,627,819	Beardsley School District	\$10,300,000	1,444	\$16,363
158	\$31,121,459	Wright Elementary School District	\$14,000,000	1,913	\$16,268
159	\$134,444,863	Dry Creek Elementary School District	\$67,300,000	8,282	\$16,233
160	\$868,696,587	Stockton Unified School District	\$698,500,000	53,940	\$16,105
161	\$6,432,156	Le Grand Union High School District	\$4,200,000	401	\$16,040
162	\$8,881,981	Arena Union Elementary School District	\$3,700,000	554	\$16,032
163	\$203,692,946	Ceres Unified School District	\$85,000,000	12,839	\$15,865
164	\$15,152,514	Live Oak Unified School District	\$8,400,000	961	\$15,767
165	\$378,982,038	Victor Valley Union High School District	\$500,000,000	24,037	\$15,767
166	\$253,445,891	Simi Valley Unified School District	\$145,000,000	16,118	\$15,724
167	\$30,723,628	Weaver Union School District	\$18,800,000	1,955	\$15,715
168	\$123,701,248	El Segundo Unified School District	\$39,000,000	7,971	\$15,519
169	\$283,268,176	Mendocino-Lake Community College District	\$67,500,000	18,417	\$15,381
170	\$71,423,429	Petaluma Joint Union High School District	\$68,000,000	4,652	\$15,353
171	\$476,899,876	Fremont Unified School District	\$807,200,000	31,072	\$15,348
172	\$34,007,022	Fruitvale School District	\$29,800,000	2,257	\$15,067
173	\$344,571,351	Allan Hancock Community College District	\$180,000,000	22,996	\$14,984
174	\$239,656	Graves Union School District	\$180,000	16	\$14,979
175	\$9,080,919	Palo Verde Community College District	\$6,000,000	608	\$14,936

## All Educational Districts in Which Voters Authorized Borrowing Via Bond Sales Since November 2000 Enactment of Proposition 39 - Ratio of Current Debt Service to Total Number of “Yes” Votes

Rank	Aggregate Debt Service	Educational District	Total Amount Authorized to Borrow*	Total Number of “Yes” Votes to Borrow*	Debt Service Per “Yes” Vote to Borrow
176	\$1,275,122,944	San Mateo Union High School District	\$484,000,000	85,547	\$14,906
177	\$466,687,533	Pittsburg Unified School District	\$310,500,000	31,473	\$14,828
178	\$351,972,145	Norwalk-La Mirada Unified School District	\$540,000,000	23,889	\$14,734
179	\$32,476,035	Willits Unified School District	\$43,000,000	2,211	\$14,688
180	\$1,037,173,698	Fresno Unified School District	\$479,000,000	70,989	\$14,610
181	\$120,861,024	Los Gatos-Saratoga Joint Union High School District	\$99,000,000	8,331	\$14,507
182	\$30,034,362	Tahoe Truckee Unified School District	\$30,450,000	2,076	\$14,467
183	\$1,823,863,360	West Contra Costa Unified School District	\$1,440,000,000	127,684	\$14,284
184	\$81,933,830	South Pasadena Unified School District	\$29,000,000	5,754	\$14,239
185	\$405,029,140	Cupertino Union School District	\$300,000,000	28,627	\$14,149
186	\$686,174,023	Clovis Unified School District	\$466,000,000	48,588	\$14,122
187	\$31,887,627	Coast Unified School District SFID No. 1	\$12,700,000	2,260	\$14,110
188	\$10,997,538	McCabe Union Elementary School District	\$7,000,000	780	\$14,099
189	\$231,449,078	Riverside Unified School District	\$175,000,000	16,466	\$14,056
190	\$32,138,350	Wasco Union High School District	\$40,100,000	2,299	\$13,979
191	\$60,589,376	Enterprise Elementary School District	\$34,000,000	4,340	\$13,961
192	\$224,977,020	Westside Union School District	\$63,500,000	16,127	\$13,950
193	\$7,319,390	Pierce Joint Unified School District	\$6,000,000	529	\$13,836
194	\$6,454,855	Los Olivos School District	\$4,650,000	469	\$13,763
195	\$3,961,942	Round Valley Unified School District	\$4,000,000	290	\$13,662
196	\$610,206,907	Corona-Norco Unified School District	\$646,000,000	44,977	\$13,567
197	\$315,595,619	Sunnyvale School District	\$216,000,000	23,278	\$13,558
198	\$21,905,900	Byron Union School District	\$19,700,000	1,617	\$13,547
199	\$89,342,209	Garvey Elementary School District	\$30,000,000	6,602	\$13,533
200	\$79,714,962	Rosemead School District	\$60,000,000	5,960	\$13,375
201	\$336,935,436	Merced Union High School District	\$149,450,000	25,266	\$13,336
202	\$83,978,250	Tulare Joint Union High School District	\$45,000,000	6,308	\$13,313
203	\$67,402,863	Liberty Union High School District	\$40,000,000	5,100	\$13,216
204	\$7,679,990	East Nicolaus Joint Union High School District	\$4,000,000	583	\$13,173
205	\$40,559,623	Selma Unified School District	\$23,800,000	3,091	\$13,122
206	\$109,599,624	Novato Unified School District	\$107,000,000	8,419	\$13,018
207	\$8,708,880	Earlimart School District	\$3,600,000	672	\$12,960
208	\$121,230,944	Sylvan Union School District	\$40,000,000	9,402	\$12,894
209	\$168,131,451	Baldwin Park Unified School District	\$122,100,000	13,048	\$12,886
210	\$629,269,235	Sweetwater Union High School District	\$644,000,000	48,916	\$12,864
211	\$87,615,619	Martinez Unified School District	\$45,000,000	6,863	\$12,766
212	\$21,944,768	Fortuna Elementary School District	\$3,900,000	1,720	\$12,759
213	\$552,217,504	Centinela Valley Union High School District	\$196,000,000	43,312	\$12,750
214	\$174,482,212	Moorpark Unified School District	\$72,500,000	13,713	\$12,724
215	\$48,915,401	Lindsay Unified School District	\$43,900,000	3,852	\$12,699
216	\$138,184,718	Los Gatos Union School District	\$121,900,000	11,027	\$12,531
217	\$22,704,644	Portola Valley School District	\$6,000,000	1,823	\$12,455
218	\$267,706,089	Campbell Union School District	\$224,900,000	21,525	\$12,437
219	\$401,238,363	Redondo Beach Unified School District	\$208,000,000	32,302	\$12,421
220	\$114,214,046	Millbrae School District	\$60,000,000	9,196	\$12,420

# All Educational Districts in Which Voters Authorized Borrowing Via Bond Sales Since November 2000 Enactment of Proposition 39 - Ratio of Current Debt Service to Total Number of “Yes” Votes

Rank	Aggregate Debt Service	Educational District	Total Amount Authorized to Borrow*	Total Number of “Yes” Votes to Borrow*	Debt Service Per “Yes” Vote to Borrow
221	\$33,996,696	Bonsall Unified School District	\$17,000,000	2,767	\$12,286
222	\$131,223,713	Lakeside Union School District	\$79,550,000	10,695	\$12,270
223	\$24,654,722	Middletown Unified School District	\$15,300,000	2,020	\$12,205
224	\$17,688,768	Buellton Union School District	\$9,700,000	1,454	\$12,166
225	\$70,713,038	Jurupa Unified School District	\$144,000,000	5,824	\$12,142
226	\$47,721,312	Perris Elementary School District	\$65,000,000	3,976	\$12,002
227	\$11,015,631	La Honda-Pescadero Unified	\$15,000,000	918	\$12,000
228	\$168,689,108	Compton Unified School District	\$80,000,000	14,150	\$11,921
229	\$39,469,491	Los Nietos School District	\$59,140,000	3,323	\$11,878
230	\$145,044,155	Burlingame School District	\$104,300,000	12,259	\$11,832
231	\$734,847,585	Mt. Diablo Unified School District	\$598,000,000	63,682	\$11,539
232	\$540,938	Peninsula Union School District	\$600,000	47	\$11,509
233	\$11,298,828	Loma Prieta Schools	\$4,965,000	982	\$11,506
234	\$103,959,338	Atascadero Unified School District	\$117,000,000	9,165	\$11,343
235	\$26,274,556	Mendocino Unified School District	\$15,500,000	2,327	\$11,291
236	\$194,308,052	Central Unified School District	\$192,000,000	17,236	\$11,273
237	\$247,049,592	Poway Unified School District SFID 2002-1	\$198,000,000	21,958	\$11,251
238	\$5,386,598,170	San Diego Unified School District	\$4,900,000,000	479,914	\$11,224
239	\$52,309,823	Mojave Unified School District SFID No. 1	\$48,100,000	4,662	\$11,220
240	\$5,918,225	Blue Lake Union Elementary School District	\$2,000,000	528	\$11,209
241	\$119,051,154	Berryessa Union School District	\$77,000,000	10,622	\$11,208
242	\$798,489,809	Santa Monica Community College District	\$590,000,000	71,244	\$11,208
243	\$14,348,660	Holtville Unified School District	\$8,000,000	1,282	\$11,192
244	\$88,155,898	Southern Kern Unified School District	\$64,400,000	7,877	\$11,192
245	\$12,243,611	Winters Joint Unified School District	\$15,000,000	1,097	\$11,161
246	\$963,927,336	San Jose Unified School District	\$719,000,000	86,695	\$11,119
247	\$62,777,350	Folsom Cordova Unified School District SFID No. 1	\$49,000,000	5,685	\$11,043
248	\$348,039,949	Berkeley Unified School District	\$210,000,000	31,723	\$10,971
249	\$428,856,070	Desert Sands Unified School District	\$675,000,000	39,137	\$10,958
250	\$193,454,220	Hemet Unified School District	\$149,000,000	17,757	\$10,895
251	\$10,143,022	Rohnerville School District (in Fortuna Elementary School District)	\$5,000,000	934	\$10,860
252	\$32,609,613	Piner-Olivet Union School District	\$20,000,000	3,006	\$10,848
253	\$99,544,387	Cambrian School District	\$59,975,000	9,192	\$10,829
254	\$4,952,028	North County Joint Union School District	\$3,350,000	458	\$10,812
255	\$56,240,040	Calaveras Unified School District	\$13,500,000	5,241	\$10,731
256	\$565,108,064	Santa Monica-Malibu Unified School District	\$653,000,000	52,801	\$10,703
257	\$33,757,831	Southern Humboldt Joint Unified School District	\$35,200,000	3,160	\$10,683
258	\$42,466,491	Scotts Valley Unified School District	\$35,000,000	3,978	\$10,675
259	\$3,103,617	Pacific Elementary School District	\$830,000	292	\$10,629
260	\$47,446,233	Dinuba Unified School District	\$51,915,000	4,471	\$10,612
261	\$7,275,600	Cutler-Orosi Joint Unified School District	\$6,400,000	687	\$10,590
262	\$66,360,725	San Lorenzo Valley Unified School District	\$18,900,000	6,298	\$10,537
263	\$23,309,171	Kingsburg Joint Union Elementary School District	\$7,500,000	2,221	\$10,495
264	\$810,958,445	William S. Hart Union High School District	\$458,000,000	77,387	\$10,479
265	\$16,358,925	Big Oak Flat-Groveland Unified School District	\$9,300,000	1,563	\$10,466

## All Educational Districts in Which Voters Authorized Borrowing Via Bond Sales Since November 2000 Enactment of Proposition 39 - Ratio of Current Debt Service to Total Number of “Yes” Votes

Rank	Aggregate Debt Service	Educational District	Total Amount Authorized to Borrow*	Total Number of “Yes” Votes to Borrow*	Debt Service Per “Yes” Vote to Borrow
266	\$45,814,338	La Canada Unified School District	\$25,000,000	4,408	\$10,393
267	\$89,440,827	Lemon Grove School District	\$28,000,000	8,635	\$10,358
268	\$548,041,186	Palm Springs Unified School District	\$638,000,000	53,399	\$10,263
269	\$165,034,070	Kings Canyon Unified School District	\$90,000,000	16,092	\$10,256
270	\$221,921,615	Napa Valley Community College District	\$133,800,000	21,699	\$10,227
271	\$5,060,303	Geyserville Unified School District	\$3,250,000	498	\$10,161
272	\$34,417,506	Old Adobe Union School District	\$26,000,000	3,391	\$10,150
273	\$7,624,319	Lagunitas Elementary School District	\$5,000,000	754	\$10,112
274	\$11,369,251	Chawanakee Unified School District	\$9,000,000	1,126	\$10,097
275	\$20,395,744	College School District	\$9,370,000	2,028	\$10,057
276	\$237,199,439	Cajon Valley Union School District	\$156,500,000	23,696	\$10,010
277	\$78,660,142	Banning Unified School District	\$138,000,000	7,890	\$9,970
278	\$285,832,993	Murieta Valley Unified School District	\$258,400,000	28,724	\$9,951
279	\$112,523,746	El Rancho Unified School District	\$101,500,000	11,337	\$9,925
280	\$369,752,801	Pomona Unified School District	\$303,000,000	37,338	\$9,903
281	\$32,374,479	Kingsburg Joint Union High School District	\$22,900,000	3,276	\$9,882
282	\$4,460,838	Franklin School District	\$2,000,000	452	\$9,869
283	\$46,437,025	Sierra Sands Unified School District	\$50,500,000	4,710	\$9,859
284	\$51,806,500	Bellevue Union School District	\$31,000,000	5,267	\$9,836
285	\$27,380,115	Hughson Unified School District	\$14,300,000	2,788	\$9,821
286	\$72,905,206	Pacific Grove Unified School District	\$60,000,000	7,431	\$9,811
287	\$171,736,938	Vista Unified School District	\$140,000,000	17,506	\$9,810
288	\$368,903,700	Yuba Community College District	\$190,000,000	37,608	\$9,809
289	\$253,502,040	Alhambra Unified School District	\$85,000,000	26,048	\$9,732
290	\$133,249,463	Hawthorne Elementary School District	<b>\$44,000,000</b>	13,795	\$9,659
291	\$151,743,721	San Carlos Unified School District	\$110,000,000	15,774	\$9,620
292	\$227,991,116	Monterey Peninsula Community College District	\$145,000,000	23,711	\$9,615
293	\$145,814,481	Morgan Hill Unified School District	\$198,250,000	15,243	\$9,566
294	\$5,400,673	Trinidad Union School District	\$2,200,000	568	\$9,508
295	\$513,972,730	Fremont Union High School District	\$493,000,000	54,082	\$9,504
296	\$642,787,515	Santa Clara Unified School District	\$815,100,000	68,140	\$9,433
297	\$68,034,116	Tracy Unified School District	\$51,000,000	7,230	\$9,410
298	\$2,295,740	Hydesville Elementary School District	\$1,100,000	244	\$9,409
299	\$124,835,444	Sanger Unified School District	\$80,800,000	13,284	\$9,397
300	\$239,000,672	Montebello Unified School District	\$98,000,000	25,476	\$9,381
301	\$361,621,401	Oak Grove School District (Santa Clara County)	\$214,800,000	38,645	\$9,358
302	\$27,498,888	Bennett Valley Union School District	\$10,600,000	2,976	\$9,240
303	\$85,844,841	Bassett Unified School District	\$73,000,000	9,312	\$9,219
304	\$9,104,756	Guerneville School District	\$6,000,000	993	\$9,169
305	\$436,676,161	San Mateo-Foster City School District	\$175,000,000	48,116	\$9,075
306	\$6,910,014	Terra Bella Union Elementary School District	\$3,300,000	763	\$9,056
307	\$158,527,364	Bakersfield City Elementary School District	\$100,000,000	17,657	\$8,978
308	\$6,912,423	Big Pine Unified School District	\$6,300,000	770	\$8,977
309	\$27,047,904	Susanville Elementary School District	\$9,000,000	3,025	\$8,941
310	\$439,500,784	San Bernardino City Unified School District	\$390,000,000	49,184	\$8,936

## All Educational Districts in Which Voters Authorized Borrowing Via Bond Sales Since November 2000 Enactment of Proposition 39 - Ratio of Current Debt Service to Total Number of “Yes” Votes

Rank	Aggregate Debt Service	Educational District	Total Amount Authorized to Borrow*	Total Number of “Yes” Votes to Borrow*	Debt Service Per “Yes” Vote to Borrow
311	\$2,590,426,525	San Diego Community College District	\$1,555,000,000	289,976	\$8,933
312	\$8,478,225	Somis Union School District	\$9,000,000	955	\$8,878
313	\$41,498,667	Culver City Unified School District	\$106,000,000	4,706	\$8,818
314	\$229,146,220	Bonita Unified School District	\$139,920,000	26,059	\$8,793
315	\$466,526,948	Ohlone Community College District	\$499,000,000	53,153	\$8,777
316	\$31,891,738	Fillmore Unified School District	\$10,000,000	3,650	\$8,737
317	\$98,475,600	Fairfield-Suisun Unified School District	\$100,000,000	11,288	\$8,724
318	\$99,521,902	Ontario-Montclair School District	\$59,655,000	11,472	\$8,675
319	\$26,258,138	Charter Oak Unified School District	\$47,000,000	3,035	\$8,652
320	\$73,884,379	Ross Valley Unified School District	\$41,000,000	8,565	\$8,626
321	\$2,325,000	Traver Joint Elementary School District	\$1,400,000	270	\$8,611
322	\$365,249,350	Huntington Beach Union High School District	\$238,000,000	42,469	\$8,600
323	\$37,968,495	Mount Pleasant School District	\$25,000,000	4,482	\$8,471
324	\$873,228,148	Palomar Community College	\$694,000,000	103,380	\$8,447
325	\$15,054,650	Mark Twain Union Elementary School District	\$9,600,000	1,786	\$8,429
326	\$4,289,339	Elverta Elementary School District	\$10,500,000	512	\$8,378
327	\$10,799,124	Mesa Union Elementary School District	\$7,900,000	1,292	\$8,358
328	\$1,225,944,294	San Bernardino Community College District	\$690,000,000	147,892	\$8,289
329	\$24,913,992	Templeton Unified School District	\$35,000,000	3,017	\$8,258
330	\$5,474,475	Howell Mountain School District	\$2,900,000	663	\$8,257
331	\$164,012,478	Folsom Cordova Unified School District SFID No. 4 and SFID No. 5	\$193,000,000	19,876	\$8,252
332	\$519,746,489	Pasadena Unified School District	\$350,000,000	64,090	\$8,110
333	\$7,938,729	Cold Spring School District	\$2,440,000	987	\$8,043
334	\$71,471,900	Siskiyou Joint Community College District	\$31,400,000	8,912	\$8,020
335	\$305,620,800	El Monte Union High School District	\$238,000,000	38,435	\$7,952
336	\$8,513,574	Wilmar Union School District	\$4,000,000	1,075	\$7,920
337	\$16,535,819	Linden Unified School District	\$11,500,000	2,099	\$7,878
338	\$168,238,543	Citrus Community College District	\$121,000,000	21,639	\$7,775
339	\$117,305,896	Marysville Joint Unified School District	\$84,000,000	15,131	\$7,753
340	\$471,718,925	San Ramon Valley Unified School District	\$520,000,000	61,035	\$7,729
341	\$16,630,230,000	Los Angeles Unified School District	\$18,205,000,000	2,153,451	\$7,723
342	\$13,164,366	Parlier Unified School District	\$10,500,000	1,706	\$7,717
343	\$871,952,518	Long Beach Community College District	\$616,000,000	113,784	\$7,663
344	\$182,830,440	Franklin-McKinley School District	\$98,000,000	23,866	\$7,661
345	\$107,708,706	Washington Unified School District	\$123,800,000	14,088	\$7,645
346	\$3,513,780	Strathmore Union High School District	\$3,500,000	463	\$7,589
347	\$15,636,113	Exeter Union Elementary School District	\$6,400,000	2,066	\$7,568
348	\$826,873,570	Yosemite Community College District	\$326,174,000	109,315	\$7,564
349	\$67,060,931	Redwood City Elementary School District	\$22,000,000	8,946	\$7,496
350	\$8,593,856	Solvang School District	\$11,650,000	1,148	\$7,486
351	\$49,556,118	North Monterey County Unified School District	\$44,550,000	6,641	\$7,462
352	\$474,237,505	Victor Valley Community College District	\$297,500,000	63,581	\$7,459
353	\$457,536,854	Riverside Community College District	\$350,000,000	61,923	\$7,389
354	\$41,967,824	Castaic Union School District	\$51,000,000	5,682	\$7,386
355	\$11,016,630	El Centro Elementary School District	\$8,000,000	1,493	\$7,379

## All Educational Districts in Which Voters Authorized Borrowing Via Bond Sales Since November 2000 Enactment of Proposition 39 - Ratio of Current Debt Service to Total Number of “Yes” Votes

Rank	Aggregate Debt Service	Educational District	Total Amount Authorized to Borrow*	Total Number of “Yes” Votes to Borrow*	Debt Service Per “Yes” Vote to Borrow
356	\$104,688,140	Whittier City Unified School District	\$55,000,000	14,205	\$7,370
357	\$401,112,281	Santa Clarita Community College District	\$242,110,000	54,662	\$7,338
358	\$45,388,443	Fallbrook Union Elementary School District	\$32,000,000	6,199	\$7,322
359	\$1,352,414,905	Oakland Unified School District	\$910,000,000	185,254	\$7,300
360	\$13,604,205	Ross School District	\$21,750,000	1,870	\$7,275
361	\$244,996,820	Chaffey Community College	\$230,000,000	33,737	\$7,262
362	\$7,677,847,839	Los Angeles Community College District	\$3,500,000,000	1,057,738	\$7,259
363	\$141,176,115	El Dorado Union High School District	\$66,300,000	19,536	\$7,226
364	\$31,264,371	Sausalito Marin City School District	\$15,900,000	4,346	\$7,194
365	\$557,569,963	Ventura County Community College District	\$356,000,000	77,854	\$7,162
366	\$45,011,145	Ripon Unified School District	\$35,236,190	6,324	\$7,118
367	\$221,444,499	Anaheim City Elementary School District	\$280,300,000	31,160	\$7,107
368	\$55,029,529	Carmel Unified School District	\$21,500,000	7,744	\$7,106
369	\$125,271,506	Lincoln Unified School District	\$98,500,000	17,718	\$7,070
370	\$47,279,562	Healdsburg Unified School District	\$38,990,000	6,712	\$7,044
371	\$426,651,231	Santa Barbara Elementary School District and Santa Barbara High School District	\$150,000,000	60,594	\$7,041
372	\$228,361,629	Oxnard School District	\$154,000,000	32,623	\$7,000
373	\$102,305,752	Moreland School District	\$90,000,000	14,632	\$6,992
374	\$156,932,935	Santa Maria Joint Union High School District	\$79,000,000	22,527	\$6,966
375	\$226,270,582	Campbell Union High School District	\$90,000,000	32,505	\$6,961
376	\$271,550,903	Jefferson Union High School District	\$269,900,000	39,115	\$6,942
377	\$175,455,083	Alum Rock Union Elementary School District	\$304,000,000	25,343	\$6,923
378	\$41,330,576	Oakley Union Elementary School District	\$16,500,000	5,988	\$6,902
379	\$97,992,075	Glendale Community College District	\$98,000,000	14,245	\$6,879
380	\$11,076,024	Roseland School District	\$7,000,000	1,612	\$6,871
381	\$15,844,260	Cayucos Elementary School District	\$7,850,000	2,319	\$6,832
382	\$838,454,461	Long Beach Unified School District	\$1,200,000,000	123,253	\$6,803
383	\$73,237,463	Gateway Unified School District	\$41,000,000	10,775	\$6,797
384	\$18,654,055	Greenfield Union School District (Monterey County)	\$28,300,000	2,762	\$6,754
385	\$54,801,575	Rim of the World Unified School District	\$23,340,000	8,116	\$6,752
386	\$45,609,187	Reed Union School District	\$51,000,000	6,793	\$6,714
387	\$38,326,622	John Swett Unified School District	\$30,000,000	5,728	\$6,691
388	\$22,755,350	Bear Valley Unified School District	\$25,000,000	3,423	\$6,648
389	\$113,613,862	Antioch Unified School District SFID No. 1	\$118,100,000	17,212	\$6,601
390	\$36,357,425	Salinas City Elementary School District	\$80,000,000	5,513	\$6,595
391	\$41,925,257	Magnolia School District	\$16,300,000	6,366	\$6,586
392	\$458,785,266	Acalanes Union High School District	\$137,000,000	69,719	\$6,580
393	\$292,476,368	Cabrillo Community College District	\$118,500,000	44,542	\$6,566
394	\$249,286,850	Pajaro Valley Unified School District	\$208,250,000	37,997	\$6,561
395	\$28,983,448	Siskiyou Union High School District	\$12,500,000	4,418	\$6,560
396	\$68,898,915	Sonoma Valley Unified School District	\$40,000,000	10,581	\$6,512
397	\$9,325,466	Delhi Unified School District	\$8,000,000	1,435	\$6,499
398	\$8,126,141	El Tejon Unified School District	\$7,120,000	1,257	\$6,465
399	\$80,824,788	Hanford Joint Union High School District	\$33,500,000	12,549	\$6,441

## All Educational Districts in Which Voters Authorized Borrowing Via Bond Sales Since November 2000 Enactment of Proposition 39 - Ratio of Current Debt Service to Total Number of “Yes” Votes

Rank	Aggregate Debt Service	Educational District	Total Amount Authorized to Borrow*	Total Number of “Yes” Votes to Borrow*	Debt Service Per “Yes” Vote to Borrow
400	\$178,970,150	Covina Valley Unified School District	\$245,000,000	27,818	\$6,434
401	\$55,269,800	Folsom Cordova Unified School District SFID No. 2	\$53,000,000	8,657	\$6,384
402	\$55,727,788	Paso Robles Joint Unified School District	\$20,000,000	8,753	\$6,367
403	\$10,055,696	Woodlake Union High School District	\$4,500,000	1,592	\$6,316
404	\$33,606,175	Mark West Union School District	\$25,000,000	5,329	\$6,306
405	\$12,441,182	Twin Hills Union School District	\$11,000,000	1,975	\$6,299
406	\$47,218,516	West Hills Community College District SFID No. 3	\$31,000,000	7,512	\$6,286
407	\$4,537,969	Springville Union Elementary School District	\$4,000,000	724	\$6,268
408	\$168,683,036	Alameda Unified School District	\$242,500,000	26,920	\$6,266
409	\$609,746,527	Peralta Community College District	\$390,000,000	97,685	\$6,242
410	\$21,249,655	West Hills Community College District SFID No. 1 and SFID No. 2	\$23,400,000	3,438	\$6,181
411	\$22,781,800	Newhall School District	\$60,000,000	3,706	\$6,147
412	\$127,645,000	Modesto City Schools (Modesto High School District)	\$65,000,000	20,783	\$6,142
413	\$118,951,681	Mountain View-Los Altos Union School District	\$41,300,000	19,480	\$6,106
414	\$3,242,413	Armona Union Elementary School District	\$2,785,000	534	\$6,072
415	\$117,331,941	Manteca Unified School District	\$225,000,000	19,402	\$6,047
S-2	\$19,535,527,220	Kindergarten-University Public Education Facilities Bond Act of 2004	\$12,300,000,000	3,239,706	\$6,030
416	\$6,813,929	Dos Palos-Oro Loma Joint Unified School District	\$4,400,000	1,130	\$6,030
417	\$59,998,281	Merced City Elementary School District	\$86,500,000	9,987	\$6,008
418	\$120,613,873	Jefferson Elementary School District	\$119,500,000	20,090	\$6,004
419	\$6,006,313	Livingston Union School District	\$10,000,000	1,002	\$5,994
420	\$109,707,525	Victor Elementary School District	\$180,000,000	18,322	\$5,988
421	\$123,896,004	Vacaville Unified School District	\$295,300,000	20,701	\$5,985
422	\$104,283,183	Castro Valley Unified School District	\$60,800,000	17,463	\$5,972
423	\$197,310,278	San Lorenzo Unified School District	\$132,000,000	33,153	\$5,952
424	\$97,622,274	Menifee Union School District	\$45,960,000	16,414	\$5,948
425	\$10,135,688	Helendale School District	\$20,000,000	1,707	\$5,938
426	\$13,664,150	Las Lomas School District	\$60,000,000	2,305	\$5,928
427	\$136,933,975	Alhambra Unified School District ESID	\$50,000,000	23,276	\$5,883
428	\$20,475,550	Acton-Agua Dulce Unified School District	\$13,000,000	3,486	\$5,874
429	\$2,710,000	Kings River Union Elementary School District	\$1,700,000	463	\$5,853
430	\$255,319,850	San Dieguito Union High School District	\$449,000,000	43,627	\$5,852
431	\$186,507,025	Inglewood Unified School District	\$90,000,000	31,907	\$5,845
432	\$169,413,890	El Monte City School District	\$203,000,000	28,984	\$5,845
433	\$51,455,235	Sierra Joint Community College District SFID No. 1	\$35,000,000	8,856	\$5,810
434	\$32,971,096	Escalon Unified School District	\$27,000,000	5,681	\$5,804
435	\$110,438,238	Antelope Valley Joint Union High School District	\$103,600,000	19,029	\$5,804
436	\$178,786,794	Saddleback Valley Unified School District	\$180,000,000	31,024	\$5,763
437	\$69,358,175	Lynwood Unified School District	\$113,000,000	12,167	\$5,701
438	\$20,024,620	Healdsburg Unified School District SFID No. 1	\$18,820,000	3,519	\$5,690
439	\$17,895,206	Forestville Union School District	\$10,200,000	3,145	\$5,690
440	\$540,090,941	Southwestern Community College District	\$389,000,000	95,460	\$5,658
441	\$885,640,602	Grossmont Union High School District	\$691,000,000	158,743	\$5,579
442	\$32,155,996	Santa Paula Union High School District	\$39,000,000	5,871	\$5,477
443	\$341,061,295	San Joaquin-Delta Community College District	\$250,000,000	62,465	\$5,460

## All Educational Districts in Which Voters Authorized Borrowing Via Bond Sales Since November 2000 Enactment of Proposition 39 - Ratio of Current Debt Service to Total Number of “Yes” Votes

Rank	Aggregate Debt Service	Educational District	Total Amount Authorized to Borrow*	Total Number of “Yes” Votes to Borrow*	Debt Service Per “Yes” Vote to Borrow
444	\$6,393,614	Oak Grove Union School District	\$6,000,000	1,174	\$5,446
445	\$19,903,225	Porterville Unified School District FID	\$23,000,000	3,681	\$5,407
446	\$71,376,435	Central School District	\$66,000,000	13,213	\$5,402
447	\$66,940,500	Moreno Valley Unified School District	\$448,000,000	12,488	\$5,360
448	\$47,901,154	Turlock Unified School District	\$7,725,000	8,942	\$5,357
449	\$104,093,442	Madera Unified School District	<b>\$148,500,000</b>	19,518	\$5,333
450	\$46,940,456	Apple Valley Unified School District	\$36,000,000	8,818	\$5,323
451	\$11,986,238	Chowchilla Union High School District	\$8,100,000	2,258	\$5,308
452	\$82,530,000	Monterey Peninsula Unified School District	\$110,000,000	15,558	\$5,305
453	\$155,512,675	Evergreen School District	\$250,000,000	29,496	\$5,272
454	\$37,565,462	Stanislaus Union School District	\$39,800,000	7,213	\$5,208
455	\$189,891,898	Imperial Valley College	\$138,600,000	36,501	\$5,202
456	\$91,457,354	Encinitas Union School District	\$44,200,000	17,989	\$5,084
457	\$86,961,792	Rincon Valley Union School District	\$58,900,000	17,118	\$5,080
458	\$18,718,110	Spreckels Union School District	\$12,335,000	3,685	\$5,080
459	\$152,477,011	Palos Verdes Peninsula Unified School District	\$40,000,000	30,174	\$5,053
460	\$7,652,500	Pioneer Elementary School District	\$7,500,000	1,524	\$5,021
461	\$926,189,450	Mt. San Antonio Community College District	\$574,000,000	184,492	\$5,020
462	\$19,239,800	Hermosa Beach City School District	\$13,600,000	3,874	\$4,966
463	\$188,443,331	Tamalpais Union High School District	\$200,920,000	37,947	\$4,966
464	\$21,878,079	Live Oak School District	\$14,500,000	4,446	\$4,921
465	\$679,484,100	Sequoia Union High School District	\$588,000,000	138,394	\$4,910
466	\$123,259,554	Lodi Unified School District	\$223,300,000	25,106	\$4,910
467	\$474,302,513	Rancho Santiago Community College District	\$535,000,000	97,770	\$4,851
468	\$6,094,425	Julian Union High School District	\$2,100,000	1,259	\$4,841
469	\$248,810,654	Whittier Union High School District	\$75,000,000	51,521	\$4,829
470	\$38,068,824	Buckeye Union School District	\$28,300,000	7,910	\$4,813
471	\$20,596,854	Kernville Union School District	\$11,600,000	4,337	\$4,749
472	\$363,296,988	College of Marin	\$249,500,000	77,102	\$4,712
473	\$14,176,406	River Delta Unified School District SFID No. 1	\$14,000,000	3,017	\$4,699
474	\$528,196,190	Cerritos Community College District	\$560,000,000	112,860	\$4,680
475	\$39,162,300	Shasta Union High School District	\$31,000,000	8,590	\$4,559
476	\$98,885,055	Lancaster Elementary School District	\$63,000,000	21,804	\$4,535
477	\$24,085,823	Del Norte County School District	\$24,987,000	5,338	\$4,512
478	\$40,235,788	Lompoc Unified School District	\$38,000,000	9,049	\$4,446
479	\$1,224,857,399	San Mateo County Community College District	\$1,063,000,000	275,478	\$4,446
480	\$46,776,885	Albany Unified School District	\$23,000,000	10,546	\$4,436
481	\$51,751,767	Fullerton School District	\$49,700,000	11,710	\$4,419
482	\$113,254,239	Palmdale Elementary School District	\$245,000,000	26,176	\$4,327
483	\$970,657,248	Coast Community College District	\$1,068,000,000	224,817	\$4,318
484	\$24,651,613	Centralia School District	\$17,500,000	5,760	\$4,280
485	\$37,249,566	Galt Joint Union High School District	\$38,440,000	8,705	\$4,279
S-3	\$20,191,061,654	Kindergarten-University Public Education Facilities Bond Act of 2006	\$10,416,000,000	4,754,868	\$4,246
486	\$17,246,650	Brawley Elementary School District	\$7,500,000	4,081	\$4,226
487	\$222,521,372	Oxnard Union High School District	\$135,000,000	52,851	\$4,210

## All Educational Districts in Which Voters Authorized Borrowing Via Bond Sales Since November 2000 Enactment of Proposition 39 - Ratio of Current Debt Service to Total Number of “Yes” Votes

Rank	Aggregate Debt Service	Educational District	Total Amount Authorized to Borrow*	Total Number of “Yes” Votes to Borrow*	Debt Service Per “Yes” Vote to Borrow
488	\$4,439,700	Gridley Unified School District ESFID No. 1	\$2,500,000	1,058	\$4,196
489	\$68,551,513	Bellflower Unified School District	\$79,000,000	16,469	\$4,162
490	\$501,714,176	West Valley-Mission Community College District	\$585,000,000	122,022	\$4,112
491	\$13,138,803	Cascade Union Elementary School District	\$12,400,000	3,211	\$4,092
492	\$62,171,725	South Bay Union School District	\$59,400,000	15,219	\$4,085
493	\$20,847,276	Konocti Unified School District	\$18,500,000	5,173	\$4,030
494	\$16,876,900	Duarte Unified School District	\$62,000,000	4,198	\$4,020
S-1	\$16,942,084,821	Kindergarten-University Public Education Facilities Bond Act of 2002	\$13,050,000,000	4,222,946	\$4,012
495	\$17,559,250	Sulphur Springs Union Elementary School District	\$72,000,000	4,394	\$3,996
496	\$858,933	Banta Elementary School District	\$800,000	216	\$3,977
497	\$7,373,975	Sonora Elementary School District	\$7,800,000	1,867	\$3,950
498	\$593,650	Paradise Elementary School District	\$660,000	151	\$3,931
499	\$168,861,989	Compton Community College District	\$200,000,000	43,214	\$3,908
500	\$399,925	Mission Union School District	\$315,000	103	\$3,883
501	\$2,396,250	Liberty School District	\$1,920,000	618	\$3,877
502	\$268,611,647	Chaffey Joint Union School District	\$848,000,000	70,026	\$3,836
503	\$1,011,902,207	East Side Union High School District	\$880,200,000	263,897	\$3,834
504	\$148,973,295	Pasadena City College	\$150,000,000	39,129	\$3,807
505	\$149,389,899	Redlands Unified School District	\$125,500,000	39,395	\$3,792
506	\$9,333,359	Happy Valley Union Elementary School District	\$6,295,000	2,467	\$3,783
507	\$14,687,950	Carpinteria Unified School District	\$90,000,000	3,901	\$3,765
508	\$60,603,790	Saugus Union School District	\$196,000,000	16,340	\$3,709
509	\$9,373,300	Pacheco Union School District	\$11,000,000	2,534	\$3,699
510	\$71,546,202	Tracy Unified School District SFID No. 3	\$125,100,000	19,426	\$3,683
511	\$85,947,218	Downey Unified School District	\$313,000,000	23,350	\$3,681
512	\$10,778,781	Yreka Union High School District	\$8,000,000	2,942	\$3,664
513	\$274,588,497	Antelope Valley Community College	\$139,000,000	74,966	\$3,663
514	\$137,433,988	Roseville Joint Union High School District	\$158,500,000	37,928	\$3,624
515	\$31,337,650	Los Altos School District	\$150,000,000	8,671	\$3,614
516	\$58,871,980	La Mesa Spring Valley School District	\$44,000,000	16,326	\$3,606
517	\$282,079,314	Kern Community College District	\$180,000,000	79,076	\$3,567
518	\$122,091,187	Grant Joint Union High School District, North Sacramento School District, and Rio Linda Union School District (Now Twin Rivers USD)	\$144,900,000	34,656	\$3,523
519	\$2,356,651	Grant Elementary School District	\$1,700,000	671	\$3,512
520	\$151,141,871	Perris Union High School District	\$199,420,000	43,100	\$3,507
521	\$31,995,000	Modesto City Schools (Modesto)	\$17,000,000	9,167	\$3,490
522	\$94,442,330	Chico Unified School District	\$78,000,000	27,112	\$3,483
523	\$59,431,331	Hueneme Elementary School District	\$36,700,000	17,089	\$3,478
524	\$84,074,751	San Rafael High School District and San Rafael Elementary School District (San Rafael City Schools)	\$98,800,000	24,376	\$3,449
525	\$32,182,726	Yuba City Unified School District	\$25,670,000	9,383	\$3,430
526	\$203,011,800	College of the Sequoias	\$110,000,000	60,387	\$3,362
527	\$17,394,000	Plumas Unified School District	\$15,000,000	5,206	\$3,341
528	\$94,009,388	Santa Barbara Community College District	\$77,242,012	28,397	\$3,311
529	\$276,762,860	North Orange County Community College District	\$574,000,000	84,780	\$3,264
530	\$118,171,144	Chula Vista Elementary School District SFID No. 1	\$90,000,000	36,265	\$3,259

# All Educational Districts in Which Voters Authorized Borrowing Via Bond Sales Since November 2000 Enactment of Proposition 39 - Ratio of Current Debt Service to Total Number of “Yes” Votes

Rank	Aggregate Debt Service	Educational District	Total Amount Authorized to Borrow*	Total Number of “Yes” Votes to Borrow*	Debt Service Per “Yes” Vote to Borrow
531	\$638,935,579	El Camino Community College District	\$744,516,464	198,034	\$3,226
532	\$610,000	Linns Valley-Poso Flat Elementary School District	\$340,000	191	\$3,194
533	\$6,273,946	Gravenstein Union School District	\$6,000,000	1,971	\$3,183
534	\$14,840,821	Arcata Elementary School District	\$7,000,000	4,667	\$3,180
535	\$35,173,563	Roseville City School District	\$29,117,071	11,174	\$3,148
536	\$8,728,475	Lakeport Unified School District	\$24,300,000	2,789	\$3,130
537	\$17,076,024	Sebastopol Union School District	\$12,200,000	5,511	\$3,099
538	\$48,520,213	Eureka Unified School District and Eureka Unified School District SFID No. 1	\$60,335,000	15,681	\$3,094
539	\$36,607,995	Walnut Creek School District	\$20,000,000	11,876	\$3,083
540	\$110,730,850	Butte-Glenn Community College District	\$84,900,000	36,012	\$3,075
541	\$41,420,029	South San Francisco Unified School District	\$162,000,000	13,758	\$3,011
542	\$464,756,000	Grossmont-Cuyamaca Community College District	\$605,000,000	156,424	\$2,971
543	\$35,236,360	Huntington Beach City Elementary School District	\$30,000,000	11,913	\$2,958
544	\$75,590,361	Belmont-Redwood Shores School District, Belmont-Redwood Shores School District ESFID, and Redwood Shores SFID	\$133,000,000	25,582	\$2,955
545	\$738,912,720	San Jose-Evergreen Community College District	\$453,000,000	252,102	\$2,931
546	\$19,223,227	Kentfield School District	\$41,900,000	6,573	\$2,925
547	\$14,809,324	Soquel Union Elementary School District	\$15,000,000	5,118	\$2,894
548	\$28,085,352	Petaluma City School District	\$21,000,000	9,760	\$2,878
549	\$561,977,772	Sacramento City Unified School District	\$639,000,000	195,616	\$2,873
550	\$10,667,745	Wheatland School District	\$14,700,000	3,715	\$2,872
551	\$60,761,004	Merced Community College District SFID No. 1 and SFID No. 2	\$65,430,000	21,692	\$2,801
552	\$68,250,300	Sierra Joint Community College District SFID No. 2	\$44,400,000	24,877	\$2,744
553	\$72,469,625	Visalia Unified School District	\$60,100,000	26,898	\$2,694
554	\$74,797,914	Temecula Valley Unified School District	\$165,000,000	27,808	\$2,690
555	\$13,920,663	Ojai Unified School District	\$35,000,000	5,223	\$2,665
556	\$9,928,928	Bishop Union Elementary School District	\$3,000,000	3,740	\$2,655
557	\$777,874,017	Contra Costa Community College District	\$856,500,000	293,603	\$2,649
558	\$9,295,888	San Benito High School District	\$42,500,000	3,524	\$2,638
559	\$9,775,229	Black Oak Mine Unified School District	\$6,900,000	3,768	\$2,594
560	\$15,957,188	Bret Harte Union High School District	\$18,000,000	6,167	\$2,588
561	\$1,148,082,042	San Francisco Unified School District	\$1,276,000,000	445,745	\$2,576
562	\$2,860,975	Cottonwood Union Elementary School District	\$2,200,000	1,111	\$2,575
563	\$22,720,617	La Habra City School District	\$31,000,000	8,874	\$2,560
564	\$247,051,143	Kern High School District	\$219,000,000	97,540	\$2,533
565	\$141,300,905	Anaheim Union High School District	\$381,000,000	55,830	\$2,531
566	\$5,878,839	Gold Oak Union School District	\$8,950,000	2,326	\$2,527
567	\$8,214,325	Hope Elementary School District	\$8,000,000	3,278	\$2,506
568	\$345,344,733	San Juan Unified School District	\$700,000,000	139,062	\$2,483
569	\$25,303,438	Northern Humboldt Union High School District	\$25,800,000	10,386	\$2,436
570	\$434,377,291	Solano Community College District	\$472,500,000	179,268	\$2,423
571	\$3,116,800	Klamath-Trinity Joint Unified School District	\$5,950,000	1,287	\$2,422
572	\$3,798,669	Pollock Pines Elementary School District	\$9,000,000	1,606	\$2,365
573	\$3,175,110	Corning Union School District	\$2,700,000	1,358	\$2,338

# All Educational Districts in Which Voters Authorized Borrowing Via Bond Sales Since November 2000 Enactment of Proposition 39 - Ratio of Current Debt Service to Total Number of “Yes” Votes

Rank	Aggregate Debt Service	Educational District	Total Amount Authorized to Borrow*	Total Number of “Yes” Votes to Borrow*	Debt Service Per “Yes” Vote to Borrow
574	\$118,842,831	San Luis Obispo County Community College District (Cuesta)	\$275,000,000	51,740	\$2,297
575	\$11,377,659	Eureka Unified School District	\$32,515,000	5,059	\$2,249
576	\$26,785,689	Copper Mountain Community College District	\$19,720,000	12,455	\$2,151
577	\$7,027,655	Placerville Union School District	\$5,300,000	3,274	\$2,147
578	\$3,104,700	Burton Elementary School District	\$2,455,000	1,471	\$2,111
579	\$476,750,696	City College of San Francisco	\$441,300,000	226,642	\$2,104
580	\$123,613,423	Santa Rosa High School District	\$252,000,000	63,362	\$1,951
581	\$34,033,591	Oroville Union High School District	\$22,400,000	17,787	\$1,913
582	\$1,998,263	Soulsbyville Elementary School District	\$1,500,000	1,057	\$1,891
583	\$28,262,085	West Sonoma County Union High School District	\$23,800,000	15,375	\$1,838
584	\$160,298,725	State Center Community College District	\$161,000,000	90,285	\$1,775
585	\$2,580,800	Hilmar Unified School District	\$2,000,000	1,483	\$1,740
586	\$93,843,193	Tustin Unified School District	\$310,000,000	56,316	\$1,666
587	\$44,996,950	Conejo Valley Unified School District	\$197,000,000	27,468	\$1,638
588	\$198,521,688	Torrance Unified School District	\$549,300,000	127,208	\$1,561
589	\$7,253,700	Oroville City Elementary School District	\$6,000,000	4,742	\$1,530
590	\$13,018,100	Turlock Unified School District SFID No. 1	\$9,900,000	8,570	\$1,519
591	\$249,159,550	Sonoma County Community College District	\$661,700,000	164,987	\$1,510
592	\$12,545,726	Amador County Unified School District	\$11,000,000	8,332	\$1,506
593	\$13,753,319	Sonoma Union High School District	\$23,000,000	9,171	\$1,500
594	\$9,090,600	Dixie School District	\$30,000,000	6,083	\$1,494
595	\$70,282,313	Fullerton Joint Union High School District	\$242,940,000	47,389	\$1,483
596	\$573,563	Loleta Union School District	\$456,000	422	\$1,359
597	\$2,328,810	Thermalito Union School District	\$2,050,000	1,721	\$1,353
598	\$18,494,313	Lucia Mar Unified School District	\$21,350,000	13,848	\$1,336
599	\$10,626,544	Little Lake City School District	\$18,000,000	8,041	\$1,322
600	\$31,006,600	Panama-Buena Vista Union School District	\$147,000,000	23,690	\$1,309
601	\$6,414,363	Fortuna Union High School District	\$10,000,000	5,072	\$1,265
602	\$581,980,406	Los Rios Community College District	\$740,000,000	461,192	\$1,262
603	\$438,095	Washington Colony Elementary School District	\$400,000	350	\$1,252
604	\$25,118,319	Santa Rosa Elementary School District	\$73,000,000	20,204	\$1,243
605	\$37,475,529	Shasta-Tehama-Trinity Joint Community College District	\$34,000,000	33,076	\$1,133
606	\$10,022,294	Turlock Unified School District (Turlock Elementary School District)	\$10,950,000	8,989	\$1,115
607	\$18,024,797	Nevada Joint Union High School District	\$15,000,000	16,397	\$1,099
608	\$5,477,575	Greenfield Union School District (Kern County)	\$45,000,000	5,483	\$999
609	\$41,826,550	Salinas Union High School District	\$217,000,000	42,127	\$993
610	\$46,856,812	College of the Redwoods	\$40,320,000	52,249	\$897
611	\$7,620,450	Anderson Union High School District	\$12,300,000	8,574	\$889
N/A	Not issued yet	Briggs Elementary School District	\$4,500,000	398	N/A
N/A	Not issued yet	Center Joint Unified School District	\$500,000,000	5,082	N/A
N/A	Not issued yet	Cinnabar Elementary School District	\$2,500,000	494	N/A
N/A	Not issued yet	Columbia School District	\$8,600,000	1,984	N/A
N/A	Not issued yet	Colusa Unified School District	\$5,900,000	1,049	N/A
N/A	Not issued yet	Farmersville Unified School District	\$4,800,000	575	N/A
N/A	Not issued yet	Folsom Cordova Unified School District SFID No. 5	\$195,000,000	13,332	N/A

## All Educational Districts in Which Voters Authorized Borrowing Via Bond Sales Since November 2000 Enactment of Proposition 39 - Ratio of Current Debt Service to Total Number of “Yes” Votes

Rank	Aggregate Debt Service	Educational District	Total Amount Authorized to Borrow*	Total Number of “Yes” Votes to Borrow*	Debt Service Per “Yes” Vote to Borrow
N/A	Not issued yet	Golden Plains Unified School District	\$13,000,000	402	N/A
N/A	Not issued yet	Gustine Unified School District	\$14,000,000	962	N/A
N/A	Not issued yet	Hollister School District	\$28,500,000	5,729	N/A
N/A	Not issued yet	Lake Tahoe Community College District	\$55,000,000	3,784	N/A
N/A	Not issued yet	Laytonville Unified School District	\$5,500,000	610	N/A
N/A	Not issued yet	Manchester Union Elementary School District	\$1,310,000	151	N/A
N/A	Not issued yet	Mt. San Jacinto Community College District	\$295,000,000	76,993	N/A
N/A	Not issued yet	National School District	\$26,100,000	4,621	N/A
N/A	Not issued yet	Rio School District	\$38,500,000	3,753	N/A
N/A	Not issued yet	Roseville Joint Union High School District SFID No. 1	\$115,000,000	11	N/A
N/A	Not issued yet	San Luis Coastal Unified School District	\$177,000,000	18,400	N/A
N/A	Not issued yet	Santa Maria-Bonita School District	\$45,000,000	8,085	N/A
N/A	Not issued yet	Tipton Elementary School District	\$3,300,000	211	N/A
N/A	Not issued yet	West Hills Community College District	\$20,000,000	6,682	N/A
N/A	Not issued yet	Western Placer Unified School District	\$60,000,000	10,742	N/A
N/A	Not issued yet	Willows Unified School District	\$14,000,000	140	N/A
N/A	No statement for 2011 REF	Barstow Unified School District	\$14,000,000	2,492	N/A
N/A	No statement for 2012 REF	Columbia Union Elementary School District	\$7,300,000	2,288	N/A
N/A	No statement for last 3 REF	Eastern Sierra Unified School District	\$11,100,000	1,067	N/A
N/A	No statement for 2012 REF	Exeter Union High School District	\$9,450,000	3,754	N/A
N/A	No statement for 2014 REF	Gold Trail Union School District	\$1,800,000	1,639	N/A
N/A	No statement for 2011 REF	Kelseyville Unified School District	\$2,930,000	1,361	N/A
N/A	No statement for 2008 Series A, Series B, CABs	Riverbank Unified School District	\$15,200,000	1,584	N/A
N/A	No statement for 2011 REF	South Whittier Elementary School District	\$7,500,000	4,577	N/A
	<b>\$193,536,130,619</b>		<b>\$145,386,418,737</b>	<b>29,940,041</b>	<b>\$6,464</b>

\* Does not include the sixteen (16) reauthorizations approved by voters since November 7, 2000.

# Appendix L

## All Educational Districts in Which Voters Authorized Borrowing Via Bond Sales Since November 2000 Enactment of Proposition 39 - Ranked by Total Amount Authorized to Borrow Per “Yes” Vote

Rank	Educational District	Total Amount Authorized to Borrow Since Proposition 39*	Total Number of “Yes” Votes to Borrow*	Amount Authorized to Borrow Per “Yes” Vote
1	Folsom Cordova Unified School District SFID No. 3	\$750,000,000	60	\$12,500,000
2	Roseville Joint Union High School District SFID No. 1	\$115,000,000	11	\$10,454,545
3	Oakdale Joint Unified School District	\$20,000,000	28	\$714,286
4	Lost Hills Union School District	\$6,200,000	35	\$177,143
5	Elk Hills School District	\$7,905,000	54	\$146,389
6	Orchard School District	\$40,000,000	391	\$102,302
7	Willows Unified School District	\$14,000,000	140	\$100,000
8	Center Joint Unified School District	\$500,000,000	5,082	\$98,386
9	Midway School District	\$7,800,000	93	\$83,871
10	Nuvview Union School District	\$39,600,000	622	\$63,666
11	Mountain View School District SFID No. 1	\$17,000,000	284	\$59,859
12	Alvord Unified School District	\$196,000,000	3,437	\$57,026
13	Norris School District	\$149,000,000	3,017	\$49,387
14	Fontana Unified School District	\$275,000,000	5,598	\$49,125
15	Golden Valley Unified School District	\$70,000,000	1,438	\$48,679
16	Emery Unified School District	\$95,000,000	1,982	\$47,931
17	Val Verde Unified School District	\$221,440,000	5,081	\$43,582
18	Tahoe Truckee Unified School District SFID No. 1	\$114,000,000	3,018	\$37,773
19	San Jacinto Unified School District	\$150,000,000	4,153	\$36,118
20	Moreno Valley Unified School District	\$448,000,000	12,488	\$35,874
21	Pixley Union School District	\$7,800,000	229	\$34,061
22	Bradley Union School District	\$1,100,000	33	\$33,333
23	Coachella Valley Unified School District	\$291,000,000	8,938	\$32,558
24	Golden Plains Unified School District	\$13,000,000	402	\$32,338
25	Buena Park Elementary School District	\$71,000,000	2,229	\$31,853
26	Beverly Hills Unified School District	\$424,000,000	13,908	\$30,486
27	Tahoe Truckee Unified School District SFID No. 2	\$62,000,000	2,074	\$29,894
28	Buttonwillow Union Elementary School District	\$6,500,000	223	\$29,148
29	Reef-Sunset Unified School District	\$10,830,000	376	\$28,803
30	Anderson Valley Unified School District	\$15,250,000	551	\$27,677
31	Mountain View Whisman Unified School District	\$198,000,000	7,341	\$26,972
32	Palo Alto Unified School District	\$378,000,000	14,074	\$26,858
33	Calistoga Joint Unified School District	\$42,000,000	1,572	\$26,718
34	Alisal Union School District	\$90,000,000	3,414	\$26,362
35	Las Lomas School District	\$60,000,000	2,305	\$26,030

# All Educational Districts in Which Voters Authorized Borrowing Via Bond Sales Since November 2000 Enactment of Proposition 39 - Ranked by Total Amount Authorized to Borrow Per “Yes” Vote

Rank	Educational District	Total Amount Authorized to Borrow Since Proposition 39*	Total Number of “Yes” Votes to Borrow*	Amount Authorized to Borrow Per “Yes” Vote
36	Fremont Unified School District	\$807,200,000	31,072	\$25,978
37	Wiseburn Unified School District	\$119,600,000	4,762	\$25,115
38	Jurupa Unified School District	\$144,000,000	5,824	\$24,725
39	Santa Ana Unified School District	\$200,000,000	8,426	\$23,736
40	Burbank Unified School District	\$110,000,000	4,663	\$23,590
41	Hillsborough City School District	\$66,800,000	2,838	\$23,538
42	Carpinteria Unified School District	\$90,000,000	3,901	\$23,071
43	Mendota Unified School District	\$34,000,000	1,484	\$22,911
44	Richland School District	\$23,000,000	1,006	\$22,863
45	Norwalk-La Mirada Unified School District	\$540,000,000	23,889	\$22,605
46	Culver City Unified School District	\$106,000,000	4,706	\$22,524
47	Piedmont Unified School District	\$56,000,000	2,497	\$22,427
48	Grant Joint Union High School District (Now Twin Rivers USD)	\$230,000,000	10,477	\$21,953
49	Arcadia Unified School District	\$218,000,000	10,104	\$21,576
50	Dublin Unified School District	\$283,000,000	13,267	\$21,331
51	Calipatria Unified School District	\$9,000,000	422	\$21,327
52	Cabrillo Unified School District	\$81,000,000	3,803	\$21,299
53	Vineland School District	\$4,000,000	188	\$21,277
54	Union School District	\$125,000,000	5,958	\$20,980
55	Victor Valley Union High School District	\$500,000,000	24,037	\$20,801
56	Elverta Elementary School District	\$10,500,000	512	\$20,508
57	Azusa Unified School District	\$167,000,000	8,183	\$20,408
58	Temple City Unified School District	\$128,800,000	6,454	\$19,957
59	Glendale Unified School District	\$270,000,000	13,637	\$19,799
60	Fairfax Elementary School District	\$24,800,000	1,265	\$19,605
61	West Kern Community College District	\$39,800,000	2,039	\$19,519
62	Willits Unified School District	\$43,000,000	2,211	\$19,448
63	Newark Unified School District	\$63,000,000	3,286	\$19,172
64	New Haven Unified School District	\$245,000,000	12,779	\$19,172
65	Merced River School District	\$1,800,000	95	\$18,947
66	Las Virgenes Unified School District	\$128,000,000	6,865	\$18,645
67	Bayshore Elementary School District	\$6,000,000	325	\$18,462
68	Oceanside Unified School District	\$195,000,000	10,952	\$17,805
69	Los Nietos School District	\$59,140,000	3,323	\$17,797
70	McFarland Unified School District	\$33,300,000	1,887	\$17,647
71	Robla School District	\$29,800,000	1,694	\$17,591
72	Milpitas Unified School District	\$95,000,000	5,412	\$17,554
73	Maricopa Unified School District	\$6,000,000	342	\$17,544
74	Needles Unified School District	\$10,900,000	623	\$17,496
75	Banning Unified School District	\$138,000,000	7,890	\$17,490

# All Educational Districts in Which Voters Authorized Borrowing Via Bond Sales Since November 2000 Enactment of Proposition 39 - Ranked by Total Amount Authorized to Borrow Per “Yes” Vote

Rank	Educational District	Total Amount Authorized to Borrow Since Proposition 39*	Total Number of “Yes” Votes to Borrow*	Amount Authorized to Borrow Per “Yes” Vote
76	Wasco Union High School District	\$40,100,000	2,299	\$17,442
77	Mojave Unified School District SFID No. 2	\$14,000,000	804	\$17,413
78	Los Altos School District	\$150,000,000	8,671	\$17,299
79	Desert Sands Unified School District	\$675,000,000	39,137	\$17,247
80	Soledad Unified School District	\$40,000,000	2,332	\$17,153
81	Natomas Unified School District	\$320,380,000	19,118	\$16,758
82	Princeton Unified School District	\$2,750,000	165	\$16,667
83	Taft City School District	\$43,600,000	2,620	\$16,641
84	Delano Joint Union High School District	\$55,000,000	3,321	\$16,561
85	Sulphur Springs Union Elementary School District	\$72,000,000	4,394	\$16,386
86	Perris Elementary School District	\$65,000,000	3,976	\$16,348
87	La Honda-Pescadero Unified	\$15,000,000	918	\$16,340
88	Newhall School District	\$60,000,000	3,706	\$16,190
89	Lennox Elementary School District	\$10,700,000	682	\$15,689
90	Tipton Elementary School District	\$3,300,000	211	\$15,640
91	Brisbane Elementary School District	\$11,000,000	706	\$15,581
92	Stone Corral Elementary School District	\$715,000	46	\$15,543
93	Charter Oak Unified School District	\$47,000,000	3,035	\$15,486
94	Jefferson School District	\$35,400,000	2,354	\$15,038
95	Cotati/ Rohnert Park Unified School District	\$80,000,000	5,382	\$14,864
96	Duarte Unified School District	\$62,000,000	4,198	\$14,769
97	Chino Valley Unified School District	\$150,000,000	10,191	\$14,719
98	Hayward Unified School District	\$434,000,000	29,522	\$14,701
99	Tahoe Truckee Unified School District	\$30,450,000	2,076	\$14,668
100	Folsom Cordova Unified School District SFID No. 5	\$195,000,000	13,332	\$14,626
101	Petaluma Joint Union High School District	\$68,000,000	4,652	\$14,617
102	Paramount Unified School District	\$100,000,000	6,845	\$14,609
103	Gustine Unified School District	\$14,000,000	962	\$14,553
104	Lake Tahoe Community College District	\$55,000,000	3,784	\$14,535
105	Woodside Elementary School District	\$25,500,000	1,755	\$14,530
106	Salinas City Elementary School District	\$80,000,000	5,513	\$14,511
107	Corona-Norco Unified School District	\$646,000,000	44,977	\$14,363
108	San Marcos Unified School District	\$287,000,000	20,082	\$14,291
109	Vacaville Unified School District	\$295,300,000	20,701	\$14,265
110	Colton Joint Unified School District	\$327,000,000	23,525	\$13,900
111	Beaumont Unified School District	\$125,000,000	9,029	\$13,844
112	Round Valley Unified School District	\$4,000,000	290	\$13,793
113	Winters Joint Unified School District	\$15,000,000	1,097	\$13,674
114	Southern Trinity Unified School District	\$2,300,000	170	\$13,529
115	Downey Unified School District	\$313,000,000	23,350	\$13,405

# All Educational Districts in Which Voters Authorized Borrowing Via Bond Sales Since November 2000 Enactment of Proposition 39 - Ranked by Total Amount Authorized to Borrow Per “Yes” Vote

Rank	Educational District	Total Amount Authorized to Borrow Since Proposition 39*	Total Number of “Yes” Votes to Borrow*	Amount Authorized to Borrow Per “Yes” Vote
116	Owens Valley Unified School District	\$2,600,000	196	\$13,265
117	Arvin Union School District	\$26,000,000	1,968	\$13,211
118	Fruitvale School District	\$29,800,000	2,257	\$13,203
119	Sweetwater Union High School District	\$644,000,000	48,916	\$13,165
120	Patterson Joint Unified School District	\$69,500,000	5,323	\$13,057
121	Morgan Hill Unified School District	\$198,250,000	15,243	\$13,006
122	San Gabriel Unified School District	\$112,150,000	8,651	\$12,964
123	Stockton Unified School District	\$698,500,000	53,940	\$12,950
124	Standard School District	\$28,000,000	2,166	\$12,927
125	Peninsula Union School District	\$600,000	47	\$12,766
126	Atascadero Unified School District	\$117,000,000	9,165	\$12,766
127	Keyes Union High School District	\$5,000,000	392	\$12,755
128	Novato Unified School District	\$107,000,000	8,419	\$12,709
129	Benicia Unified School District	\$49,600,000	3,903	\$12,708
130	Rowland Unified School District	\$276,800,000	21,971	\$12,598
131	Newman-Crows Landing Unified School District	\$25,000,000	1,998	\$12,513
132	Menlo Park City School District	\$114,100,000	9,158	\$12,459
133	Santa Monica-Malibu Unified School District	\$653,000,000	52,801	\$12,367
134	Gilroy Unified School District	\$219,000,000	17,854	\$12,266
135	Carlsbad Unified School District	\$198,000,000	16,250	\$12,185
136	Byron Union School District	\$19,700,000	1,617	\$12,183
137	Chaffey Joint Union School District	\$848,000,000	70,026	\$12,110
138	San Benito High School District	\$42,500,000	3,524	\$12,060
139	Alum Rock Union Elementary School District	\$304,000,000	25,343	\$11,995
140	Saugus Union School District	\$196,000,000	16,340	\$11,995
141	Denair Unified School District	\$21,200,000	1,771	\$11,971
142	Santa Clara Unified School District	\$815,100,000	68,140	\$11,962
143	Fowler Unified School District	\$21,000,000	1,757	\$11,952
144	Palm Springs Unified School District	\$638,000,000	53,399	\$11,948
145	Los Gatos-Saratoga Joint Union High School District	\$99,000,000	8,331	\$11,883
146	Garden Grove Unified School District	\$250,000,000	21,149	\$11,821
147	Brentwood Union Elementary School District	\$35,000,000	2,968	\$11,792
148	South San Francisco Unified School District	\$162,000,000	13,758	\$11,775
149	Helendale School District	\$20,000,000	1,707	\$11,716
150	Ross School District	\$21,750,000	1,870	\$11,631
151	Dinuba Unified School District	\$51,915,000	4,471	\$11,611
152	Templeton Unified School District	\$35,000,000	3,017	\$11,601
153	Manteca Unified School District	\$225,000,000	19,402	\$11,597
154	Lindsay Unified School District	\$43,900,000	3,852	\$11,397
155	Rio Bravo-Greeley Union School District	\$10,900,000	957	\$11,390

# All Educational Districts in Which Voters Authorized Borrowing Via Bond Sales Since November 2000 Enactment of Proposition 39 - Ranked by Total Amount Authorized to Borrow Per “Yes” Vote

Rank	Educational District	Total Amount Authorized to Borrow Since Proposition 39*	Total Number of “Yes” Votes to Borrow*	Amount Authorized to Borrow Per “Yes” Vote
156	Pierce Joint Unified School District	\$6,000,000	529	\$11,342
157	Briggs Elementary School District	\$4,500,000	398	\$11,307
158	West Contra Costa Unified School District	\$1,440,000,000	127,684	\$11,278
159	St. Helena Unified School District	\$60,000,000	5,327	\$11,263
160	Graves Union School District	\$180,000	16	\$11,250
161	Rancho Santa Fe Elementary School District	\$38,800,000	3,458	\$11,220
162	Calexico Unified School District	\$30,000,000	2,680	\$11,194
163	Central Unified School District	\$192,000,000	17,236	\$11,139
164	Southern Humboldt Joint Unified School District	\$35,200,000	3,160	\$11,139
165	Los Gatos Union School District	\$121,900,000	11,027	\$11,055
166	Foothill-De Anza Community College District	\$490,800,000	44,924	\$10,925
167	Dehesa School District	\$5,500,000	507	\$10,848
168	Mill Valley School District	\$59,800,000	5,530	\$10,814
169	Newport-Mesa Unified School District	\$282,000,000	26,123	\$10,795
170	Kerman Unified School District	\$35,900,000	3,338	\$10,755
171	Sierra Sands Unified School District	\$50,500,000	4,710	\$10,722
172	Riverside Unified School District	\$175,000,000	16,466	\$10,628
173	Sutter Union High School District	\$12,600,000	1,194	\$10,553
174	Cupertino Union School District	\$300,000,000	28,627	\$10,480
175	Le Grand Union High School District	\$4,200,000	401	\$10,474
176	Orland Joint Unified School District	\$21,900,000	2,093	\$10,463
177	Monrovia Unified School District	\$45,000,000	4,301	\$10,463
178	Campbell Union School District	\$224,900,000	21,525	\$10,448
179	Eastern Sierra Unified School District	\$11,100,000	1,067	\$10,403
180	Washington Union School District	\$13,000,000	1,253	\$10,375
181	Lakeside Union Elementary School District	\$22,500,000	2,179	\$10,326
182	Mojave Unified School District SFID No. 1	\$48,100,000	4,662	\$10,317
183	San Dieguito Union High School District	\$449,000,000	43,627	\$10,292
184	Rio School District	\$38,500,000	3,753	\$10,258
185	Greenfield Union School District (Monterey County)	\$28,300,000	2,762	\$10,246
186	San Diego Unified School District	\$4,900,000,000	479,914	\$10,210
187	Solvang School District	\$11,650,000	1,148	\$10,148
188	Rosemead School District	\$60,000,000	5,960	\$10,067
189	Hart-Ransom Union School District	\$3,900,000	388	\$10,052
190	Livingston Union School District	\$10,000,000	1,002	\$9,980
191	Walnut Valley Unified School District	\$79,800,000	8,018	\$9,953
192	Los Olivos School District	\$4,650,000	469	\$9,915
193	Palo Verde Community College District	\$6,000,000	608	\$9,868
194	Pittsburg Unified School District	\$310,500,000	31,473	\$9,866
195	Victor Elementary School District	\$180,000,000	18,322	\$9,824

# All Educational Districts in Which Voters Authorized Borrowing Via Bond Sales Since November 2000 Enactment of Proposition 39 - Ranked by Total Amount Authorized to Borrow Per “Yes” Vote

Rank	Educational District	Total Amount Authorized to Borrow Since Proposition 39*	Total Number of “Yes” Votes to Borrow*	Amount Authorized to Borrow Per “Yes” Vote
196	Oak View Union School District	\$3,700,000	380	\$9,737
197	Long Beach Unified School District	\$1,200,000,000	123,253	\$9,736
198	Folsom Cordova Unified School District SFID No. 4 and SFID No. 5	\$193,000,000	19,876	\$9,710
199	San Luis Coastal Unified School District	\$177,000,000	18,400	\$9,620
200	Weaver Union School District	\$18,800,000	1,955	\$9,616
201	Lake Tahoe Unified School District	\$64,500,000	6,715	\$9,605
202	Riverbank Unified School District	\$15,200,000	1,584	\$9,596
203	Clovis Unified School District	\$466,000,000	48,588	\$9,591
204	Caruthers Unified School District	\$12,000,000	1,264	\$9,494
205	Imperial Unified School District	\$12,000,000	1,265	\$9,486
206	Desert Community College District	\$346,500,000	36,698	\$9,442
207	Somis Union School District	\$9,000,000	955	\$9,424
208	Mt. Diablo Unified School District	\$598,000,000	63,682	\$9,390
209	Ohlone Community College District	\$499,000,000	53,153	\$9,388
210	Palmdale Elementary School District	\$245,000,000	26,176	\$9,360
211	Baldwin Park Unified School District	\$122,100,000	13,048	\$9,358
212	Cutler-Orosi Joint Unified School District	\$6,400,000	687	\$9,316
213	Lynwood Unified School District	\$113,000,000	12,167	\$9,287
214	Sunnyvale School District	\$216,000,000	23,278	\$9,279
215	Los Alamitos Unified School District	\$126,000,000	13,740	\$9,170
216	Fremont Union High School District	\$493,000,000	54,082	\$9,116
217	Poway Unified School District SFID 2002-1	\$198,000,000	21,958	\$9,017
218	Laytonville Unified School District	\$5,500,000	610	\$9,016
219	Alameda Unified School District	\$242,500,000	26,920	\$9,008
220	Simi Valley Unified School District	\$145,000,000	16,118	\$8,996
221	Murietta Valley Unified School District	\$258,400,000	28,724	\$8,996
222	Anaheim City Elementary School District	\$280,300,000	31,160	\$8,996
223	Shoreline Unified School District	\$9,290,000	1,033	\$8,993
224	Castaic Union School District	\$51,000,000	5,682	\$8,976
225	McCabe Union Elementary School District	\$7,000,000	780	\$8,974
226	El Rancho Unified School District	\$101,500,000	11,337	\$8,953
227	Rio Hondo Community College District	\$245,000,000	27,447	\$8,926
228	Lodi Unified School District	\$223,300,000	25,106	\$8,894
229	Coalinga-Huron Joint Unified School District	\$16,100,000	1,813	\$8,880
230	Fairfield-Suisun Unified School District	\$100,000,000	11,288	\$8,859
231	Dixon Unified School District	\$29,200,000	3,313	\$8,814
232	Covina Valley Unified School District	\$245,000,000	27,818	\$8,807
233	Scotts Valley Unified School District	\$35,000,000	3,978	\$8,798
234	Washington Unified School District	\$123,800,000	14,088	\$8,788
235	Live Oak Unified School District	\$8,400,000	961	\$8,741

# All Educational Districts in Which Voters Authorized Borrowing Via Bond Sales Since November 2000 Enactment of Proposition 39 - Ranked by Total Amount Authorized to Borrow Per “Yes” Vote

Rank	Educational District	Total Amount Authorized to Borrow Since Proposition 39*	Total Number of “Yes” Votes to Borrow*	Amount Authorized to Borrow Per “Yes” Vote
236	Upland Unified School District	\$103,000,000	11,785	\$8,740
237	Lakeport Unified School District	\$24,300,000	2,789	\$8,713
238	Manchester Union Elementary School District	\$1,310,000	151	\$8,675
239	Merced City Elementary School District	\$86,500,000	9,987	\$8,661
240	Folsom Cordova Unified School District SFID No. 1	\$49,000,000	5,685	\$8,619
241	Chualar Union Elementary School District	\$2,900,000	339	\$8,555
242	San Ramon Valley Unified School District	\$520,000,000	61,035	\$8,520
243	Burlingame School District	\$104,300,000	12,259	\$8,508
244	Evergreen School District	\$250,000,000	29,496	\$8,476
245	Los Angeles Unified School District	\$18,205,000,000	2,153,451	\$8,454
246	Ocean View School District	\$13,200,000	1,571	\$8,402
247	Hemet Unified School District	\$149,000,000	17,757	\$8,391
248	Big Creek Elementary School District	\$1,400,000	167	\$8,383
249	Farmersville Unified School District	\$4,800,000	575	\$8,348
250	San Jose Unified School District	\$719,000,000	86,695	\$8,293
251	Santa Monica Community College District	\$590,000,000	71,244	\$8,281
252	Greenfield Union School District (Kern County)	\$45,000,000	5,483	\$8,207
253	Big Pine Unified School District	\$6,300,000	770	\$8,182
254	Southern Kern Unified School District	\$64,400,000	7,877	\$8,176
255	Westminster School District	\$130,000,000	15,947	\$8,152
256	Dry Creek Elementary School District	\$67,300,000	8,282	\$8,126
257	McKinleyville Union School District	\$14,000,000	1,723	\$8,125
258	Pomona Unified School District	\$303,000,000	37,338	\$8,115
259	Cloverdale Unified School District	\$17,000,000	2,096	\$8,111
260	Chatom Union Elementary School District	\$5,000,000	617	\$8,104
261	Pacific Grove Unified School District	\$60,000,000	7,431	\$8,074
262	Windsor Unified School District	\$50,000,000	6,208	\$8,054
263	Vista Unified School District	\$140,000,000	17,506	\$7,997
264	Chawanakee Unified School District	\$9,000,000	1,126	\$7,993
265	San Bernardino City Unified School District	\$390,000,000	49,184	\$7,929
266	Liberty Union High School District	\$40,000,000	5,100	\$7,843
267	Bassett Unified School District	\$73,000,000	9,312	\$7,839
268	Enterprise Elementary School District	\$34,000,000	4,340	\$7,834
269	Oak Park Unified School District	\$46,945,000	5,996	\$7,829
270	Allan Hancock Community College District	\$180,000,000	22,996	\$7,827
271	Rialto Unified School District	\$98,000,000	12,608	\$7,773
272	River Delta Unified School District SFID No. 2	\$9,000,000	1,165	\$7,725
273	Selma Unified School District	\$23,800,000	3,091	\$7,700
274	Old Adobe Union School District	\$26,000,000	3,391	\$7,667
275	Chabot-Las Positas Community College District	\$498,000,000	65,195	\$7,639

# All Educational Districts in Which Voters Authorized Borrowing Via Bond Sales Since November 2000 Enactment of Proposition 39 - Ranked by Total Amount Authorized to Borrow Per “Yes” Vote

Rank	Educational District	Total Amount Authorized to Borrow Since Proposition 39*	Total Number of “Yes” Votes to Borrow*	Amount Authorized to Borrow Per “Yes” Vote
276	Madera Unified School District	\$148,500,000	19,518	\$7,608
277	Middletown Unified School District	\$15,300,000	2,020	\$7,574
278	Strathmore Union High School District	\$3,500,000	463	\$7,559
279	Escondido Union School District	\$228,400,000	30,250	\$7,550
280	Larkspur-Corte Madera School District	\$45,000,000	5,968	\$7,540
281	Reed Union School District	\$51,000,000	6,793	\$7,508
282	Lakeside Union School District	\$79,550,000	10,695	\$7,438
283	Morongo Unified School District	\$48,150,000	6,563	\$7,337
284	Rocklin Unified School District	\$52,000,000	7,101	\$7,323
285	Wright Elementary School District	\$14,000,000	1,913	\$7,318
286	North County Joint Union School District	\$3,350,000	458	\$7,314
287	Napa Valley Unified School District	\$278,000,000	38,014	\$7,313
288	Bear Valley Unified School District	\$25,000,000	3,423	\$7,304
289	Berryessa Union School District	\$77,000,000	10,622	\$7,249
290	Fort Bragg Unified School District	\$38,200,000	5,275	\$7,242
291	San Leandro Unified School District	\$159,100,000	22,036	\$7,220
292	Savanna Elementary School District	\$53,685,000	7,443	\$7,213
293	Conejo Valley Unified School District	\$197,000,000	27,468	\$7,172
294	Tulare Joint Union High School District	\$45,000,000	6,308	\$7,134
295	Beardsley School District	\$10,300,000	1,444	\$7,133
296	Monterey Peninsula Unified School District	\$110,000,000	15,558	\$7,070
297	Tracy Unified School District	\$51,000,000	7,230	\$7,054
298	El Monte City School District	\$203,000,000	28,984	\$7,004
299	Kingsburg Joint Union High School District	\$22,900,000	3,276	\$6,990
300	San Carlos Unified School District	\$110,000,000	15,774	\$6,974
301	Jefferson Union High School District	\$269,900,000	39,115	\$6,900
302	Glendale Community College District	\$98,000,000	14,245	\$6,880
303	Antioch Unified School District SFID No. 1	\$118,100,000	17,212	\$6,861
304	East Nicolaus Joint Union High School District	\$4,000,000	583	\$6,861
305	Placentia-Yorba Linda Unified School District	\$302,000,000	44,064	\$6,854
306	Anaheim Union High School District	\$381,000,000	55,830	\$6,824
307	Aromas-San Juan Unified School District	\$20,900,000	3,065	\$6,819
308	Chaffey Community College	\$230,000,000	33,737	\$6,817
309	West Hills Community College District SFID No. 1 and SFID No. 2	\$23,400,000	3,438	\$6,806
310	Bass Lake Joint Union Elementary School District	\$15,000,000	2,213	\$6,778
311	North Orange County Community College District	\$574,000,000	84,780	\$6,770
312	Santa Rita Union Elementary School District	\$14,600,000	2,161	\$6,756
313	Fresno Unified School District	\$479,000,000	70,989	\$6,748
314	Palomar Community College	\$694,000,000	103,380	\$6,713
315	North Monterey County Unified School District	\$44,550,000	6,641	\$6,708

# All Educational Districts in Which Voters Authorized Borrowing Via Bond Sales Since November 2000 Enactment of Proposition 39 - Ranked by Total Amount Authorized to Borrow Per “Yes” Vote

Rank	Educational District	Total Amount Authorized to Borrow Since Proposition 39*	Total Number of “Yes” Votes to Borrow*	Amount Authorized to Borrow Per “Yes” Vote
316	Ojai Unified School District	\$35,000,000	5,223	\$6,701
317	Arena Union Elementary School District	\$3,700,000	554	\$6,679
318	Buellton Union School District	\$9,700,000	1,454	\$6,671
319	Mendocino Unified School District	\$15,500,000	2,327	\$6,661
320	Piner-Olivet Union School District	\$20,000,000	3,006	\$6,653
321	Santa Paula Union High School District	\$39,000,000	5,871	\$6,643
322	Lagunitas Elementary School District	\$5,000,000	754	\$6,631
323	Ceres Unified School District	\$85,000,000	12,839	\$6,620
324	Berkeley Unified School District	\$210,000,000	31,723	\$6,620
325	Cajon Valley Union School District	\$156,500,000	23,696	\$6,604
326	Martinez Unified School District	\$45,000,000	6,863	\$6,557
327	Geyserville Unified School District	\$3,250,000	498	\$6,526
328	Cambrian School District	\$59,975,000	9,192	\$6,525
329	Millbrae School District	\$60,000,000	9,196	\$6,525
330	Ukiah Unified School District	\$43,000,000	6,675	\$6,442
331	Tracy Unified School District SFID No. 3	\$125,100,000	19,426	\$6,440
332	Redondo Beach Unified School District	\$208,000,000	32,302	\$6,439
333	Eureka Unified School District	\$32,515,000	5,059	\$6,427
334	Kentfield School District	\$41,900,000	6,573	\$6,375
335	Poway Unified School District SFID 2007-1	\$179,000,000	28,323	\$6,320
336	Glendora Unified School District	\$41,310,000	6,588	\$6,270
337	Porterville Unified School District FID	\$23,000,000	3,681	\$6,248
338	Holtville Unified School District	\$8,000,000	1,282	\$6,240
339	Panama-Buena Vista Union School District	\$147,000,000	23,690	\$6,205
340	El Monte Union High School District	\$238,000,000	38,435	\$6,192
341	Napa Valley Community College District	\$133,800,000	21,699	\$6,166
342	Santee Elementary School District	\$60,000,000	9,742	\$6,159
343	Parlier Unified School District	\$10,500,000	1,706	\$6,155
344	Moreland School District	\$90,000,000	14,632	\$6,151
345	Bonsall Unified School District	\$17,000,000	2,767	\$6,144
346	Folsom Cordova Unified School District SFID No. 2	\$53,000,000	8,657	\$6,122
347	Monterey Peninsula Community College District	\$145,000,000	23,711	\$6,115
348	Mesa Union Elementary School District	\$7,900,000	1,292	\$6,115
349	Sanger Unified School District	\$80,800,000	13,284	\$6,083
350	Guerneville School District	\$6,000,000	993	\$6,042
351	Big Oak Flat-Groveland Unified School District	\$9,300,000	1,563	\$5,950
352	Jefferson Elementary School District	\$119,500,000	20,090	\$5,948
353	Temecula Valley Unified School District	\$165,000,000	27,808	\$5,934
354	William S. Hart Union High School District	\$458,000,000	77,387	\$5,918
355	Merced Union High School District	\$149,450,000	25,266	\$5,915

# All Educational Districts in Which Voters Authorized Borrowing Via Bond Sales Since November 2000 Enactment of Proposition 39 - Ranked by Total Amount Authorized to Borrow Per “Yes” Vote

Rank	Educational District	Total Amount Authorized to Borrow Since Proposition 39*	Total Number of “Yes” Votes to Borrow*	Amount Authorized to Borrow Per “Yes” Vote
356	Bellevue Union School District	\$31,000,000	5,267	\$5,886
357	Healdsburg Unified School District	\$38,990,000	6,712	\$5,809
358	Saddleback Valley Unified School District	\$180,000,000	31,024	\$5,802
359	La Canada Unified School District	\$25,000,000	4,408	\$5,672
360	El Tejon Unified School District	\$7,120,000	1,257	\$5,664
361	Bakersfield City Elementary School District	\$100,000,000	17,657	\$5,663
362	San Mateo Union High School District	\$484,000,000	85,547	\$5,658
363	Compton Unified School District	\$80,000,000	14,150	\$5,654
364	Riverside Community College District	\$350,000,000	61,923	\$5,652
365	National School District	\$26,100,000	4,621	\$5,648
366	Colusa Unified School District	\$5,900,000	1,049	\$5,624
367	Coast Unified School District SFID No. 1	\$12,700,000	2,260	\$5,619
368	Barstow Unified School District	\$14,000,000	2,492	\$5,618
369	Huntington Beach Union High School District	\$238,000,000	42,469	\$5,604
370	Pollock Pines Elementary School District	\$9,000,000	1,606	\$5,604
371	Kings Canyon Unified School District	\$90,000,000	16,092	\$5,593
372	Citrus Community College District	\$121,000,000	21,639	\$5,592
373	Western Placer Unified School District	\$60,000,000	10,742	\$5,586
374	Mount Pleasant School District	\$25,000,000	4,482	\$5,578
375	Delhi Unified School District	\$8,000,000	1,435	\$5,575
376	Ripon Unified School District	\$35,236,190	6,324	\$5,572
377	Twin Hills Union School District	\$11,000,000	1,975	\$5,570
378	Santa Maria-Bonita School District	\$45,000,000	8,085	\$5,566
379	Lincoln Unified School District	\$98,500,000	17,718	\$5,559
380	Oak Grove School District	\$214,800,000	38,645	\$5,558
381	Marysville Joint Unified School District	\$84,000,000	15,131	\$5,552
382	Manhattan Beach Unified School District	\$67,480,000	12,191	\$5,535
383	Springville Union Elementary School District	\$4,000,000	724	\$5,525
384	Stanislaus Union School District	\$39,800,000	7,213	\$5,518
385	Tustin Unified School District	\$310,000,000	56,316	\$5,505
386	Pajaro Valley Unified School District	\$208,250,000	37,997	\$5,481
387	Linden Unified School District	\$11,500,000	2,099	\$5,479
388	Rancho Santiago Community College District	\$535,000,000	97,770	\$5,472
389	Pasadena Unified School District	\$350,000,000	64,090	\$5,461
390	Antelope Valley Joint Union High School District	\$103,600,000	19,029	\$5,444
391	Long Beach Community College District	\$616,000,000	113,784	\$5,414
392	Mark Twain Union Elementary School District	\$9,600,000	1,786	\$5,375
393	Bonita Unified School District	\$139,920,000	26,059	\$5,369
394	San Diego Community College District	\$1,555,000,000	289,976	\$5,363
395	El Centro Elementary School District	\$8,000,000	1,493	\$5,358

# All Educational Districts in Which Voters Authorized Borrowing Via Bond Sales Since November 2000 Enactment of Proposition 39 - Ranked by Total Amount Authorized to Borrow Per “Yes” Vote

Rank	Educational District	Total Amount Authorized to Borrow Since Proposition 39*	Total Number of “Yes” Votes to Borrow*	Amount Authorized to Borrow Per “Yes” Vote
396	Earlilmart School District	\$3,600,000	672	\$5,357
397	Rohnerville School District (in Fortuna Elementary School District)	\$5,000,000	934	\$5,353
398	Healdsburg Unified School District SFID No. 1	\$18,820,000	3,519	\$5,348
399	San Luis Obispo County Community College District (Cuesta)	\$275,000,000	51,740	\$5,315
400	Valley Home Joint School District	\$1,400,000	264	\$5,303
401	Tamalpais Union High School District	\$200,920,000	37,947	\$5,295
402	Moorpark Unified School District	\$72,500,000	13,713	\$5,287
403	John Swett Unified School District	\$30,000,000	5,728	\$5,237
404	Armona Union Elementary School District	\$2,785,000	534	\$5,215
405	Planada Elementary School District	\$4,400,000	845	\$5,207
406	Ontario-Montclair School District	\$59,655,000	11,472	\$5,200
407	Belmont-Redwood Shores School District, Belmont-Redwood Shores School District ESFID, and Redwood Shores SFID	\$133,000,000	25,582	\$5,199
408	Traver Joint Elementary School District	\$1,400,000	270	\$5,185
409	Fallbrook Union Elementary School District	\$32,000,000	6,199	\$5,162
410	Salinas Union High School District	\$217,000,000	42,127	\$5,151
411	Hughson Unified School District	\$14,300,000	2,788	\$5,129
412	Fullerton Joint Union High School District	\$242,940,000	47,389	\$5,127
413	Oak Grove Union School District	\$6,000,000	1,174	\$5,111
414	Saratoga Union School District	\$19,900,000	3,903	\$5,099
415	Cinnabar Elementary School District	\$2,500,000	494	\$5,061
416	Loma Prieta Schools	\$4,965,000	982	\$5,056
417	Yuba Community College District	\$190,000,000	37,608	\$5,052
418	South Pasadena Unified School District	\$29,000,000	5,754	\$5,040
419	San Juan Unified School District	\$700,000,000	139,062	\$5,034
420	Central School District	\$66,000,000	13,213	\$4,995
421	Hollister School District	\$28,500,000	5,729	\$4,975
422	Cerritos Community College District	\$560,000,000	112,860	\$4,962
423	Dixie School District	\$30,000,000	6,083	\$4,932
424	Pioneer Elementary School District	\$7,500,000	1,524	\$4,921
425	Oakland Unified School District	\$910,000,000	185,254	\$4,912
426	El Segundo Unified School District	\$39,000,000	7,971	\$4,893
427	Bellflower Unified School District	\$79,000,000	16,469	\$4,797
428	West Valley-Mission Community College District	\$585,000,000	122,022	\$4,794
429	Ross Valley Unified School District	\$41,000,000	8,565	\$4,787
430	Escalon Unified School District	\$27,000,000	5,681	\$4,753
431	Coast Community College District	\$1,068,000,000	224,817	\$4,751
432	Oxnard School District	\$154,000,000	32,623	\$4,721
433	Mark West Union School District	\$25,000,000	5,329	\$4,691
434	Del Norte County School District	\$24,987,000	5,338	\$4,681

# All Educational Districts in Which Voters Authorized Borrowing Via Bond Sales Since November 2000 Enactment of Proposition 39 - Ranked by Total Amount Authorized to Borrow Per “Yes” Vote

Rank	Educational District	Total Amount Authorized to Borrow Since Proposition 39*	Total Number of “Yes” Votes to Borrow*	Amount Authorized to Borrow Per “Yes” Vote
435	Victor Valley Community College District	\$297,500,000	63,581	\$4,679
436	San Bernardino Community College District	\$690,000,000	147,892	\$4,666
437	River Delta Unified School District SFID No. 1	\$14,000,000	3,017	\$4,640
438	Compton Community College District	\$200,000,000	43,214	\$4,628
439	Perris Union High School District	\$199,420,000	43,100	\$4,627
440	Klamath-Trinity Joint Unified School District	\$5,950,000	1,287	\$4,623
441	College School District	\$9,370,000	2,028	\$4,620
442	Ventura County Community College District	\$356,000,000	77,854	\$4,573
443	Garvey Elementary School District	\$30,000,000	6,602	\$4,544
444	Centinela Valley Union High School District	\$196,000,000	43,312	\$4,525
445	Hydesville Elementary School District	\$1,100,000	244	\$4,508
446	Santa Clarita Community College District	\$242,110,000	54,662	\$4,429
447	Franklin School District	\$2,000,000	452	\$4,425
448	Galt Joint Union High School District	\$38,440,000	8,705	\$4,416
449	Hartnell Community College District	\$131,000,000	29,768	\$4,401
450	Howell Mountain School District	\$2,900,000	663	\$4,374
451	Paradise Elementary School District	\$660,000	151	\$4,371
452	Grossmont Union High School District	\$691,000,000	158,743	\$4,353
453	Roseland School District	\$7,000,000	1,612	\$4,342
454	Pacheco Union School District	\$11,000,000	2,534	\$4,341
455	Columbia School District	\$8,600,000	1,984	\$4,335
456	Terra Bella Union Elementary School District	\$3,300,000	763	\$4,325
457	Torrance Unified School District	\$549,300,000	127,208	\$4,318
458	Cypress School District	\$53,600,000	12,493	\$4,290
459	Sylvan Union School District	\$40,000,000	9,402	\$4,254
460	Sequoia Union High School District	\$588,000,000	138,394	\$4,249
461	Fullerton School District	\$49,700,000	11,710	\$4,244
462	Lompoc Unified School District	\$38,000,000	9,049	\$4,199
463	Grant Joint Union High School District, North Sacramento School District, and Rio Linda Union School District (Now Twin Rivers USD)	\$144,900,000	34,656	\$4,181
464	Roseville Joint Union High School District	\$158,500,000	37,928	\$4,179
465	Sonora Elementary School District	\$7,800,000	1,867	\$4,178
466	West Hills Community College District SFID No. 3	\$31,000,000	7,512	\$4,127
467	Franklin-McKinley School District	\$98,000,000	23,866	\$4,106
468	Apple Valley Unified School District	\$36,000,000	8,818	\$4,083
469	Southwestern Community College District	\$389,000,000	95,460	\$4,075
470	San Rafael High School District and San Rafael Elementary School District (San Rafael City Schools)	\$98,800,000	24,376	\$4,053
471	Sonoma County Community College District	\$661,700,000	164,987	\$4,011
472	San Joaquin-Delta Community College District	\$250,000,000	62,465	\$4,002
473	Peralta Community College District	\$390,000,000	97,685	\$3,992

# All Educational Districts in Which Voters Authorized Borrowing Via Bond Sales Since November 2000 Enactment of Proposition 39 - Ranked by Total Amount Authorized to Borrow Per “Yes” Vote

Rank	Educational District	Total Amount Authorized to Borrow Since Proposition 39*	Total Number of “Yes” Votes to Borrow*	Amount Authorized to Borrow Per “Yes” Vote
474	San Lorenzo Unified School District	\$132,000,000	33,153	\$3,982
475	Santa Rosa High School District	\$252,000,000	63,362	\$3,977
476	Wheatland School District	\$14,700,000	3,715	\$3,957
477	Sierra Joint Community College District SFID No. 1	\$35,000,000	8,856	\$3,952
478	Westside Union School District	\$63,500,000	16,127	\$3,937
479	South Bay Union School District	\$59,400,000	15,219	\$3,903
480	Dos Palos-Oro Loma Joint Unified School District	\$4,400,000	1,130	\$3,894
481	Trinidad Union School District	\$2,200,000	568	\$3,873
482	Whittier City Unified School District	\$55,000,000	14,205	\$3,872
483	Grossmont-Cuyamaca Community College District	\$605,000,000	156,424	\$3,868
484	Cascade Union Elementary School District	\$12,400,000	3,211	\$3,862
485	San Mateo County Community College District	\$1,063,000,000	275,478	\$3,859
486	Gold Oak Union School District	\$8,950,000	2,326	\$3,848
487	Eureka Unified School District and Eureka Unified School District SFID No. 1	\$60,335,000	15,681	\$3,848
488	Montebello Unified School District	\$98,000,000	25,476	\$3,847
489	Pasadena City College	\$150,000,000	39,129	\$3,833
490	Mt. San Jacinto Community College District	\$295,000,000	76,993	\$3,832
491	Gateway Unified School District	\$41,000,000	10,775	\$3,805
492	Imperial Valley College	\$138,600,000	36,501	\$3,797
S-2	Kindergarten-University Public Education Facilities Bond Act of 2004	\$12,300,000,000	3,239,706	\$3,797
493	Blue Lake Union Elementary School District	\$2,000,000	528	\$3,788
494	Sonoma Valley Unified School District	\$40,000,000	10,581	\$3,780
495	El Camino Community College District	\$744,516,464	198,034	\$3,760
496	Acton-Agua Dulce Unified School District	\$13,000,000	3,486	\$3,729
497	Wilmar Union School District	\$4,000,000	1,075	\$3,721
498	Banta Elementary School District	\$800,000	216	\$3,704
499	Kings River Union Elementary School District	\$1,700,000	463	\$3,672
500	Mendocino-Lake Community College District	\$67,500,000	18,417	\$3,665
501	Sausalito Marin City School District	\$15,900,000	4,346	\$3,659
502	San Mateo-Foster City School District	\$175,000,000	48,116	\$3,637
503	Santa Rosa Elementary School District	\$73,000,000	20,204	\$3,613
504	Shasta Union High School District	\$31,000,000	8,590	\$3,609
505	Chowchilla Union High School District	\$8,100,000	2,258	\$3,587
506	Buckeye Union School District	\$28,300,000	7,910	\$3,578
507	Konocti Unified School District	\$18,500,000	5,173	\$3,576
508	Bennett Valley Union School District	\$10,600,000	2,976	\$3,562
509	Siskiyou Joint Community College District	\$31,400,000	8,912	\$3,523
510	Hermosa Beach City School District	\$13,600,000	3,874	\$3,511
511	Santa Maria Joint Union High School District	\$79,000,000	22,527	\$3,507
512	La Habra City School District	\$31,000,000	8,874	\$3,493

# All Educational Districts in Which Voters Authorized Borrowing Via Bond Sales Since November 2000 Enactment of Proposition 39 - Ranked by Total Amount Authorized to Borrow Per “Yes” Vote

Rank	Educational District	Total Amount Authorized to Borrow Since Proposition 39*	Total Number of “Yes” Votes to Borrow*	Amount Authorized to Borrow Per “Yes” Vote
513	Castro Valley Unified School District	\$60,800,000	17,463	\$3,482
514	Rincon Valley Union School District	\$58,900,000	17,118	\$3,441
515	El Dorado Union High School District	\$66,300,000	19,536	\$3,394
516	Cayucos Elementary School District	\$7,850,000	2,319	\$3,385
517	Kingsburg Joint Union Elementary School District	\$7,500,000	2,221	\$3,377
518	Spreckels Union School District	\$12,335,000	3,685	\$3,347
519	East Side Union High School District	\$880,200,000	263,897	\$3,335
520	Los Angeles Community College District	\$3,500,000,000	1,057,738	\$3,309
521	Portola Valley School District	\$6,000,000	1,823	\$3,291
522	Sacramento City Unified School District	\$639,000,000	195,616	\$3,267
523	Escondido Union High School District	\$98,000,000	30,006	\$3,266
524	Alhambra Unified School District	\$85,000,000	26,048	\$3,263
525	Live Oak School District	\$14,500,000	4,446	\$3,261
526	Forestville Union School District	\$10,200,000	3,145	\$3,243
527	Lemon Grove School District	\$28,000,000	8,635	\$3,243
528	College of Marin	\$249,500,000	77,102	\$3,236
529	Columbia Union Elementary School District	\$7,300,000	2,288	\$3,191
530	Hawthorne Elementary School District	<b>\$44,000,000</b>	13,795	\$3,190
531	Redlands Unified School District	\$125,500,000	39,395	\$3,186
532	Modesto City Schools (Modesto High School District)	\$65,000,000	20,783	\$3,128
533	Mt. San Antonio Community College District	\$574,000,000	184,492	\$3,111
534	Liberty School District	\$1,920,000	618	\$3,107
535	Exeter Union Elementary School District	\$6,400,000	2,066	\$3,098
S-1	Kindergarten-University Public Education Facilities Bond Act of 2002	\$13,050,000,000	4,222,946	\$3,090
536	Mission Union School District	\$315,000	103	\$3,058
537	Gravenstein Union School District	\$6,000,000	1,971	\$3,044
538	Centralia School District	\$17,500,000	5,760	\$3,038
539	Merced Community College District SFID No. 1 and SFID No. 2	\$65,430,000	21,692	\$3,016
540	San Lorenzo Valley Unified School District	\$18,900,000	6,298	\$3,001
541	West Hills Community College District	\$20,000,000	6,682	\$2,993
542	Yosemite Community College District	\$326,174,000	109,315	\$2,984
543	Susanville Elementary School District	\$9,000,000	3,025	\$2,975
544	Soquel Union Elementary School District	\$15,000,000	5,118	\$2,931
545	Bret Harte Union High School District	\$18,000,000	6,167	\$2,919
546	Contra Costa Community College District	\$856,500,000	293,603	\$2,917
547	Lancaster Elementary School District	\$63,000,000	21,804	\$2,889
548	Plumas Unified School District	\$15,000,000	5,206	\$2,881
549	Chico Unified School District	\$78,000,000	27,112	\$2,877
550	Rim of the World Unified School District	\$23,340,000	8,116	\$2,876
551	San Francisco Unified School District	\$1,276,000,000	445,745	\$2,863

# All Educational Districts in Which Voters Authorized Borrowing Via Bond Sales Since November 2000 Enactment of Proposition 39 - Ranked by Total Amount Authorized to Borrow Per “Yes” Vote

Rank	Educational District	Total Amount Authorized to Borrow Since Proposition 39*	Total Number of “Yes” Votes to Borrow*	Amount Authorized to Borrow Per “Yes” Vote
552	Pacific Elementary School District	\$830,000	292	\$2,842
553	Siskiyou Union High School District	\$12,500,000	4,418	\$2,829
554	Woodlake Union High School District	\$4,500,000	1,592	\$2,827
555	Inglewood Unified School District	\$90,000,000	31,907	\$2,821
556	Menifee Union School District	\$45,960,000	16,414	\$2,800
557	Carmel Unified School District	\$21,500,000	7,744	\$2,776
558	Campbell Union High School District	\$90,000,000	32,505	\$2,769
559	Oakley Union Elementary School District	\$16,500,000	5,988	\$2,756
560	Fillmore Unified School District	\$10,000,000	3,650	\$2,740
561	Yuba City Unified School District	\$25,670,000	9,383	\$2,736
562	Santa Barbara Community College District	\$77,242,012	28,397	\$2,720
563	Yreka Union High School District	\$8,000,000	2,942	\$2,719
564	La Mesa Spring Valley School District	\$44,000,000	16,326	\$2,695
565	Kernville Union School District	\$11,600,000	4,337	\$2,675
566	Hanford Joint Union High School District	\$33,500,000	12,549	\$2,670
567	Cabrillo Community College District	\$118,500,000	44,542	\$2,660
568	Solano Community College District	\$472,500,000	179,268	\$2,636
569	Roseville City School District	\$29,117,071	11,174	\$2,606
570	Calaveras Unified School District	\$13,500,000	5,241	\$2,576
571	Magnolia School District	\$16,300,000	6,366	\$2,560
572	Oxnard Union High School District	\$135,000,000	52,851	\$2,554
573	Happy Valley Union Elementary School District	\$6,295,000	2,467	\$2,552
574	Grant Elementary School District	\$1,700,000	671	\$2,534
575	Huntington Beach City Elementary School District	\$30,000,000	11,913	\$2,518
576	Exeter Union High School District	\$9,450,000	3,754	\$2,517
577	Sonora Union High School District	\$23,000,000	9,171	\$2,508
578	Northern Humboldt Union High School District	\$25,800,000	10,386	\$2,484
579	Chula Vista Elementary School District SFID No. 1	\$90,000,000	36,265	\$2,482
580	Santa Barbara Elementary School District and Santa Barbara High School District	\$150,000,000	60,594	\$2,475
581	Cold Spring School District	\$2,440,000	987	\$2,472
582	Redwood City Elementary School District	\$22,000,000	8,946	\$2,459
583	Encinitas Union School District	\$44,200,000	17,989	\$2,457
584	Hope Elementary School District	\$8,000,000	3,278	\$2,441
585	Gridley Unified School District ESFID No. 1	\$2,500,000	1,058	\$2,363
586	Butte-Glenn Community College District	\$84,900,000	36,012	\$2,358
587	Paso Robles Joint Unified School District	\$20,000,000	8,753	\$2,285
588	Kern Community College District	\$180,000,000	79,076	\$2,276
589	Fortuna Elementary School District	\$3,900,000	1,720	\$2,267
590	Kern High School District	\$219,000,000	97,540	\$2,245

# All Educational Districts in Which Voters Authorized Borrowing Via Bond Sales Since November 2000 Enactment of Proposition 39 - Ranked by Total Amount Authorized to Borrow Per “Yes” Vote

Rank	Educational District	Total Amount Authorized to Borrow Since Proposition 39*	Total Number of “Yes” Votes to Borrow*	Amount Authorized to Borrow Per “Yes” Vote
591	Little Lake City School District	\$18,000,000	8,041	\$2,239
592	Visalia Unified School District	\$60,100,000	26,898	\$2,234
593	Sebastopol Union School District	\$12,200,000	5,511	\$2,214
S-3	Kindergarten-University Public Education Facilities Bond Act of 2006	\$10,416,000,000	4,754,868	\$2,191
594	Albany Unified School District	\$23,000,000	10,546	\$2,181
595	Kelseyville Unified School District	\$2,930,000	1,361	\$2,153
596	Petaluma City School District	\$21,000,000	9,760	\$2,152
597	Alhambra Unified School District ESID	\$50,000,000	23,276	\$2,148
598	Hueneme Elementary School District	\$36,700,000	17,089	\$2,148
599	Mountain View-Los Altos Union School District	\$41,300,000	19,480	\$2,120
600	Corning Union School District	\$2,700,000	1,358	\$1,988
601	Cottonwood Union Elementary School District	\$2,200,000	1,111	\$1,980
602	Fortuna Union High School District	\$10,000,000	5,072	\$1,972
603	Acalanes Union High School District	\$137,000,000	69,719	\$1,965
604	City College of San Francisco	\$441,300,000	226,642	\$1,947
605	Modesto City Schools (Modesto	\$17,000,000	9,167	\$1,854
606	Antelope Valley Community College	\$139,000,000	74,966	\$1,854
607	Brawley Elementary School District	\$7,500,000	4,081	\$1,838
608	Black Oak Mine Unified School District	\$6,900,000	3,768	\$1,831
609	College of the Sequoias	\$110,000,000	60,387	\$1,822
610	San Jose-Evergreen Community College District	\$453,000,000	252,102	\$1,797
611	Sierra Joint Community College District SFID No. 2	\$44,400,000	24,877	\$1,785
612	State Center Community College District	\$161,000,000	90,285	\$1,783
613	Linns Valley-Poso Flat Elementary School District	\$340,000	191	\$1,780
614	Walnut Creek School District	\$20,000,000	11,876	\$1,684
615	Burton Elementary School District	\$2,455,000	1,471	\$1,669
616	Julian Union High School District	\$2,100,000	1,259	\$1,668
617	South Whittier Elementary School District	\$7,500,000	4,577	\$1,639
618	Placerville Union School District	\$5,300,000	3,274	\$1,619
619	Los Rios Community College District	\$740,000,000	461,192	\$1,605
620	Copper Mountain Community College District	\$19,720,000	12,455	\$1,583
621	West Sonoma County Union High School District	\$23,800,000	15,375	\$1,548
622	Lucia Mar Unified School District	\$21,350,000	13,848	\$1,542
623	Arcata Elementary School District	\$7,000,000	4,667	\$1,500
624	Whittier Union High School District	\$75,000,000	51,521	\$1,456
625	Anderson Union High School District	\$12,300,000	8,574	\$1,435
626	Soulsbyville Elementary School District	\$1,500,000	1,057	\$1,419
627	Hilmar Unified School District	\$2,000,000	1,483	\$1,349
628	Palos Verdes Peninsula Unified School District	\$40,000,000	30,174	\$1,326
629	Amador County Unified School District	\$11,000,000	8,332	\$1,320

## All Educational Districts in Which Voters Authorized Borrowing Via Bond Sales Since November 2000 Enactment of Proposition 39 - Ranked by Total Amount Authorized to Borrow Per “Yes” Vote

Rank	Educational District	Total Amount Authorized to Borrow Since Proposition 39*	Total Number of “Yes” Votes to Borrow*	Amount Authorized to Borrow Per “Yes” Vote
630	Oroville City Elementary School District	\$6,000,000	4,742	\$1,265
631	Oroville Union High School District	\$22,400,000	17,787	\$1,259
632	Turlock Unified School District (Turlock Elementary School District)	\$10,950,000	8,989	\$1,218
633	Thermalito Union School District	\$2,050,000	1,721	\$1,191
634	Turlock Unified School District SFID No. 1	\$9,900,000	8,570	\$1,155
635	Washington Colony Elementary School District	\$400,000	350	\$1,143
636	Gold Trail Union School District	\$1,800,000	1,639	\$1,098
637	Loleta Union School District	\$456,000	422	\$1,081
638	Shasta-Tehama-Trinity Joint Community College District	\$34,000,000	33,076	\$1,028
639	Nevada Joint Union High School District	\$15,000,000	16,397	\$915
640	Turlock Unified School District	\$7,725,000	8,942	\$864
641	Bishop Union Elementary School District	\$3,000,000	3,740	\$802
642	College of the Redwoods	\$40,320,000	52,249	\$772
<b>TOTAL</b>		<b>\$145,386,418,737</b>	<b>29,940,041</b>	<b>\$4,856</b>

\* Does not include the sixteen (16) reauthorizations approved by voters since November 7, 2000.

## Appendices A-L

The Public Policy Institute of California report [Fiscal Effects of Voter Approval Requirements on Local Governments](#) pointed out in 2003 that “Although ballot measures are playing a growing role in the functioning of local governments in California, there is no single, comprehensive source of information on them.” Researchers for that report obtained their data from the California Association of Realtors, the California Debt and Investment Advisory Commission, California’s Coalition for Adequate School Housing (C.A.S.H.), local newspaper stories and websites, and county elections offices.

The availability of data has improved somewhat, but it still remains a time-consuming challenge to collect and synthesize it, identify and correct inconsistencies in the data, and present it in a useful format. There doesn’t seem to be any evidence that compilation of debt service data for California local school and college districts had ever been done, so that information had to be collected and compiled from Official Statements for bond issues posted on EMMA.

### Sources for Data in the Appendices

#### **Name of School District, Election Date, Amount Authorized, Letter Designation on Ballot, Percentage Threshold for Approval, Number of Yes Votes, Number of Total Votes**

To ascertain data in these seven categories, the following sources were converted into spreadsheets, data was cross-referenced, and discrepancies were reconciled. Close results were cross-referenced with Election Results pages of county election office websites.

[California Secretary of State](#) - County, City, School District & Ballot Measure Election Results at <http://www.sos.ca.gov/elections/county-city-school-district-ballot-measure-election-results/>

[California Debt and Investment Advisory Commission \(CDIAC\)](#), affiliated with the California State Treasurer - State and Local Bond and Tax Ballot Measures at <http://www.treasurer.ca.gov/cdiac/publications/alphabetical.asp#s>

[California's Coalition for Adequate School Housing \(C.A.S.H.\)](#) - School District Bond Elections - Local GO Bond Election Summary (1986-2014) at <http://www.cashnet.org/resource-material/bondelec.html>

[California Local Government Finance Almanac](#), produced by Michael Coleman - Summary Reports and Analyses of Elections - California Local Ballot Measures at <http://www.californiacityfinance.com>

[Ballotpedia](#), an Interactive Almanac of American Politics - School Bond Elections in California at [http://ballotpedia.org/School\\_bond\\_elections\\_in\\_California](http://ballotpedia.org/School_bond_elections_in_California)

#### **Amount of Debt Service**

Official Statements posted on the Electronic Municipal Market Access (EMMA)<sup>®</sup> database of the [Municipal Securities Rulemaking Board \(MSRB\)](#), a self-regulatory organization overseen by the U.S. Securities and Exchange Commission, at <http://emma.msrb.org>.

## Acknowledgements

The [California Policy Center](#) is pursuing a vision to secure a more prosperous future for all Californians through education and fiscal reform. Its astute and experienced [team](#) of California-based directors, policy analysts, fellows, contributors, and advisors has emerged as an influential source of independent analysis and original recommendations for the State of California and local governments. Major issues recently addressed by the California Policy Center include education reform, public debt obligations, and labor issues in the public and private sectors. Projects of the California Policy Center include [Prosperity Forum](#), [Union Watch](#), and [TransparentCalifornia](#) (a joint project with the Nevada Policy Research Institute).

Kevin Dayton is a policy analyst for the [California Policy Center](#), a prolific writer, and the author of frequent postings about generally unreported California state and local policy issues on the California Policy Center's [Prosperity Forum](#) and [Union Watch](#), as well as on his own website [www.LaborIssuesSolutions.com](http://www.LaborIssuesSolutions.com). He is a leading reporter and commentator on California state and local public policy issues on Twitter at [@DaytonPubPolicy](#). Major policy reports written by Kevin Dayton include [Legacy Issues: The Citizens for California High-Speed Rail Accountability 2014 Business Plan for the California High-Speed Passenger Train System](#) and four editions of [Are Charter Cities Taking Advantage of State-Mandated Construction Wage Rate \("Prevailing Wage"\) Exemptions?](#) — a publication that sparked high-profile policy debates in cities throughout California and in the state legislature. His 2003 journal article [Labor History in Public Schools: Unions Get 'Em While They're Young](#) endures as the leading critical analysis of that movement. Dayton is a 1992 graduate of Yale University.

Marc Joffe is the founder of [Public Sector Credit Solutions](#) and a policy analyst with the California Policy Center. Joffe founded Public Sector Credit Solutions in 2011 to educate policymakers, investors and citizens about government credit risk. PSCS research has been published by the California State Treasurer's Office, the Mercatus Center and the Macdonald-Laurier Institute among others. Prior to starting PSCS, Marc was a Senior Director at Moody's Analytics. He has an MBA from New York University and an MPA from San Francisco State University.

Ed Ring is the executive director for the California Policy Center. He is also the editor of [ProsperityCalifornia.org](#) and [UnionWatch.org](#). Previously, as a consultant and full-time employee primarily for start-up companies in the Silicon Valley, Ring has done financial accounting for over 20 years, and brings this expertise to his analysis and commentary on issues of public sector finance. From 1995 to 2009 Ring was the editor of EcoWorld, a website covering environmental issues from a free-market perspective. Ring has an MBA in Finance from the University of Southern California, and a BA in Political Science from UC Davis.